RESEARCH ARTICLE

Effects of physiological parameter evolution on the dynamics of tonic-clonic seizures

F. Deebea^{1,2*}, P. Sanz-Leon^{1,2}, P. A. Robinson^{1,2}

1 School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia, **2** Center for Integrative Brain Function, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

* Current address: Department of Physics, Dhaka University of Engineering and Technology, Gazipur, Gazipur, Bangladesh

* farah.deeba@sydney.edu.au, farah.ju35@gmail.com

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Deebea F, Sanz-Leon P, Robinson PA (2020) Effects of physiological parameter evolution on the dynamics of tonic-clonic seizures. PLoS ONE 15(4): e0230510. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510>

Editor: Daniele Marinazzo, Ghent University, BELGIUM

Received: March 2, 2020

Accepted: March 3, 2020

Published: April 2, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Deebea et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The NFTsim software and the sample configuration file required to produce seizure are publicly available: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006387> <https://github.com/BrainDynamicsUSYD/nftsim>.

Funding: This work was supported by the Australian Research Council Center of Excellence for Integrative Brain Function (ARC Center of Excellence Grant CE140100007) and by an Australian Research Council Laureate Fellowship (Grant FL140100025).

Abstract

The temporal and spectral characteristics of tonic-clonic seizures are investigated using a neural field model of the corticothalamic system in the presence of a temporally varying connection strength between the cerebral cortex and thalamus. Increasing connection strength drives the system into ~ 10 Hz seizure oscillations once a threshold is passed and a subcritical Hopf bifurcation occurs. In this study, the spectral and temporal characteristics of tonic-clonic seizures are explored as functions of the relevant properties of physiological connection strengths, such as maximum strength, time above threshold, and the ramp rate at which the strength increases or decreases. Analysis shows that the seizure onset time decreases with the maximum connection strength and time above threshold, but increases with the ramp rate. Seizure duration and offset time increase with maximum connection strength, time above threshold, and rate of change. Spectral analysis reveals that the power of nonlinear harmonics and the duration of the oscillations increase as the maximum connection strength and the time above threshold increase. A secondary limit cycle at ~ 18 Hz, termed a saddle-cycle, is also seen during seizure onset and becomes more prominent and robust with increasing ramp rate. If the time above the threshold is too small, the system does not reach the 10 Hz limit cycle, and only exhibits 18 Hz saddle-cycle oscillations. It is also seen that the time to reach the saturated large amplitude limit-cycle seizure oscillation from both the instability threshold and from the end of the saddle-cycle oscillations is inversely proportional to the square root of the ramp rate.

Introduction

Tonic-clonic seizures, formerly known as grand mal seizures, are the most frequently encountered generalized seizures [1]. These seizures have a tonic phase, which is characterized by an initial increase in tone of certain muscles, followed by a clonic phase, which involves bilateral symmetric jerking of the extremities [2]. Tonic-clonic seizures have markedly different pre- and post-ictal electroencephalograms (EEG) and typically last 1 to 3 minutes. Primary generalized seizures, which is one of the most commonly seen seizures, begin simultaneously across the whole cortex [1].

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist

A number of authors have investigated the mechanisms of seizures using the neural network and neural field approaches [3–14]. Many authors have proposed that transitions from healthy state to the seizure state occur via bifurcations upon changing physiological parameters [3–9, 12, 13]. For example, depending on the instability region, increasing excitatory connection strengths between cortex and thalamus drives the system into ~ 10 Hz and ~ 3 Hz seizure oscillations via a subcritical and supercritical Hopf bifurcation, respectively, once a critical value (i.e., a threshold) is passed [3–9, 12, 13]. Results from *in vivo* studies have also provided evidence that changes in corticothalamic and other connection strengths can induce seizures [12, 15–20], which possibly occur due to changes in GABA_B mediated mechanisms underlying the reduction of the threshold for Ca²⁺ spikes [1, 2], due to the effects of drugs, imbalance in osmotic pressure [20], or excess or deficiency of neurotransmitters or neuromodulators [1, 2, 21]. Although many studies have been done to analyze the transition mechanisms into seizure [3–7, 9, 12, 20, 22–28]. However, the detailed dynamics of generalized tonic-clonic seizure, including its dependence to the changing profile of the corticothalamic connection strength have never been studied in detail; a proper understanding of such features might help in developing seizure prediction and control strategies. Surprisingly, the dependence of the spectral characteristics like the frequencies of the oscillations on the parameters of the changing connection strength have also not been studied, despite their potential to yield precursor signals of seizure onset, for example.

In this study, we apply a widely used neural field model of the corticothalamic system to study the dynamics of tonic-clonic seizures [3–5, 7, 8, 24, 29, 30]. Neural field theory (NFT) is a continuum approach that predicts the average dynamics of large numbers of neurons [31, 32]. The specific model used here [33–36] has reproduced and unified many observed features of brain activity based on the physiology, including evoked response potentials [37], activity spectra [38], arousal state dynamics, age-related changes in the physiology of the brain [39], and many other phenomena [3–5, 7, 8, 24, 29, 30, 40–42]. The above NFT model has also been used in seizure studies [3–5, 7], where it has successfully unified features of tonic-clonic and absence seizures [3–5, 7], and explain the dependence of the dynamics and interictal oscillations during absence seizures on the parameters of the changing connection strength between the cortex and the thalamus [43, 44]. Previous studies have shown that a gradual increase of the connection strength between the cortex and thalamus near the alpha instability boundary shown in [8] in this model can initiate nonlinear dynamics whose characteristics closely resemble those of tonic-clonic seizures as a result of a subcritical Hopf bifurcation that destabilizes the ~ 10 Hz alpha resonance [3, 4, 24, 41]. Changes in other connection strengths also introduce similar dynamics because of the universality properties of the Hopf bifurcation [12].

The general property and bifurcation mechanism of the resultant tonic-clonic seizure has been studied in detail in [3]. However, the impact of underlying parameter changes of the corticothalamic connectivity strength on tonic-clonic seizure onset, dynamics, and termination have not been studied in detail. In particular, an extensive study like [43] on the dependence of the onset and termination of tonic-clonic seizure on the temporal form of the connection strength is necessary to understand the variability in seizure events, such as difference in the onset time and duration among different subjects, and to help lay the foundations for tonic-clonic seizure control strategies. These analyses are also necessary to explain the changes in harmonic structure seen in previous studies [45–47] during seizure, which might be helpful as inputs to seizure prediction strategies. In short, the aims are to understand the effects of physiological parameters on the temporal and spectral characteristics of seizure dynamics, including saddle-cycle oscillations [24]. We note that there are also other plausible causes or routes to tonic-clonic seizures (e.g., including effects of the cerebellum, basal ganglia, and hormones)

[25, 27, 28, 48–53], but we retain our existing model to maintain compatibility with the experimental results against which it has been verified in [3].

The outline of this paper is as follows: In the Results, we explore the general characteristics of seizure as well as the dependence of seizure dynamics on the temporal variation of connection strength. In the Discussion, we provide a summary and discuss possible applications of our outcomes and finally, in the Methods section, we present the corticothalamic neural field model along with the temporal variation function and the numerical methods.

Results

In this section we investigate the dynamical characteristics of model tonic-clonic seizures as well as the effects of the temporal variation of the corticothalamic connection strength, v_{se} on the dynamics. For the investigation of general characteristics, we keep a constant maximum connection strength v_{max} , characteristic duration $t_2 - t_1$, and characteristic rise time Δ , and all other parameters listed in Table 1.

To investigate the effect of the variation of v_{se} on seizure dynamics we vary v_{max} , Δ , and $t_2 - t_1$ individually by keeping all other parameters constant. Fig 1(a) shows the variation of v_{se} with time for the parameters values specified in Table 1.

General characteristics of tonic-clonic seizures

As in [43], three main regions are distinguished according to the dynamics of the cortical activity ϕ_e (cortical excitatory field) as illustrated in Fig 1(b): Region I from 0–50 s is the pre-ictal state when v_{se} is too small to initiate seizure-like oscillations; Region II from 125–175 s is the ictal state when v_{se} is around its maximum value, v_{max} , and the system oscillates with

Table 1. Nominal parameters of the neural field model from [3].

Parameter	Value	Unit	Meaning
v_{ec}	1.2	mV s	Excitatory corticocortical connectivity
v_{ei}	−1.8	mV s	Inhibitory corticocortical connectivity
v_{es}	1.4	mV s	Specific thalamic to cortical connectivity
v_{re}	0.2	mV s	Cortical to thalamic reticular connectivity
v_{rs}	0.2	mV s	Specific to reticular thalamic connectivity
v_{se}	1.0	mV s	Cortical to specific thalamic connectivity
v_{sr}	−1.0	mV s	Reticular to specific thalamic connectivity
$v_{sn} \phi_n$	2.0	mV	Subthalamic input
Q_{max}	250	s^{-1}	Maximum firing rate
θ	15	mV	Mean neuronal threshold
σ	6	mV	Threshold standard deviation
γ_e	100	s^{-1}	Damping rate
α	60	s^{-1}	Decay rate of membrane potential
β	240	s^{-1}	Rise rate of membrane potential
t_0	80	ms	Corticothalamic return time (complete loop)
t_1	100	s	Center of the ramp rise
t_2	200	s	Center of the ramp fall
v_{max}	1.2	mV s	Maximum value of v_{se}
v_0	0.8	mV s	Minimum value of v_{se}
Δ	10	s	Characteristic rise time

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.t001>

Fig 1. Corticothalamic dynamics for temporally varying v_{se} with $\Delta = 20$ s and rest of the parameters shown in Table 1. (a) Temporal profile of v_{se} varying from v_0 to v_{max} and back. Three different regions are identified as: I = pre-ictal state, II = ictal state, and III = post-ictal state. (b) Cortical excitatory field ϕ_e vs. t , showing a 10 Hz spike-wave oscillation. Individual oscillations can not be distinguished on this scale. (c) Zoom of ϕ_e at seizure onset. (d) Zoom of ϕ_e at seizure offset. (e) Power spectrum of ϕ_e in Region II. An arbitrary dB scaling is used because clinical EEG recordings involve additional attenuation by structures between the cortex and the electrode, which we do not model here.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g001>

maximum amplitude; and Region III from 250–330 s is the post-ictal state, where v_{se} returns to its baseline value, and oscillations start decreasing in amplitude until they completely cease.

Fig 1(c) and 1(d) show the zoomed seizure onset and offset, respectively, which are the transitions from Region I to II, and from Region II to III, respectively.

The normalized power spectrum in Region II is shown in Fig 1(e). Fig 1(e) shows a dominant resonance at ~ 10 Hz with multiple harmonics in Region II, where power decreases gradually with frequency.

The model of the brain is the same, but the key corticothalamic parameters place it in the regime where a 10 Hz subcritical Hopf bifurcation occurs, rather than a 3 Hz supercritical one. The new features include the existence of the saddle cycle, the different bifurcation types, the different frequencies, and other features explored and discussed later in the paper.

Dynamics of seizure onset. Fig 1(b) shows that in Region I, the system remains in the steady state because v_{se} is below the bifurcation threshold. A small increase in ϕ_e due to the increase of v_{se} is also seen in this region. At $t = t_\theta$, which is the time at which v_{se} crosses the linear instability threshold, the fixed point loses its stability, and ~ 18 Hz oscillations appear. The first few oscillations are too small to be distinguished on this scale, but their envelope increases exponentially until $t = t_{sc}$, when the trajectory spirals further outwards to a large amplitude 10 Hz limit cycle, as seen in Fig 1(c); these 18 Hz oscillations are termed saddle-cycle oscillations because they are due to a transient saddle cycle located between the stable steady state and the stable large amplitude limit cycle attractor. The envelope of the 10 Hz oscillations continues to increase from $t = t_{sc}$ until $t = t_{lc}$, when the system reaches the large amplitude limit cycle. At $t \approx t_{lc}$, the amplitude of the oscillations overshoots because v_{se} is still rapidly increasing. Then, the amplitude of the oscillations increases gradually until $v_{se} = v_{max}$ in Region II, then decreases. Fig 1(c) shows a clearer view of saddle-cycle oscillations, and times t_{sc} and t_{lc} ; where we define t_{lc} to be the point of inflection. We note these results differ strongly from the ones for absence seizures in Ref [43], where a ~ 3 Hz spike wave morphology was seen via a supercritical Hopf bifurcation with no saddle cycles.

Dynamics of seizure offset. In Fig 1(d), we see that the amplitude of the oscillations decreases gradually from its peak during the ramp down of v_{se} . More specifically, at $t = t_{lc2}$, when v_{se} crosses the offset bifurcation threshold $v_{lc2} = 0.98$ mV s [3], the large limit cycle loses stability and the oscillation amplitude decreases steeply to approach the stable steady state in Region III.

Differences between onset and offset dynamics. Comparing Fig 1(c) with Fig 1(d), we see that $v_\theta > v_{lc2}$, as expected for transitions due to a subcritical Hopf bifurcation. This is further seen in Fig 2, where we see that the system bifurcates from the fixed point at $v_{se} = v_\theta$ and reaches the saturated large amplitude attractor at $v_{se} = v_{lc}$. As v_{se} decreases, the large amplitude attractor becomes unstable at $v_{se} = v_{lc2}$ and the system returns toward the fixed point.

Analytical prediction of onset and offset transition times. Paralleling the analytic prediction of the characteristic time required to develop absence seizures [43], we next predict characteristic tonic-clonic onset and offset times.

For $v(t) \approx v_\theta$, the oscillation amplitude A obeys

$$\frac{dA}{dt} \approx C[v(t) - v_\theta]A, \quad (1)$$

where C is a constant, and $v(t)$ is the instantaneous value of v_{se} . Because v_{se} only varies with time t , we can make the approximation $v(t) - v_\theta \approx c(t - t_\theta)$ near the threshold, when the oscillation starts at A_θ . This yields

$$A = A_\theta \exp[c(t - t_\theta)^2/2]. \quad (2)$$

Fig 2. Hysteresis between seizure onset and offset. (a) ν_{se} vs. ϕ_e . Black color shows the variation of ϕ_e during ramp up, i.e. during onset, and gray color shows the variation of ϕ_e during ramp down, i.e. during offset. (b) A schematic diagram of the hysteresis. Solid lines show stable states and dashed lines show unstable ones.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g002>

with $c = Cdv(t)/dt|_{t=t_0}$; then $A = A_{lc}$ at $t = t_{lc}$

$$\exp\left[\frac{c(t_{lc} - t_0)^2}{2}\right] = \frac{A_{lc}}{A_0}, \quad (3)$$

$$t_{lc} - t_0 = \frac{k}{\sqrt{dv(t)/dt|_{t=t_0}}}, \quad (4)$$

where $k = [(2/C)\ln(A_{lc}/A_0)]^{1/2}$. Similar analysis predicts that the transition time $t_{lc} - t_{sc}$ from the saddle-cycle attractor to the larger limit cycle also follows this scaling.

The decrease of oscillation amplitude during the ramp down period can be approximated as

$$\frac{dA}{dt} \approx -C'[v(t) - v_{lc2}]A, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = -C''[t - t_{lc2}]A, \quad (6)$$

where C' and C'' are constants, and t_{lc2} is the offset bifurcation threshold as mentioned in previous sections. This yields

$$\ln(A/A_{lc2}) = -\frac{C''}{2}(t - t_{lc2})^2, \quad (7)$$

which indicates a superexponential decrease during seizure offset.

Dynamics during ictal state plateau. Fig 3 shows the phase space trajectory of ϕ_e for the default parameters in Table 1, except $\Delta = 2$ s, which we use to see the saddle-cycle more clearly. Fig 3(a) shows the trajectory of ϕ_e on the ϕ_e – $d\phi_e/dt$ plane. In the left edge of the figure, we see the evolving fixed point, which first appears as straight line and then moves towards the right with increasing ν_{se} . Once the system crosses the linear instability threshold, the fixed point becomes unstable and the trajectory spirals out to a large amplitude limit cycle attractor via the unstable saddle-cycle. The amplitude of the large attractor increases gradually until $\nu_{se} = \nu_{max}$.

Fig 3. Phase space trajectory of ϕ_e for $\Delta = 2$ s, and rest of the default parameters as in Table 1. (a) Trajectory from $t = 5$ s to $t = 295$ s. Initial small straight line labeled with FP corresponds to the evolving fixed point; small dark gray segment labeled with SC corresponds to the saddle-cycle attractor; black segment labeled with LC corresponds to the large amplitude limit cycle attractor. The fixed point and center of the clockwise limit cycle trajectory move from left to right during ramp up and right to left during ramp down. (b) Trajectory from $t = 104$ s to $t = 107$ s. (c) Trajectory from $t = 114.5$ s to $t = 150$ s. (d) Trajectory from $t = 200$ s to $t = 295$ s.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g003>

then decreases until v_{lc2} , where it becomes unstable and the system spirals back to the stable fixed point; no saddle-cycle is seen during the inward spiral. Three segments of the trajectory are shown in Fig 3(b)–3(d), to clarify these dynamics. Fig 3(b) shows ϕ_e spiraling outward from the steady state to the saddle-cycle with amplitude ≈ 30 s⁻¹. Fig 3(c) shows the outward spiral from the transient saddle cycle to the limit cycle attractor with amplitude ≈ 90 s⁻¹. Fig 3(d) shows the inward spiral during ramp down of v_{se} .

Fig 4 shows the dynamic spectrum of ϕ_e from Fig 1(b). A sudden appearance of 10 Hz oscillation with multiple harmonics at $t = t_\theta$ is seen. These harmonics resemble with the harmonics seen in [3], both experimentally and theoretically. The power of the harmonics decreases with harmonic number and their duration decreases slightly. We find a frequency broadening during the seizure onset at ~ 113.5 s, due to the rapid change of the amplitude of the oscillations.

Fig 4. Dynamic spectrum for $v_{\max} = 1.2$ mV s with the parameters in Table 1. A Hanning window of 600 data points, an overlap of 200 points, and sampling frequency of 200 Hz was used. The color bar shows the dB scale.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g004>

Frequency broadening of the first few harmonics during seizure offset is also seen, and there is a slight frequency drop.

Dynamics of corticothalamic seizure propagation. Fig 5(a) and 5(b) show the time series of the fields ϕ_r during onset and offset, respectively. Similarly, Fig 5(c) and 5(d) show the time series of the fields ϕ_s during onset and offset.

From these plots we observe that (i) during onset ϕ_r reaches much higher amplitudes than ϕ_e ; and, (ii) the ratio between the amplitude of the small oscillations that develop after crossing the bifurcation and the amplitude of the saturated limit cycle is smaller for ϕ_e than it is for ϕ_r and ϕ_s .

In order to study the interplay among ϕ_e , ϕ_r , and ϕ_s in more detail, we plot their limit cycle phase space trajectories and time series at $v_{se} \approx v_{\max}$ in Fig 6. Fig 6(a) and 6(b) show the time series and phase space trajectory of ϕ_e , respectively. Fig 6(c) and 6(d) show the time series and phase space trajectory of ϕ_r , respectively. A $t_0/2$ time shift between the peaks of ϕ_e and ϕ_r is seen due to the propagation delay between these populations. We also see a wide minimum between two successive peaks of ϕ_r . The phase space in Fig 6(d) shows similar trajectory to Fig 6(c), but with greater amplitude. Fig 6(e) and 6(f) show the time series and phase space of ϕ_s , respectively, and they show an equal amplitude but wider peak than Fig 6(c) and 6(d). Fig 6 shows that all three fields exhibit slightly different trajectories, with the higher amplitudes of ϕ_r and ϕ_s near the maximum firing rate.

Close examination of Fig 6 reveals the signal flow through the populations. A peak of ϕ_e reaches ϕ_r and ϕ_s simultaneously $t_0/2$ later. The peak of ϕ_e coincides approximately with the bottom of the trough of ϕ_r , and a positive excitation with the maximum firing rate appears, which suppress ϕ_s . This suppression then reduce the excitation of ϕ_e a time $t_0/2$ later and causes an exponential decay. A negative perturbation to ϕ_e results, which then propagates to the thalamus again and reduces the excitation of ϕ_r after a further time $t_0/2$, which allows a positive excitation of ϕ_s almost immediately. This positive excitation then flows to ϕ_e and initializes the next cycle of the loop. Unlike the absence seizure case [43], the loop provides direct positive feedback in a single pass, whereas the feedback is negative in the absence case and two passes through the loop are required to yield overall positive feedback, thereby reducing the frequency of the instability [8].

Fig 5. Time series of fields during seizure onset and offset: (a) ϕ_r at seizure onset. (b) ϕ_r at seizure offset. (c) ϕ_s at seizure onset. (d) ϕ_s at seizure offset.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g005>

At the cellular level, the imbalance between inhibitory and excitatory conductances induced by blocking synaptic and voltage-gated inhibitory conductances, or by activating synaptic and voltage-gated excitatory conductances, incorporates the positive feedback, which leads to seizures [21, 54]. Seizures are suppressed by the opposite manipulations: increasing inhibition or decreasing excitation [21, 54].

Impact of temporal variation of v_{se} on seizure dynamics

In this section, we investigate the effects of the temporal variation of v_{se} on the model seizure dynamics by varying the maximum connection strength v_{max} , duration $t_2 - t_1$, and rise time Δ , holding all other parameters at the values in Table 1.

We first analyze the impact of the variation of v_{se} on the overall dynamics of ϕ_e , as shown in Fig 7. For $v_{max} = 1$ mV s in Fig 7(a), ϕ_e increases with v_{se} as shown in Fig 16, then returns smoothly to the initial steady state value as v_{se} returns to v_0 . Fig 7(b) and 7(c) show that increasing v_{max} , yields periodic oscillations of increasing magnitude as corticothalamic feedback strengthens; oscillations also start earlier and are damped away later because the system crosses onset threshold earlier and offset threshold later for higher v_{max} . However, the system does not return to its initial steady state for $v_{max} > 1.542$ mV s; instead it moves to the high firing steady state of Fig 16.

Fig 7(d)—7(f) show the effects of varying ramp width Δ from 2 s to 60 s. Fig 7(d) shows that for the step-like variation of v_{se} for $\Delta = 2$ s, the oscillations rapidly reach maximum amplitude

Fig 6. Mid-seizure limit cycle dynamics of ϕ_e , ϕ_s , and ϕ_r from $t = 149.7$ s to $t = 150$ s with other parameters as in Table 1. (a) Time series of ϕ_e at $v_{se} \approx v_{max}$. (b) Phase space trajectory of ϕ_e . (c) ϕ_r at $v_{se} \approx v_{max}$. (d) Trajectory of ϕ_r . (e) ϕ_s at $v_{se} \approx v_{max}$. (f) Trajectory of ϕ_s . P and R are successive minimums and Q is the intermediate maximum.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g006>

after the transition to the large amplitude attractor and also decrease sharply from their maximum to the initial steady state once the system crosses the threshold during ramp down. Fig 7(e) and 7(f) show that the slower ramp for larger Δ implies that the amplitude of the oscillations during seizure onset and offset decreases more gradually.

Fig 7(g)–7(i) show the effects of variation of the characteristic time $t_2 - t_1$ from 20 s to 100 s. As expected, the duration of seizure oscillations increases with $t_2 - t_1$.

Seizure onset time. Fig 8 quantifies the effects of v_{max} and Δ on seizure onset. We do not revisit the variation with $t_2 - t_1$ because its effects were already discussed in the previous subsection.

Fig 8(a) shows that t_θ decreases with increasing v_{max} , because the system reaches v_θ earlier for a higher v_{max} . Fig 8(b) shows the variation of t_θ with Δ . For $\Delta < 10$ s, t_θ increases slightly with Δ , because due to the high rate of change, v_{se} rapidly approaches its maximum, crossing all the bifurcation values. At longer $\Delta \geq 10$ s, the temporal profile of v_{se} becomes smooth and

Fig 7. Time series for different temporal profiles of v_{se} with other parameters as in Table 1. (a) ϕ_e vs. t for $v_{max} = 1$ mV s. Individual oscillations cannot be distinguished. (b) $v_{max} = 1.05$ mV s. (c) $v_{max} = 1.25$ mV s. (d) $\Delta = 2$ s. (e) $\Delta = 20$ s. (f) $\Delta = 60$ s. (g) $t_2 - t_1 = 20$ s. (h) $t_2 - t_1 = 40$ s. (i) $t_2 - t_1 = 60$ s.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g007>

flat topped like Fig 1(a) and v_{se} gradually ramps up to the bifurcation point, so the system crosses the threshold later for a larger Δ , resulting in a decrease in t_θ .

Dynamic spectrum. In this section we discuss the effects of changing the temporal profile of v_{se} on the power spectrum of ϕ_e and use its evolution to further clarify the occurrence of transient saddle cycles.

Fig 9(a) shows the dynamic spectrum for $v_{max} = 1.05$ mV s. During the seizure, we observe a peak at approximately ~ 10 Hz with several harmonics. We also find lower frequency drop and broadening during seizure onset and offset as in Fig 4. Fig 9(b) shows that for $v_{max} = 1.15$ mV s, harmonics have greater duration and power than Fig 9(a). The frequency broadening is a manifestation of the uncertainty principle, which means, mathematically the frequency content of a rapidly changing nonsinusoidal signal will broaden in order to be able to localize the signal in time. During the change, the system simply does not have a precisely defined frequency, whether or not a Fourier transform is actually applied to resulting data. Fig 9(c) shows that for $v_{max} = 1.55$ mV s, there is no oscillation after $t = 143.52$ s, because the system moves into the high firing steady state after this time. A detailed investigation shows that the power of the peaks increases significantly with v_{max} and $t_2 - t_1$, but decreases slightly with Δ , especially at higher order harmonics. A small peak around 205 s shows that the system returns to the initial steady state via small oscillation after it crosses the offset bifurcation.

Fig 8. Effects of temporal variation of v_{se} on seizure onset with parameters as in Table 1. (a) t_θ vs. $\nu_{\max}$. (b) t_θ vs. Δ . <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g008>

Characteristic transition times. In this section we test the analytic prediction made in earlier sections. Fig 10(a) shows $t_{lc} - t_\theta$ vs. $(dv_{se}/dt)^{-1/2}$. A least-squares fit to these data yields

$$t_{lc} - t_\theta = a(dv_{se}/dt)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - b, \quad (8)$$

with $a = (0.042 \pm 0.004) \text{ V}^{1/2} \text{ s}$ and $b = (0.9 \pm 1.4) \text{ s}$, which is consistent with Eq (4). Fig 10(b) shows $(dv_{se}/dt)^{-1/2}$ vs. $t_{lc} - t_{sc}$. A least-squares fit yields

$$t_{lc} - t_{sc} = a'(dv_{se}/dt)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + b', \quad (9)$$

with $a' = (0.003 \pm 0.001) \text{ V}^{1/2} \text{ s}$ and $b' = (0.0 \pm 0.2) \text{ s}$, which has the same scaling as Eq (4). The fitting also shows that, despite the different bifurcation mechanisms, both onset transitions follow the same scalings as the onset transition of absence seizures [43].

Fig 10(c) shows $\ln(A/A_{lc2})$ vs. $(t - t_{lc2})^2$ for $\Delta = 10 \text{ s}$, which follows Eq (7) until the amplitudes of the oscillations start to decrease super-exponentially towards the steady state. A least-squares fit to the linear decrease yields

$$\ln(A/A_{lc2}) = -a''(t - t_{lc2})^2 - b''. \quad (10)$$

with $a'' = (0.0116 \pm 0.0002) \text{ s}^{-2}$ and $b'' = (0.018 \pm 0.004)$. The figure shows that the decrease of the envelope follow the linear fit for a relatively short time, after which the decrease becomes steeper. By using Eqs (2) and (3), it can be also shown that decrease within the linear region also follows the same scaling as Eq (4).

Saddle cycle. Previously, we mentioned the presence of a small amplitude $\sim 18 \text{ Hz}$ transient saddle cycle. The system orbits there for few seconds, then spirals out towards the large amplitude limit cycle attractor. However, this saddle-cycle is not observed in all cases, for example, a close zoom near the onset of all subfigures of Fig 7 will show that the small

Fig 9. Dynamic spectrum vs. $v_{\max}$ for the parameters in Table 1. The power density of the harmonics is calculated using a Hanning window of 600 data points, an overlap of 200 points, and sampling frequency of 200 Hz, the color bar at top shows the dB scale. (a) Dynamic spectrum for $v_{\max} = 1.05$ mV s. (b) $v_{\max} = 1.15$ mV s. (c) $v_{\max} = 1.55$ mV s.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g009>

amplitude saddle-cycle oscillations like Fig 1(c) are only prominent in Fig 7(c) and 7(d). Here, we explore the dependence of the transient saddle-cycle oscillations on $v_{\max}$ and Δ .

Fig 11 shows the variation of saddle-cycle oscillations with respect to $v_{\max}$, with other parameters as in Table 1. Fig 11(a) shows the phase space trajectory for $v_{\max} = 1.15$ mV s. No saddle-cycle attractor is seen in this figure. Fig 11(b) shows the trajectory for $v_{\max} = 1.25$ mV s. A small saddle-cycle attractor is seen between the fixed point and the large amplitude attractor. Fig 11(c) and 11(d) show the trajectories for $v_{\max} = 1.35$ mV s and 1.45 mV s, respectively. The transient saddle cycle increases in size with $v_{\max}$. A similar investigation shows that similar

Fig 10. Dependence of seizure transition times on $(dv_{se}/dt)^{-1/2}$ with the default parameters as in Table 1 and Δ ranges from 2 s to 50 s. (a) $t_{lc} - t_{\theta}$ vs. $(dv_{se}/dt)^{-1/2}$; (b) $t_{lc} - t_{sc}$ vs. $(dv_{se}/dt)^{-1/2}$, and (c) $\ln(A/A_{lc2})$ vs. $(t - t_{lc2})^2$ for $\Delta = 10$ s and time ranges from 190 s to 250 s. Error bar represent uncertainties of the least-squares fits. Points with no error bars are not considered for the least-squares fit.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g010>

Fig 11. Effects of variation of $v_{\max}$ on saddle-cycle with rest of the parameters as in Table 1. (a) Phase space trajectory for $v_{\max} = 1.15$ mV s. (b) Trajectory for $v_{\max} = 1.25$ mV s. (c) Trajectory for $v_{\max} = 1.35$ mV s. (d) Trajectory for $v_{\max} = 1.45$ mV s.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g011>

phenomena occur when Δ is varied, with the transient saddle cycle being most prominent for small Δ , completely disappearing for $\Delta \geq 20$ s.

To understand the relation between the saddle-cycle oscillation and rate of change of v_{se} more clearly, we calculate the power spectrum for different $v_{\max}$ and Δ . Fig 12(a) shows the variation of the power spectrum with $v_{\max}$. For a small $v_{\max}$, there is no peak around 18 Hz, but a peak at approximately 18 Hz appears when $v_{\max} \geq 1.2$ mV s and becomes more prominent and strong with increasing $v_{\max}$. Fig 12(b) shows that the power of the peak around 18 Hz decreases with Δ and disappears for $\Delta \geq 20$ s.

These results imply that the presence of saddle-cycle oscillations depends on the rate of change of v_{se} . Fig 13 illustrates the presence or absence of saddle-cycle oscillations for 236 different combinations of v_{se} and Δ as a function of the value of dv_{se}/dt . When $dv_{se}/dt < 7 \times 10^{-3}$ mV, there are no saddle-cycle oscillations; for $dv_{se}/dt > 9 \times 10^{-3}$ mV, the system always exhibits saddle-cycle oscillations; while for $7 \times 10^{-3} \lesssim dv_{se}/dt \lesssim 9 \times 10^{-3}$ mV, there is a narrow mixed region where the presence of transient saddle cycle cannot be predicted solely from the rate of change of v_{se} .

Fig 12. (Color online) Variation in the power of the saddle-cycle oscillations with rest of the parameters in Table 1. (a) Power spectrum vs. $v_{\max}$. (b) Power spectrum vs. Δ . Legends show the corresponding values of $v_{\max}$ and Δ .

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g012>

In order to see why transient saddle cycle is only seen for high dv_{se}/dt , we show the time evolution of 10 Hz and 18 Hz frequency peaks for $\Delta = 2$ s and $\Delta = 50$ s in Fig 14 during seizure onset with other parameters as in Table 1. In Fig 14(a), for $\Delta = 50$ s and $dv_{se}/dt = 0.003$ mV, the 10 Hz peak always rise faster than the 18 Hz peak, and hence, always has more power and dominates the spectrum; no saddle cycle is seen in the trajectory. On the other hand, in Fig 14 (b), for $\Delta = 2$ s and $dv_{se}/dt = 0.03$ mV, the 18 Hz peak rises faster than the 10 Hz peak during onset so there is a ~ 2 s window in which the 18 Hz peak dominates and hence, the system is seen to exhibit saddle-cycle oscillations during onset in Fig 1, after which the 10 Hz peak dominates. Now, since, v_{θ} is the bifurcation threshold and does not depend on the temporal profile, but v_{lc} depends on the temporal profile and the time to reach the 10 Hz limit cycle (i.e., t_{lc}

Fig 13. Dependence of saddle-cycle oscillations on dv_{se}/dt . Gray crosses show the presence of a saddle-cycle and black crosses show its absence.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g013>

Fig 14. Temporal variation of frequency peaks during seizure onset; black solid line shows the ~ 18 Hz peak; gray dashed line shows the ~ 10 Hz peak with parameters from Table 1. (a) $\Delta = 50$ s; (b) $\Delta = 2$ s.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g014>

$-t_\theta$), we conclude that v_{lc} is the parameter that defines the existence of the transient saddle cycle. The system will exhibit transient saddle cycle oscillation only if $v_{sc} > v_{lc}$ at t_{sc} .

Discussion

We have used an established neural field model of the corticothalamic system [3] to study the dependence of tonic-clonic seizures on the temporal profile of a corticothalamic connection strength v_{se} that induces seizures. The effects of varying other connection strengths can also be qualitatively predicted using these outcomes because they will exhibit similar dynamics due to the universality properties of the Hopf bifurcation. Also, our temporal variation of connection strength is an approximation to what seems to occur in living systems, but is an improvement over previous piecewise linear functions with discontinuous derivatives [3]. The parameters and the shape of Eq (20) could be customized in the future using experimental data. The key outcomes are:

1. The system exhibits ~ 10 Hz limit cycle oscillations once the connection strength crosses the bifurcation threshold of $v_\theta = 1.025$ mV s, which is the characteristic frequency of tonic-clonic seizure via a subcritical Hopf bifurcation. The system returns to the resting equilibrium when the connection strength decreases below the offset threshold, $v_{lc2} = 0.98$ mV s. The difference in onset and offset bifurcation values causes hysteresis; consistent with previously published results that used piecewise linear variation of v_{se} , rather than the present more realistic continuous gradual variation.
2. For $V_{\max} \geq 1.542$ mV, the system moves to another steady state near maximum firing rate and only returns to the initial steady state once v_{se} returns below an offset threshold.

3. The amplitude of ϕ_e increases with the maximum connection strength, $v_{\max}$, because an increase of the connectivity strength increases the strength of the positive feedback loop between the cortex and the thalamus.
4. Because increasing the maximum connection strength $v_{\max}$ increases the amplitudes of the oscillations, it increases the power and the characteristic number of harmonics. The power of the harmonics also increases with the seizure duration $t_2 - t_1$, but decreases slightly with the ramp duration Δ .
5. The characteristic transition times required to reach the saturated limit cycle oscillation from the seizure threshold or the end of the saddle-cycle oscillations to the steady state are predicted and verified numerically to be inversely proportional to the square root of the rate of change of the connection strength.
6. The system can also show transient ~ 18 Hz saddle-cycle oscillation at the beginning of the seizure for high dv_{se}/dt before moving to the 10 Hz attractor. These saddle-cycles become more prominent as dv_{se}/dt increases; a system with $dv_{se}/dt < 7 \times 10^{-3}$ mV never exhibits saddle-cycles, whereas one with $dv_{se}/dt > 9 \times 10^{-3}$ mV always does.

Overall, the present study enables the varying spectral and temporal characteristics of seizures to be related to underlying physiological changes of the brain, such as changes in the connection strength between the cortex and the thalamus. The outcomes can potentially be used to help explain the variability of seizure onset properties and seizure frequency across subjects by examining the temporal and spectral characteristics of seizure [55, 56]. It may thus be possible to constrain the physiological properties of the corticothalamic connection strength dynamics of a subject by comparing the wave properties of seizure oscillations, such as amplitude, and frequency, with theory. A better understanding of the physiological properties of corticothalamic connection strength might also constrain changes in levels of neurotransmitters or neuromodulators. Real-time fitting of the theoretical dynamics to observed waveforms may also be feasible, leading to the possibility of implementing feedback control systems based on the dynamics. Connection strengths can be manipulated experimentally, with varying degrees of specificity, via agonists and antagonists of various neuromodulators, for example, which directly affect synaptic communication. A well known example is the kindling of some types seizures via administration of penicillin. Conversely, antiepileptic medications likely tend to normalize synaptic strengths and more detailed model explorations could help to better target such interventions. Outcomes related to the seizure onsets and saddle-cycle oscillation might also contribute to improved seizure prediction algorithms. Finally, using this model, it is also possible to predict the impact of varying other connection strengths than the corticothalamic one, both via the universality properties of the Hopf bifurcation [3] and through direct simulations.

Methods

In this section, we present a brief description of the corticothalamic neural field model used, along with the form of temporal variation of corticothalamic coupling strength [3, 4, 8].

Corticothalamic field model

To investigate the dynamics of tonic-clonic seizure, we use the neural field model of the corticothalamic system seen in Fig 15. In this study we use the same analytical model of [43], but in different parametric regime suitable to study the tonic-clonic seizure. The neural populations are denoted as: e = excitatory cortical; i = inhibitory cortical; s = thalamic relay neurons; r =

Fig 15. Schematic diagram of the corticothalamic model system. The neural populations shown are cortical excitatory (e), inhibitory (i), thalamic reticular (r), thalamic relay (s), and n = external inputs. The parameter ν_{ab} quantifies the connection to population a from population b . Inhibitory connections are shown with dashed lines.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g015>

thalamic reticular nucleus; and n = external inputs. The dynamical variables within each neural population a are the local mean cell-body potential V_a , the mean rate of firing at the cell-body Q_a , and the propagating axonal fields ϕ_a . The firing rates Q_a are related to the potentials V_a by the response function

$$Q_a(\mathbf{r}, t) = S[V_a(\mathbf{r}, t)], \quad (11)$$

where S is a smooth sigmoidal function that increases from 0 to $Q_{\max}$ as V_a increases from $-\infty$ to ∞ , with

$$S(V_a) = \frac{Q_{\max}}{1 + \exp[-\pi(V_a - \theta)/\sigma\sqrt{3}]}, \quad (12)$$

where θ is the mean neural firing threshold, σ is the standard deviation of this threshold, and $Q_{\max}$ is the maximum firing rate [3, 8].

In each neural population, firing rates Q_a generate propagating axonal fields ϕ_a that approximately obey the damped wave equation [3, 8]

$$D_a \phi_a(\mathbf{r}, t) = Q_a(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (13)$$

where the spatiotemporal differential operator D_a is

$$D_a = \frac{1}{\gamma_a^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{2}{\gamma_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 1 - r_a^2 \nabla^2, \quad (14)$$

where $\gamma_a = v_a/r_a$ is the damping rate, r_a and v_a are the characteristic range and conduction velocity of axons of type a , and ∇^2 is the Laplacian operator. The smallness of r_i , r_s , and r_r enables us to set $\gamma_a \simeq \infty$ except for $a = e$. The cell-body potential V_a results after postsynaptic potentials have propagated through the dendritic tree and then been summed as their resulting currents charge the soma. For excitatory and inhibitory neurons within the cortex, this is approximated via the second-order delay-differential equation [8]

$$D_x V_a(\mathbf{r}, t) = v_{ae} \phi_e(\mathbf{r}, t) + v_{ai} \phi_i(\mathbf{r}, t) + v_{as} \phi_s(\mathbf{r}, t - t_0/2), \quad (15)$$

where $a = e, i$ and the temporal differential operator is given by

$$D_x = \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \frac{d}{dt} + 1. \quad (16)$$

The quantities α and β in Eq (16) are the inverse decay and rise times, respectively, of the cell-body potential produced by an impulse at a dendritic synapse. Note that input from the thalamus to the cortex is delayed in Eq (15) by a propagation time $t_0/2$. For neurons within the specific and reticular nuclei of the thalamus, it is the input from the cortex that is time delayed, so

$$D_x V_a(\mathbf{r}, t) = v_{ae} \phi_e(\mathbf{r}, t - t_0/2) + v_{as} \phi_s(\mathbf{r}, t) + v_{ar} \phi_r(\mathbf{r}, t) + v_{an} \phi_n(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (17)$$

where $a = s, r$. The connection strengths are given by $v_{ab} = N_{ab} s_{ab}$, where N_{ab} is the mean number of synapses to neurons of type a from type b and s_{ab} is the strength of the response in neurons a to a unit signal from neurons of type b . The final term on the right-hand side of Eq (17) describes inputs from outside the corticothalamic system. In order to simplify the model we only include the connections shown in Fig 15, so only 10 of the possible 16 connections between the four neural populations are nonzero [8]. We also assume the random intracortical connectivity and the number of connections between populations is proportional to the number of synapses [57, 58]. This random connectivity assumption provides $N_{ib} = N_{eb}$ for all b , so $v_{ee} = v_{ie}$, $v_{ei} = v_{ii}$ and $v_{es} = v_{is}$ [40].

Fig 16. (Color online) Steady states solution of the corticothalamic system for the variation of ν_{se} for tonic-clonic seizure. Black lines and the letter 'S' represent the stable steady state, and red lines and the letter 'U' represent the unstable steady states. Here ν_θ is the threshold value when the stable steady state becomes unstable. The inset shows zoomed view of the area around ν_θ .

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230510.g016>

Setting all spatial and temporal derivatives in Eqs (12)–(17) to zero determines spatially uniform corticothalamic steady states. The steady state firing rate, $\phi_e^{(0)}$ of ϕ_e is then given by [29]

$$S^{-1}(\phi_e^{(0)}) - (v_{ee} + v_{ei})\phi_e^{(0)} = v_{es}S\{v_{se}\phi_e^{(0)} + v_{sr}S[v_{re}\phi_e^{(0)} + (v_{rs}/v_{es})(S^{-1}(\phi_e^{(0)}) - (v_{ee} + v_{ei})\phi_e^{(0)})] + v_{sn}\phi_n^{(0)}\}. \quad (18)$$

The properties of steady states in the corticothalamic model have been studied extensively in [8, 29], and we use the outcomes to identify the stable and unstable regions of the steady state. Fig 16 shows the steady state dependence of $\phi_e^{(0)}$ on ν_{se} with other parameters as in Table 1. It is seen that there are two stable steady state solutions: one corresponds to low mean firing rate and another to very high mean firing rate [29]. The low firing steady state was identified with normal states of brain activity in previous studies [8, 36]. The low firing-rate fixed point loses its stability at $\nu_{se} = \nu_\theta$. A steep increase in $\phi_e^{(0)}$ is seen near ν_θ because the increasing ν_{se} push the sigmoid from its minimum by increasing the $\nu_{se}\phi_e^{(0)}$ in Eq (18), which results in an increase of the gain between the thalamus and the cortex. With further increase of ν_{se} , the system eventually moves to a steady state with near-maximum firing rate. This high firing steady state is beyond the scope of our model because it will lead to effects such as hypoxia, which are not included here.

Temporal ramping

Brain activity propagates via the coupling of the various neuronal populations. Previous studies have shown that a gradual ramp-up of the coupling strength between the neuronal populations can lead from a stable steady state to periodic seizure oscillations [3, 43]. It is also seen that the dynamical and spectral characteristics of the resultant seizure-like oscillations depend

on the physiological properties of the ramp of the coupling strength, such as, the maximum amplitude of the ramp, ramp rate, and characteristic duration [43].

In this paper, we ramp the coupling strength v_{se} from an initial value v_0 to a maximum value $v_{\max}$ and back to see the impact of the ramp characteristics on tonic-clonic seizures, with [43]

$$v_{se} = v_0 + (v_{\max} - v_0) \left[\frac{f(t) - f_{\min}}{f_{\max} - f_{\min}} \right], \quad (19)$$

$$f(t) = \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{t - t_1}{\Delta} \right] - \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{t - t_2}{\Delta} \right], \quad (20)$$

where t is the time. The ramp rise is centered on t_1 , and the ramp fall is centered on t_2 , and Δ is the characteristic rise time. Now, $0 \leq f(t) \leq \pi$, so we normalize by dividing by $f_{\max} - f_{\min}$ as seen in Eq (19), where $f_{\max}$ and $f_{\min}$ are the maximum and minimum values of $f(t)$ actually encountered in a given instance.

Numerical methods

We use *NFTsim* [59], which is a publicly available neural field software, to solve Eqs (11)–(17) numerically for the spatially uniform case in which the ∇^2 term in Eq (14) is zero. To vary v_{se} temporally, we use Eqs (19) and (20). This involves solving ordinary delay differential equations, because there is a propagation time delay $t_0/2$ between the different neural populations present in Eqs (15) and (17). Hence, a fourth-order Runge-Kutta integration is employed to solve these equations, with an integration time step of 10^{-4} s and store time histories of the delay terms $t_0/2$ into the past.

Because extensive comparisons with experiment have demonstrated that the normal brain operates close to stable fixed points [3, 8, 29, 40, 42], we start our simulations from a corticothalamic steady state with low firing rate. However, because of the delay time $t_0/2$, we must specify these initial steady-state conditions to apply for times $-t_0/2 < t \leq 0$.

We use the parameters in Table 1 as the initial parameters, which are taken from [3] with $v_0 = 0.8$ mV s in all cases. A constant input $v_{sn} \phi_n = 2$ mV is used and no external noise is applied in the simulations as the seizure onset occurs spontaneously. Simulations are 300 s long, and we record the output time series every 5 ms. For all simulations, we use the default parameters shown in Table 1 unless otherwise specified. The default parameters we used are the corresponding parameter set of [3] for tonic-clonic seizure which push the system into the vicinity of alpha instability. For the dynamic spectrum and power spectrum analysis, we employ the FFT (fast Fourier transform) algorithm with a Hanning window of 600 data points with an overlap of 200 points and sampling frequency of 200 Hz.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: F. Deebea, P. A. Robinson.

Data curation: F. Deebea.

Formal analysis: F. Deebea.

Funding acquisition: P. A. Robinson.

Investigation: F. Deebea.

Methodology: P. A. Robinson.

Project administration: P. A. Robinson.

Resources: P. A. Robinson.

Software: F. Deebea, P. Sanz-Leon.

Supervision: P. Sanz-Leon, P. A. Robinson.

Validation: F. Deebea.

Visualization: F. Deebea.

Writing – original draft: F. Deebea.

Writing – review & editing: F. Deebea.

References

- Engel J, Pedley TA. Epilepsy: A comprehensive textbook. vol. 1. Lippincott-Raven, Philadelphia; 1997.
- Browne TR, Holmes GL. Handbook of epilepsy. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia; 2000.
- Breakspear M, Roberts JA, Terry JR, Rodrigues S, Mahant N, Robinson PA. A unifying explanation of primary generalized seizures through nonlinear brain modeling and bifurcation analysis. *Cereb Cortex*. 2006; 16(9):1296–1313. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhj072> PMID: 16280462
- Marten F, Rodrigues S, Benjamin O, Richardson MP, Terry JR. Onset of polyspike complexes in a mean-field model of human electroencephalography and its application to absence epilepsy. *Philos Trans R Soc A*. 2009; 367(1891):1145–1161.
- Roberts JA, Robinson PA. Modeling absence seizure dynamics: implications for basic mechanisms and measurement of thalamocortical and corticothalamic latencies. *J Theor Biol*. 2008; 253(1):189–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2008.03.005> PMID: 18407293
- Rodrigues S, Barton D, Szalai R, Benjamin O, Richardson MP, Terry JR. Transitions to spike-wave oscillations and epileptic dynamics in a human cortico-thalamic mean-field model. *J Comput Neurosci*. 2009; 27(3):507–526. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10827-009-0166-2> PMID: 19499316
- Kim JW, Robinson PA. Compact dynamical model of brain activity. *Phys Rev E*. 2007; 75(3):031907.
- Robinson PA, Rennie CJ, Rowe DL. Dynamics of large-scale brain activity in normal arousal states and epileptic seizures. *Phys Rev E*. 2002; 65(4):041924.
- Chen M, Guo D, Wang T, Jing W, Xia Y, Xu P, et al. Bidirectional control of absence seizures by the basal ganglia: a computational evidence. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2014; 10(3):e1003495. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003495> PMID: 24626189
- Velazquez JLP, Dominguez LG, Gaetz W, Cheyne D, Snead OC III, Wennberg R. Fluctuations in phase synchronization in brain activity: physiological interpretations of phase locking patterns. In: *Topical Problems of Nonlinear Wave Physics*. International Society for Optics and Photonics; 2006. p. 597510.
- Lytton WW, Orman R, Stewart M. Computer simulation of epilepsy: implications for seizure spread and behavioral dysfunction. *Epilepsy Behav*. 2005; 7(3):336–344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2005.06.011> PMID: 16105749
- Jirsa VK, Stacey WC, Quilichini PP, Ivanov AI, Bernard C. On the nature of seizure dynamics. *Brain*. 2014; 137(8):2210–2230. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awu133> PMID: 24919973
- Dodrill CB. Correlates of generalized tonic-clonic seizures with intellectual, neuropsychological, emotional, and social function in patients with epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. 1986; 27(4):399–411. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1157.1986.tb03559.x> PMID: 3087744
- Baier G, Rosch R, Taylor PN, Wang Y. Design Principle for a Population-Based Model of Epileptic Dynamics. In: *Complexity and Synergetics*. Springer; 2018. p. 333–347.
- Williams D. A study of thalamic and cortical rhythms in petit mal. *Brain*. 1953; 76(1):50–69. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/76.1.50> PMID: 13041922
- Steriade M, Amzica F, Neckelmann D, Timofeev I. Spike-wave complexes and fast components of cortically generated seizures. II. Extra- and intracellular patterns. *J Neurophysiol*. 1998; 80(3):1456–1479. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1998.80.3.1456> PMID: 9744952
- Steriade M, Contreras D. Spike-wave complexes and fast components of cortically generated seizures. I. Role of neocortex and thalamus. *J Neurophysiol*. 1998; 80(3):1439–1455. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1998.80.3.1439> PMID: 9744951

18. Voss LJ, Jacobson G, Sleight JW, Steyn-Ross A, Steyn-Ross M. Excitatory effects of gap junction blockers on Cereb. Cortex seizure-like activity in rats and mice. *Epilepsia*. 2009; 50(8):1971–1978. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2009.02087.x> PMID: 19486358
19. Gloor P, Fariello RG. Generalized epilepsy: some of its cellular mechanisms differ from those of focal epilepsy. *Trends Neurosci*. 1988; 11(2):63–68. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236\(88\)90166-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(88)90166-x) PMID: 2465601
20. Andrew RD. Seizure and acute osmotic change: clinical and neurophysiological aspects. *J Neurol Sci*. 1991; 101(1):7–18. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-510x\(91\)90013-w](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-510x(91)90013-w) PMID: 2027029
21. Staley K. Molecular mechanisms of epilepsy. *Nature Neurosci*. 2015; 18(3):367. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3947> PMID: 25710839
22. Hall D, Kuhlmann L. Mechanisms of seizure propagation in 2-dimensional centre-surround recurrent networks. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8(8):e71369. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0071369> PMID: 23967201
23. Kramer MA, Truccolo W, Eden UT, Lepage KQ, Hochberg LR, Eskandar EN, et al. Human seizures self-terminate across spatial scales via a critical transition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012; 109(51):21116–21121. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1210047110> PMID: 23213262
24. Yang DP, Robinson PA. Critical dynamics of Hopf bifurcations in the corticothalamic system: Transitions from normal arousal states to epileptic seizures. *Phys Rev E*. 2017; 95(4):042410. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.95.042410> PMID: 28505725
25. Suffczynski P, Lopes da Silva FH, Parra J, Velis DN, Bouwman BM, Van Rijn CM, et al. Dynamics of epileptic phenomena determined from statistics of ictal transitions. *IEEE Trans Biomed Engg*. 2006; 53(3):524–532.
26. Suffczynski P, Kalitzin S, Lopes da Silva FH. Dynamics of non-convulsive epileptic phenomena modeled by a bistable neuronal network. *Neurosci*. 2004; 126(2):467–484.
27. Suffczynski P, Kalitzin S, Pfuerscheller G, Lopes da Silva FH. Computational model of thalamo-cortical networks: dynamical control of alpha rhythms in relation to focal attention. *Int J Psychophysiol*. 2001; 43(1):25–40. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-8760\(01\)00177-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-8760(01)00177-5) PMID: 11742683
28. Suffczynski P, Lopes da Silva FH, Parra J, Velis D, Kalitzin S. Epileptic transitions: model predictions and experimental validation. *J Clin Neurophysiol*. 2005; 22(5):288–299. PMID: 16357634
29. Robinson PA, Rennie CJ, Rowe DL, O'Connor SC. Estimation of multiscale neurophysiologic parameters by electroencephalographic means. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2004; 23(1):53–72. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.20032> PMID: 15281141
30. Kim JW, Roberts JA, Robinson PA. Dynamics of epileptic seizures: evolution, spreading, and suppression. *J Theor Biol*. 2009; 257(4):527–532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2008.12.009> PMID: 19150365
31. Deco G, Jirsa VK, Robinson PA, Breakspear M, Friston KJ. The dynamic brain: from spiking neurons to neural masses and cortical fields. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2008; 4(8):e1000092. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000092> PMID: 18769680
32. Pinotsis DA, Moran RJ, Friston KJ. Dynamic causal modeling with neural fields. *NeuroImage*. 2012; 59(2):1261–1274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.08.020> PMID: 21924363
33. Nunez PL. The brain wave equation: A model for the EEG. *Math Biosci*. 1974; 21(3-4):279–297.
34. Freeman WJ. Mass action in the nervous system. Academic Press, New York; 1975.
35. Jirsa VK, Haken H. Field theory of electromagnetic brain activity. *Phys Rev Lett*. 1996; 77(5):960–963. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.960> PMID: 10062950
36. Robinson PA, Rennie CJ, Wright JJ. Propagation and stability of waves of electrical activity in the Cereb. Cortex. *Phys Rev E*. 1997; 56(1):826.
37. Rennie CJ, Robinson PA, Wright JJ. Unified neurophysical model of EEG spectra and evoked potentials. *Biol Cybern*. 2002; 86(6):457–471. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00422-002-0310-9> PMID: 12111274
38. Robinson PA, Whitehouse RW, Rennie CJ. Nonuniform corticothalamic continuum model of electroencephalographic spectra with application to split-alpha peaks. *Phys Rev E*. 2003; 68(2):021922.
39. van Albada SJ, Kerr CC, Chiang AKI, Rennie CJ, Robinson PA. Neurophysiological changes with age probed by inverse modeling of EEG spectra. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2010; 121(1):21–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2009.09.021> PMID: 19854102
40. Abey Suriya RG, Rennie CJ, Robinson PA. Physiologically based arousal state estimation and dynamics. *J Neurosci Methods*. 2015; 253:55–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2015.06.002> PMID: 26072247
41. Breakspear M, Terry JR, Friston KJ. Modulation of excitatory synaptic coupling facilitates synchronization and complex dynamics in a biophysical model of neuronal dynamics. *Network: Comp Neural Sci*. 2003; 14(4):703–732.

42. Abey Suriya RG, Rennie CJ, Robinson PA. Prediction and verification of nonlinear sleep spindle harmonic oscillations. *J Theor Biol.* 2014; 344:70–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2013.11.013> PMID: 24291492
43. Deeba F, Sanz-Leon P, Robinson PA. Dependence of absence seizure dynamics on physiological parameter evolution. *J Theor Biol.* 2018; 454:11–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2018.05.029> PMID: 29807025
44. Deeba F, Sanz-Leon P, Robinson PA. Unified dynamics of interictal events and absence seizures. *Phys Rev E.* 2019; 100(2):022407. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.100.022407> PMID: 31574631
45. Schiff SJ, Colella D, Jacyna GM, Hughes E, Creekmore JW, Marshall A, et al. Brain chirps: spectrographic signatures of epileptic seizures. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2000; 111(6):953–958. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1388-2457\(00\)00259-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1388-2457(00)00259-5) PMID: 10825700
46. Jiruska P, De Curtis M, Jefferys JGR, Schevon CA, Schiff SJ, Schindler K. Synchronization and desynchronization in epilepsy: controversies and hypotheses. *J Physiol.* 2013; 591(4):787–797. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2012.239590> PMID: 23184516
47. Schiff SJ, Milton JG, Heller J, Weinstein SL. Wavelet transforms and surrogate data for electroencephalographic spike and seizure localization. *Optical Engineering.* 1994; 33(7):2162–2170.
48. Lopes da Silva FH, Pijn JP, Velis D, Nijssen PCG. Alpha rhythms: noise, dynamics and models. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 1997; 26(1):237–249. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-8760\(97\)00767-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-8760(97)00767-8) PMID: 9203006
49. Blumenfeld H, Varghese GI, Purcaro MJ, Motelow JE, Enev M, McNally KA, et al. Cortical and subcortical networks in human secondarily generalized tonic-clonic seizures. *Brain.* 2009; 132(4):999–1012. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awp028> PMID: 19339252
50. Aminoff MJ, Simon RP, Wiedemann E. The hormonal responses to generalized tonic-clonic seizures. *Brain.* 1984; 107(2):569–578. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/107.2.569> PMID: 6144354
51. Dam AM. Epilepsy and neuron loss in the hippocampus. *Epilepsia.* 1980; 21(6):617–629. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1157.1980.tb04315.x> PMID: 6777154
52. Bauer J, Stefan H, Schrell U, Uhlig B, Landgraf S, Neubauer U, et al. Serum prolactin concentrations and epilepsy. *Eur Arch Psych Clin Neurosci.* 1992; 241(6):365–371.
53. Koppert M, Kalitzin S, Velis D, Lopes da Silva FH, Viergever MA. Reactive control of epileptiform discharges in realistic computational neuronal models with bistability. *Int J Neural Sys.* 2013; 23(01):1250032.
54. Soltesz I, Staley K. Computational neuroscience in epilepsy. Academic Press; 2011.
55. Tzallas AT, Tsipouras MG, Fotiadis DI. Epileptic seizure detection in EEGs using time–frequency analysis. *IEEE Trans Inf Technol Biomed.* 2009; 13(5):703–710. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TITB.2009.2017939> PMID: 19304486
56. Cohen L. Time-frequency distributions—a review. *Proc IEEE.* 1989; 77(7):941–981.
57. Liley DTJ, Cadusch PJ, Wright JJ. A continuum theory of electro-cortical activity. *Neurocomputing.* 1999; 26:795–800.
58. Braitenberg V, Schüz A. Cortex: statistics and geometry of neuronal connectivity. Springer Science & Business Media; 2013.
59. Sanz-Leon P, Robinson PA, Knock SA, Drysdale PM, Abey Suriya RG, Fung PK, et al. NFTsim: theory and simulation of multiscale neural field dynamics. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2018; 14(8):e1006387. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006387> PMID: 30133448