

1     **An activity index for raw accelerometry data and its**  
2             **comparison with other activity metrics:**

3                     **Supplementary Materials**

4     Jiawei Bai<sup>1\*</sup>, Chongzhi Di<sup>2</sup>, Luo Xiao<sup>3</sup>, Kelly R. Evenson<sup>4</sup>, Andrea Z. LaCroix<sup>5</sup>, Ciprian M.  
5     Crainiceanu<sup>1</sup> and David M. Buchner<sup>6</sup>

6  
7     <sup>1</sup> Department of Biostatistics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, US

8     <sup>2</sup> Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington, US

9     <sup>3</sup> Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University at Raleigh, Raleigh, North Carolina, US

10    <sup>4</sup> Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina –  
11    Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, US

12    <sup>5</sup> Division of Epidemiology, Department of Family Medicine and Public Health, University of California,  
13    San Diego, La Jolla, California, US

14    <sup>6</sup> Department of Kinesiology and Community Health, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,  
15    Champaign, Illinois, US

16  
17    \* Corresponding author

18    Email: [jbai@jhsph.edu](mailto:jbai@jhsph.edu)

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 We first illustrate in detail how Activity Index (AI) is formalized. Then, we discuss the three  
 28 properties of AI more rigorously.

## 29 **Definitions and mathematical formula of AI**

30 We first introduce notations. Denote the data by  $\mathbf{X}_i(t) = \{X_{i1}(t), X_{i2}(t), X_{i3}(t)\}$  ( $t = 1, 2, \dots, T_i$ ),  
 31 where  $T_i$  is the length of the accelerometer time series for Participant  $i$ . Let  $f$  denote the sample  
 32 rate ( $f = 30\text{Hz}$  in our study) and  $H$  be the window size of raw data to be summarized into one  
 33 AI measure, where  $H \geq f$  (windows size is no less than the sample rate). Thus, we limit the  
 34 smallest window length to calculate AI (i.e., epoch length) to be 1-second. Define  $\sigma_i(t; H) =$   
 35  $\{\sigma_{i1}(t; H), \sigma_{i2}(t; H), \sigma_{i3}(t; H)\}$  to be the standard deviation function for data from a time interval  
 36 of length  $H$  starting at time  $t$ . More specifically,

$$37 \quad \sigma_{im}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \{X_{im}(t+h) - \sum_{k=0}^{H-1} X_{im}(t+k)/H\}^2}{H}}, m = 1, 2, 3. \quad (S1)$$

38 As described in the main text, the  $\sigma_{im}^2(t; H)$  are axis- and participant-specific moving variance  
 39 that characterize the variation of acceleration along each axis in the window of length  $H$  starting  
 40 at  $t$ . We then introduce  $\bar{\sigma}_i$  as the systematic standard deviation when the device is placed steady  
 41 (not moving). Formally, for every  $t/H \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$42 \quad \bar{\sigma}_i = \frac{\sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_i \text{ and } t/H \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} \{ \sigma_{i1}^2(t; H) + \sigma_{i2}^2(t; H) + \sigma_{i3}^2(t; H) \}}}{|\{t \in \mathcal{T}_i \text{ and } t/H \in \mathbb{Z}\}|}, \quad (S2)$$

43 where  $\mathcal{T}_i$  stands for collection of time points  $t$  during which the device is considered steady.

44 Constraint  $t/H \in \mathbb{Z}$  guarantees  $\sigma_{im}(t)$ 's are only computed at time point which is a multiple of  
 45  $H$ , so that  $|\{t \in \mathcal{T}_i \text{ and } t/H \in \mathbb{Z}\}|$  is the number of complete epochs (of length  $H$ ) in  $\mathcal{T}_i$ . Usually,  
 46  $\mathcal{T}_i$  can be specified by the users themselves, and examples include the time period during which

47 the accelerometer is placed on the table. The variances  $\bar{\sigma}_i^2$  are the participant-specific systematic  
 48 noise of acceleration and are calculated as the variance of the observed raw accelerometry data  
 49 across all three axes in periods of non-movement.

50 Formally, the Activity Index  $AI_i^{new}(t; H)$  is given by either

$$51 \quad AI_i^{ABS}(t; H) = \sqrt{\max\left(\frac{1}{3}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^3 \sigma_{im}^2(t; H) - \bar{\sigma}_i^2\right\}, 0\right)} \quad (S3)$$

52 in absolute scale or

$$53 \quad AI_i^{REL}(t; H) = \sqrt{\max\left(\frac{1}{3}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^3 \frac{\sigma_{im}^2(t; H) - \bar{\sigma}_i^2}{\bar{\sigma}_i^2}\right\}, 0\right)} \quad (S4)$$

54 in relative scale. As  $AI_i^{new}(t; H)$  is defined at every  $t \in [1, T_i]$ , it is guaranteed to exist for each  
 55 second. Note that Equations (S3) and (S4) are identical to Equations (1) and (2) in the main text,  
 56 respectively.

57 Note that the  $AI_i^{new}(t; H)$  is related to, but different from the original  $AI_0$  defined by

$$58 \quad AI_i^0(t; H) = \max\left(\frac{1}{3}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^3 \frac{\sigma_{im}(t; H) - \bar{\sigma}'_{im}}{\bar{\sigma}'_{im}}\right\}, 0\right), \quad (S5)$$

59 where  $\bar{\sigma}'_{im}$  is the systematic noise on each axis computed using the inactive time period, which  
 60 depends on choosing a threshold  $C$  for the distribution of  $AI_0$  in all epochs. The main differences  
 61 between the original and new AIs are how the systematic noise variance is calculated and how  
 62 signals from three axes are combined. As will be shown below, compared to the original  $AI_0$ , the  
 63 new AI has a few advantages, including ease for implementation especially in large-scale studies  
 64 and nice mathematical properties such as additivity and rotational invariance.

## 65 **Properties of AI**

## 66 **Easy implementation**

67 As discussed in Bai et al. (2014), the original  $AI_0$  depends on the choice of a threshold  $C$  to  
 68 determine whether a participant was active or not in each second to calculate the systematic  
 69 noise  $\sigma'_{im}(t; H)$ . Although  $AI_i^0(t; H)$  was shown not to vary too much with  $C$ , this process was  
 70 tedious and infeasible in large scale studies and might not lead to an  $AI_0$  comparable across  
 71 different studies. In contrast, Equation (S2) implies that  $\bar{\sigma}_i$  is determined using “non-wear  
 72 periods”, which could easily be identified either by existing algorithms, by pilot studies or via  
 73 participants' self-annotations.

## 74 **Additivity**

75 Additivity of  $AI_i^{new}(t; H)$  could be formalized as follow. First, let  $H = f$  and calculate second-  
 76 by-second  $AI_i^{new}(t; f)$ . Then,  $AI_i^{new}(t; f)$ 's are summed up to generate AI in longer epochs. For  
 77 example, minute-by-minute AI satisfies

$$78 \quad AI_i^{new}(t; 60f) = \sum_{s=0}^{59} AI_i^{new}(t + sf; f),$$

79 where  $AI_i^{new}(t; 60f)$  is only defined at  $\{t: t/(60f) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

## 80 **Rotational Invariance**

81 In this section we formally prove the rotational invariance of  $AI_i^{new}(t; H)$ . This property is  
 82 achieved by i) replacing axis-specific  $\bar{\sigma}_{i1}$ ,  $\bar{\sigma}_{i2}$  and  $\bar{\sigma}_{i3}$  in (S5) with one single  $\bar{\sigma}_i$  as in (S3) or (S4)  
 83 and ii) change standard deviations to variances. Since  $AI_i^{ABS}(t; H)$  and  $AI_i^{REL}(t; H)$  are directly  
 84 proportional, we only give the proof of rotational invariance for  $AI_i^{REL}(t; H)$  and one can easily  
 85 follow the same flow to verify such property for  $AI_i^{ABS}(t; H)$ . Let an orthogonal  $3 \times 3$  matrix

86  $R = \{r_{mm}\}_{3 \times 3}$  be the rotation matrix. In another word, rotating  $\mathbf{X}_i(t) = \{X_{i1}(t), X_{i2}(t), X_{i3}(t)\}$   
 87 with respect to  $R$  could be formally written as

$$88 \quad \mathbf{X}_i^*(t) = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^3 r_{1m} X_{im}(t), \sum_{m=1}^3 r_{2m} X_{im}(t), \sum_{m=1}^3 r_{3m} X_{im}(t) \right\},$$

89 while the rotated  $\mathbf{X}_i^*(t) = \{X_{i1}^*(t), X_{i2}^*(t), X_{i3}^*(t)\}$  satisfies

$$90 \quad [X_{i1}^*(t)]^2 + [X_{i2}^*(t)]^2 + [X_{i3}^*(t)]^2 = [X_{i1}(t)]^2 + [X_{i2}(t)]^2 + [X_{i3}(t)]^2, \quad (S6)$$

91 as such rotation does not change the distance from any point to the origin. Further, introduce the  
 92 mean functions  $\mu_{im}(t; N) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_{im}(t+n-1)$  and  $\mu_{im}^*(t; N) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N X_{im}^*(t+n-1)$  for

93 convenience. It can be verified that the point

$$94 \quad \{\mu_{i1}(t; N), \mu_{i2}(t; N), \mu_{i3}(t; N)\}$$

95 is the counterpart of the point

$$96 \quad \{\mu_{i1}^*(t; N), \mu_{i2}^*(t; N), \mu_{i3}^*(t; N)\}$$

97 before the rotation  $R$ . Since Equation (S6) holds for any pair of original and rotated points, it  
 98 guarantees

$$99 \quad [\mu_{i1}^*(t; N)]^2 + [\mu_{i2}^*(t; N)]^2 + [\mu_{i3}^*(t; N)]^2 = [\mu_{i1}(t; N)]^2 + [\mu_{i2}(t; N)]^2 + [\mu_{i3}(t; N)]^2. \quad (S7)$$

100 Finally, together with (S1) and (S4), the rotational invariance can be verified as follow

$$101 \quad AI_i^{REL}(t; H) = \sqrt{\max\left(\frac{1}{3} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^3 \frac{\sigma_{im}^2(t; H) - \bar{\sigma}_i^2}{\bar{\sigma}_i^2} \right\}, 0\right)}$$

$$102 \quad = \sqrt{\max\left(\frac{1}{3\bar{\sigma}_i^2} \sum_{m=1}^3 \sigma_{im}^2(t; H) - 1, 0\right)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 103 \quad &= \sqrt{\max\left(\frac{1}{3H\bar{\sigma}_i^2} \sum_{m=1}^3 \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \{X_{im}(t+h) - \mu_{im}(t;H)\}^2 - 1, 0\right)} \\
 104 \quad &= \sqrt{\max\left(\frac{1}{3H\bar{\sigma}_i^2} \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^3 \{X_{im}(t+h)\}^2 - H \sum_{m=1}^3 \{\mu_{im}(t;H)\}^2 \right] - 1, 0\right)} \\
 105 \quad &= \sqrt{\max\left(\frac{1}{3H\bar{\sigma}_i^2} \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^3 \{X_{im}^*(t+h)\}^2 - H \sum_{m=1}^3 \{\mu_{im}^*(t;H)\}^2 \right] - 1, 0\right)} \\
 106 \quad &= AI_i^{REL*}(t;H),
 \end{aligned}$$

107 where  $AI_i^{REL*}(t;H)$  is the relative scale AI based on rotated data  $\mathbf{X}_i^*(t) = \{X_{i1}^*(t), X_{i2}^*(t), X_{i3}^*(t)\}$ .

108