APPENDIX S1: GIBBS SAMPLER

To sample from the posterior distribution of the cluster labels Z, the allelic frequencies P and the regression coefficients β , we implemented a Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithm with Gibbs sampling steps.

UPDATING P. This step is the same as in the software structure. It is performed by simulating the set of frequencies as

$$p_{kl}|X,Z \sim \mathcal{D}(\lambda + n_{kl1},\dots,\lambda + n_{klJ_l}),$$
 (1)

where p_{kl} denotes the vector of allele frequencies in the cluster k at the locus l, and n_{klj} denotes the number of copies of the allele j in population k at the locus l, k = 1, ..., K, l = 1, ..., L, $j = 1, ..., J_l$. For our analysis, we considered $\lambda = 1$.

UPDATING (W, Z). Since Z can be obtained from W in a deterministic fashion, Z and W are updated simultaneously. Using the Bayes formula, the joint conditional distribution of (W, Z) can be written as

$$\Pr(W, Z|\beta, P, X) \propto \Pr(X|\beta, P, Z)\Pr(W|\beta)\Pr(Z|W)$$

To simulate the couples (W, Z), we use the following rejection algorithm.

- Step 1. For i = 1, ..., n, simulate the couple (W_i, Z_i) from the multinomial probit model by generating W_i from regression equation and determine $Z_i = k$ with its max-rule (see Methods, equations (1) and (2)).
- Step 2. Accept the couple (W_i, Z_i) with probability

$$\frac{\Pr(X_i = x_i | P, Z_i = k)}{\max_k \Pr(X_i = x_i | P, Z_i = k)},$$

and return to step 1. The likelihood function $Pr(X_i = x_i | P, Z_i = k)$ is given by equation (2) in [1].

UPDATING BETA We choose a noninformative prior distribution for β , $\beta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, A^{-1})$, with A = 0. The Gibbs

sampler proceeds by updating values of β using its conditional distribution [2]

$$\beta | W \sim \mathcal{N}(V \tilde{X}^T W, V), \text{ where } V = (\tilde{X}^T \tilde{X})^{-1}.$$
 (2)

REFERENCES

- 1. Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155: 945-959.
- 2. Albert JH, Chib S (1993) Bayesian analysis of binary and polychotomous response data. J Am Stat Assoc 88: 669–679.