

S6. Regression results.

Table A. Ordered probit model on the amount taken from player B.

	Ordered Probit
Wave 2	0.837*** (0.176)
Wave 3	2.006*** (0.247)
T2	0.0718 (0.0939)
T3	-0.252** (0.100)
T4	-0.552*** (0.149)
Observations	420
Cluster per subjects	yes
Prob > Chi2	0.0000
Pseudo R ²	0.1310

Notes: Ordered Probit regression. Standard errors in parentheses, clustered at subjects level.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.

**Significant at the 5 percent level.

* Significant at the 10 percent level

Table B. Ordered logit model on the amount taken from player B.

	Ordered Logit
Wave 2	1.439*** (0.296)
Wave 3	3.461*** (0.502)
T2	0.0832 (0.157)
T3	-0.501*** (0.184)
T4	-0.986*** (0.276)
Observations	420
Cluster per subjects	yes
Prob > Chi2	0.0000
Pseudo R ²	0.1289

Notes: Ordered logit regression. Standard errors in parentheses, clustered at subjects level.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.

**Significant at the 5 percent level.

* Significant at the 10 percent level

Table C. Hurdle model on the amount given to player B.

	Logit Participate	Truncated linear regression Tau
Wave 2	-0.813* (0.454)	-6.257 (164.1)
Wave 3	-0.177 (0.252)	165.7 (172.6)
T2	0.359* (0.195)	126.4 (324.1)
T3	-0.270 (0.342)	650.5** (290.5)
T4	-0.270 (0.294)	-1202.2 (943.1)
Constant	-1.414*** (0.232)	-283.9 (423.8)
Observations	420	21(!)
Cluster per subjects	yes	yes

Notes: Hurdle model on amount given to Player B. Standard errors in parentheses, clustered at subjects level. Wald test has $\chi^2(5)=32.17$ (p-value<0.001)

***Significant at the 1 percent level.

**Significant at the 5 percent level.

* Significant at the 10 percent level

Table D. Ordered probit model on the amount given to player B.

	Ordered Probit
Wave 2	-0.792* (0.438)
Wave 3	-0.142 (0.245)
G2	0.326 (0.216)
G3	-0.180 (0.341)
G4	-0.352 (0.278)
Observations	420
Cluster per subjects	yes
Prob > Chi2	0.0000
Pseudo R ²	0.0527

Notes: Ordered probit regression on amount given to player B. Standard errors in parentheses, clustered at subjects level.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.

**Significant at the 5 percent level.

* Significant at the 10 percent level

Table E. Ordered logit model on the amount given to player B.

	Ordered Logit
Wave 2	-1.784 (1.111)
Wave 3	-0.329 (0.517)
G2	0.734* (0.431)
G3	-0.516 (0.800)
G4	-0.584 (0.658)
Observations	420
Cluster per subjects	yes
Prob > Chi2	0.0000
Pseudo R ²	0.0524

Notes: Ordered logit regression on amount given to player B. Standard errors in parentheses, clustered at subjects level.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.

**Significant at the 5 percent level.

* Significant at the 10 percent level

Table F. Logit model on decisions made in the PD games.

	Logit regression
Wave 2	0.663*** (0.200)
Wave 3	0.655*** (0.214)
PD II	0.0772 (0.0969)
B chooses D	0.177 (0.168)
Constant	0.319 (0.213)
Observations	240
Cluster per subjects	yes
Prob > Chi2	0.0065
Pseudo R ²	0.0535

Notes: Logit regression on choosing option *c* or *d* with *c*=0 and *d*=1. Standard errors in parentheses, clustered at subjects level.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.

**Significant at the 5 percent level.

* Significant at the 10 percent level

Table G. Probit model on decisions made in the PD games.

	Probit regression
Wave 2	1.142*** (0.354)
Wave 3	1.142*** (0.379)
PD II	0.126 (0.162)
B chooses D	0.315 (0.299)
Constant	0.499 (0.350)
Observations	240
Cluster per subjects	yes
Prob > Chi2	0.0071
Pseudo R ²	0.0535

Notes: Probit regression on choosing option *c* or *d* with *c*=0 and *d*=1. Standard errors in parentheses, clustered at subjects level.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.

**Significant at the 5 percent level.

* Significant at the 10 percent level