S1 Table: Analysis of the data based on the gender variable. The rows show differences based on Kruskall-Wallis ANOVA on Ranks, since data did not pass normality test (Test Shapiro-Wilk).

Gender (Female, Male, Preferred not to say)	Beauty – photo	H(2) = 1.417, p = 0.492
	Beauty – illustration	H(2) = 2.081, p = 0.353
	Beauty – black and white	H(2) = 2.084, p = 0.353
	Beauty – colour	H(2) = 0.594, p = 0.743
	Beauty – 2D	H(2) = 0.786, p = 0.675
	Beauty – 3D	H(2) = 1.453, p = 0.484
	Scientific – photo	H(2) = 1.058, p = 0.589
	Scientific – illustration	H(2) = 5.422, p = 0.066
	Scientific – black and white	H(2) = 0.00154, p = 0.999
	Scientific – colour	H(2) = 1.953, p = 0.377
	Scientific – 2D	H(2) = 1.328, p = 0.515
	Scientific – 3D	H(2) = 5.199, p = 0.074
	Realism – photo	H(2) = 0.757, p = 0.685
	Realism – illustration	H(2) = 5.063, p = 0.080
	Realism – black and white	H(2) = 0.131, p = 0.936
	Realism – colour	H(2) = 1.568, p = 0.457
	Realism – 2D	H(2) = 0.504, p = 0.777
	Realism – 3D	H(2) = 4.431, p = 0.109
	Contagious – photo	H(2) = 8.119, p = 0.017*
	Contagious – illustration	H(2) = 3.541, p = 0.170
	Contagious – black and white	H(2) = 9.207, p = 0.010*
	Contagious – colour	H(2) = 4.650, p = 0.098
	Contagious – 2D	H(2) = 8.950, p = 0.011*
	Contagious – 3D	H(2) = 3.308, p = 0.191
	Scary – photo	H(2) = 7.534, p = 0.023*
	Scary – illustration	H(2) = 4.586, p = 0.101
	Scary – black and white	H(2) = 8.161, p = 0.017*
	Scary – colour	H(2) = 5.460, p = 0.065
	Scary – 2D	H(2) = 8.396, p = 0.015*
	Scary – 3D	H(2) = 4.239, p = 0.120
	Didactic – photo	H(2) = 3.649, p = 0.161
	Didactic – illustration	H(2) = 4.073, p = 0.131
	Didactic – black and white	H(2) = 1.834, p = 0.400
	Didactic – colour	H(2) = 3.026, p = 0.220
	Didactic – 2D	H(2) = 4.235, p = 0.120
	Didactic – 3D	H(2) = 3.257, p = 0.196

^{*} After computing all pairwise multiple comparison procedures (Dunn's Method), to isolate the group that differ from the others, we found that the group was "Preferred not to say". Since this group was formed by just 2 participants, these results are not understood as relevant for our study. No significant differences were found between female and male groups.