

Supplementary Table S3. Comparison of D_i/D_c between endosymbiotic vs. free-living bacterial species, across seven functional categories.

Two comparisons of endosymbionts vs. free-living bacteria considered: (a) *Buchnera APS* - *Buchnera SG* vs. *Acinetobacter sp.* - *P. putida*, and (b) *Blochmannia floridanus* - *Blochmannia pennsylvanicus* vs. *E. coli* - *Shewanella sp.* For each of the seven functional categories considered, D_i/D_c is higher in endosymbionts (pair A) than in related free-living bacteria (pair B). For most comparisons, the lower bound of the 99% confidence interval of $[(D_i/D_c \text{ pair A}) / (D_i/D_c \text{ pair B})]$ (or, the 'elevation index') exceeds one. In addition, sign tests and Wilcoxon tests are highly significant for most comparisons. The few non-significant results were generally those functional categories with relatively few genes and therefore small sample sizes in the statistical tests. The total number of orthologs across the seven functional categories (385) is greater than the 256 considered when functional groups are combined, because some genes are assigned to more than one category and therefore included more than once in the analysis. The values shown here parallel those presented in Table 6 for the combined dataset. (Data presented here for "all" functional categories also appear in Table 6.)

	pair A	pair B	Functional category	# orthologs used			$(D_i/D_c \text{ pair A}) / (D_i/D_c \text{ pair B})^a$			sign test				Wilcoxon test	
					median D_i/D_c pair A	median D_i/D_c pair B	median	mean	(99% confidence interval)	D_i/D_c higher in pair A	D_i/D_c higher in pair B	% of genes with D_i/D_c higher in pair A	p^b	Chi Square	p^c
(a)	<i>BuchAPS</i> - <i>BuchSG</i> vs. <i>Acinet.sp</i> - <i>P.putida</i>		all	256	0.7143	0.5642	1.23	1.29	(1.23 - 1.36)	202	54	78.9%	1.4E-21	104.4	1.70E-24
			Cell processes	23	0.6993	0.5703	1.17	1.23	(1.04 - 1.41)	18	5	78.3%	0.0053	6.2	0.013
			Cell structure	73	0.6581	0.5535	1.22	1.25	(1.12 - 1.37)	54	19	74.0%	2.5E-05	16.9	3.93E-05
			Info transfer	122	0.7120	0.5600	1.25	1.28	(1.20 - 1.37)	93	29	76.2%	2.6E-09	43.6	4.08E-11
			Metabolism	112	0.7207	0.5815	1.22	1.27	(1.18 - 1.36)	90	22	80.4%	3.0E-11	44.2	2.98E-11
			Regulation	19	0.7286	0.5695	1.22	1.21	(0.999 - 1.43)	13	6	68.4%	0.084	5.7	0.017
			Transport	22	0.6549	0.5618	1.18	1.26	(1.02 - 1.50)	19	3	86.4%	4.3E-04	8.2	0.0042
			Unclassified	14	0.7565	0.5440	1.45	1.64	(1.03 - 2.24)	12	2	85.7%	0.0065	14.5	1.37E-04
(b)	<i>Bloch.flor</i> - <i>Bloch.pe</i> vs. <i>E.coli</i> - <i>Shew.sp.</i>		all	256	0.6552	0.5643	1.17	1.22	(1.17 - 1.28)	185	71	72.3%	3.4E-13	60.9	5.87E-15
			Cell processes	23	0.5735	0.5697	1.10	1.21	(0.95 - 1.47)	14	9	60.9%	0.20	3.1	0.081
			Cell structure	73	0.6021	0.5645	1.06	1.18	(1.06 - 1.31)	45	28	61.6%	0.030	6.6	0.010
			Info transfer	122	0.6458	0.5693	1.17	1.21	(1.13 - 1.29)	89	33	73.0%	2.0E-07	25.6	4.11E-07
			Metabolism	112	0.6739	0.5664	1.16	1.20	(1.12 - 1.28)	81	31	72.3%	1.3E-06	26.0	3.34E-07
			Regulation	19	0.6135	0.5558	1.20	1.40	(1.09 - 1.71)	14	5	73.7%	3.2E-02	7.6	0.0058
			Transport	22	0.5859	0.5253	1.07	1.16	(0.97 - 1.35)	15	7	68.2%	0.067	2.7	0.10
			Unclassified	14	0.7152	0.5288	1.41	1.39	(1.06 - 1.72)	11	3	78.6%	0.029	8.1	0.0044

^aFor each comparison between two genome pairs, the ratio of the two D_i/D_c values is the 'elevation index' described in the text and represented in Figures 3 and 4. The index was calculated for each of the orthologs considered, and then the subsequent calculations (of median, mean, and confidence intervals) were performed.

^bSignificance of the sign test was evaluated using an exact one-sided binomial test of the null hypothesis that D_i/D_c is not higher in the endosymbiont pair. Boldface values reflect significance at the $p < 0.05$ level.

^cSignificance of the Wilcoxon test was evaluated using a ChiSquare approximation. Boldface values reflect significance at the $p < 0.05$ level.