S4 Table. Journal practices related to reviewers and the review process (absolute numbers and %)

	No Frequency (%)	Yes (%. Frequency)	I don't know (%. Frequency)
Do you have any public rewards for good reviewers?	181 (60.7)	113 (37.9)	4 (1.3)
Do you have any policy to add good reviewers to the advisory	133 (44.6)	147 (49.3)	18 (6.0)
board after specific years?			
Have you tried to implement any crowd-sourcing techniques to	274 (91.9)	17 (5.7)	7 (2.3)
engage more reviewers?			
Do you use any review quality instrument to engage authors in	232 (77.9)	59 (19.8)	7 (2.3)
evaluating the reviewers?			
Has your journal recently organized debates on a specific theme or	148 (49.7)	143(48.0)	7 (2.3)
finding?			
Has your journal published any replication studies in the last	216 (72.5)	31 (10.4)	51 (17.1)
two years?			

n= 298 journals