

Opinion

Novel Insights into Disseminated Candidiasis: Pathogenesis Research and Clinical Experience Converge

Brad Spellberg

Candida spp. have become leading causes of lethal bloodstream infections in countries with advanced medical technology [1]. It is generally and correctly understood that disseminated candidiasis is “an opportunistic infection” that does not occur in healthy people outside of hospitals. It is also incorrectly often said that most patients who develop disseminated candidiasis are “immunocompromised”. While neutropenia (but not lymphocyte dysfunction, including that associated with HIV infection) is indeed a well known risk factor for disseminated candidiasis, patients with neutropenia actually comprise a minority (<20%) of the population that develops disseminated candidiasis [1]. The majority (>80%) of patients who develop disseminated candidiasis are not neutropenic or immunocompromised, and instead have alterations in anatomical barrier function or commensal organism burden due to central venous catheterization, parenteral nutrition, surgical manipulation of the intestines, receipt of broad spectrum antibacterial agents, and/or overgrowth of commensal *Candida* [1]. Furthermore, even when neutropenia is present as a predisposing risk factor, it is typically present because of cancer chemotherapy, which typically also causes disruption of the gastrointestinal mucosal barrier. Hence, the precise role of specific immune dysfunction in predisposing to disseminated candidiasis has been poorly understood to date.

Enter Koh et al. [2]. These investigators have developed a novel murine model of disseminated candidiasis in which gastrointestinal colonization with *C. albicans* was induced. In contrast, in the standard murine model of disseminated candidiasis, mice are infected via tail vein injection directly into the bloodstream. The standard tail vein model is extremely useful because it accurately recapitulates infection introduced into patients directly through catheters, its clinical course is similar to untreated clinical disseminated candidiasis, and it has been predictive of efficacy of antifungal agents against systemic infection [3–7]. However, an advantage of the novel murine model presented by Koh et al. is that it recapitulates the most common route of infection, translocation of commensal *Candida* across gastrointestinal mucosal surfaces into the bloodstream.

There have been previously established murine models of candidal enteral colonization [8], including a facile model recently published by Clemons et al. [9]. In some of these models immunosuppression of the colonized animals led to dissemination, but the immunosuppression was generally with agents that simultaneously disrupted granulocyte and/or enteric mucosa integrity [8]. Thus, by far the most significant aspect of the current publication is the clever way in which

the investigators sequentially disrupted specific host defense elements to determine which protected against disseminated candidiasis from a gastrointestinal source. Consistent with clinical experience and prior murine studies [10,11], the investigators found that depletion of lymphocytes did not predispose to candidal dissemination. Furthermore, even profound depletion of granulocytes (primarily neutrophils) or tissue macrophages was insufficient to enable transmucosal dissemination to occur in most animals. These depletion experiments were performed by administering RB6-8C5 antibody (for granulocytes) or liposomal cladronate (for macrophages), and hence spared the enteric mucosa from the damage that normally occurs during myeloablation by chemotherapy. Disruption of enteric mucosal integrity with dextran sulfate was also, by itself, not sufficient to induce disseminated candidiasis. However, when an actual chemotherapy agent (cyclophosphamide) that both ablated neutrophils and also caused gut barrier disruption was administered, lethal disseminated candidiasis developed. Combinations of agents (methotrexate or dextran sulfate + RB6-8C5) that both caused enteric mucosal disruption and depleted granulocytes also led to lethal disseminated candidiasis.

It is surprising that the liver was the primary target organ of infection in the new model because clinical hepatic candidiasis is rare and is typically seen only in the most profoundly immunocompromised patients. Furthermore, in the tail vein model of murine disseminated candidiasis, the primary target organ of infection is the kidney, hepatic infection occurs at markedly lower levels, and the liver clears infection over time, even at rapidly lethal inocula [4,12]. In another gastrointestinal colonization model in which candidal dissemination was induced by administration of the chemotherapy agent 5-fluorouracil, livers were also more frequently infected than kidneys from days 5 to 15, but by day

Editor: Marianne Manchester, The Scripps Research Institute, United States of America

Citation: Spellberg B (2008) Novel insights into disseminated candidiasis: pathogenesis research and clinical experience converge. *PLoS Pathog* 4(2): e38. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0040038

Copyright: © 2008 Brad Spellberg. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Brad Spellberg is with the Division of Infectious Diseases, Harbor-University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) Medical Center, Torrance, California, United States of America, and David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California, United States of America. E-mail: bspellberg@labiomed.org

15 post-infection kidneys and livers had similar fungal burdens [9]. Whether or not other target organs would become infected at later time points, whether the liver would eventually clear the infection over time, and infection of which organ best correlates with host outcome are questions that merit additional study in this novel model.

Hence, important aspects of clinical disseminated candidiasis have been recapitulated in the novel murine model presented by Koh et al. Furthermore, the model builds upon prior pathogenesis studies [8] and demonstrates that the primary host defense mechanism by which mammals defend ourselves against disseminated candidiasis is intact anatomical surfaces (i.e., gut mucosal barrier and skin). Phagocytes serve as a critical second line of defense against

disseminated candidiasis, coming in to play when organisms are able to translocate across damaged anatomical barriers. So, when we say that *Candida* is an opportunistic pathogen, we can now state with confidence that the “opportunity” for the fungus to infect is primarily created by disruption of anatomical barriers and secondarily by abrogation of phagocytic numbers or function. ■

Acknowledgments

Author contributions. BS wrote the paper.

Funding. The author received no specific funding for this article.

Competing interests. The author has declared that no competing interests exist.

References

1. Perleth J, Choi B, Spellberg B (2007) Nosocomial fungal infections: epidemiology, diagnosis, and treatment. *Med Mycol* 45: 321–346.
2. Koh AY, Kohler JR, Coggsall KT, Van Rooijen N, Pier GB (2008) Mucosal damage and neutropenia are required for *Candida albicans* dissemination. *PLoS Pathog* 4: e35. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0040035
3. Clemons KV, Espiritu M, Parmar R, Stevens DA (2006) Assessment of the paradoxical effect of caspofungin in therapy of candidiasis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 50: 1293–1297.
4. MacCallum DM, Odds FC (2005) Temporal events in the intravenous challenge model for experimental *Candida albicans* infections in female mice. *Mycoses* 48: 151–161.
5. Spellberg B, Ibrahim AS, Edwards JE Jr, Filler SG (2005) Mice with disseminated candidiasis die of progressive sepsis. *J Infect Dis* 192: 336–343.
6. Leunk RD, Moon RJ (1979) Physiological and metabolic alterations accompanying systemic candidiasis in mice. *Infect Immun* 26: 1035–1041.
7. Papadimitriou JM, Ashman RB (1986) The pathogenesis of acute systemic candidiasis in a susceptible inbred mouse strain. *J Pathol* 150: 257–265.
8. Cole GT, Halawa AA, Anaissie EJ (1996) The role of the gastrointestinal tract in hematogenous candidiasis: from the laboratory to the bedside. *Clin Infect Dis* 22 Suppl 2: S73–S88.
9. Clemons KV, Gonzalez GM, Singh G, Imai J, Espiritu M, et al. (2006) Development of an orogastrointestinal mucosal model of candidiasis with dissemination to visceral organs. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 50: 2650–2657.
10. Ashman RB, Papadimitriou JM, Fulurija A, Drysdale KE, Farah CS, et al. (2003) Role of complement C5 and T lymphocytes in pathogenesis of disseminated and mucosal candidiasis in susceptible DBA/2 mice. *Microb Pathog* 34: 103–113.
11. Jensen J, Warner T, Balish E (1993) Resistance of SCID mice to *Candida albicans* administered intravenously or colonizing the gut: role of polymorphonuclear leukocytes and macrophages. *J Infect Dis* 167: 912–919.
12. Spellberg BJ, Johnston D, Phan QT, Edwards JE Jr, French SW, et al. (2003) Parenchymal organ, and not splenic, immunity correlates with host survival during disseminated candidiasis. *Infect Immun* 71: 5756–5764.