S55 Table. Summary of Findings

| **rhG-CSF compared with standard care for COVID-19** |
| --- |
| **Patients or population:** Anyone with a diagnosis of COVID-19**Setting:** Any setting**Intervention:** rhG-CSF**Comparison:** Standard care |
| **Outcomes** | **Anticipated absolute effects\* (95% CI)** | **Relative effect (95% CI)** | **No of participants(studies)** | **Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)** | **Comments** |
| **Risk with standard care** | **Risk with****rhG-CSF** |
| **All-cause mortality***Follow-up: 60 days* | 130 per 1,000 | **3 per 1,000** | - | 200(1 RCT) | ⨁◯◯◯VERY LOW a,b,c | -  |
| **Serious adverse events***Follow-up: unknown* | 412 per 1,000 | **296 per 1,000** | - | 200(1 RCT) | ⨁◯◯◯VERY LOW a,b,c | Unclear if mortality is included |
| **Admission to intensive care** | - | - | - | - | - | Outcome not yet measured or reported |
| **Mechanical ventilation***Follow-up: 21 days* | 14 per 1,000 | **2 per 1,000** | - | 200(1 RCT) | ⨁◯◯◯VERY LOW a,b,c | - |
| **Renal replacement therapy** | - | - | - | - | - | Outcome not yet measured or reported |
| **Quality of Life** | - | - | - | - | - | Outcome not yet measured or reported |
| **Non-serious adverse events***Follow-up: unknown* | 461 per 1,000 | **929 per 1,000** | - | 200(1 RCT) | ⨁◯◯◯VERY LOW a,b,c | - |
| \*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).**RR:** Risk ratio **CI:** Confidence interval; **GRADE:** GRADE Working Group grades of evidence |
| **GRADE Working Group grades of evidence****High certainty:** We are very confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect**Moderate certainty:** We are moderately confident in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different**Low certainty:** Our confidence in the effect estimate is limited: The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect**Very low certainty:** We have very little confidence in the effect estimate: The true effect is likely to be substantially different from the estimate of effect |

**Explanations**

a. Downgraded 2 for risk of bias

b. Downgraded 1 for indirectness due to a single study from a single country, therefore results in this population might not be generalizable to other settings

c. Downgraded 2 for imprecision due to low number of participants and few or no events