**Supplementary Table 6. Additional results for “Reporting C-RCTs”**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Reporting C-RCTs** | **Assessment** |
| Is the unit of randomisation reported for each C-RCT?  | 44/49\* (89.8%) reported for all C-RCTs2/49\* (4.1%) reported for at least one C-RCT |
| Is the study design (i.e. matched pairs, stratified) reported for each C-RCT? | 34/49\* (69.4%) reported for all C-RCTs 10/49\* (20.4%) reported for at least one C-RCT |
| Is it reported whether the trial is adjusted or unadjusted for clustering for each C-RCT for each outcome? | 29/49\* (59.2%) reported for all C-RCTs9/49\* (18.4%) reported for at least one C-RCT |
| Is the method of cluster adjustment reported for each C-RCT for each outcome? | 8/33$ (24.3) reported for all C-RCTs4/33$ (12.1) reported for at least one C-RCT |
| Is the ICC reported for each C-RCT for each outcome? | 7/23£ (30.4%) reported for all C-RCTs 6/23£ (26.1%) reported for at least one C-RCT |
| Is the average cluster size reported for each C-RCT? | 22/46& (47.8%) reported for all C-RCTs 12/46& (26.1%) reported for at least one C-RCT |
| \* 1 review excluded as none of the trial reports for the included C-RCTs could be obtained via inter-library loans$ 17 reviews excluded (1 review: none of the trial reports for the included C-RCTs could be obtained; 4 reviews: as all trials did not report method of adjustment; 12 reviews: as all trials were unadjusted£ 27 reviews excluded (1 review: none of the trial reports for the included C-RCTs could be obtained; 23 reviews: all trials did not report ICC, 3 reviews: some trials did not report ICC and some trial reports were unavailable)& 4 reviews excluded (1 review: none of the trial reports for the included C-RCTs could be obtained; 3 reviews: all trials did not report average cluster size)  |