High sugar diets can increase susceptibility to bacterial infection in *Drosophila melanogaster*
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Abstract

Overnutrition with dietary sugar can worsen infection outcomes in diverse organisms including insects and humans, through generally unknown mechanisms. In the present study, we show that adult *Drosophila melanogaster* fed high-sugar diets became more susceptible to infection by the Gram-negative bacteria *Providencia rettgeri* and *Serratia marcescens*. We found that *P. rettgeri* and *S. marcescens* proliferate more rapidly in *D. melanogaster* fed a high-sugar diet, resulting in increased probability of host death. *D. melanogaster* become hyperglycemic on the high-sugar diet, and we find evidence that the extra carbon availability may promote *S. marcescens* growth within the host. However, we found no evidence that increased carbon availability directly supports greater *P. rettgeri* growth. *D. melanogaster* on both diets fully induce transcription of antimicrobial peptide (AMP) genes in response to infection, but *D. melanogaster* provided with high-sugar diets show reduced production of AMP protein. Thus, overnutrition with dietary sugar may impair host immunity at the level of AMP translation. Our results demonstrate that dietary sugar can shape infection dynamics by impacting both host and pathogen, depending on the nutritional requirements of the pathogen and by altering the physiological capacity of the host to sustain an immune response.

Author summary

Diet has a critical impact on the quality of immune defense and high-sugar diets increase susceptibility to bacterial infection in many animals. Yet it is unknown which aspects of host and pathogen physiology are impacted by diet to influence infection dynamics. Here we show that high-sugar diets increase susceptibility to some bacterial infections in *Drosophila*. We find that feeding on high-sugar diet impairs the host immune response by reducing the level of antimicrobial peptides produced. The expression of genes encoding these peptides is not affected, so we infer that protein translation is impaired. We further show that flies on high-sugar diets are hyperglycemic, and that some pathogens may use...
the excess sugar in the host to promote growth during the infection. Thus, our study demonstrates that dietary impacts on infection outcome arise through physiological effects on both the host and pathogen.

Introduction

Nutritional status and diet are important factors for immune defense in both mammals and insects [1–5]. Hyperglycemia and diets excessively high in sugar have adverse effects on metabolic homeostasis and infection outcome [6–10]. For example, human patients admitted to the intensive care unit with sepsis have a higher probability of death if they have elevated blood sugar [11] and non-diabetic hyperglycemia increases the severity of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infections in guinea pigs [1]. A similar phenomenon is observed in the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*, where adults reared on high sugar diets experience higher pathogen burden [3] and increased mortality from systemic bacterial infection [2,12]. Despite these clear effects of diet and nutritional state on infection outcome, the molecular and physiological mechanisms by which high sugar impacts infections is often unclear. By uncovering these mechanisms, we can clarify the role that diet has on shaping organismal physiology during an active infection and identify strategies to alleviate consequences of infection that are exacerbated by obesogenic diets.

*Drosophila* is an excellent model to understand the mechanisms by which high-sugar diets affect infection dynamics, with extensive prior study on infection, diet, and metabolism (e.g., [13–16]). Lacking adaptive immune systems, *Drosophila* rely on innate immune defenses that include humoral production and secretion into circulation of antimicrobial peptides (AMP) and cytokines that share function with innate immune defenses in mammals and other invertebrate species [17,18]. The Toll and the immune deficiency (IMD) pathways are the two major regulators of the *Drosophila* humoral immune response to infection [14]. Gram-positive bacterial and fungal infections predominantly stimulate Toll activity [19,20] while Gram-negative bacterial infections activate the IMD pathway [19,21]. Once activated, both pathways lead to the nuclear translocation of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) family transcription factors to drive expression of hundreds of infection-responsive genes, including those encoding antimicrobial peptides [22,23]. Infection and/or constitutive activation of these pathways stimulates major shifts in metabolic processes to support the immune response, including suppressed insulin signaling, reduction in energetic stores like glycogen and triglycerides, and alterations in carbohydrate and lipid metabolism [24–28].

Both high-sugar diets and infection alter metabolism and energetic usage in *Drosophila* [29]. In the absence of infection, high-sugar diets can also upregulate genes involved in the immune response, including antimicrobial peptides [12,30], which is similar to high levels of dietary sugar stimulating low-grade inflammation responses in humans [31]. Additionally, uninfected *Drosophila* larvae reared on high-sugar diets exhibit impaired melanization and reduced phagocytic capacity of fungal spores [12,32]. While there is evidence that high-sugar diets affect the *Drosophila* immune response in uninfected states, it is less clear whether high-sugar diets impact immune activity during an active infection. Resistance to infection can be measured as immune system activity or control of pathogen burden [33]. Feeding *Drosophila* high-glucose diets results in higher pathogen burden after systemic infection with the Gram-negative bacteria *Providencia rettgeri* [2,3] and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [10]. This could suggest that high-sugar diets impair host immune system activity, but *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is additionally able to use glucose in hyperglycemic hosts to establish higher pathogen burdens.
Thus, it has been difficult to discern whether elevated pathogen burden in *Drosophila* provided with high-sugar diets is due to impaired host immune responses or to bolstered pathogen growth capacity. These are not mutually exclusive alternatives. Furthermore, prior *D. melanogaster* studies that investigated the effects of high dietary sugar on systemic infection outcome were performed using flies that were reared throughout their entire development on high-sugar diets [2,3,12,32]. Rearing on elevated dietary sugar diets causes hyperglycemia, lipidemia, and reduced body weight in both larvae and as adults, and prolongs larval developmental time [12,34]. Thus, it is difficult to determine in those experiments whether effects of diet on adult infection outcome result from altered metabolism and immunity or from indirect consequences of altered development.

In the present study, we specifically focus on the immediate effects of high dietary sugar on infection dynamics in adult *D. melanogaster*. We do this by rearing *D. melanogaster* larvae on a common base diet, then switching adults to diets that vary in sugar content prior to delivering systemic bacterial infection. We find that flies provided with high-sugar diet as adults are particularly susceptible to Gram-negative bacterial infection, suffering increased mortality and more rapid pathogen proliferation during the early hours of infection. We find evidence that increased carbon availability from hosts provided with high-sugar diets can accelerate the growth of certain pathogens, and that high-sugar diets impair immune system function at the level of antimicrobial peptide translation without affecting transcription levels.

**Results**

**High-sucrose diets increase mortality from infection with some bacterial pathogens**

We first wanted to test whether high-sugar diets reduce immune defense in adult *D. melanogaster* independent of any developmental consequences. We therefore reared larvae on a yeast-cornmeal diet containing 4% sucrose, then split the population across the experimental diets during the non-feeding pupal stage such that eclosing adults emerged onto experimental diets that were 0%, 2%, 4%, 8%, 16%, or 24% (w/v) sucrose (Fig 1A). This covers the range of dietary sugar used in prior studies [3,12,32]. After 3–5 days on the experimental diets, adult female flies were given a systemic infection with the Gram-negative bacteria *Providencia rettgeri* and *Serratia marcescens*, which are known natural pathogens of *D. melanogaster* [35, 36]. Prior studies have also tested the effect of high-sugar diets on infection outcome using Gram-negative bacteria [2,12].

We found that flies provided with high-sucrose diets experienced higher mortality after infection with *S. marcescens* and *P. rettgeri* than flies fed low sugar (Fig 1B and 1C). This increased mortality occurred in a concentration-dependent manner depending on the pathogen. Flies provided with 0%, 2%, or 4% sucrose experienced low mortality and flies provided with 16% or 24% sucrose experienced high mortality after infection with both pathogens. However, on the 8% sucrose diet, flies infected with *S. marcescens* exhibited high survival (Fig 1B), but flies infected with *P. rettgeri* exhibited low survival (Fig 1C).

Gram-negative infections primarily stimulate the IMD pathway [19,21] whereas Gram-positive infections primarily stimulate Toll signaling [19,24]. Hypothesizing that the difference in immunological response might result in a different sensitivity to diet, we also tested whether high-sugar diets impact survival of infection with the Gram-positive bacteria *Lactococcus lactis* and *Enterococcus faecalis*, which are also natural pathogens to *D. melanogaster* [35,36]. We found there was no effect of diet on survivorship of infection with *E. faecalis* at the initial inoculation dose, although a small effect emerged at a higher infection dose (S1A and S1B Fig). We also found a weak relationship between diet and survival of infection with *L. lactis* (S1C Fig).
Flies fed a 16% sucrose diet were significantly more likely to die than flies fed a 0% sucrose diet, although this increased mortality was not statistically significant compared to flies fed sucrose diets ranging from 2%-16%. At a higher infection dose, a significant difference in survivorship of *L. lactis* infection was observed between flies provided with 2% and 16% sucrose diets (S1D Fig). Flies provided with the 24% sucrose diet experienced the highest mortality compared to all other diets after *L. lactis* infection (S1C and S1D Fig). We attribute this to the diet being generally poor, as sham-infected control flies fed on the 24% sucrose diet also experienced elevated mortality (S2A Fig). Collectively, our data across all infections indicates that high-sugar diets increase susceptibility to bacterial infection, but that the quantitative increase in mortality depends on the pathogen a host is infected with.

Varying the diet of the host can alter the abundance and composition of microbiota associated with the fly [37–39] and the feeding substrate [40–42], which can yield effects on the host’s metabolic status [43–45]. Flies provided with 16% sucrose in our experiments showed a reduced abundance of microbiota compared to flies provided with 2% sucrose diet (S3A Fig). However, we found that high-sugar diets increased the probability of death from systemic *P.*
Infection even in axenic flies with no microbiota (S3B Fig), suggesting that our observed effect of diet on immunity is not mediated by effects of associated microbiota.

**Flies fed on high-sucrose diets become hyperglycemic despite reduced food intake**

*D. melanogaster* will modulate feeding behavior depending on the content of their diet [46–48], and the nutritional composition of the diet influences metabolic state [3,34,49]. We therefore first assessed whether varying sucrose levels in the diet impacts feeding rate. We performed an excreta quantification assay (ExQ) [50] on uninfected females to measure feeding across the six experimental diets shown in Fig 1A. Flies were housed in ExQ chambers over a 2-day period with experimental diets containing the dye erioglaucine (1% w/v) and their excreta was collected every 24-hours. We found that flies ate significantly more of the three diets with the lowest sucrose (0%, 2%, and 4%) than the three diets with the highest sucrose (8%, 16%, 24%; p < 0.0001, Fig 2A). There was no significant difference in feeding rate among the three lowest-sucrose diets or among the three highest-sucrose diets. In subsequent experiments, we focus on the 2% and 16% sucrose diets as representative low- and high-sugar diets.

To assess the effects of diet on the metabolic status of adults, we measured glucose, trehalose, glycogen, and soluble protein in the whole body of uninfected flies provided with either 2% or 16% sucrose diets. To account for any effect of diet on body size, we standardized our measurements to soluble protein levels [51]. Flies fed high sugar had ~10% less soluble protein than flies fed low-sugar diets (S4 Fig). We found that uninfected flies fed the high-sucrose diet exhibit higher levels of glucose (p < 0.001, Fig 2B), trehalose (p = 0.001, Fig 2C), and glycogen (Fig 2D, p = 0.0479) than flies fed the low-sugar diet (Fig 2B–2D). Given that infection can stimulate shifts in carbohydrate levels [26,52], we also tested whether flies fed high-sugar continue to exhibit elevated carbohydrate levels after infection. We focused on infection with *S. marcescens* and *P. rettgeri* provided with 2% and 16% diets. After *P. rettgeri* infection, we found that flies fed the high-sucrose diet continued to exhibit higher levels of glucose (p < 0.01, Fig 2B), trehalose (p < 0.01, Fig 2C), and glycogen (p = 0.019, Fig 2C) than flies fed the low-sucrose diet at 24 hours. Interestingly, there was no difference in glucose content (Fig 2B) or trehalose content (Fig 2C) between flies fed low-sucrose or high-sucrose at 24-hours after infection with *S. marcescens*. However, flies given a high-sucrose diet exhibited higher glycogen stores after *S. marcescens* infection than flies given low sucrose (p = 0.035, Fig 2D). Despite reduced feeding on high sugar, flies on the high-sucrose diet still sustain higher levels of carbohydrate stores in both uninfected and infected states.

**Feeding on high sucrose increases pathogen load in the early stages of infection**

High pathogen load is associated with higher mortality from infection [53,54]. Since we observed that high-sugar diets lead to higher mortality during infection with the Gram-negative bacteria *S. marcescens* and *P. rettgeri*, we wanted to establish whether this high mortality arises from higher bacterial load. To test whether flies fed on high sugar have higher pathogen loads, we performed an *in vivo* bacterial growth assay where we sampled infected flies at two-hour intervals from 0–16 hours post-infection and at 24, 36, and 48 hours post-infection. At each time of sampling, individual flies were homogenized and plated on agar plates to estimate the number of live bacterial cells in the fly. Overall, the bacteria proliferated more quickly in flies fed on the high-sugar diet after both *P. rettgeri* (Fig 3A) and *S. marcescens* (Fig 3B) infection. However, the divergence in load between the two diets occurs at different times for the two pathogens. *S. marcescens* infections start to exhibit a higher pathogen burden as soon as 6
Fig 2. Flies fed high sugar sustain higher carbohydrate stores despite reduced feeding. (A) Excreta quantification of uninfected female flies fed on diets ranging from 0%-24% sucrose was measured over two days. The flies were housed in groups of 8-10 per chamber with n = 48 biological replicates per diet. Flies feeding on the 8%, 16%, and 24% diets consumed significantly lower dye than those fed on the 0%, 2%, 4% sucrose diets (p < 0.001). (B) Uninfected flies fed on the 16% diet (n = 31) had higher glucose levels compared to flies fed on 2% sucrose (n = 31, p < 0.001; ANOVA with Tukey HSD post-hoc). After 24 hours of *P. rettgeri* infection, flies fed on high sugar (n = 20) continued to exhibit higher glucose levels compared to flies fed 2% sucrose (n = 25, p < 0.01; ANOVA with Tukey HSD post-hoc). However, there was no difference in glucose levels between flies provided with 2% (n = 32) and 16% diets (n = 31) 24-hours after *S. marcescens* infection (p = 0.658). Flies fed 16% sucrose exhibit significantly reduced glucose levels after *S. marcescens* infection (p < 0.001), and there was a nearly significant decrease in glucose levels of flies fed 2% sucrose after *S. marcescens* infection (p = 0.0877). (C) Trehalose levels were significantly higher in flies fed 16% sucrose than in flies fed 2% sucrose when the flies were uninfected (p < 0.001) or when they were infected with *P. rettgeri* (p < 0.01). However, *S. marcescens* infection had no effect on trehalose levels between flies given the 2% and 16% diets (p = 0.38). *P. rettgeri* infection led to higher trehalose levels in flies on both 2% (p = 0.0554) and 16% (p = 0.0446) sucrose diets compared to uninfected flies, while *S. marcescens* had no effect on trehalose levels on either diet. (D) Glycogen levels were significantly higher in flies on 16% versus 2% diets when flies were uninfected (p = 0.0479), infected with *P. rettgeri* (p = 0.019), or infected with *S. marcescens* (p = 0.035). On both diets, infection with both pathogens led to reduced glycogen levels compared to uninfected (2% *Providencia*, p < 0.01; 16% *Providencia*, p = 0.036; 2% *Serratia*, p < 0.01; 16% *Serratia*, p < 0.01). Legend for panel figure: * = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01; *** = p < 0.001.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1012447.g002
Fig 3. Pathogens proliferate faster in flies fed high sugar. (A) Pathogen load was assayed at 2-hour intervals from 0–16 hours post-infection and at 24, 36, and 48 hr post-infection in flies fed 2% and 16% sucrose diets. After P. rettgeri infection, flies provided with high-sugar diets have higher pathogen loads at 12, 14, 16, 36, and 48 hr than flies given low-sugar diet (12 hr, p = 0.031; 14 hr, p < 0.001, 16 hr, p < 0.001; 36 hr, p < 0.01; 48 hr, p = 0.022; Generalized Least Square Means (GLS) with Tukey HSD post-hoc for pairwise comparisons). Flies given high-sugar diets have consistently lower survival to time of sampling at hour 10 and onward. (B) After S. marcescens infection, flies given high-sugar diets have higher pathogen loads than flies given low-sugar diet at 4 hr, 6 hr, 24 hr, and 36 hr post-infection (4 hr, p = 0.011; 6 hr, p = 0.044, 24 hr, p < 0.001; 36 hr, p < 0.01; Generalized Least Square Means (GLS) with Tukey HSD post-hoc for pairwise comparisons). Flies given high-sugar diets have consistently lower survival to time of sampling at hour 8 and beyond. At 2 hours post-infection, flies given high-sugar diets have higher pathogen load than flies given high-sugar diets (p < 0.01). Each dot represents the pathogen burden of an individual fly. Sample sizes for each timepoint and diet ranged from 8–26 individual flies, depending on how many were still alive at the sampling point. Legend for panel figure: * = p<0.05, ** = p<0.01, *** = p<0.001. The font colors in the table correspond to the proportion of flies that survived at each timepoint: black = 100%-80%, purple = 79%-50%, red = 50%-0%.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1012447.g003

hours into the infection, while P. rettgeri burden becomes higher by 12 hours into the infection. Flies that died during the sampling period were not included in this assay, which may mean that pathogen burdens are underestimated if the surviving flies at any given timepoint tend to be the ones with lower pathogen burdens. At 10 hours and later post-infection with S. marcescens, we observed a lower proportion of surviving flies on the high-sugar diet than on the low-sugar diet (Fig 3B), which may explain the apparent absence of difference in pathogen burden 10–16 hours into the infection. We similarly observe a lower proportion of flies provided with high-sugar diet surviving P. rettgeri infection 10 hours and later, although the difference in pathogen burden between flies on the two diets remains significant (Fig 3A).

Nutrient availability promotes S. marcescens proliferation within hosts on high-sugar diets

Having determined that D. melanogaster provided with high-sucrose diets are both more susceptible to infection and sustain higher internal carbohydrate stores, we next sought to understand whether the increased susceptibility to infection on the high-sugar diet is due to impaired immune system activity or to an altered nutritional environment experienced by the infecting pathogen. To test this, we measured pathogen proliferation during in vivo infection of immune compromised D. melanogaster on the low- and high-sugar diets. We predicted that the effect of diet on sensitivity to infection would be eliminated in immunocompromised flies if the dietary effect is due to impaired immunity, but it would remain if the dietary effect were due to altered nutritional environment for the pathogen. We used the D. melanogaster strain ΔAMP10 [55], which has intact Toll and IMD signaling but is missing 10 genes encoding major infection-inducible antimicrobial peptides. Antimicrobial peptides are small, cationic AMP10 [55], which has intact Toll and IMD signaling but is missing 10 genes encoding Δ due to altered nutritional environment for the pathogen. We used the ΔAMP10 mutants in Fig 4A and 4B, which may explain the apparent absence of difference in pathogen burden 10–16 hours into the infection. When we compare pathogen loads from the wildtype CS flies used in Fig 3A and 3B to the pathogen burdens in the ΔAMP10 mutants in Fig 4A and 4B, we see that the mutants exhibit significantly higher bacterial loads than the CS across all infection and diet conditions (S5A–S5D Fig). At the last time point with surviving flies, the pathogen loads carried in the ΔAMP10 mutants were ~log₂ [20], equal to ~1x10⁶, cells of S. marcescens, and ~log₂ [22], equal to ~4x10⁶, cells of P. rettgeri. These loads are close to the previously reported lethal burden [61].
Fig 4. Nutrient availability promotes *Serratia marcescens* proliferation within hosts on high-sugar diets. (A) Diet did not affect the proliferation of *Providencia rettgeri* in flies lacking 10 major inducible antimicrobial peptides (p > 0.05 time × diet; GLS model). (B) *S. marcescens* proliferate significantly more rapidly in ΔAMP10 flies fed high sugar (p < 0.001 time × diet; GLS model). Two hours into the infection, flies fed high sugar exhibit a lower *Serratia* burden (p < 0.01; GLS model followed by Tukey HSD post-hoc), but flies fed high sugar exhibit significantly higher loads by hours 4 (p < 0.001) and 6 (p < 0.0001; GLS model followed by Tukey HSD-post-hoc). For each time point, approximately 10–28 flies were sampled per diet. (C) There was no effect of sugar supplementation on *P. rettgeri* growth over 1080 mins in M9 minimal media supplemented with 1% casamino acids (CAS; p > 0.05; ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD post-hoc). CAS supplementation significantly increased OD at 1080 minutes compared to media without casamino acids (p < 0.001; ANOVA). (D) *S. marcescens* grew significantly more in minimal media supplemented with the combination of casamino acids and glucose (p < 0.001; ANOVA with Tukey HSD post-hoc) or sucrose (p < 0.01, ANOVA with Tukey HSD post-hoc) than in media supplemented with casamino acids alone. *S. marcescens* OD at 1080 mins was significantly lower in media supplemented only with glucose (p < 0.001; ANOVA with Tukey HSD). Each curve represents 6–8 replicates per treatment.
pooled. Letters in figures denote significant pairwise differences with p < 0.05. Legend: G = glucose, S = sucrose, C = casamino acids. Colors for in vitro curves: 1% glucose (light orange), 1% sucrose (light blue), 1% casamino acids (black), or 1% glucose & casamino acids (dark orange), and 1% sucrose & casamino acids (dark blue). (E) Glucose levels declined significantly more after infection with *S. marcescens* but increased in flies infected with *P. rettgeri* on both 2% (p = 0.01; ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD post-hoc) and 16% (p < 0.0001; ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD post-hoc) sucrose diets. There was no significant difference in change in glucose levels after *P. rettgeri* infection between diets (p = 0.24; ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD post-hoc). There was a trend for increased relative loss of glucose after *S. marcescens* infections in flies fed the 16% sucrose diet compared to 2%, however this was not statistically significant (p = 0.0601, ANOVA followed by Tukey HSD post-hoc). (F) Glycogen levels were reduced after infection with both *P. rettgeri* and *S. marcescens*. Flies fed 2% sucrose had significantly higher loss of glycogen stores compared to flies fed 16% sucrose after infection with both *P. rettgeri* (p = 0.0178, ANOVA with Tukey HSD post-hoc) and *S. marcescens* (p = 0.0291, ANOVA with Tukey HSD post-hoc). (G) There was no significant difference in change upon infection in trehalose levels between *P. rettgeri* and *S. marcescens* on either the 2% diet (P, n = 25; S, n = 32; p = 0.197) or the 16% sucrose diet (P, n = 32; S, n = 31; p = 0.215). There was a significant reduction in trehalose levels of flies infected with *S. marcescens* on 16% sucrose diets compared to flies fed 2% sucrose (p = 0.0372, ANOVA with Tukey HSD post-hoc). There was a trend toward reduced trehalose in flies provided with 16% sucrose diet after *P. rettgeri* infection, but it was not statistically significant (p = 0.0816, ANOVA with Tukey HSD post-hoc). Legend for panel figure: * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1012447.g004

When we compare pathogen growth within the immunocompromised flies across the two diets, there was no difference in the rate of *P. rettgeri* proliferation in ΔAMP10 hosts provided with either low or high sucrose (Fig 4A), indicating that the typically observed effect of diet on sensitivity to this infection may be a consequence of diet-dependent immune impairment. In contrast, *Serratia marcescens* continued to proliferate faster in ΔAMP10 flies fed high sucrose than in those fed low sucrose (Fig 4B), indicating an effect of diet beyond direct mediation of the immune system.

As an independent, albeit indirect, test of whether *S. marcescens* might utilize excess available carbon to grow faster in hosts provided with high-sugar diets, we measured in vitro growth curves of *P. rettgeri* and *S. marcescens* in minimal medium supplemented with either a carbon source or a nitrogen source. We performed an 18-hour growth curve assay in M9 minimal medium supplemented with different combinations of casamino acids, sucrose, and glucose. We found that supplementation with 1% casamino acids enabled *P. rettgeri* growth, but there was no further change in the growth trajectory or final OD with supplementation with 1% glucose, 1% sucrose, or no additional sugar (p > 0.05, Fig 4C). These data indicate that *P. rettgeri* is nitrogen-limited but not carbon-limited in minimal media. The addition of either glucose or sucrose dramatically increased the growth of *S. marcescens* in minimal media and resulted in a significantly higher OD at the end of the assay (p < 0.01, Fig 4D), indicating a greater dependence on environmental carbon under these growth conditions. While we do not know precise bioavailability of carbon to bacteria infecting *D. melanogaster*, the data in Fig 2 show that flies on high-sugar diets have higher levels of circulating and stored sugars, and in combination these data offer conceptual support to the hypothesis that the increased proliferation of *S. marcescens* within hosts provided with a high-sugar diet may arise in part from increased availability of carbon to the infecting bacteria.

We had observed that flies provided with high-sucrose diets show elevated glucose and trehalose levels after infection with *S. marcescens* (Fig 2B and 2C) despite reduction in glycogen stores in both diets (Fig 2D), and we hypothesized that *S. marcescens* might consume excess circulating sugar within the host. We measured the change in carbohydrate levels after infection with either *P. rettgeri* or *S. marcescens* relative to uninfected flies on both diets using the data illustrated in Fig 2B–2D. We found that flies infected with *S. marcescens* have a significantly higher loss in glucose levels compared to flies infected with *P. rettgeri* on both the low-sugar (p < 0.01) and high-sugar (p < 0.001, Fig 4E) diets, although they exhibit similar relative loss in glycogen (p > 0.05, Fig 4F). Interestingly, we observed significantly higher loss of glycogen in flies provided with low-sugar compared to high-sugar diets after both *P. rettgeri* (p = 0.0178) and *S. marcescens* infection (p = 0.029, Fig 4F). There was no significant difference between the infections in proportional change in trehalose levels on either diet (Fig 4G). Our observation that both infections stimulate loss in glycogen stores but only *S. marcescens*
infection results in a significant reduction in glucose levels is consistent with S. marcescens consumption of free sugars during infection.

**Drosophila on high sugar diets produce less AMP peptide after infection**

We inferred that high-sugar diets increase sensitivity to P. rettgeri infection by impairing the immune system because the effect of diet was eliminated in immunocompromised flies. However, the inference that S. marcescens may consume excess carbohydrates present in flies on high-sugar diets does not preclude the possibility that those flies might also have reduced immune responses. To directly test whether high-sugar diets impair the immune response, we first measured mRNA transcripts of a panel of genes encoding six D. melanogaster antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). Gene expression was measured in flies fed low or high-sugar diets at 8-hours after infection with either S. marcescens or P. rettgeri. We found that diet had no effect on the infection-induced expression levels of Diptericin A, Attacin A, Cecropin A1, Drosocin, Drosomycin, or Defensin after either P. rettgeri and S. marcescens infection (Fig 5A and 5B).

Measuring the amount of mRNA transcript does not directly demonstrate the abundance of translated peptide [62], and translation can be impaired by cellular stress during the D. melanogaster response to infection [63]. To test whether high-sugar diets impact AMP protein levels in response to infection, we used sandwich ELISA to quantify Drosocin and Cecropin A1 from flies that have hemagglutinin (HA) and FLAG epitopes tagged to the endogenous AMPs. Infection-induced production of tagged AMP peptides is detectable as early as 3 hours post-infection (S6A and S6B Fig). We quantified tagged AMPs from individual flies at 6-hours after infection with either P. rettgeri or S. marcescens. Flies fed on the high-sugar diet produced significantly lower levels of tagged Drosocin than flies fed on the low-sugar diet after P. rettgeri infection (p = 0.008, Fig 5C). However, we observed no effect of diet on Drosocin levels in flies infected with S. marcescens (Fig 5D). An unexpectedly high number of flies did not have detectable levels of tagged Cecropin peptide after infection with either P. rettgeri or S. marcescens (S7A and S7B Fig). We performed a hurdle model to statistically test for differences in Cecropin abundance. The hurdle model first tests the probability that detectable levels of tagged Cecropin are present using a binomial logistic regression, and subsequently tests whether there are quantitative differences in peptide levels conditional on having observed a detectable level of peptide. While the probability of detecting Cecropin was equivalent in flies infected with P. rettgeri on both diets (Fig 5E), flies infected with S. marcescens had lower probability of expressing detectable Cecropin when fed the high-sugar diet (p < 0.01, Fig 5E). In the flies for which Cecropin was detectable, peptide abundance was significantly lower in the flies on the high-sugar sucrose diet than in the flies on the low-sugar diet after infection with P. rettgeri (p = 0.023, Fig 5E). Diet had no effect on the abundance of detectable Cecropin after S. marcescens infection (p = 0.21, Fig 5F). Overall, flies provided with 16% sucrose diets produce less Drosocin and Cecropin after infection with P. rettgeri and have a lower probability of detectable Cecropin 6 hours post-infection with S. marcescens. Thus, the translational production of AMPs seems to be impaired in flies on high-sucrose diets even when there is no decrease in transcription level.

**Discussion**

In this study, we show that D. melanogaster provided with high-sugar diets exhibit higher mortality and elevated pathogen load after infection with the Gram-negative bacteria Providencia rettgeri and Serratia marcescens, even when the high-sugar diet is provided only to the adult stage after development is complete. S. marcescens may be able to take a growth advantage from the excess carbohydrates available in hosts provided with high-sugar diets, and the effect
Fig 5. High sugar diets reduce production of AMP peptides in response to infection without affecting AMP gene expression. 

(A) There was no effect of diet on expression of genes encoding the AMPs Diptericin, Attacin A, Cecropin A1, Drosocin, Drosomycin, and Defensin at 8-hours post-infection with *P. rettgeri* (2%, n = 6; 16%, n = 6; p > 0.05) or (B) *S. marcescens* (2%, n = 5; 16%, n = 6; p > 0.05). (C) *P. rettgeri* infection resulted in significantly higher levels of tagged Drosocin than in uninfected flies on both 2% (uninfected, n = 21; p < 0.0001) and 16% (uninfected, n = 18; p = 0.0002) diets. Flies on 16% sucrose diet
of diet on sensitivity to both infections seem to be mediated by impaired host immune response on high-sugar diet. Interestingly, we saw limited effect of diet on susceptibility to infection with the Gram-positive bacteria *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Lactococcus lactis*. As Gram-negative (*S. marcescens* and *P. rettgeri*) infections should primarily activate the IMD signaling pathway whereas Gram-positive (*E. faecalis* and *L. lactis*) infections should primarily activate the Toll pathway [54,64], the much larger effect of diet on sensitivity to Gram-negative infections may implicate an interaction with the IMD pathway. We find some indication that high-sugar diets may increase susceptibility to Gram-positive infections at a higher inoculation dose. Future study could investigate the effects of high-sugar diet on infection-related phenotypes following Gram-positive bacterial infection in more detail.

Our approach of feeding flies on diets that range from 0%-24% sucrose was based on previous studies of systemic infection outcome that defined “high” dietary sugar as ranging from 10% glucose [3] to 24% (w/v) sucrose [12]. We predicted that there would be a concentration-responsive decrease in host survival post-infection as dietary sugar increased. However, what we observed after the Gram-negative bacterial infections was a threshold response where quantitatively variable low-sucrose diets (0%, 2% and 4%) were statistically equivalent to each other but different than high-sucrose diets (16% and 24%) with respect to host survivorship of infection. The precise threshold depended on the pathogen, with 8% sucrose being a functionally high-sugar diet for a *P. rettgeri* infection but low-sugar for a *S. marcescens* infection.

Our observation of immunological effects of diet that vary among pathogens is consistent with previous reports in the literature. For example, Ayres and Schneider (2009) [65] demonstrate that dietary restriction at 24 hours prior to infection of adult *D. melanogaster* is protective against a *Salmonella typhimurium* infection, decreases resistance to *Listeria monocytogenes*, and has no effect on *E. faecalis* infection [65]. Similarly, Singh et al. (2022) [66] found that *D. melanogaster* reared on a calorie-restricted diet were more susceptible to *Pseudomonas entomophila* infection while diet had no effect on mortality after *E. faecalis* infection. Our finding that diet had no effect on *E. faecalis* infection outcome is corroborated by several studies [65–67] that suggest negligible impact of diet or relevant host physiology on defense against this pathogen. Our data in combination with these previous studies suggest that the effects of diet on immune outcome are in part dependent on the unique physiology of the infecting pathogen.

We observed that *S. marcescens* and *P. rettgeri* pathogen burdens are both higher in *D. melanogaster* fed high sugar. However, the increased pathogen load arises differently for the two pathogens. The effect of diet on defense against *P. rettgeri* is mediated by the host immune system, as flies provided with 16% sucrose diet produce less Drosocin after infection with *P. rettgeri* and the effect of dietary sugar on *P. rettgeri* proliferation is eliminated in *D. melanogaster* lacking a functional immune response. However, while flies infected with *S. marcescens* produced less Cecropin when provided with 16% sucrose diet, we also observed that *S. marcescens* continued to proliferate more rapidly in flies provided with 16% sucrose diets even when those flies lack most inducible AMPs. We found that high-sugar diet increases the level of...
circulating sugar within the fly, and that *S. marcescens* benefits more than *P. rettgeri* from carbon supplementation when growing in vitro. These data suggest *S. marcescens* may perhaps gain a growth benefit from the hyperglycemia of *D. melanogaster* feeding on high-sugar diets. A similar phenomenon has been observed with the Gram-negative opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infecting hyperglycemic mice. Wild-type *P. aeruginosa* proliferates more quickly in hyperglycemic mice, but mutants for glucose uptake and metabolism genes (oprB, gltK, gtrS, glk) exhibit similar growth in control and hyperglycemic mice [10]. More detailed future study of *S. marcescens* mutants deficient for glucose uptake or metabolism infecting *D. melanogaster* mutant for key factors in metabolism and immune response could probe the relationship between diet, host physiology, and pathogen physiology as determining infection outcome.

Interestingly, we noticed that both wild-type and ΔAMP10 *D. melanogaster* genotypes had lower *S. marcescens* burdens at 2 hours after infection on high-sugar diet than on low-sugar diet (Figs 3B and 4B). Phagocytosis is known to play a role in controlling *S. marcescens* infection in some contexts [68] and infection has previously been shown to increase aerobic glycolysis in *Drosophila* phagocytes [69]. Since ΔAMP10 flies still have intact cellular immune systems, it is possible that the elevated dietary sugar provides energy for phagocytes to use in clearing pathogens sensitive to phagocytosis, although this process alone is not sufficient to manage the infection delivered in our experimental context. ΔAMP10 flies fed high sugar carry higher pathogen loads 4 and 6 hours post-infection with *S. marcescens*, indicating a capacity for *S. marcescens* to grow more quickly in the flies on the high-sugar diet. We infer that this may be due to a pathogen capacity to capitalize on the excess circulating sugar in these hyperglycemic flies. The pathogen burdens become the same across both diets at 8-hours post-infection when the flies are near death. As pathogen loads reach the maximum survivable burden, flies that exceed this burden die [61] and are removed from the assay, resulting in an effective compression in the observed burden across treatments at this maximum.

We found that elevated dietary sugar does not impact the transcription of antimicrobial peptides genes (Fig 5A and 5B), but it does reduce translation of the encoded peptides Drosocin and Cecropin A1 (Fig 5C–5E). A similar transcriptional effect was observed in larvae of the beet army worm (*Spodoptera exigua*) and fall army worm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*), where infection-induced expression of the AMPs *attacin* and *gloverin* transcripts were equivalent between a low protein and control diet despite reduced resistance to infection on the low-quality diet [70]. This suggests that dietary impacts on the immune system may not occur at the transcriptional level but at the level of translation. A recent proteomics study on *D. melanogaster* found that naive flies subjected to dietary restriction exhibit lower peptide levels of the AMPs Attacin A and Cecropin C [71], although the effect of diet on production of antimicrobial peptides in the context of a systemic infection was not measured. Antimicrobial peptides are critical for controlling Gram-negative infections in *D. melanogaster*. At the transcriptional level, there is a high degree of co-regulation of genes encoding AMPs [19,72], and we would anticipate corresponding similarity in translational efficiency [73]. Given that high dietary sugar reduced production of Drosocin and Cecropin, we anticipate it would also reduce production of other AMPs. Reduced translation could be a direct consequence of elevated dietary sugar, an indirect consequence of effectively reduced dietary protein on the high-sugar diet, or both. A simple interpretation is that reduced protein in flies on high-sugar diets (S4 Fig) limits translational capacity. However, more complicated dynamics are likely at play. For example, elevated sugar induces insulin signaling, which stimulates activation of the Target of Rapamycin (TOR) pathway [74]. TOR activation negatively regulates 4E-BP (eIF4E-binding protein), a translation regulator that inhibits 5'-cap-dependent mRNA in response to stresses like infection [75,76] and dietary restriction [77,78]. 4E-BP
activation in response to infection may bias translation of mRNA transcripts toward those 
with short 5′-UTR sequences like antimicrobial peptides [73] and mitochondrial genes [78]. 
Activation of these pathways also alters host metabolism and is influenced by host metabolic 
status [13]. Constitutive activation of the IMD [27] and Toll [24,28,79] pathways in the fat 
body reduces insulin signaling activity, and proposed models [12,80,81] have speculated a neg-
ative feedback loop where innate immune activity and insulin/TOR signaling inhibit each 
other to maintain metabolic homeostasis. Excess consumption of dietary sugar may hinder the 
host’s ability to reduce insulin activity, which could in turn impact immunological perfor-
mance, including translation of AMPs. Finally, Toll-mediated suppression of insulin signaling 
has been demonstrated to reallocate triglyceride stores in the fat body to phospholipid synthe-
sis in support of AMP secretion [24]. Our observed reduction in AMP peptides may be a con-
sequence of impaired secretion in addition to reduced synthesis. Future studies should seek to 
establish the underlying mechanisms by which high-sugar diets can impair AMP production 
and resulting immune competence in the context of this complicated feedback between 
immune signaling pathways, metabolic control pathway, and translational regulation.

Our present work establishes that adverse effects of high-sugar diets on infection outcome 
are due to the combination of impaired host immune function as well as, in some cases, faster 
proliferation of the infecting pathogen in a hyperglycemic environment. Notably, the immune 
impairment is not necessarily at the level of transcriptional activation of the immune response 
but appears to be due to reduced translation of antimicrobial peptides. It is also notable that 
dietary effects on immune defense are pathogen-specific, and there is not one universal immu-
nological consequence of high-sugar diets. Our findings illustrate the importance of under-
standing the nutritional requirements of the infecting pathogens as well as the physiologi-
cal intertwining of host metabolism and immune responses when interpreting effects of diet on 
infection outcome. We expect this principle to be general across host-pathogen infection 
systems.

Methods
Fly stocks and husbandry
All flies were reared and maintained at 25°C on a 12-hour light/dark cycle. The wild-type 
strain Canton S (CS) were used for all experiments unless otherwise indicated. Mated females 
were used in all assays because this is the physiologically more common condition as 
Drosoph-
ila can rapidly mate post-eclosion [82], and mating occurs naturally in our experimental setup 
where males and females are cohoused after eclosion on the experimental diets (see Experi-
mental Diet section). Additionally, mated females are anticipated to be more sensitive to 
immunological effects of dietary nutrition due to the energetic demands of reproduction [83]. 
Flies were aged to 3–5 days post-eclosion prior to infection for all experiments. Flies were anes-
thetized on CO₂ pads for collection and during infection.

Generation of Tagged AMP Flies
Flies carrying tagged Cecropin A1 or Drosocin were generated using CRISPR-facilitated 
homology-directed repair. In brief, guide sequences for CecA1 or Dro were cloned into pCFD5 
(CecA1 guides: guide 1: CCATTGGACAATCGGAAGCT; guide 2: ATAATTATAAATAATTCG; Dro 
guides: guide 1: CAAAAACGCAAGCAAGCAGC; guide 2: CAATCAATTGTGACACAATG) [84]. Homology-directed repair cassettes were cloned into pHD-Scarless- 
DsRed, encoding the replacement of endogenous CecA1 and Dro with peptides that included 
FLAG and HA tags (predicted sequences of mature peptides: CECA1 HF, GWLDYKDDD DK 
KIGKKIERYGVQHTRDATIOGLGIAQQAAIVATYPYDVPDYAR; DRO HF, GDYKDDD D
These two plasmids were then injected together into embryos of the genotype $y^{1} P(\text{nos-cas9}, w-) M(\text{RFP-attP})ZH-2A w^{*}$. Male flies emerging from this injection were crossed with females of the genotype $w^{118}$; If / SM6a or $w^{118}$; TM2 / TM6c, $Sb^{1}$, as appropriate. Males expressing dsRed in the eye were then selected and crossed to flies carrying CyO, Tub-PBac to enable excision of the 3xP3-dsRed cassette. The final structure of each locus was verified by PCR.

**Experimental diets**

All flies were reared from egg to pupation in rearing chambers with interchangeable food plates on a standard base sucrose-yeast-cornmeal diet (per liter: 60 g yeast, 60 g cornmeal, 40 g sucrose, 7 g *Drosophila* Agar, 0.5 mL phosphoric acid, 5 mL propionic acid, and 6 g methylparaben dissolved in 26.5 mL 100% ethanol). *D. melanogaster* pupated on the chamber walls and, prior to eclosion, the food plates containing the standard rearing media were swapped with one of the experimental diets. Emerging adult flies were aged on the experimental media for 3–5 days post-eclosion prior to infection for all experiments. Experimental diets were identical to the rearing diet aside from the concentration of sucrose. Experimental diets contained either 0%, 2%, 4%, 8%, 16%, and 24% (w/v) of sucrose. Our method of transferring adult flies to the variable-sugar diets at eclosion was chosen for experimental convenience. However, there is carryover of larval fat body cells for up to two days into a newly eclosed adult [86]. In principle, this could affect our experiments because the fat body is metabolically responsive to dietary nutrition and is the primary immune organ. To test whether this methodology has any consequences for our main results, we performed an additional infection survival experiment with adult flies that eclosed on standard rearing diet and were aged for 3 days before being transferred to experimental 2% or 16% sucrose diets, where they remained for an additional 3 days before infection. Flies fed 16% sugar still showed significantly higher mortality after infection with both *S. marcescens* and *P. rettgeri* than flies fed low sugar (S8A and S8B Fig).

**Infection survival assays**

Flies were anesthetized on CO$_2$ and injected in the thorax with either a bacterial suspension or sterile PBS (as injury control) using a pulled glass capillary needle and nano-injector [87]. The following bacteria were injected in at least four experimental blocks: *Providencia rettgeri* strain Dmel, *Serratia marcescens* strain 2698B, *Enterococcus faecalis* strain Dmel, *Lactococcus lactis* strain Dmel. All bacteria were originally isolated as natural infections of *D. melanogaster* [36,88] except *S. marcescens* 2698B, which is a clinical isolate [89]. Cultures for *P. rettgeri* and *S. marcescens* were started from a frozen glycerol stock then cultured overnight in liquid lysogenic broth (LB) at 37°C on a shaker, then subcultured the next morning in fresh LB to achieve growth phase. *L. lactis* was cultured overnight from a frozen glycerol stock in liquid Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) at 37°C with shaking, then subcultured the next morning in fresh BHI to achieve log-phase. *E. faecalis* was cultured from a frozen glycerol stock at room temperature (~24°C) in BHI. All bacteria were resuspended in 1x phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), diluted to $A_{600} = 0.1$, and injected at a volume of 23 nL per fly. There were ~4000 *P. rettgeri* cells, ~3000 *S. marcescens* cells, ~1000 cells *E. faecalis* cells injected, or ~1000 *L. lactis* cells injected per fly in the primary experiment. An additional experiment was performed with injections of ~3000 *E. faecalis* and *L. lactis* cells. Flies were housed in groups of ten and each experimental block contained 3–4 vials of flies per experimental diet. Survival curves were assessed using a Cox proportional hazards mixed effect model (coxme) with the “CoxMe” package in R.

Model A: coxme(Surv(status, time) ~ diet + (1|block)
Diet was treated as a main effect and experimental block was treated as a random factor in our model. This model was used to perform all pairwise comparisons using the “emmeans” package in R with a Tukey p-value correction (p<0.05).

**Bacterial load trajectory assay**

To assay bacterial proliferation during *in vivo* infection, flies were infected with *P. rettgeri* or *S. marcescens* as described above, then collected for measurement of bacterial load at 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 24, 36, and 48 hours post-infection. Single, live flies were homogenized in 500 μL sterile 1x-PBS with a metal bead and 50 μL of the homogenate was plated onto LB agar using a spiral plater (Whitley Automated Spiral Plater-2). To ensure there was no overgrowth of bacteria on the plates, fly homogenates were diluted with PBS at time points where we anticipated higher bacterial growth. For wild-type CS flies, fly homogenates from timepoints 0, 2, and 4 hours were directly plated, homogenates from timepoints 6–12 were diluted 1:10, and homogenates from timepoints 14–48 were diluted 1:100. For ΔAMP10 mutants, fly homogenates were directly plated for time points 0, 2, and 4, diluted 1:10 at hour 6, and 1:100 at time points 8, 10 and 12. Agar plates were incubated at 37°C overnight. The resulting colonies were counted using PROTOCOL3 software to estimate the number of colony forming units (CFU) per mL of fly homogenate. We then used the CFU/mL value to approximate the number of bacteria in the individual fly at the time of sampling by using the following formula:

\[ \text{CFU/fly} = \text{CFU/mL} \times (\text{dilution factor}) \times (0.5 \text{mL/fly of original homogenate}) \]

Two experimental blocks were completed for *P. rettgeri* and *S. marcescens* in CS flies, and three experimental blocks were completed for ΔAMP10 mutants with each pathogen. A generalized least squares (GLS) model from the “nlme” package in R was used to determine the effect of diet on pathogen loads over time. Fixed effects in the model include time point sampled (T) and diet (D). The function weights = varIdent(form = ~1|time point) from the “nlme” package was incorporated into the model to account for unequal variances across time points, which arises as the pathogen grows from the initial injection time point. The emmeans function was used for pairwise comparisons between the experimental diets within each time point using the Tukey method to determine p-values (p<0.05)

**Model B:** \( \text{gls(log2(pathogen load) ~ T * D, weights = varIdent(form = ~ 1|T))} \)

**Excreta Quantification (ExQ) to measure feeding**

Methods for the ExQ assay are further detailed in Wu (2020) [50]. Briefly, food was prepared by melting down experimental diets and adding 1% (w/v) of dry erioglaucine powder (Sigma # 861146). The dyed food mixture was then dispensed into microcentrifuge tubes caps filled up to 50 μL volume. ExQ chambers were prepared from 50 mL conical vials (Cell Treat # 229421). Air holes were poked along the sides of tubes and the lid using a pushpin (~0.5 mm diameter). A precision knife was used to cut a hole in the lid of the conical vial to fit the diameter of the food caps. Naïve flies were anesthetized with CO₂ and sorted into ExQ chambers in groups of 8–10. Every 24 hours, the flies were transferred to new chambers with fresh food. After 48 hours, flies were emptied from chambers and 3 mL of 1x-PBS was used to collect the excreta. Total dye concentration was determined by using a spectrophotometer to measure absorbance of the dye excreted from flies. A standard curve was prepared from a stock solution of erioglaucine by dissolving 10 mg of powder dye in 10 mL of PBS. A serial 2-fold dilution was then created from this initial stock, and the final range of standards used to create the standard curve was 312.5 μg/mL to 5 μg/mL. Absorbance was measured at 630 nm in a 96-well plate on a spectrophotometer (Molecular Biosciences Spectra Max Series Plus 384). Standards and samples were
run in duplicates with 100 μL per well and then averaged for data analysis. Dye consumed per fly within a single ExQ chamber was determined using the following equation:

Dye (μg) per fly = \[(\text{Absorbance}–\text{Slope})\)/(\text{Intercept} \times \# \text{flies})

A two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) in R was used to test for differences in dye consumed by flies on each diet on Day 1 and Day 2 of feeding. Then the emmeans function in R was used for pairwise comparisons between diets within each day using the Tukey test to determine p-values (p<0.05).

Model C: `aov(dye ~ diet + day)`

Quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) for Antimicrobial Peptide Gene Expression

Female flies provided with 2% and 16% sucrose diets were injected with ~3000 CFU of log-growth S. marcescens or P. rettgeri then sampled at 8 hours after injection for quantification of gene expression. Flies were pooled in groups of 5 and frozen at -80˚C for subsequent RNA extraction. In 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes, RNA was isolated and purified using a TRIzol extraction procedure according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Zymo Kit direct-Zol RNA kit #R2050). Briefly, flies were homogenized in TRIzol (Invitrogen #15596018) using a motorized pestle in a microcentrifuge tube and RNA was then extracted using the Zymo Spin Column (Zymo Research #C1078). Samples were then treated with the kit’s DNase and RNA was dissolved 50 μL of molecular grade water. RNA concentration was determined using a Qubit4 Broad Sense RNA kit (Thermo #Q10210). cDNA was generated using 500 ng of total RNA with the iSynthesis cDNA kit (Bio-Rad #101414–270). Expression of the AMPs Drosocin, Defensin, CecropinA, Dipterin, Attacin A, Drosomycin was normalized to the expression of the housekeeping gene Actin 5C. Uninfected controls were not subject to injury. Primer sequences used are listed in S1 Table. A linear model was used to test the contributions of diet and infection status to expression of each AMP gene, standardized to the housekeeping gene [90].

Model D: `lm(AMP_CT ~ Actin5C_CT + Diet + Infection Status * Diet)`

Diet and Infection Status were fixed effects in the model. Estimated marginal mean CT values for the Diet x Infection interaction terms were extracted using the emmeans package in R. Then we subtracted the estimated marginal mean CT value for uninfected flies from the estimated marginal means from the infected flies for each diet. This difference in estimated marginal mean CT between uninfected and infected values indicates infection-induced change in AMP gene expression on a log2 scale. Using the emmeans function, we compared infection-induced expression values between experimental diets with Tukey’s corrections test for p-values (p<0.05).

Sandwich ELISA to quantify tagged AMPs

HA/FLAG tagged AMPs were quantified 6 hr post-infection. The fly line w^{1118}; Dro^{HF}/Dro^{HF} was used to quantify tagged Drosocin and w^{1118}; Cec^{HF}/+ was used to quantify tagged Cecropin. Maxisorp Nunc 96-well ELISA plates (Thermo Scientific 232698) were coated with 2.5 μg/mL of anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma-Aldrich F1804) in coating buffer (0.2 M sodium carbonate/bicarbonate buffer) overnight at 4˚C, then the plates were blocked for 2 hours at room temperature with 2% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich A2153) prior to use. At the time of sampling, a single fly was homogenized in 200 μL of 0.2% Triton-X buffer and centrifuged at 10,000 RPM for 5 mins to pellet fly debris. Drosocin samples were diluted 1:20 and Cecropin samples were diluted 1:10 so that they fell within linear range of a HA/FLAG peptide standard.
curve (sequence NH2-DYKDD DDKGG GGGSY PYDVP DYA-NH2 made from AAPTec). The standard curve was prepared by performing a 2-fold serial dilution starting from a stock solution of 2 ng/mL of HA/FLAG peptide to yield 14 standard concentrations. For sample incubation, 50 μL of each standard and sample were added to the ELISA plate and then incubated overnight. Any unused wells were filled with 50 μL of 0.2% Triton-X buffer to serve as blanks. The next day, anti-HA diluted 1:5000 in 0.2% Triton-X was added to each well for another overnight incubation at 4˚C. The following morning, 100 μL of room temperature 1-Step Ultra TMB-ELISA Substrate Solution (ThermoFisher #34028) was added to each well and the plate was incubated at 25°C for 30 min. After incubation, 100 μL of 2M sulfuric acid was added to each well to stop the reaction. Plates were then read on a spectrometer at 450 nm to measure absorbance. To determine the amount of peptide per fly, the detected mass of the HA/FLAG peptide was determined from the HA/FLAG standard curve with the following equation:

Detected mass of HA/FLAG (pg) = [(Absorbance-Slope) * Dilution Factor]/ (Intercept * Fly Homogenate)

The synthetic HA-FLAG peptide used to make the standard curve has a different relative molecular mass (Mr) than the Drosocin-tagged and CecropinA1-tagged AMPs produced in the fly (see S2 Table). The detected mass of the HA/FLAG peptide per fly was converted to the mass of the tagged-AMP using the following equation:

Mass of tagged-AMP = (Detected mass of HA/FLAG/ Mr of standard peptide) * Mr of tagged-AMP

To determine whether diet affects Drosocin peptide levels, a linear mixed effects model was applied, with diet and infection status as main factors and experimental block as a random factor. The emmeans function in R was used to compare peptide yield from flies on each diet within infection status.

Model E: lmer(Dro_levels ~ diet * infection + diet + (1|block))

To determine effects of diet on Cecropin peptide levels, a hurdle model was applied. In the first step, a binomial generalized linear model (GLM) was used to test whether diet and infection status (Serratia or Providencia infection versus uninjured) affected the probability of detecting Cecropin peptide in the samples.

Model F: glm(Cec_present ~ diet * infection + diet, family = binomial)

In the second step, a GLM was performed on samples with detectable peptide to test whether diet and infection resulted in quantitative differences in peptide abundance.

Model G: glm(Cec_level ~ diet * infection + diet)

The significance of the contrast between diets within each infection was determined with a post-hoc Tukey test (p<0.05) using the emmeans package in R.

Measurement of nutritional indices

Nutritional indices were measured in naïve flies and in flies 24 hours post-infection with either P. rettgeri or S. marcescens. Flies were homogenized in pools of three in 150 μL of cold lysis buffer (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0 with 0.1% (v/v) Triton-X-100) with a motorized pestle in 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes. Homogenates were centrifuged for 1 minute at 13,000 rpm at 4°C to pellet fly debris. The supernatants were transferred to fresh 1.5ml microfuge tubes, and 10 μL of homogenized sample was removed for protein quantification. The remaining samples were placed in a 72°C water bath for 20 minutes to denature endogenous enzymes. The tubes were stored at -80˚C for later use.

Protein measurements. Protein was measured to normalize all carbohydrate measures. Non-heated fly homogenates were diluted to 1:8 in lysis buffer. Protein was quantified...
according to Bio-Rad Assay DC Protein Assay Kit instructions. Briefly, 5 μL of standard and sample were incubated with 25 μL reagent A and 200 μL of reagent B. Bovine serum albumin was serially diluted to generate a standard curve that was then used to determine the quantity of protein in each sample. Samples and standards were all run in duplicates. Samples were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes and then read on a spectrometer plate reader at 750 nm.

**Glucose, trehalose, and glycogen level measurements.** Glucose, trehalose, and glycogen levels were measured using reagents from the Sigma Aldrich Glucose (GO) Assay Kit (GAGO20-1KT) and processed according to manufacturer’s instructions. The same fly homogenates were used across all nutrients assayed. Glucose was measured by mixing 5 μL of standard or 5 μL of sample with 150 μL of glucose assay reagent. A glucose standard curve was generated from 2-fold serial dilution from a 1 mg/mL glucose stock concentration. Each sample and standard were run in duplicate. The plate was incubated for 30 minutes at 37˚C, then 150 μL of 12M sulfuric acid was added to each well to stop the reaction. Plates were then measured for absorbance at 544 nm on a spectrometer. For trehalose measurements, 5 μL of sample and standards were incubated with 2.5 μL of trehalase overnight at 37˚C. For each sample, two replicates were treated with trehalase enzyme and two were not. Trehalose standard curves were generated from a glucose standard curve, trehalose standards treated with trehalase, and trehalose standards without enzyme. Glucose liberated from trehalose digestion was quantified the next day using the procedure described above, and the abundance of trehalose in the standard was inferred from the difference between the samples that were treated with trehalase and those that were not. Glycogen measurements were conducted similarly, except 5 μL of standard and 5 μL of sample were incubated overnight with amyllogucosidase instead of trehalase. The glycogen standard curve was prepared from a glycogen stock solution over the range 1 mg/mL to 0.1 mg/mL. All estimates of carbohydrate levels were normalized to measured protein level for each sample to correct for potential differences in the size of the fly. To calculate change in metabolite level after infection, we subtracted the average post-infection value of the metabolite level in uninfected samples within an experimental block. A linear mixed-effects model was performed to test for the main effects of diet and infection on carbohydrate/protein ratios with experimental block as a random factor. The emmeans package was used to perform a post-hoc Tukey’s test to determine the significance of pairwise comparisons between diet and infection (p<0.05).

**Bacteria growth curves in vitro**

*Serratia marcescens* and *Providencia rettgeri* were measured for in vitro growth using the kinetic cycle in a spectrometer (Molecular Biosciences Spectra Max Series Plus 384) at room temperature. Bacteria were first cultured overnight with shaking in liquid LB at 37˚C. The next morning, bacterial cells were resuspended in 1X M9 minimal media (M9) to achieve A₆₀₀ = 1.0, then further diluted to A₆₀₀ = 0.20 in one of six different experimental M9 media conditions. The combinations of M9 media used were 1% glucose, 1% sucrose, 1% casamino acids (CAS), 1% casamino acids and 1% glucose, 1% casamino acids and 1% sucrose, and a M9 blank. CAS was added to the media to provide a nitrogen source while glucose and sucrose provide a carbon source. In a sterile 96-well plate with a clear flat bottom, 100 μL of bacteria resuspended in the experimental media were pipetted into individual wells in 3-fold replicate. Two wells of uninoculated experimental media were included to monitor for contamination. The plate was covered with a lid and inserted into the spectrometer to measure A₆₀₀ at 15-minute intervals over 18 hours at 37˚C. We used a linear mixed effect model to test for the main effects of CAS and sugar with block as a random factor to test whether the addition of CAS
and/or sugar led to increased bacterial growth, measured as a higher final A600. A post-hoc Tukey HSD was performed using the emmeans package in R to test pairwise comparisons (p<0.05) between media containing sugars and CAS.

Model H: lmer(OD600 at 18 hours ~ sugar*CAS + sugar + (1|block))

Preparation of axenic flies
Axenic flies were prepared by embryo bleaching. Conventionally reared (microorganism associated) flies were fed on lab standard yeast cornmeal diet (4% sucrose w/v), and approximately 100–150 flies were placed into an egg laying chamber and allowed to lay eggs on grape juice agar plates. Embryos were collected after 20 hours and removed from agar plates with a clean paint brush into a cell strainer. In a six-well tissue culture plate, embryos were washed within the cell strainer first in 10% bleach twice for 1.5 minutes each, followed by two 30-second washes in 70% ethanol. Lastly, embryos were washed twice for 10 seconds with autoclaved 1X PBS. Embryos were continuously mixed throughout the process to prevent them from sticking to each other or the cell strainer. Once sterilized, embryos were transferred with a sterile brush onto autoclaved yeast-cornmeal rearing media in groups of 50. During pupation, the rearing media was replaced with autoclaved 2% and 16% sucrose diets for adult flies to eclose onto.

Supporting information
S1 Fig. Impact of high-sugar diets on survival of infection with Gram-positive bacteria. (A) There was no effect of diet on survivorship after infection with Enterococcus faecalis at an inoculation dose of ~1000 colony forming units (CFU) per host. (B) Flies fed the 16% sucrose diet have significantly higher mortality than flies fed 2% sucrose with a higher infection dose (~3000 CFU/fly) of E. faecalis (p = 0.01; Cox Mixed Effects Model), while there was still no effect of diet on survivorship with E. faecalis in a replicate experiment at an inoculum of ~1000 CFUs (p = 0.14). Dashed lines indicate a lower infection dose (~1000 CFU/fly) and solid lines indicate a higher inoculation dose (~3000 CFU/fly). (C) Flies fed the 24% sucrose diet have the highest mortality after Lactococcus lactis infection compared to all other diets at an inoculation dose of ~1000 CFU/fly (p<0.001, Cox Mixed Effects Model). Flies fed 16% sucrose died significantly faster than flies fed 0% sucrose diets (p = 0.047; Cox Mixed Effects Model), but there were no other significant pairwise differences in survivorship among flies provided the 16% sucrose diet or lower. Letters denote significant pairwise differences between diets (p<0.05). (D) Flies fed the 16% sucrose diet have significantly higher mortality than flies fed 2% sucrose after L. lactis infection with ~1000 CFU/fly (p<0.001; Cox Mixed Effects Model) or ~3000 CFU/fly infection doses (p < 0.001; Cox Mixed Effects Model). Dashed lines indicate a lower infection dose (~1000 CFU/fly) and solid lines indicate a higher inoculation dose (~3000 CFU/fly).

S2 Fig. A) Survivorship of PBS injury controls across all infection experiments pooled together. Flies fed 24% (w/v) sucrose diet have higher proportion of death after sham-infection with sterile PBS compared to all other diets (p<0.05; Cox Proportional Hazards Mixed effects model).

S3 Fig. A) To measure the abundance of microbiota found in uninfected flies fed on the 2% (w/v) sucrose or 16% sucrose diets, 10 whole flies were pooled and homogenized in 250 μl of PBS, and 50 μl of the homogenate was plated on lysogeny broth (LB) or brain heart infusion (BHI) agar. Flies fed on the 2% diets exhibit higher microbiota loads on LB (p<0.001, n = 15
pools of 10 flies per diet) and BHI (p<0.001, n = 15 pools of 10 flies per diet) plates than flies fed 16% sucrose. B) Axenic flies were infected with P. rettgeri, and flies fed 16% sucrose (n = 78) diets exhibited higher mortality than flies given 2% sucrose (n = 76; p<0.001; Cox Proportional Hazards model).

**S4 Fig. Soluble pProtein levels across diet and infection conditions used to normalize carbohydrate levels.** Flies were pooled in batches of 3 for each biological replicate. Protein levels were ~10% lower in flies fed 16% sucrose compared to flies fed 2% sucrose across all infection treatments (uninfected, p = 0.013, n = 30; Providencia rettgeri, p = 0.013, n = 25, Serratia marcescens, p = 0.014, n = 30; post-hoc Tukey test p<0.05). Legend for panel figure: * = p<0.05

**S5 Fig.** We compared pathogen growth within wildtype Canton S (CS) and ΔAMP10 mutant flies using data from Figs 3A, 3B, 4A and 4B to visualize how pathogen growth differs between the genotypes on the 2% (A, B) and 16% (C, D) diets. Pathogen loads from CS flies were plotted until the last available time point of live ΔAMP10 mutants, which was 8-hours for S. marcescens infection (A,C) and 12-hours for P. rettgeri infection (B,D). (A-D) Across all diet and infection contrasts, ΔAMP10 mutants exhibit significantly higher bacterial loads compared to wildtype CS in the last three hours of the time course (p<0.05; GLS model). Each time point contains sample sizes ranging from 8–28 individual flies. Legend for panel figure: * = p<0.05, ** = p<0.01, *** = p<0.001.

**S6 Fig.** Demonstration that HA- and FLAG-tagged Drosocin and Cecropin A1 can be detected by sandwich ELISA following bacterial infection. (A) Tagged Drosocin levels are significantly higher 3 hours after injection with PBS (n = 8; p = 0.0455) or ~6.0x10⁴ cells of the Gram-negative bacterium Enterobacter cloacae (n = 8; p<0.001) than they are in uninjured flies (n = 8). E. cloacae infection results in significantly higher Drosocin levels than PBS injection (n = 11; p<0.001; linear model with Tukey post-hoc). (B) Tagged Cecropin levels are significantly higher 3-hours after infection with E. cloacae (n = 13) than after PBS injection (n = 11; p<0.001) or in uninjured flies (n = 8; p<0.001). There was no detectable difference in Cecropin levels between uninjured and PBS-injected flies (p = 0.32). Letters denote pairwise difference p<0.05.

**S7 Fig.** (A) The number of flies fed the 2% and 16% diets that have, or do not have, detectable tagged Cecropin A1 six hours after P. rettgeri infection. (B) The number of flies fed the 2% and 16% sucrose diets that have, or do not have, detectable tagged Cecropin six hours after S. marcescens infection.

**S8 Fig.** Newly eclosed adults were fed on the standard rearing diet for 3-days prior to transferring to the experimental diets. After 3 days of feeding on experimental diets, flies were systemically infected with (A) S. marcescens and (B) P. rettgeri. Flies fed the 16% sucrose diet exhibited significantly higher mortality after infection than flies fed the 2% sucrose diet (p<0.001; Cox mixed effects model).

**S1 Table.** Primer sequences for AMP and housekeeping genes (‘5–3’).

(ORIGINAL DOCUMENT)
S2 Table. Peptide sequences, modifications, and masses of the HA-FLAG-tagged peptides and a standard used for quantification.
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