

**S3 Table.** Associations between temporal distributions of bureaucratic related rules changes (below the diagonal) and resource related rules changes (above the diagonal) among nine Dutch commons. Correlation matrix shows results from: **a)** Spearman rank correlation analyses ( $r_s$ ,  $P$ , and  $n$ -values) between pairs of commons. \* indicates that the association was statistically significant after Bonferroni correction ( $\alpha$ ,  $0.05/36 = 0.0014$ ). **b)** Pairwise maximal information (according to [1]).

a)	15	113	149	179	231	251	380	395	440
	<b>0.13013</b>	0.06665	0.05572	-0.06022	-0.07164	-0.02596	<b>0.26109*</b>	0.02203	
15	<b>0.0310</b>	0.2707	0.4883	0.3741	0.2814	0.6682	<b>&lt;.0001</b>	0.7161	
	<b>275</b>	275	157	220	228	275	<b>273</b>	275	
	0.09547	-0.02543	-0.00204	-0.04365	0.05534	0.01788	0.06804	<b>0.20834*</b>	
113	0.1142	0.6002	0.9763	0.4929	0.4035	0.7308	0.2473	<b>&lt;.0001</b>	
	275	427	215	249	230	373	291	<b>386</b>	
	0.04387	-0.02898		0.01418	-0.00418	-0.02182	0.07865	0.11113	0.05046
149	0.4687	0.5504		0.8343	0.9477	0.7421	0.1234	0.0583	0.3147
	275	427	220	249	230	385	291	399	
	0.02220	0.01303	0.03284		0.11640	0.02399	0.07614	-0.11823	0.04520
179	0.7825	0.8494	0.6281		0.1136	0.7641	0.2608	0.1429	0.5048
	157	215	220	186	159	220	155	220	
	0.03114	0.00444	0.01350	0.12110		0.01407	-0.10612	-0.05146	-0.08440
231	0.6460	0.9444	0.8321	0.0997		0.8349	0.0947	0.4497	0.1843
	220	249	249	186	222	249	218	249	
	-0.07312	0.06339	-0.02036	0.00307	-0.01556		0.07584	-0.04930	-0.02912
251	0.2716	0.3386	0.7587	0.9694	0.8177		0.2520	0.4608	0.6604
	228	230	230	159	222	230	226	230	
	0.02096	0.07157	<b>0.19639*</b>	0.07279	-0.06903	0.07990		0.02536	0.07700
380	0.7293	0.1678	<b>0.0001</b>	0.2824	0.2779	0.2274		0.6666	0.1315
	275	373	<b>385</b>	220	249	230	291	385	
	<b>0.26335*</b>	-0.00517	0.10349	-0.13264	-0.05507	-0.05138	0.01892		-0.00624
395	<b>&lt;.0001</b>	0.9300	0.0780	0.0999	0.4185	0.4421	0.7479		0.9156
	<b>273</b>	291	291	155	218	226	291	291	
	-0.00851	0.01332	0.03646	-0.00654	-0.01053	-0.03221	<b>0.15525</b>	0.04146	
440	0.8883	0.7941	0.4677	0.9232	0.8687	0.6270	<b>0.0023</b>	0.4811	
	275	386	399	220	249	230	<b>385</b>	291	

b)	15	113	149	179	231	251	380	395	440
15		0.00251	0.04375	0.0339	0.03461	0.00149	0.01928	0.04659	0.08534
113	0.00632		0.00061	0.02244	0.01715	0.05622	0.031	0.03393	0.07967
149	0.02637	0.00087		0.03282	0.00755	0.00037	0.00125	0.03079	0.02803
179	0.04999	0.0153	0.02236		0.08191	0.04344	0.07101	0.01768	0.0995
231	0.07775	0.03107	0.0161	0.05525		0.02575	0.02909	0.01992	0.082
251	0.00267	0.04344	0.00037	0.02032	0.01852		0.04332	0.0013	0.03845
380	0.01675	0.04071	0.00112	0.06567	0.01757	0.03091		0.04332	0.06903
395	0.07837	0.00399	0.04332	0.02218	0.0444	0.00169	0.03528		0.02221
440	0.06971	0.01846	0.01533	0.04531	0.04614	0.02009	0.06565	0.06372	

1. Reshef DN, Reshef YA, Finucane HK, Grossman SR, McVean G, Turnbaugh PJ, et al. Detecting Novel Associations in Large Data Sets. *Science*. 2011;334(6062):1518-24. doi: 10.1126/science.1205438.