**Table S7. Banking arrangements among heterosexual couples in Australia, HILDA Survey top-up sample excluded.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Joint accountvs. no jointaccount | Banking arrangements (ref. partners have only a joint account) |
| Joint+man separate | Joint+woman separate | Joint+bothseparate | Both separate only |
| *Hypothesis 1 a* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total income (IHS) | 1.30\*\*\* | 1.33\*\*\* | 1.09 | 1.32\*\*\* | 0.95 |
| Relative resources (ref. similar contribution) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Women contribute 60%+ | 0.77 | 1.26 | 1.62\*\*\* | 1.43\*\* | 1.59\*\*\* |
|  Men contribute 60%+ | 1.09 | 1.11 | 1.28\*\* | 1.01 | 1.06 |
| N (observations) | 14,291 | 14,291 |
| N (couples) | 5,966 | 5,966 |
| AIC/BIC | 10,173/10,309 | 37,925/38,448 |
| *Hypothesis 2 b* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of dependent children | 1.35\*\*\* | 0.88\*\* | 0.93 | 0.78\*\*\* | 0.76\*\*\* |
| N (observations) | 14,291 | 14,291 |
| N (couples) | 5,966 | 5,966 |
| AIC/BIC | 10,140/10,269 | 37,856/38,348 |
| *Hypothesis 3 c* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Relationship history (ref. both 1st relationship) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Men 1st relationship and women 2nd+ | 0.19\*\*\* | 1.62 | 2.20\*\*\* | 1.83\* | 3.12\*\*\* |
|  Women 1st relationship and men 2nd+ | 0.29\*\*\* | 1.93\*\* | 1.69\* | 1.83\*\* | 2.48\*\*\* |
|  Both 2nd+ relationship | 0.04\*\*\* | 5.19\*\*\* | 5.35\*\*\* | 10.31\*\*\* | 22.64\*\*\* |
| Relationship duration | 1.07\*\*\* | 0.98 | 0.98\*\* | 0.96\*\*\* | 0.94\*\*\* |
| N (observations) | 14,186 | 14,186 |
| N (couples) | 5,929 | 5,929 |
| AIC/BIC | 10,102/10,245 | 37,719/38,270 |
| *Hypothesis 4 b* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gender-role attitudes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99\*\*\* | 0.99\* |
| N (observations) | 13,122 | 13,122 |
| N (couples) | 5,530 | 5,530 |
| AIC/BIC | 9,152/9,279 | 35,041/35,527 |
| *Hypothesis 5 b* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean parental socio-economic status  | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.01\* | 1.00 |
| Family background (ref. neither from female-empowered family) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Only man from female-empowered family | 0.55\* | 1.40 | 1.50\* | 1.45 | 1.87\*\* |
|  Only woman from female-empowered family | 0.67 | 1.45 | 1.40 | 1.46 | 1.58 |
|  Both from female-empowered family | 0.85 | 1.39 | 1.46 | 1.36 | 1.42 |
| N (observations) | 14,279 | 14,279 |
| N (couples) | 5,957 | 5,957 |
| AIC/BIC | 10,159/10,318 | 37,913/38,526 |

HILDA Survey (2002, 2006, 2010 & 2014). Column 1: random-effect binary logit models. Columns 2-4: random-effect multinomial logit models. All models feature robust standard errors. a controls: marital status, age, employment, education and ethnicity. b controls: marital status, age, employment, education, ethnicity and total income (IHS). c controls: age, employment, education, ethnicity and total income. \* *p<*0.05, \*\* *p<*0.01, \*\*\* *p<*0.001. Complete tables of model coefficients are available from the authors upon request.