

S1 Appendix. The implementation process of the committee and influencing factors.

	AGENDA-SETTING	MATCHING	REDEFINING/RESTRUCTURING	CLARIFYING	ROUTINIZING
Content of implementation process	<p>Elaboration of patient engagement within one department (policy, compensation, etc.)</p> <p>Training for participation</p> <p>Creation of self-help group</p> <p>Introduction of citizen psychiatry (CP) and recovery (R) combination</p>	<p>Organization of civic forum</p> <p>Field research visits to explore recovery and citizenship psychiatry (France inspiring)</p> <p>Conceptualization of full citizenship vision</p> <p>Mandate assigned for realization of patient engagement within the new vision</p>	<p>Establishment of committee for patient engagement</p> <p>Researcher and member of initiating department as first members (chairs)</p> <p>Inclusion of several departments, the user committee, two patient partners (one as coordinator)</p> <p>Change of chairperson</p> <p>Elaboration of mandate and role of committee</p> <p>Budget from executive management</p>	<p>Elaboration of centralized system:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Formal policy and procedures - Request form - Compensation form - Tracking mechanism <p>Reflection on functioning participation</p> <p>Removal of discriminating organizational structures</p> <p>Communication and promotion</p>	<p>Ongoing application of procedures</p> <p>Creation of evaluation mechanism</p> <p>Increased participation (activities and patient partners)</p>
Organizational and environmental factors (facilitating (+)/limiting (-))	Executive management support (+)				
	Collaboration with self-help group (+)				
	Resistance towards participation (especially in the beginning) and stigma (-)				
	Mental health policy (MHAP 2005-2010) (+)	Vision of full citizenship (+)			
		Obligation to evolve in research and innovative practices (+)	Financial resources (+)		
	Management support in initiating department (+)	Leadership of key actors in executive management /research department (+)	“Standard-bearers” of full citizenship vision (+)		
		Difficulty in sharing the vision (-)	Supportive network (+)		
		International influence (+)	Shared leadership management/research (+)		Reform in healthcare system (-)