

CORRECTION

Correction: Investigating the Goldilocks Hypothesis: The Non-Linear Impact of Positive Trait Change on Well-Being

Chris C. Martin, Corey L. M. Keyes

There is an error in the fifth sentence of the second paragraph under the sub-subheading Subjective Well-Being, within the subheading Measures in the Methods section. This sentence should read as follows:

Life satisfaction was measured with a five-item scale in which participants rated their life overall, work situation, health, relationship with spouse (or partner), and relationship with children on a scale from 0 (worst possible) to 10 (best possible) [84]. The internal consistency of this scale was .67 in MIDUS I and .64 in MIDUS II (University of Wisconsin Institute on Aging, 2010 and 2009).

The references are: University of Wisconsin Institute on Aging. Documentation of Psychosocial Constructs and Composite Variables in MIDUS II Project 1. Ann Arbor, Michigan: Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research; 2010. Report No.: ICPSR 4652.

University of Wisconsin Institute on Aging. Documentation of Scales and Constructed Variables in MIDUS. Ann Arbor, Michigan: Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research; 2009. Report No.: ICPSR 2760.

Reference

 Martin CC, Keyes CLM (2015) Investigating the Goldilocks Hypothesis: The Non-Linear Impact of Positive Trait Change on Well-Being. PLoS ONE 10(7): e0131316. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0131316</u> PMID: <u>26161648</u>





Citation: Martin CC, Keyes CLM (2015) Correction: Investigating the Goldilocks Hypothesis: The Non-Linear Impact of Positive Trait Change on Well-Being. PLoS ONE 10(9): e0139760. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0139760

Published: September 29, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Martin, Keyes. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.