**Table S1. Results of statistical analysis comparing motility across species.** *P* values were determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey-Kramer post-test. \*\*\*, *P*<0.001; \*\*, *P*<0.01; \*, *P*<0.05; ns = not significant. Data are from Figure 2A.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Pairwise species comparisons** | ***P* value** |
| *Strongyloides stercoralis* vs. *Strongyloides ratti* | \*\*\* |
| *Strongyloides stercoralis* vs. *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* | \* |
| *Strongyloides stercoralis* vs. *Haemonchus contortus* | \*\*\* |
| *Strongyloides stercoralis* vs. *Steinernema glaseri* | \*\*\* |
| *Strongyloides stercoralis* vs. *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* | \*\*\* |
| *Strongyloides stercoralis* vs. *Steinernema carpocapsae* | \*\*\* |
| *Strongyloides ratti* vs. *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* | \*\* |
| *Strongyloides ratti* vs. *Haemonchus contortus* | \*\*\* |
| *Strongyloides ratti* vs. *Steinernema glaseri* | ns |
| *Strongyloides ratti* vs. *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* | \* |
| *Strongyloides ratti* vs. *Steinernema carpocapsae* | \*\*\* |
| *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* vs. *Haemonchus contortus* | \*\*\* |
| *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* vs. *Steinernema glaseri* | ns |
| *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* vs. *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* | \*\*\* |
| *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* vs. *Steinernema carpocapsae* | \*\*\* |
| *Haemonchus contortus* vs. *Steinernema glaseri* | \*\*\* |
| *Haemonchus contortus* vs. *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* | ns |
| *Haemonchus contortus* vs. *Steinernema carpocapsae* | ns |
| *Steinernema glaseri* vs. *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* | \*\*\* |
| *Steinernema glaseri* vs. *Steinernema carpocapsae* | \*\*\* |
| *Steinernema carpocapsae* vs. *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* | ns |