

Figure S2. Results of the Huisman-Olff-Frescoe (HOF) hierarchical model fitting process.

Models are shown for rainforest bird density responses across the temperature gradient in the study region. Models tested were flat (light blue), plateau (green), monotonic (dark blue), unimodal (Gaussian) (red) and skewed (black). AIC values (upper right of each plot) were used to select the most appropriate model in each case (plotted lines shown in bold).




Figure S2 (CONTINUED). Results of the Huisman-Olff-Frescoe (HOF) hierarchical model fitting process. Models are shown for rainforest bird density responses across the temperature gradient in the study region. Models tested were flat (light blue), plateau (green), monotonic (dark blue), unimodal (Gaussian) (red) and skewed (black). AIC values (upper right of each plot) were used to select the most appropriate model in each case (plotted lines shown in bold).




Figure S2 (CONTINUED). Results of the Huisman-Olff-Frescoe (HOF) hierarchical model fitting process. Models are shown for rainforest bird density responses across the temperature gradient in the study region. Models tested were flat (light blue), plateau (green), monotonic (dark blue), unimodal (Gaussian) (red) and skewed (black). AIC values (upper right of each plot) were used to select the most appropriate model in each case (plotted lines shown in bold).




Figure S2 (CONTINUED). Results of the Huisman-Olff-Frescoe (HOF) hierarchical model fitting process. Models are shown for rainforest bird density responses across the temperature gradient in the study region. Models tested were flat (light blue), plateau (green), monotonic (dark blue), unimodal (Gaussian) (red) and skewed (black). AIC values (upper right of each plot) were used to select the most appropriate model in each case (plotted lines shown in bold).




Figure S2 (CONTINUED). Results of the Huisman-Olff-Frescoe (HOF) hierarchical model fitting process. Models are shown for rainforest bird density responses across the temperature gradient in the study region. Models tested were flat (light blue), plateau (green), monotonic (dark blue), unimodal (Gaussian) (red) and skewed (black). AIC values (upper right of each plot) were used to select the most appropriate model in each case (plotted lines shown in bold).




Figure S2 (CONTINUED). Results of the Huisman-Olff-Frescoe (HOF) hierarchical model fitting process. Models are shown for rainforest bird density responses across the temperature gradient in the study region. Models tested were flat (light blue), plateau (green), monotonic (dark blue), unimodal (Gaussian) (red) and skewed (black). AIC values (upper right of each plot) were used to select the most appropriate model in each case (plotted lines shown in bold).




Figure S2 (CONTINUED). Results of the Huisman-Olff-Frescoe (HOF) hierarchical model fitting process. Models are shown for rainforest bird density responses across the temperature gradient in the study region. Models tested were flat (light blue), plateau (green), monotonic (dark blue), unimodal (Gaussian) (red) and skewed (black). AIC values (upper right of each plot) were used to select the most appropriate model in each case (plotted lines shown in bold).