

# GOPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Pierre CN, Adams LE, Higgins JS, Anasti K, Goodman D, Mielke D, et al. (2024) Nonneutralizing SARS-CoV-2 N-terminal domain antibodies protect mice against severe disease using Fc-mediated effector functions. PLoS Pathog 20(6): e1011569. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. ppat.1011569

Editor: Jacob S. Yount, The Ohio State University, UNITED STATES

Received: July 24, 2023

Accepted: April 26, 2024

Published: June 20, 2024

**Copyright:** © 2024 Pierre et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All data related to the results of this study are available in the figures and supplementary information. Structural data are deposited in the Electron Microscopy Databank and EM Data Resource under accession number EMD-44644.

**Funding:** The work was supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious diseases (P01AI158571) awarded to B.F.H. The funders had no role in study RESEARCH ARTICLE

Non-neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 N-terminal domain antibodies protect mice against severe disease using Fc-mediated effector functions

Camille N. Pierre<sup>1,2®</sup>, Lily E. Adams<sup>3®</sup>, Jaclyn S. Higgins<sup>3</sup>, Kara Anasti<sup>1,4</sup>, Derrick Goodman<sup>1,5</sup>, Dieter Mielke<sup>5</sup>, Sherry Stanfield-Oakley<sup>5</sup>, John M. Powers<sup>3,6</sup>, Dapeng Li<sup>1,4</sup>, Wes Rountree<sup>1,4</sup>, Yunfei Wang<sup>1,4</sup>, Robert J. Edwards<sup>1,4</sup>, S. Munir Alam<sup>1,4</sup>, Guido Ferrari<sup>1,5,7</sup>, Georgia D. Tomaras<sup>1,5,7,8</sup>, Barton F. Haynes<sup>1,4,8</sup>, Ralph S. Baric<sup>6</sup>\*, Kevin O. Saunders<sup>6,1,5,7,8</sup>\*

Duke Human Vaccine Institute, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America,
Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America,
Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States of America,
Department of States of America,
Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States of America,
Department of Surgery, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America,
Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States of America,
Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States of America,
Department of Immunology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America,
Department of Immunology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America,

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

\* rbaric@email.unc.edu (RSB); kevin.saunders@duke.edu (KOS)

# Abstract

Antibodies perform both neutralizing and non-neutralizing effector functions that protect against certain pathogen-induced diseases. A human antibody directed at the SARS-CoV-2 Spike N-terminal domain (NTD), DH1052, was recently shown to be non-neutralizing, yet it protected mice and cynomolgus macaques from severe disease. The mechanisms of NTD non-neutralizing antibody-mediated protection are unknown. Here we show that Fc effector functions mediate NTD non-neutralizing antibody (non-nAb) protection against SARS-CoV-2 MA10 viral challenge in mice. Though non-nAb prophylactic infusion did not suppress infectious viral titers in the lung as potently as neutralizing antibody (nAb) infusion, disease markers including gross lung discoloration were similar in nAb and non-nAb groups. Fc functional knockout substitutions abolished non-nAb protection and increased viral titers in the nAb group. Fc enhancement increased non-nAb protection relative to WT, supporting a positive association between Fc functionality and degree of protection from SARS-CoV-2 infection. For therapeutic administration of antibodies, non-nAb effector functions contributed to virus suppression and lessening of lung discoloration, but the presence of neutralization was required for optimal protection from disease. This study demonstrates that non-nAbs can utilize Fc-mediated mechanisms to lower viral load and prevent lung damage due to coronavirus infection.

design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** KOS, DL, and BFH have patents related to the antibodies described in this manuscript.

### Author summary

COVID-19 has claimed over 6.8 million lives worldwide and caused economic and social disruption globally. Preventing more deaths from COVID-19 is a principal goal of antibody biologic and vaccine developers. To guide design of such countermeasures, an understanding of how the immune system prevents severe COVID-19 disease is needed. We demonstrate here that antibody functions other than neutralization can contribute to protection from severe disease. Specifically, the functions of antibodies that rely on their Fc portion were shown to confer antibody-mediated protection of mice challenged with a mouse adapted version of SARS-CoV-2. Mice given an antibody that could not neutralize SARS-CoV-2 still showed a decrease in the amount of infectious virus in the lungs and less lung damage than mice given an irrelevant antibody. The decrease in infectious virus in the lungs was even larger when the non-neutralizing antibody was engineered to more potently mediate non-neutralizing effector functions such as antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity. Thus, in the absence of neutralization activity, non-neutralizing binding antibodies can contribute to the overall defense against SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 disease progression.

# Introduction

COVID-19 has claimed over 6.8 million lives worldwide since it emerged in 2019 [1]. In 2021 in the United States, COVID-19 became the third leading cause of death in adults [2] and the eighth leading cause of death in children and adolescents [3]. The virus that causes COVID-19 disease, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), mutates during its replication cycle, producing variants that escape immunodominant nAb responses elicited by vaccination or previous infection [4,5]. Thus, identifying other protective antibody functions to supplement the effects of neutralization is of particular importance in combating COVID-19 disease and future pandemics.

Non-nAb-mediated functions include antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC), antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP), and complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC), which are mediated by the crystallizable fragment (Fc region) of an antibody [6]. Antibody Fc-mediated effector functions are elicited in humans with COVID-19 [7,8] and several correlative studies support that this immune response positively affects health outcomes. First, COVID-19 patients who recovered exhibited higher Spike-reactive antibody FcyR binding and antibody-dependent complement deposition than individuals who succumbed to disease [9]. Second, correlative studies of human infection have suggested that individuals with more severe disease have a delay in antibody class-switching to IgG1 or IgG3, compromised serum RBD-specific antibody binding to FcyRIIa and III, and attenuated RBD antibody-dependent complement deposition or phagocytosis [9]. Third, high vaccine efficacy after a single Spike mRNA immunization when nAb titers are low but binding antibody is high has supported the hypothesis that non-neutralizing antibodies (non-nAbs) may contribute to protection [10]. Fourth, Spike mRNA immunization of mice lacking Fc gamma receptors (FcyRs) reduced vaccine protective efficacy against Omicron infection or heterologous betacoronaviruses [11,12]. Altogether, these studies support a role for antibody effector functions in protective SARS-CoV-2 immunity. However, two observations have obscured the role of antibody Fc effector functions in protecting against COVID-19 disease. First, antibody effector functions such as ADCP are higher in individuals who experience more severe disease [13]. Second, the presence of Fc-mediated effector function activity is generally positively correlated with neutralization

activity, making it difficult to delineate which antibody function affects disease outcome [14]. Thus, the contribution of antibody Fc-mediated effector functions to protection from disease remains unclear.

N-terminal domain (NTD) neutralizing and non-neutralizing antibodies have been implicated as protective immune responses in active and passive immunization studies [15]. In passive immunization studies in mice challenged with mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2, NTD non-nAb DH1052 reduced infectious virus titers, lowered lung hemorrhagic scores, lowered lung virus replication, and improved survival compared to control IgG-infused mice [15]. DH1052 interacted with mouse  $Fc\gamma Rs$  and is hypothesized to bind the orthologous human  $Fc\gamma Rs$  [15]. This binding implicated Fc-mediated effector functions bridging the adaptive and innate immune systems to confer protection. Nonhuman primates infused with either NTD nAb DH1050.1 or NTD non-nAb DH1052 suppressed viral subgenomic RNA to similar levels [15]. Also, in nonhuman primates vaccinated with Spike NTD only and subsequently challenged with SARS-CoV-2, virus replication was suppressed to undetectable levels [16], despite only low serum neutralizing antibodies being detected [16]. Serum antibodies elicited by NTD vaccination mediated NK cell degranulation (a marker of ADCC) [17], raising the possibility that non-nAbs contributed to the protection in the presence of the low nAb response in nonhuman primates.

The Fc of an antibody can be manipulated to alter affinity for FcγRs or complement protein C1q to determine the importance of Fc-mediated effector functions in protection from disease [18]. The LALA-PG substitutions in the antibody Fc (L234A, L235A, P329G) are a well-established strategy for simultaneously eliminating binding to FcγRs and C1q in both mice and humans [19]. In contrast, the DLE substitutions (S239D, A330L, I332E) increase antibody effector function, primarily through increased binding of Fc to human FcγRIIIa [20]. Thus, antibodies with DLE substitutions can be used to study changes in protective efficacy caused by enhancement of Fc functions. Previous studies with receptor binding domain (RBD) nAbs have used Fc knockouts to show Fc-mediated functions are important for protection by some RBD nAbs [21,22,23,24]. NTD antibodies have not been examined; thus, it is unknown whether increased or decreased Fc-mediated effector function activity results in differences in suppression of SARS-CoV-2 replication by non-neutralizing and neutralizing NTD antibodies.

Here, we hypothesized that Fc effector functions mediate protection by non-neutralizing antibodies, and substantially contribute to the protection afforded by neutralizing antibodies. We show that passive immunization with wildtype non-nAb DH1052 and nAb DH1050.1 was sufficient for protection against a mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 virus, though infectious virus titers in the lung were higher in non-nAb infusion versus nAb infusion. An Fc functional knockout version of non-nAb DH1052 possessing the LALA-PG substitutions did not protect against infection, indicating that Fc effector functions are necessary for non-nAb-mediated protection. Loss of Fc effector functions also increased viral titers in mice infused with nAb DH1050.1, though this increase did not result in a worse disease course. Fc enhancement using the DLE substitutions increased protection by non-nAb DH1052, confirming Fc-mediated protection from SARS-CoV-2 challenge in mice. Finally, both nAb and non-nAb therapy suppressed viral load, but only nAb therapy protected mice from weight loss. Thus, non-nAbs were more effective when delivered as a prophylactic agent than a therapeutic agent.

#### Results

# SARS-CoV-2 NTD antibodies with LALA-PG substitutions knockout mouse FcyR binding

To determine the role of Fc-mediated effector functions in protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection, we engineered wildtype and functional knockout versions of both non-neutralizing



**Fig 1. LALA-PG substitutions eliminate antibody binding to mouse FcγRI, II, III, and IV for both DH1052 and DH1050.1, without altering binding to SARS-CoV-2 Spike.** (A) Antibody engineering schematic depicting wildtype (allotype G1m17) versus Fc-function knockout antibodies (LALA- PG substitutions: L234A, L235A, P329G). NTD-directed non-nAb DH1052 and nAb DH1050.1 are produced in both versions. Color scheme for each antibody is the same throughout A-J. (B) ELISA binding of G1m17 and LALA-PG antibodies to their cognate antigen SARS-CoV-2 Spike\_D614G versus negative control antigen HIV-1 envelope. Binding response is measured as area under the log transformed curve (logAUC). Serum from a nonhuman primate vaccinated with NTD was used as the positive control, and CH65 was used as the negative control antibody. (C-F) DH1052 G1m17 versus LALA-PG binding to immobilized mouse FcγRI, II, III, and IV measured via surface plasmon resonance (SPR). (G-J) DH1050.1 G1m17 versus LALA-PG binding to mouse immobilized FcγRI, II, III, and IV measured by SPR.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1011569.g001

NTD antibody DH1052 and neutralizing NTD antibody DH1050.1 (Fig 1A). Starting with the sequence of human IgG1 (Fc allotype G1m17), we introduced the loss of function LALA-PG substitutions (L234A, L235A, P329G) [19]. We produced the wildtype G1m17 and Fc knock-out LALA-PG versions of DH1052 and DH1050.1 and tested their binding via ELISA, which confirmed introduction of the LALA-PG substitutions did not alter binding of the antibody to its target antigen (Fig 1B). We next used surface plasmon resonance (SPR) to determine binding of wildtype G1m17 and LALA-PG versions of DH1052 and DH1052 and DH1050.1 to mouse FcγRs I-IV (Fig 1C–1J). Mouse FcγRs were tested because protective efficacy of these antibodies was planned to be assessed in mouse models of SARS-CoV-2 infection. To mouse FcγRs, LALA-PG substitutions eliminated detectable binding by both DH1052 and DH1050.1 (Fig 1C–1J).

# NTD antibodies with enhanced FcyR binding show increased antibodydependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC)

To further investigate the importance of Fc effector function, we also sought to up-modulate Fc effector functions. We engineered DH1052 and DH1050.1 to include DLE (also called DLE3) substitutions (S239D, A330L, I332E) [20], which are known to increase FcγR binding relative to wildtype Fc (Fig 2A). Overall, DLE substitutions increased binding of antibodies to FcγRs (Fig 2B–2I). Specifically, for both antibodies, DLE substitutions increased binding affinity to mouse FcγRI by 27-fold compared to wildtype G1m17 versions (Fig 2B and 2F).Binding affinities could not be calculated for FcγRII and FcγRIII due to fast dissociation phases, but higher maximum responses were observed for DLE versions of the antibody compared to G1m17 versions (Fig 2C, 2D, 2G and 2H). Comparisons of the binding of DH1052 and



**Fig 2. NTD antibodies with enhanced FcγR binding show increased antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC).** (A) Antibody engineering schematic depicting wildtype (allotype G1m17) versus Fc-function enhanced antibodies (DLE, sometimes called DLE3, substitutions: S239D, A330L, I332E). (B-E) DH1052 G1m17 and DLE or (F-I) DH1050.1 G1m17 and DLE binding to immobilized mouse FcγRI, II, III, and IV measured by SPR. Antibodies were titrated to determine binding kinetics. Kinetic measurements are reported underneath the respective graph as an average of two independent experiments. Fast off-rates precluded the calculation of binding kinetics for FcγR II and III. (J) Natural killer (NK) cell-mediated antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity of 293T cells expressing SARS-CoV-2 WA-1. Titers are shown as % NK cells expressing the degranulation marker CD107a. Each antibody was tested at 2, 8, and 32 μg/ mL and is shown ordered in the graph from lowest to highest concentration.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1011569.g002

DH1050.1 DLE antibodies to FcγRIV showed a 205 and 441-fold increases in binding affinity respectively compared to the corresponding G1m17 antibodies (Fig 2E and 2I). Since DLE Fc modifications have been shown to increase ADCC mediated by FcγRIIIa in humans [20] and subtly increase ADCP [25], we assessed these two effector functions. ADCC activity in a natural killer (NK) cell degranulation assay using 293T cells expressing Spike D614G as a target showed CD107a surface expression, a marker of degranulation, was higher for DH1050.1\_G1m17 compared to DH1052\_G1m17 (Fig 2J). Both DH1052\_LALA-PG and DH1050.1\_LALA-PG did not trigger CD107a expression on NK cells (Fig 2J). Fc enhanced antibodies, DH1052\_DLE and DH1050.1\_DLE, generated substantial increases in NK cell CD107a expression compared to their G1m17 counterparts (Fig 2J). In total, the presence of DLE substitutions in the Fc were associated with increased ADCC activity.

# LALA-PG substitutions eliminate or substantially attenuate antibodydependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP)

We next compared the ability of G1m17, LALA-PG, and DLE versions of both antibodies to mediate antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP) of recombinant NTD. For use as a negative control antigen for non-neutralizing NTD antibodies, we designed a modified Spike NTD that eliminated binding of the non-neutralizing NTD antibodies. We solved the structure of DH1052 Fab in complex with the Spike trimer via negative stain electron microscopy (NSEM) and identified the loops at amino acids 70–76, 182–187, and 211–214 as candidate contact sites on the NTD (**Fig 3A and 3B**). We produced three NTDs that mutated each loop individually (NTD\_ADEm1a-c) and one NTD that contained mutated versions of all three putative contact sites, NTD\_ADEm3 (**Fig 3B**). Mutant NTD\_ADEm1c and the combined mutant NTD\_ADEm3 both eliminated binding of all members of a non-neutralizing NTD antibody panel (**Fig 3C**), indicating the critical loop for non-neutralizing NTD antibody binding includes the loop beginning at amino acid 211 (**Fig 3B**). Binding of neutralizing antibodies was not affected (**Fig 3C**), thus confirming the generation of a recombinant NTD that selectively knocked out non-nAb binding and consequently could be used for ADCP assays.

Wildtype non-neutralizing DH1052\_G1m17 incubated with ancestral Wuhan-Hu-1 SARS-CoV-2 NTD showed concentration-dependent ADCP activity, up to a point of saturation. At the highest concentration a prozone effect was observed where ADCP activity decreased (Fig 4A). No ADCP activity was observed for any version of DH1052 when the mutant NTD\_ADEm3 was the antigen (Fig 4A-4C). As expected, Fc knockout antibody DH1052\_LALA-PG completely eliminated ADCP activity (Fig 4B). The ADCP score for DH1052\_DLE was similar to DH1052\_G1m17 consistent with published reports that DLE has subtle effects on ADCP [25](Fig 4C and 4D). In concordance with binding reactivity, the neutralizing NTD antibody DH1050.1 mediated ADCP of both wildtype NTD and NTD\_ADEm3 (Fig 4E-4H). DH1050.1\_G1m17 and DH1050.1\_DLE showed similar ADCP activity (Fig 4H), whereas LALA-PG substitutions severely attenuated ADCP activity in DH1050.1 (Fig 4F). Thus, LALA-PG mutant antibodies exhibited severely reduced or ablated ADCP activity.

# DH1052\_G1m17 and DH1050.1\_G1m17 passive immunization protects *in vivo*

We hypothesized that Fc-mediated effector functions could protect against SARS-CoV-2 infection. BALB/c mice were given 300  $\mu$ g of either test antibody (n = 10 per group) or isotype control antibody (n = 25) 12 hours prior to challenge with lethal SARS-CoV-2 MA10 virus [26] (**Fig 5A**). A group of five uninfected mice served as an additional negative control. Compared to isotype-treated mice, on day 4 post-infection, there was significantly less weight lost by mice



**Fig 3. Modification of sites 211–214 in the Spike NTD eliminates binding by NTD non-nAbs.** (A) Three-dimensional reconstruction by negative stain electron microscopy of DH1052 Fab (orange) in complex with SARS-CoV-2 Spike 2P (gray) (Electron microscopy data bank accession number: EMD-44644). In the enlargement, the density corresponding to the spike has been rigidly fit with a spike model (PDB 7QUS) shown in ribbon diagram. The Spike model is colored red where the NTD loops are most proximal to the Fab. NTD loops proximal to the putative DH1052 antigen combining site (red) were mutated as shown in B. (B) Sequence modifications for each mutant NTD tested. (C) ELISA binding results of a neutralizing NTD antibody panel (DH1048-DH1051, left) and a non-neutralizing NTD antibody panel (DH1052-DH1056, right) against mutant antigen candidates. NTD\_ADEm3 combined the three mutations of NTD\_ADEm1(a-c). Serum from a nonhuman primate vaccinated with NTD was used as the positive control, anti-influenza antibody CH65 was used as the negative control antibody, and HIV Env was used as the negative control antigen.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1011569.g003

that were administered either DH1052\_G1m17 or DH1050.1\_G1m17 compared to mice that received isotype control (Fig 5B; p<0.001, Wilcoxon test). Interestingly, mice administered DH1052\_G1m17 showed a temporary mild weight loss on day 2 of infection, followed by a slight increase in body weight over the next 2 days. The initial decline in weight in mice given DH1052\_G1m17 resulted in lower body weights in these mice compared to DH1050.1\_G1m17-administered mice on day 4 (p<0.001). Infectious titers for mice administered either DH1052\_G1m17 or DH1050.1\_G1m17 were significantly lower than titers in



**Fig 4. LALA-PG substitutions eliminate or severely attenuate antibody-dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP).** (A-C) ADCP activity against NTD and mutant NTD\_ADEm3 for G1m17, LALA-PG and DLE versions of DH1052 was determined in a THP-1 cell-based assay. Values shown are the mean of two technical replicates. ADCP score is a ratio of the fluorescence of the test result to the no antibody control (PBS). (D) A comparison of ADCP activity of WT NTD for all versions of DH1052 is shown. (E-G) ADCP of NTD and NTD\_ADEm3 by G1m17, LALA-PG and DLE

versions of DH1050.1 are shown. (H) A comparison of ADCP of Wuhan-Hu-1 NTD for all DH1050.1 versions is shown.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1011569.g004

mice given isotype control antibody (p<0.001) (Fig 5C and 5F). Gross lung discoloration scores for mice that received these antibodies were also significantly lower than in mice treated with the isotype control antibody (p<0.001) (Fig 5E and 5H).

# Passive immunization with LALA-PG antibodies yielded higher infectious virus titers compared to wildtype antibody in mice

We next assessed whether LALA-PG versions of NTD non-nAbs or nAbs would diminish protection. Day 4 infectious virus titers in the lungs of mice treated with DH1052\_LALA-PG matched titers of mice given isotype control antibody and were significantly increased compared to levels in mice infused with DH1052\_G1m17 (p<0.001) (Fig 5C). Body weights steadily declined in the DH1052\_LALA-PG group until the conclusion of the study on day 4 (Fig 5D). Unexpectedly, there was not a significant increase in macroscopic lung discoloration in the LALA-PG group compared to G1m17, despite the overall worse phenotype of the DH1052\_LALA-PG group (Wilcoxon Test) (Fig 5E). Nonetheless, these results showed that loss of Fc-mediated effector functions led to loss of protection by non-nAb DH1052. The phenotype of neutralizing DH1050.1 was not altered as substantially by the LALA-PG Fc knockout substitutions, perhaps due to its neutralizing activity. Neither median infectious virus titers, body weights, or lung discoloration scores were significantly different between mice administered DH1050.1\_G1m17 or DH1050.1\_LALA-PG (Fig 5F–5H).

We sought to understand why LALA-PG mutant antibodies did not result in higher gross lung discoloration scores despite higher virus replication. An overabundance of proinflammatory cytokines in the lungs has been suggested to be one mechanism by which COVID-19 lung damage occurs [27]. Thus, we compared the lung cytokine profile four days after SARS-CoV-2 MA10 challenge in mice that received LALA-PG or wildtype NTD antibody treatments. We quantified 26 cytokines in clarified lung homogenates and normalized the cytokine concentration to total protein concentration in the homogenate. When analyzed as fold change in normalized cytokine concentrations of each NTD antibody test group (n = 10 per group)compared to the isotype control group (n = 25; Fig 6), we found differences in cytokine expression profiles between the wildtype and LALA-PG versions of non-neutralizing DH1052 (Fig 6A). Whereas proinflammatory cytokine IL-6 level was not significantly different between wildtype DH1052 and isotype control, the DH1052\_LALA-PG group showed a significant decrease in IL-6 expression compared to isotype (p<0.001) (Fig 6A). Additionally, the DH1052\_LALA-PG administration markedly increased antiviral cytokines such as TNFα, IL-12, IL-1β, and IFNγ compared isotype control (p<0.001) (Fig 6A). DH1050.1\_G1m17-administration did not increase these cytokines to the same extent (Fig 6B). Cytokines and chemokines associated with T cell responses (IL-2, RANTES, MIP1α and MIP2α) were also increased in the LALA-PG group compared to DH1052\_G1m17 (Fig 6A). Overall, the cytokine response had a Th1 bias with IFNy, TNFa, IL-1 and IL-12 being elevated. Altogether, the Fc functional knockout DH1052\_LALA-PG led to an increase in antiviral cytokines relative to isotype control treatment *in vivo*, with the increase being far larger than what G1m17 induced (Fig 6A). This response suggests in the absence of Fc-mediated activity, robust antiviral cytokine activity was upregulated, and less IL-6 was released. This cytokine profile was associated with minimal macroscopic lung discoloration despite high infectious titers (Fig 5C and 5E).



**Fig 5. Fc knockout substitutions eliminated NTD non-nAb protection, and Fc enhancement increased NTD non-nAb protection.** (A) Study design. BALB/c mice were passively infused at -12 hours and challenged with SARS-CoV-2 MA10 virus at 0 hours. Weights for each animal were collected each day of the experiment and lung tissue was harvested four days post- infection (DPI) to measure infectious viral titers and assign the gross lung discoloration (GLD) score. N = 10 mice per test group; n = 25 isotype control mice; n = 5 uninfected mice. (B) Percent weight loss in mice administered DH1052 G1m17, DH1050.1 G1m17, or Isotype control antibody. Uninfected mice were included as

negative controls for weight loss. (C-E) Non-nAb DH1052 and (F-H) nAb DH1050.1 protection against infection and disease. Protection was assessed by (C,F) lung viral titers quantified as the log(PFU/mL), (D,G) weight loss each day post-infection (DPI) expressed as % original weight, and (E,H) median gross lung discoloration scores. All statistical comparisons were calculated using exact Wilcoxon rank sum tests using an alpha level of 0.05 (\* p<0.05; \*\* p<0.01; \*\*\* p<0.001).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1011569.g005



Infusion Group

**Fig 6.** A distinct proinflammatory, antiviral cytokine response was elicited in mice after passive immunization with Fc knockout LALA-PG antibodies and challenge. Clarified lung homogenates obtained from mice day 4 post-infection were analyzed via Luminex multiplex assay for concentrations of 26 selected cytokines as labeled. The resulting concentrations (normalized for total homogenate protein) were compared to normalized concentrations in infected isotype control mice via Wilcoxon test. The fold change and p-value of each comparison between NTD antibody test group and isotype control are shown. (A) Comparisons between isotype control and the wildtype and Fc knockout DH1052 antibody versions. (B) Comparisons between isotype control and the wildtype and Fc knockout DH1050.1 antibody versions. (C) Overlay of all test groups as compared to isotype control. (D) Summary heatmap of mean normalized cytokine concentrations measured for each NTD antibody test group, infected isotype control, and the uninfected group of mice.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1011569.g006

Comparison of wildtype and Fc-knockout versions of neutralizing DH1050.1 also showed marked differences between the two antibody treatments (**Fig 6B**). Either DH1050.1\_G1m17 or DH1050.1\_LALA-PG administration significantly lowered IL-6 lung concentration relative to isotype control (**Fig 6B**). DH1050.1\_G1m17 treatment also showed significantly lower MCP1, MCP3 and GRO $\alpha$  (**Fig 6B**). As seen with DH1052\_LALA-PG administration, DH1050.1\_LALA-PG significantly increased a myriad of antiviral cytokines compared to infected isotype control mice (**Fig 6B and 6C**), but DH1050.1\_G1m17 treatment did not. Moreover, the specific cytokines that increased expression were the same between DH1052\_LALA-PG and DH1050.1\_LALA-PG (**Fig 6C and 6D**). Therefore, eliminating Fc effector functions yielded the same alternate cytokine response for both neutralizing and non-neutralizing NTD antibodies.

# Fc-enhanced DH1052\_DLE increased protection compared to wildtype DH1052

We hypothesized that if Fc effector functions mediated by DH1052 protected mice from SARS-CoV-2, then enhancing the ability of the antibody to mediate Fc effector functions would improve protection from SARS-CoV-2 infection. Our *in vitro* experiments showed that the DLE substitutions markedly increased ADCC and also modestly increased ADCP allowing us to test this hypothesis (**Figs 2J and 5**). We passively immunized mice and challenged them following the same protocol as with G1m17 antibodies (**Fig 5A**). DH1052\_DLE showed significantly reduced viral titers compared to titers from DH1052\_G1m17 mice (p = 0.016, Wilcoxon Test, n = 10) (**Fig 5C**). The mice that received DH1052\_DLE also had significantly higher final body weights compared to the G1m17 group (p = 0.01) (**Fig 5D**), and all mice in this group achieved lung discoloration scores of 0 (**Fig 5E**). Thus, enhancing the Fc function of a non-neutralizing NTD antibody improved protection from infection. Although DH1052 is a non-nAb and DH1050.1 is a nAb, there were no significant differences in infectious virus titers in the lungs (p = 0.10), body weights (p = 0.71), or lung discoloration scores (p = 1.00) between groups of mice that received DLE versions of either of these two antibodies (**Fig 5C-5H**).

Fc effector function enhancement did not result in major changes in protection by DH1050.1, owing to the fact that wildtype DH1050.1\_G1m17 exhibited potent protection. Fc-enhanced DH1050.1\_DLE showed no significant differences compared to DH1050.1\_G1m17 lung infectious virus titers (p = 0.30), body weights (p = 0.85), or discoloration scores (p = 1.00) (Fig 5F–5H).

# Non-neutralizing antibody therapy reduces viremia and gross lung discoloration but has limited therapeutic effect on overall disease

We determined whether infusion of non-neutralizing antibodies into infected mice would improve disease outcome. BALB/c mice were challenged with SARS-CoV-2 MA10 and twelve hours later infused with non-nAb DH1052, nAb DH1050.1, or an isotype control antibody (**Fig 7A**). Mice administered non-nAb DH1052, progressively lost weight at a rate similar to isotype control mice (**Fig 7B**). Despite the continued weight loss, DH1052\_G1m17 therapy reduced infectious virus and gross discoloration in the lung compared to isotype control antibody (p<0.05 and p<0.01 respectively) (**Fig 7C-7E**). Infusion of the Fc effector function knockout version of DH1052 (DH1052\_LALA-PG) did not prevent weight loss, and these mice had higher infectious virus titer and more gross lung discoloration than DH1052\_G1m17 treated mice (p<0.001 and p<0.01 respectively) (**Fig 7C-7E**). DH1052\_DLE therapy did not stop weight loss in infected mice, but these mice had lower titers of infectious virus site mice had lower titers of infectious virus site of the set of the set



Fig 7. NTD non-nAb therapeutic administration reduces infectious viral burden and lung discoloration in an Fc-dependent manner but does not prevent weight loss. (A) Study design. BALB/c mice were challenged with SARS-CoV-2 MA10 virus at -12 hours and passively infused with antibody at 0 hours. Weight loss, infectious virus in the lung, and gross lung discoloration (GLD) were assessed for each animal four days post infection as in Fig 5. N = 9–10 mice per test group; n = 5 isotype control mice; n = 5 uninfected mice. (B) Percent weight loss in mice therapeutically administered DH1052 G1m17, DH1050.1 G1m17, or Isotype control

antibody. Uninfected mice were included as negative controls for weight loss. (C-E) Non-nAb DH1052 and (F-H) nAb DH1050.1 amelioration of infection and disease. Therapeutic benefit was assessed by (C,F) lung viral titers quantified as the log(PFU/mL), (D,G) weight loss each day post-infection (DPI) expressed as % original weight, and (E,H) median gross lung discoloration scores. All statistical comparisons were calculated using exact Wilcoxon rank sum tests using an alpha level of 0.05 (\* p<0.05; \*\* p<0.01; \*\*\* p<0.001).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1011569.g007

or LALA-PG version of DH1052, although differences in infectious virus titer from wildtype antibody were not significant (Fig 7C–7E).

In contrast, mice administered the nAb DH1050.1\_G1m17 initially lost weight, with mouse weight stabilizing between days two and three post infection (Fig 7B). nAb-treated mice suppressed infectious virus in the lung and protected the lung from gross discoloration compared isotype control treated mice (p<0.001)(Fig 7F-7H). DH1050.1\_LALAPG infused mice had significantly more weight loss than uninfected mice (p<0.01), and higher GLD scores than DH1050.1\_G1m17-treated mice (p<0.01). However, DH1050.1\_LALAPG suppressed infectious virus to titers below that seen for DH1052\_G1m17 (Fig 7F-7H). DH1050.1\_DLE therapy had similar effects on markers of SARS-CoV-2 infection and disease as the wildtype G1m17 version of the antibody (Fig 7F-7H). Thus, in a therapeutic setting, nAb therapy provided clear benefit above that seen with non-nAb therapy, and non-nAbs required functional Fc regions in order to have any effect.

# Discussion

With SARS-CoV-2 variants escaping from nAbs, the protection from severe disease afforded by non-nAbs is a key question. Even though current COVID-19 vaccines lack protection against transmission, they continue to protect against severe disease and death [28,29,30]. While T cell immunity is likely a contributing factor, having been shown to correlate with milder disease in humans [31] and clearance of viremia in animal models [32,33], we wondered whether non-neutralizing antibodies to the N-terminal domain of S could also contribute to protection in animal models. Our study shows that wildtype non-nAbs can protect against manifestations of clinical disease in a mouse model. The mechanism of protection is Fc-mediated effector functions given that antibodies with ablated Fc effector functions conferred no benefit over negative control antibody. It should be noted that there were differences in the degree of protection by non-nAbs compared to nAbs. More specifically, mice that received non-nAb showed initial weight loss that subsided by day 2. This phenotype can be explained by the time it takes for initial infection of host cells to occur, viral antigen display on infected cells, and immune complex engagement by effector cells that clear infected cells. The initial weight loss demonstrates the different mechanisms neutralizing versus non-neutralizing antibodies use to control virus replication.

Loss of Fc effector functions diminished protection by the neutralizing NTD monoclonal antibody tested here. Our study focused on NTD-directed antibodies, but previous studies have examined receptor binding domain (RBD) antibodies. In agreement with our results for DH1050.1, multiple studies have shown that SARS-CoV-2 RBD-specific nAb protection can be dampened by loss of Fc-mediated functions [21,22]. Although, we should note that not all nAbs harness Fc effector functions to mediate protection [21,22,23]. The difference in Fc effector function requirement for antibody-mediated protection has been attributed to differences in accessibility of the Fc region due to angles of approach [22,34], but antibody neutralization potency, binding stoichiometry to Spike, and antibody epitope specificity may also explain the discordant results from different studies [6].

In a therapeutic setting, neutralization was superior to non-neutralizing effector functions in controlling disease. nAbs with either no Fc mutation or DLE mutations treated infection similarly. This result indicates having any effector function capacity in addition to neutralizing activity yields the same therapeutic outcome. Non-nAb therapy provided modest suppression of viral titers and lung damage, that was worsened by eliminating Fc-mediated effector functions. However, non-nAb therapy did not halt the overall disease state represented by weight loss. Thus, antibody therapy was more effective with the nAb than the non-nAb.

The antibody versions that lacked Fc engagement resulted in a large increase in cytokine secretion. As the lung faces invading pathogens continuously, the sources of lung cytokines has been intensely studied [35]. This upregulation may reflect an increase in epithelial cell TNF $\alpha$  and IL-1 $\beta$  release in the presence of increased viral titers due to an absence of Fc-mediated innate cell activity [35]. Epithelial cell cytokine responses may be combined with increased dendritic cell activation of T-cells given the observed significant increase in IL-2 after both DH1052 LALA-PG and DH1050.1 LALA-PG administration compared to isotype control [36]. Overall, the cytokine response after Fc knockout non-nAb administration is most consistent with a Th1-biased response (IFN $\gamma$ , TNF $\alpha$ , IL-12, IL-1). After infusion of the nAb lacking Fc effector function, both Th1 (TNFa) and Th2 responses (IL-4, IL-9) were observed [35,37]. Th1 responses are usually productive in resolving lung infections, and Th2 responses are associated with more severe lung dysfunction. We also found high concentrations of proinflammatory chemokines RANTES, MIP1 $\alpha$  and MIP1 $\beta$ , which are secreted by CD8+ T cells [38]. The overall immune response suggests that loss of Fc effector functions leads to a heterogeneous proinflammatory/antiviral cytokine response that is augmented relative to the natural responses to infection, resulting in less macroscopic lung damage than untreated infection.

IL-6 concentrations have been correlated with COVID-19 disease severity [39]. Of the cytokines measured, only IL-6 was downregulated by both LALA-PG mutant antibodies compared to isotype control. We speculate that the infusion of LALA-PG versions of the antibodies could induce less IL-6 because immune complexes cannot engage  $Fc\gamma R$  on the surface of macrophages or monocytes [40]. The low IL-6 seen in the LALA-PG groups would be expected to limit lung hemorrhage.

Initial concerns of antibody-dependent enhancement of SARS-CoV-2 infection by Fcdependent attachment of antibody-virus immune complexes led to hesitancy in engaging Fcmediated effector functions [41,42,43]. These initial concerns led to therapeutic antibodies, such as Etesevimab being developed with mutated Fc regions that eliminate  $Fc\gamma R$  binding [44,45]. Here, we show Fc-mediated effector functions modulate viral load and disease severity in the absence of virus neutralization, indicating a clear benefit for Fc-mediated effector functions. Advances in Fc engineering and vaccine adjuvant design provide the technology to bolster Fc-mediated effector functions [25,46,47]. The results of this study demonstrate Fcmediated effector function can be beneficial for anti-coronavirus antibodies and for the nextgeneration of coronavirus vaccines.

We conclude here Fc-mediated functions are sufficient for protection against severe disease and lung damage in mice. The results presented here corroborate previous studies showing reduced betacoronavirus immunity after vaccination in FcyR knockout mice [11,23]. Antibodies that mediate ADCC arise during infection or vaccination and can be boosted by Spike mRNA vaccination in humans [10]. We demonstrated that antibodies with increased ADCC capacity suppressed lung viremia better than wildtype antibodies, suggesting these antibodies may contribute to the protective efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines in the face of SARS-CoV-2 nAb escape [30]. Importantly, these non-neutralizing antibody responses would function in concert with T cell immunity that is positively correlated with viral clearance [48] and reduced disease severity [49]. Nonetheless, non-nAbs can serve as a second line of defense where neutralization fails to protect due to immune evasion by the virus.

#### Methods

#### **Ethics statement**

All experiments were conducted after approval from the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill Institutional Biosafety Committee and Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee according to guidelines outlined by the Association for the Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care and the US Department of Agriculture. All Infections and downstream assays were performed at ABSL3 in accordance with Environmental Health and Safety. All work was performed with approved standard operating procedures and safety conditions for SARS-CoV-2. Our institutional ABSL3 facilities have been designed to conform to the safety requirements recommended by Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories (BMBL), the US Department of Health and Human Services, the Public Health Service, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and the National Institutes of Health (NIH). Laboratory safety plans have been submitted, and the facility has been approved for use by the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill Department of Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) and the CDC.

#### Mouse Protection Studies and disease assessment

BALB/cAnNHsd were obtained from Envigo (strain 047) at 8–10 weeks of age on delivery where they were housed in groups under standard conditions. For prophylactic studies, twelve hours prior to infection, mice received 300  $\mu$ g of coronavirus antibody or isotype control via intraperitoneal injection. Twelve hours after treatment, mice were infected with 10<sup>4</sup> PFU SARS-CoV-2 MA10 (MA10) in 50  $\mu$ l PBS intranasally under ketamine-xylasine anesthesia. For therapeutic studies, twelve hours prior to antibody treatment, mice were infected with 10<sup>4</sup> PFU SARS-CoV-2 MA10 (MA10) in 50  $\mu$ l PBS intranasally under ketamine-xylasine anesthesia. For therapeutic studies, twelve hours prior to antibody treatment, mice were infected with 10<sup>4</sup> PFU SARS-CoV-2 MA10 (MA10) in 50  $\mu$ l PBS intranasally under ketamine-xylasine anesthesia. Twelve hours post infection, mice received 300  $\mu$ g of coronavirus antibody or isotype control via intraperitoneal injection. Mice were weighed daily throughout the course of infection and were euthanized at 4-days post infection via isoflurane overdose for tissue collection and gross lung discoloration (GLD) scoring.

After euthanasia, lungs were scored for gross discoloration, indicating congestion and/or hemorrhage, based on a semi-quantitative scale of mild to severe discoloration covering 0 to 100% of the lung surface. The right inferior lung lobe was collected in 1 mL phosphate buffered saline with glass beads, homogenized, and debris was pelleted. Virus in the lungs was quantified from the inferior lobe suspension via plaque assay. Briefly, virus was serial diluted and inoculated onto confluent monolayers of Vero E6 cells, followed by agarose overlay. Plaques were visualized on day 3 post infection via staining with neutral red dye.

All cell lines and viruses were confirmed mycoplasma-negative, and viruses used were subjected to next-generation sequencing prior to use. Vero E6 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 5% FBS and anti/anti-mouse adapted SARS-CoV-2 MA10 was developed based on the SARS-CoV-2 WA1 reference strain and propagated from a cDNA molecular clone [36,37,38].

#### **Recombinant antibody production**

Recombinant antibodies were produced as described elsewhere [50]. Heavy chain and light chain plasmids were obtained from GenScript. Expi293 cells (Life Technologies) were diluted

to a final volume of 0.5L at a concentration of  $2.5 \times 10^6$  cells/mL in Expi293 media, and cotransfected with 400 µg each of heavy chain and light chain plasmids using Expifectamine. Five days after transfection, cell culture media was clarified by centrifugation and 0.8 µM filtration. Clarified culture media was incubated with Protein A resin overnight, washed with 25 mL of PBS containing 340 mM NaCl and eluted with 30 mL of glacial acetic acid. The pH of the eluted antibody solution was increased to neutral pH by adding 1M Tris pH8.0 and antibodies were buffer-exchanged in 25 mM Citrate, 125 mM NaCl, pH 6. Monomeric antibodies were purified by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 26/600 column (GE Healthcare) in 25 mM Citrate, 125 mM NaCl, pH 6, filtered, and stored at -80°C. All antibodies were confirmed Endotoxin negative using the Charles River Endoscan-V machine and program (software version 6.0.2).

# **ELISA binding assay**

384-well plates were coated with 2  $\mu$ g/mL of antigens in 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate. Plates were stored at 4°C overnight and washed with PBS + 0.05% Tween-20 the following day. Blocking was performed with PBS + 4% (w/v) whey protein, 15% Normal Goat Serum, 0.5% Tween-20, and 0.05% sodium azide for 1 h at 25°C. After blocking plates were washed again with PBS + 0.05% Tween-20, and serial dilutions of antibodies or serum control were added. Antibodies were incubated at 25°C for 90 minutes and then plates were washed again. HRP-conjugated goat anti-human IgG secondary antibody (Southern Biotech) was used to detect binding in conjunction with TMB substrate (Sera Care Life Sciences).

# Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) binding assay

The SPR binding analysis of the COVID-19 monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) to recombinant mouse Fc-gamma receptors (Fc $\gamma$ Rs) was performed using a Biacore S200 or T200 instrument in HBS-EP+ 1X running buffer. Biotinylated mouse Fc $\gamma$ Rs (Sino Biological) were immobilized onto a Streptavidin sensor chip. Mouse CD64/Fc $\gamma$ RI was immobilized to a level of approximately 100 RU; Mouse CD32/Fc $\gamma$ RIIB and Mouse CD16/Fc $\gamma$ RIII were immobilized to 350– 400 RU; and Mouse CD16-2/Fc $\gamma$ RIV was immobilized to approximately 150 RU. A blank Streptavidin flow cell (Fc1) was used as the negative control reference surface. The mAbs were tested at 100 µg/mL and were injected over the sensor chip surface for 180 s at 30 µL/min using the high-performance injection type followed by a 600 s dissociation. The mouse Fc $\gamma$ R surfaces were then regenerated with one 12 s pulse of glycine pH 2.0 at 50 µL/min. Results were analyzed using the Biacore S200 or T200 Evaluation software (Cytiva). The blank streptavidin sensor surface along with buffer binding were used for double reference subtraction to account for non-specific protein binding and signal drift.

### Antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity NK cell degranulation assay

293T cells were cultured in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100  $\mu$ g/ml Penicillin and Streptomycin solution at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

Cell-surface expression of CD107a was used as a marker for NK cell degranulation, a prerequisite process for, and strong correlate of, ADCC [31], performed by adapting a previously described procedure [32]. Briefly, target cells were 293T cells 2-days post transfection with a SARS-CoV-2 S protein G614 expression plasmid. Natural killer cells were purified by negative selection (Miltenyi Biotech) from peripheral blood mononuclear cells obtained by leukapheresis from a healthy, SARS-CoV-2-seronegative individual (Fc-gamma-receptor IIIA [Fc $\gamma$ RIIIA]158 V/F heterozygous) and previously assessed for Fc $\gamma$ RIIIA genotype and frequency of NK cells were used as a source of effector cells. NK cells were incubated with target cells at a 1:1 ratio in the presence of diluted monoclonal antibodies, Brefeldin A (GolgiPlug, 1 µl/ml, BD Biosciences), monensin (GolgiStop, 4 µl/6 ml, BD Biosciences), and anti-CD107a-FITC (BD Biosciences, clone H4A3) in 96-well flat bottom plates for 6 h at 37°C in a humidi-fied 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. NK cells were then recovered and stained for viability prior to staining with CD56-PECy7 (BD Biosciences, clone NCAM16.2), CD16-PacBlue (BD Biosciences, clone 3G8), and CD69-BV785 (Biolegend, Clone FN50). Cells were resuspended in 115 µl PBS-1% paraformaldehyde. Flow cytometry data analysis was performed using FlowJo software (v10.8.0). Data is reported as the % of CD107a + live NK cells (gates included singlets, lymphocytes, aqua blue–, CD56+ and/or CD16+, CD107a+). All final data represent specific activity, determined by subtraction of non-specific activity observed in assays performed with mock-infected cells and in the absence of antibodies.

#### Negative stain electron microscopy

Negative stain electron microscopy was performed as previously described [15]. Fabs were prepared from IgG by digestion with Lys-C and mixed with recombinant spike protein at a 9:1 molar ratio. After incubation for 1 hour at 37°C the mixture was diluted to 0.1 mg/ml with HEPES-buffered saline augmented with 5% glycerol and 7.5 mM glutaraldehyde, incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature, then 1 M Tris pH 7.4 stock was added to 75 mM final Tris concentration to quench excess glutaraldehyde. After quenching, a 5-µl drop of sample was applied to a glow-discharged carbon film on 300 mesh Cu grids, incubated for 10–15 seconds, blotted, stained with 2% uranyl formate and air dried. Grids were imaged with a Philips EM420 electron microscope operated at 120 KV at 82,000x nominal magnification and captured with a 2k x 2k CCD camera at a pixel size of 4.02 Å. Three-dimensional reconstructions were calculated with standard procedures using Relion 3.0 [51]. Images were created using UCSF Chimera [52].

#### **Recombinant NTD Antigen production**

The coronavirus proteins were produced and purified as previously described [15,53,54,55].

Plasmids encoding N-terminal domain proteins were obtained from GenScript. Plasmids were transiently co-transfected in FreeStyle 293-F cells using 293Fectin (ThermoFisher). All cells were tested monthly for mycoplasma. DNA was prepared using a Midiprep kit (Qiagen). On day 5 or 6 post transfection cell culture supernatants were clarified by centrifugation and filtration with a 0.8- $\mu$ m filter. Stepwise purification included affinity chromatography using StrepTrap HP (Cytiva) ran in 1X Buffer W (IBA Lifesciences) and eluting in 1X Buffer E (IBA Lifesciences), and by size-exclusion chromatography using Superdex 200 columns (Cytiva) in 10 mM Tris pH8, 500 mM NaCl.

#### Antibody dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP) assay

The ADCP assay was performed as previously described [56,57,58] with modifications. Briefly, quantification of ADCP was performed by covalently binding SARS-CoV-2 NTD and NTD\_ADEm3 to NeutrAvidin fluorescent beads (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA). Immune complexes were formed by incubation with serially diluted (2 fold) monoclonal antibodies DH1052 and DH1050.1 as wildtype, LALA-PG or DLE mutated versions (described above). Monoclonal antibody CH65 IgG1 served as a negative control [59]. Immune complexes were incubated with THP-1 cells (ATCC, Manassas, VA), and cellular fluorescence was measured using a BD LSR Fortessa (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA).

### Luminex Cytokine assay

Lung homogenate protein concentrations were determined using a Bio-Rad DC protein assay performed according to the manufacturer's protocol and read on a Synergy H1 plate reader (Agilent). Concentrations were calculated by extrapolation from a BSA standard curve using Gen5 v.3.00 (Agilent). Cytokines in undiluted homogenate were quantified using a 26-plex Luminex bead array assay (ThermoFisher EPX260-26088-901) performed according to the manufacturer's protocol and read on an Intelliflex DR-SE (Luminex Corp.). Cytokine concentrations were calculated by extrapolation from standard curves using Bio-Plex Manager v.6.2 (Bio-Rad). Concentrations of cytokines were divided by the corresponding total homogenate protein concentration and log<sub>10</sub> transformed.

# Statistical analyses

Due to group size, exact Wilcoxon rank sum tests were performed using an alpha level of 0.05 to compare differences between groups using SAS statistical software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). There were no corrections made to p-values for multiple comparisons as the group sizes were small and conclusions considered hypothesis-generating. Mean values are plotted with standard deviation.

# **Supporting information**

**S1 Data. Source Data File for main figures.** (XLSX)

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Nolan Jamieson for assistance and quality control measures in reagent production. We would like to thank Robert Parks and Whitney Edwards Beck for organizing collaboration on passive immunization studies between Duke University and University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. We would like to thank Ande West for help with preparing and conducting mouse passive immunization studies. Biomarker profiling was performed under the management of Barbara Theriot and direction of Dr. Andrew N. Macintyre in the Immunology Unit of the Duke Regional Biocontainment Laboratory (RBL). A part of this research was performed in the Duke Regional Biocontainment Laboratory (RBL), which is supported by the National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (UC6-AI058607).

# **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Barton F. Haynes, Ralph S. Baric, Kevin O. Saunders.

Formal analysis: Camille N. Pierre, Lily E. Adams, Jaclyn S. Higgins, Kara Anasti, Sherry Stanfield-Oakley, John M. Powers, Wes Rountree, Yunfei Wang, Robert J. Edwards, S. Munir Alam, Guido Ferrari, Georgia D. Tomaras, Ralph S. Baric, Kevin O. Saunders.

Funding acquisition: Camille N. Pierre, Barton F. Haynes, Ralph S. Baric, Kevin O. Saunders.

- **Investigation:** Camille N. Pierre, Lily E. Adams, Jaclyn S. Higgins, Kara Anasti, Derrick Goodman, Sherry Stanfield-Oakley, John M. Powers, Dapeng Li, Robert J. Edwards, S. Munir Alam, Guido Ferrari, Georgia D. Tomaras, Barton F. Haynes, Kevin O. Saunders.
- Supervision: Sherry Stanfield-Oakley, S. Munir Alam, Guido Ferrari, Georgia D. Tomaras, Barton F. Haynes, Ralph S. Baric, Kevin O. Saunders.

Validation: Dieter Mielke.

Visualization: Robert J. Edwards.

- Writing original draft: Camille N. Pierre, Kevin O. Saunders.
- Writing review & editing: Camille N. Pierre, Lily E. Adams, Jaclyn S. Higgins, Robert J. Edwards, S. Munir Alam, Guido Ferrari, Georgia D. Tomaras, Barton F. Haynes, Ralph S. Baric, Kevin O. Saunders.

#### References

- 1. WHO. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard with Vaccination data 2023.
- Shiels MS, Haque AT, Berrington de Gonzalez A, Freedman ND. Leading Causes of Death in the US During the COVID-19 Pandemic, March 2020 to October 2021. JAMA Intern Med. 2022; 182(8):883–6. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2022.2476 PMID: 35788262
- Flaxman S, Whittaker C, Semenova E, Rashid T, Parks RM, Blenkinsop A, et al. Assessment of COVID-19 as the Underlying Cause of Death Among Children and Young People Aged 0 to 19 Years in the US. JAMA Netw Open. 2023; 6(1):e2253590. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022</u>. 53590 PMID: 36716029
- Cui Z, Liu P, Wang N, Wang L, Fan K, Zhu Q, et al. Structural and functional characterizations of infectivity and immune evasion of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron. Cell. 2022; 185(5):860–71 e13. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cell.2022.01.019 PMID: 35120603
- Nielsen BF, Saad-Roy CM, Li Y, Sneppen K, Simonsen L, Viboud C, et al. Host heterogeneity and epistasis explain punctuated evolution of SARS-CoV-2. PLoS Comput Biol. 2023; 19(2):e1010896. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1010896</u> PMID: 36791146
- Lu LL, Suscovich TJ, Fortune SM, Alter G. Beyond binding: antibody effector functions in infectious diseases. Nat Rev Immunol. 2018; 18(1):46–61. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2017.106 PMID: 29063907
- Bartsch YC, Wang C, Zohar T, Fischinger S, Atyeo C, Burke JS, et al. Humoral signatures of protective and pathological SARS-CoV-2 infection in children. Nat Med. 2021; 27(3):454–62. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1038/s41591-021-01263-3</u> PMID: 33589825
- Vietzen H, Danklmaier V, Zoufaly A, Puchhammer-Stockl E. High-affinity FcgammaRIIIa genetic variants and potent NK cell-mediated antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) responses contributing to severe COVID-19. Genet Med. 2022; 24(7):1449–58.
- Zohar T, Loos C, Fischinger S, Atyeo C, Wang C, Slein MD, et al. Compromised Humoral Functional Evolution Tracks with SARS-CoV-2 Mortality. Cell. 2020; 183(6):1508–19 e12. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.10.052</u> PMID: 33207184
- Tauzin A, Nayrac M, Benlarbi M, Gong SY, Gasser R, Beaudoin-Bussieres G, et al. A single dose of the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine BNT162b2 elicits Fc-mediated antibody effector functions and T cell responses. Cell Host Microbe. 2021; 29(7):1137–50 e6.
- Adams LE, Leist SR, Dinnon KH 3rd, West A, Gully KL, Anderson EJ, et al. Fc-mediated pan-sarbecovirus protection after alphavirus vector vaccination. Cell Rep. 2023; 42(4):112326. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112326</u> PMID: 37000623
- Mackin SR, Desai P, Whitener BM, Karl CE, Liu M, Baric RS, et al. Fc-gammaR-dependent antibody effector functions are required for vaccine-mediated protection against antigen-shifted variants of SARS-CoV-2. Nat Microbiol. 2023; 8(4):569–80.
- Butler SE, Crowley AR, Natarajan H, Xu S, Weiner JA, Bobak CA, et al. Distinct Features and Functions of Systemic and Mucosal Humoral Immunity Among SARS-CoV-2 Convalescent Individuals. Front Immunol. 2020; 11:618685. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.618685 PMID: 33584712
- Natarajan H, Xu S, Crowley AR, Butler SE, Weiner JA, Bloch EM, et al. Antibody attributes that predict the neutralization and effector function of polyclonal responses to SARS-CoV-2. BMC Immunol. 2022; 23(1):7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12865-022-00480-w PMID: 35172720
- Li D, Edwards RJ, Manne K, Martinez DR, Schafer A, Alam SM, et al. In vitro and in vivo functions of SARS-CoV-2 infection-enhancing and neutralizing antibodies. Cell. 2021; 184(16):4203–19 e32.
- Li D, Martinez DR, Schafer A, Chen H, Barr M, Sutherland LL, et al. Breadth of SARS-CoV-2 neutralization and protection induced by a nanoparticle vaccine. Nat Commun. 2022; 13(1):6309. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41467-022-33985-4</u> PMID: 36274085

- Alter G, Malenfant JM, Altfeld M. CD107a as a functional marker for the identification of natural killer cell activity. J Immunol Methods. 2004; 294(1–2):15–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2004.08.008 PMID: 15604012
- Saunders KO. Conceptual Approaches to Modulating Antibody Effector Functions and Circulation Half-Life. Front Immunol. 2019; 10:1296.
- Lo M, Kim HS, Tong RK, Bainbridge TW, Vernes JM, Zhang Y, et al. Effector-attenuating Substitutions That Maintain Antibody Stability and Reduce Toxicity in Mice. J Biol Chem. 2017; 292(9):3900–8. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.767749 PMID: 28077575
- Romain G, Senyukov V, Rey-Villamizar N, Merouane A, Kelton W, Liadi I, et al. Antibody Fc engineering improves frequency and promotes kinetic boosting of serial killing mediated by NK cells. Blood. 2014; 124(22):3241–9. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2014-04-569061 PMID: 25232058
- Chan CEZ, Seah SGK, Chye H, Massey S, Torres M, Lim APC, et al. The Fc-mediated effector functions of a potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody, SC31, isolated from an early convalescent COVID-19 patient, are essential for the optimal therapeutic efficacy of the antibody. PLoS One. 2021; 16(6):e0253487. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253487 PMID: 34161386
- Schafer A, Muecksch F, Lorenzi JCC, Leist SR, Cipolla M, Bournazos S, et al. Antibody potency, effector function, and combinations in protection and therapy for SARS-CoV-2 infection in vivo. J Exp Med. 2021; 218(3). https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20201993 PMID: 33211088
- Winkler ES, Gilchuk P, Yu J, Bailey AL, Chen RE, Chong Z, et al. Human neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 require intact Fc effector functions for optimal therapeutic protection. Cell. 2021; 184 (7):1804–20 e16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.02.026 PMID: 33691139
- Yamin R, Jones AT, Hoffmann HH, Schafer A, Kao KS, Francis RL, et al. Fc-engineered antibody therapeutics with improved anti-SARS-CoV-2 efficacy. Nature. 2021; 599(7885):465–70. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1038/s41586-021-04017-w PMID: 34547765
- Lazar GA, Dang W, Karki S, Vafa O, Peng JS, Hyun L, et al. Engineered antibody Fc variants with enhanced effector function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103(11):4005–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0508123103</u> PMID: 16537476
- Leist SR, Dinnon KH 3rd, Schafer A, Tse LV, Okuda K, Hou YJ, et al. A Mouse-Adapted SARS-CoV-2 Induces Acute Lung Injury and Mortality in Standard Laboratory Mice. Cell. 2020; 183(4):1070–85 e12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.050 PMID: 33031744
- Ye Q, Wang B, Mao J. The pathogenesis and treatment of the; Cytokine Storm' in COVID-19. J Infect. 2020; 80(6):607–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.037 PMID: 32283152
- Andrews N, Stowe J, Kirsebom F, Toffa S, Rickeard T, Gallagher E, et al. Covid-19 Vaccine Effectiveness against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) Variant. N Engl J Med. 2022; 386(16):1532–46. https://doi.org/ 10.1056/NEJMoa2119451 PMID: 35249272
- Hall V, Foulkes S, Insalata F, Kirwan P, Saei A, Atti A, et al. Protection against SARS-CoV-2 after Covid-19 Vaccination and Previous Infection. N Engl J Med. 2022; 386(13):1207–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2118691 PMID: 35172051</u>
- Andrews N, Tessier E, Stowe J, Gower C, Kirsebom F, Simmons R, et al. Duration of Protection against Mild and Severe Disease by Covid-19 Vaccines. N Engl J Med. 2022; 386(4):340–50. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2115481</u> PMID: 35021002
- Peng Y, Felce SL, Dong D, Penkava F, Mentzer AJ, Yao X, et al. An immunodominant NP(105–113)-B\*07:02 cytotoxic T cell response controls viral replication and is associated with less severe COVID-19 disease. Nat Immunol. 2022; 23(1):50–61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-021-01084-z</u> PMID: 34853448
- Ying B, Darling TL, Desai P, Liang CY, Dmitriev IP, Soudani N, et al. Mucosal vaccine-induced crossreactive CD8(+) T cells protect against SARS-CoV-2 XBB.1.5 respiratory tract infection. Nat Immunol. 2024; 25(3):537–51. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-024-01743-x PMID: 38337035
- Liu J, Yu J, McMahan K, Jacob-Dolan C, He X, Giffin V, et al. CD8 T cells contribute to vaccine protection against SARS-CoV-2 in macaques. Sci Immunol. 2022; 7(77):eabq7647.
- DiLillo DJ, Palese P, Wilson PC, Ravetch JV. Broadly neutralizing anti-influenza antibodies require Fc receptor engagement for in vivo protection. J Clin Invest. 2016; 126(2):605–10. https://doi.org/10.1172/ JCI84428 PMID: 26731473
- Moldoveanu B, Otmishi P, Jani P, Walker J, Sarmiento X, Guardiola J, et al. Inflammatory mechanisms in the lung. J Inflamm Res. 2009; 2:1–11. PMID: 22096348
- Spolski R, Li P, Leonard WJ. Biology and regulation of IL-2: from molecular mechanisms to human therapy. Nat Rev Immunol. 2018; 18(10):648–59. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-018-0046-y PMID: 30089912

- Butcher MJ, Zhu J. Recent advances in understanding the Th1/Th2 effector choice. Fac Rev. 2021; 10:30. https://doi.org/10.12703/r/10-30 PMID: 33817699
- Cocchi F, DeVico AL, Garzino-Demo A, Arya SK, Gallo RC, Lusso P. Identification of RANTES, MIP-1 alpha, and MIP-1 beta as the major HIV-suppressive factors produced by CD8+ T cells. Science. 1995; 270(5243):1811–5. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.270.5243.1811 PMID: 8525373
- Santa Cruz A, Mendes-Frias A, Oliveira AI, Dias L, Matos AR, Carvalho A, et al. Interleukin-6 Is a Biomarker for the Development of Fatal Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Pneumonia. Front Immunol. 2021; 12:613422. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.613422 PMID: 33679753
- Krutmann J, Kirnbauer R, Kock A, Schwarz T, Schopf E, May LT, et al. Cross-linking Fc receptors on monocytes triggers IL-6 production. Role in anti-CD3-induced T cell activation. J Immunol. 1990; 145 (5):1337–42. PMID: 2143517
- Lee WS, Wheatley AK, Kent SJ, DeKosky BJ. Antibody-dependent enhancement and SARS-CoV-2 vaccines and therapies. Nat Microbiol. 2020; 5(10):1185–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-00789-5 PMID: 32908214</u>
- 42. Bournazos S, Gupta A, Ravetch JV. The role of IgG Fc receptors in antibody-dependent enhancement. Nat Rev Immunol. 2020; 20(10):633–43. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-020-00410-0 PMID: 32782358
- Arvin AM, Fink K, Schmid MA, Cathcart A, Spreafico R, Havenar-Daughton C, et al. A perspective on potential antibody-dependent enhancement of SARS-CoV-2. Nature. 2020; 584(7821):353–63. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2538-8 PMID: 32659783</u>
- Taylor PC, Adams AC, Hufford MM, de la Torre I, Winthrop K, Gottlieb RL. Neutralizing monoclonal antibodies for treatment of COVID-19. Nat Rev Immunol. 2021; 21(6):382–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> s41577-021-00542-x PMID: 33875867
- 45. Fact sheet for health care providers emergency use authorization (EUA) of bamlanivimab and etesevimab.: US Food and Drug Administration; 2021 [Available from: <u>https://www.fda.gov/media/145802/</u> download.
- 46. Visciano ML, Tagliamonte M, Tornesello ML, Buonaguro FM, Buonaguro L. Effects of adjuvants on IgG subclasses elicited by virus-like particles. J Transl Med. 2012; 10:4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5876-10-4 PMID: 22221900</u>
- Hadjipetrou-Kourounakis L, Moller E. Adjuvants influence the immunoglobin subclass distribution of immune responses in vivo. Scand J Immunol. 1984; 19(3):219–25. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3083. 1984.tb00923.x PMID: 6424231
- Notarbartolo S, Ranzani V, Bandera A, Gruarin P, Bevilacqua V, Putignano AR, et al. Integrated longitudinal immunophenotypic, transcriptional and repertoire analyses delineate immune responses in COVID-19 patients. Sci Immunol. 2021; 6(62). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abg5021 PMID: 34376481
- **49.** Bergamaschi L, Mescia F, Turner L, Hanson AL, Kotagiri P, Dunmore BJ, et al. Longitudinal analysis reveals that delayed bystander CD8+ T cell activation and early immune pathology distinguish severe COVID-19 from mild disease. Immunity. 2021; 54(6):1257–75 e8.
- Saunders KO, Wiehe K, Tian M, Acharya P, Bradley T, Alam SM, et al. Targeted selection of HIV-specific antibody mutations by engineering B cell maturation. Science. 2019; 366(6470). <u>https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.aay7199 PMID: 31806786</u>
- Zivanov J, Nakane T, Forsberg BO, Kimanius D, Hagen WJ, Lindahl E, et al. New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure determination in RELION-3. Elife. 2018; 7. https://doi.org/10.7554/ eLife.42166 PMID: 30412051
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, et al. UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J Comput Chem. 2004; 25(13):1605–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084 PMID: 15264254
- Saunders KO, Lee E, Parks R, Martinez DR, Li D, Chen H, et al. Neutralizing antibody vaccine for pandemic and pre-emergent coronaviruses. Nature. 2021; 594(7864):553–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/</u> s41586-021-03594-0 PMID: 33971664
- 54. Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Hsieh CL, Abiona O, et al. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. Science. 2020; 367(6483):1260–3. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.abb2507 PMID: 32075877
- 55. Zhou T, Teng IT, Olia AS, Cerutti G, Gorman J, Nazzari A, et al. Structure-Based Design with Tag-Based Purification and In-Process Biotinylation Enable Streamlined Development of SARS-CoV-2 Spike Molecular Probes. Cell Rep. 2020; 33(4):108322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108322 PMID: 33091382

- Tay MZ, Liu P, Williams LD, McRaven MD, Sawant S, Gurley TC, et al. Antibody-Mediated Internalization of Infectious HIV-1 Virions Differs among Antibody Isotypes and Subclasses. PLoS Pathog. 2016; 12(8):e1005817. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005817 PMID: 27579713
- Schuster DJ, Karuna S, Brackett C, Wesley M, Li SS, Eisel N, et al. Lower SARS-CoV-2-specific humoral immunity in people living with HIV-1 recovered from nonhospitalized COVID-19. JCI Insight. 2022; 7(21). https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.158402 PMID: 36136590
- Neidich SD, Fong Y, Li SS, Geraghty DE, Williamson BD, Young WC, et al. Antibody Fc effector functions and IgG3 associate with decreased HIV-1 risk. J Clin Invest. 2019; 129(11):4838–49. https://doi. org/10.1172/JCl126391 PMID: 31589165
- **59.** Whittle JR, Zhang R, Khurana S, King LR, Manischewitz J, Golding H, et al. Broadly neutralizing human antibody that recognizes the receptor-binding pocket of influenza virus hemagglutinin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108(34):14216–21. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1111497108 PMID: 21825125