

Supporting information

S1 CONSORT Checklist. Effectiveness of IT-enabled intervention for 'SMART Eating': A cluster randomized trial

Section/Topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Title and abstract			
	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title: Cluster randomized trial	1 [Title]
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	2-3 [Abstract]
Introduction			
Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	4-5 [Background]
objectives	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	5 [Background]
Methods			
Trial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	5, 6 [Study design]
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons	12 [Deviation from protocol]
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	6 [Inclusion & exclusion criteria]
	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected	5-6 [Study setting]
Interventions	5	The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered	7-9 [Intervention description]
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed	7 [Study outcomes],
			10 [Data collection]
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons	-
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	6-7 [Sample size]
	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	_ =
Randomisation:			
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	6 [Sampling procedure]
generation	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	6 [Sampling procedure]
Allocation	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing	6 [Sampling procedure]
concealment		any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	
mechanism			
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	6 [Sampling procedure]
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing	

CONSORT 2010 checklist

	1b	outcomes) and how	
	1h		
Ota Ca Ca a Lasa da a da	ID	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions	
Statistical methods 12	2a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	10-12 [Data analysis]
1	2b	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	10-12 [Data analysis]
Results			
Participant flow (a 1	3a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were	12 [Flow diagram]
diagram is strongly		analysed for the primary outcome	
recommended) 13	3b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	12 [Flow diagram]
Recruitment 1	4a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	10 [Data collection]
1	4b	Why the trial ended or was stopped	10 [Data collection]
Baseline data 1	15	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	12-14 [Baseline characteristics]
Numbers analysed 1	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original	12 [Flow diagram]
		assigned groups	
Outcomes and	7a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as	14-19 [Outcome evaluation]
estimation		95% confidence interval)	
1	7b	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended	
Ancillary analyses 1	18	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified	14-19 [Outcome evaluation]
		from exploratory	
Harms 1	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)	20 [Process evaluation]
Discussion			
Limitations 2	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	22-24 [Strengths and limitations,
			Methodological considerations]
Generalisability 2	21	Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings	23 [Strengths and limitations]
Interpretation 2	22	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence	20-22 [Discussion]
Other information			
	23	Registration number and name of trial registry	3, 5 [Abstract, Methodology]
•	24	Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available	5 [Methodology]
Funding 2	25	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	Entered online during submission

CONSORT 2010 checklist Page 2