

S12 Appendix. COREQ-checklist and Research group.

Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist.

| No. Item | Guide questions/description | Reported on Page # |
|--|--|--------------------|
| Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity | | |
| <i>Personal Characteristics</i> | | |
| 1. Inter viewer/facilitator | Which author/s conducted the inter view or focus group? | Page 12 |
| 2. Credentials | What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD | Appendix 12 |
| 3. Occupation | What was their occupation at the time of the study? | Appendix 12 |
| 4. Gender | Was the researcher male or female? | Appendix 12 |
| 5. Experience and training | What experience or training did the researcher have? | Page 12 |
| <i>Relationship with participants</i> | | |
| 6. Relationship established | Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? | S7-10 |
| 7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer | What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research | Page 13 and S7-8 |
| 8. Interviewer characteristics | What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic | Page 12 |

| | | |
|--|--|--------------------|
| Domain 2: study design | | |
| <i>Theoretical framework</i> | | |
| 9. Methodological orientation and Theory | What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis | Page 8 |
| <i>Participant selection</i> | | |
| 10. Sampling | How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball | Page 6 |
| 11. Method of approach | How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email | Page 6 |
| 12. Sample size | How many participants were in the study? | Page 6 |
| 13. Non-participation | How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? | Page 35 |
| <i>Setting</i> | | |
| 14. Setting of data collection | Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace | Page 12 |
| 15. Presence of non-participants | Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? | No |
| 16. Description of sample | What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date | Page 6 S1 Table |
| <i>Data collection</i> | | |
| 17. Interview guide | Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? | Appendix 7-8 |
| 18. Repeat interviews | Were repeat inter views carried out? If yes, how many? | x |
| 19. Audio/visual recording | Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? | Page 12 |
| 20. Field notes | Were field notes made during and/or after the inter view or focus group? | Page 12 |
| 21. Duration | What was the duration of the inter views or focus group? | Page 28 |

| | | |
|--|---|---------|
| | | |
| 22. Data saturation | Was data saturation discussed? | Page 6 |
| 23. Transcripts returned | Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction? | x |
| Domain 3: analysis and findings | | |
| <i>Data analysis</i> | | |
| 24. Number of data coders | How many data coders coded the data? | Page 2 |
| 25. Description of the coding tree | Did authors provide a description of the coding tree? | no |
| 26. Derivation of themes | Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data? | no |
| 27. Software | What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data? | Page 13 |
| 28. Participant checking | Did participants provide feedback on the findings? | no |
| <i>Reporting</i> | | |
| 29. Quotations presented | Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number | yes |
| 30. Data and findings consistent | Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings? | yes |
| 31. Clarity of major themes | Were major themes clearly presented in the findings? | yes |
| 32. Clarity of minor themes | Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes? | yes |

Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

| Researchers | Credentials | Occupation | Gender | Experiences |
|-------------------|-------------|--|--------|-------------|
| Janneke Fischer | BSc | Master student Biomedical Sciences, VU-University Amsterdam | Female | Medium |
| Benita Jansen | BSc | Master student International Public Health, VU-University Amsterdam | Female | Medium |
| Alberto Rivera | MD PhD | Head of South American Affaires of the DAHW | Male | High |
| Libardo J. Gómez | MD | Coordinator at the DAHW, Medical consultant | Male | High |
| Martha C. Barbosa | - | Social worker at the DAHW, research supporter | Female | High |
| Jorge L. Bilbao | MD | Head of Faculty of Health Sciences at University Metropolitana, Barranquilla | Male | High |
| José M. González | BSc | Master student Medicine at University Metropolitana, Barranquilla | Male | Low |
| Luis Restrepo | BSc | Master student Medicine at University Metropolitana, Barranquilla | Male | Low |
| Yesenia Vidal | PhD | PhD, head of Molecular Biology at University Metropolitana, Barranquilla | Female | High |
| Ruth M. H. Peters | PhD | Postdoc and lecturer at VU University, Amsterdam | Female | High |

