





Citation: Kleijn G, Post L, Witte BI, Bohlmeijer ET, Westerhof GJ, Cuijpers P, et al. (2016) Psychometric Characteristics of a Patient Reported Outcome Measure on Ego-Integrity and Despair among Cancer Patients. PLoS ONE 11(5): e0156003. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156003

**Editor:** Gozde Ozakinci, University of St Andrews, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: September 18, 2015

Accepted: May 6, 2016

Published: May 19, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Kleijn et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data are available on Figshare; dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.3112339. For further questions, readers may contact I.M. Verdonck - de Leeuw.

**Funding:** ZonMw and Adessium provided financial support for the salary of GK (ZonMW) and LP (Adesium). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Psychometric Characteristics of a Patient Reported Outcome Measure on Ego-Integrity and Despair among Cancer Patients

Gitta Kleijn<sup>1</sup>, Lenneke Post<sup>2</sup>, Birgit I. Witte<sup>3</sup>, Ernst T. Bohlmeijer<sup>4</sup>, Gerben J. Westerhof<sup>4</sup>, Pim Cuijpers<sup>1</sup>, Irma M. Verdonck-de Leeuw<sup>1,5</sup>\*

1 Department of Clinical Psychology, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2 Department of Spiritual Care, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 3 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 4 Department of Mental Health, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands, 5 Department of Otolaryngology / Head and Neck Surgery, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

\* im.verdonck@vumc.nl

# **Abstract**

## **Purpose**

To evaluate psychometric characteristics of a questionnaire (the Northwestern Ego-integrity Scale (NEIS)) on ego-integrity (the experience of wholeness and meaning in life, even in spite of negative experiences) and despair (the experience of regret about the life one has led, and feelings of sadness, failure and hopelessness) among cancer patients.

#### Methods

Cancer patients (n = 164) completed patient reported outcome measures on ego-integrity and despair (NEIS), psychological distress, anxiety and depression (Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)), and quality of life (EORTC QLQ-C30 (cancer survivors, n = 57) or EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL (advanced cancer patients, n = 107)). Confirmatory Factor Analysis was used to assess construct validity. Cronbach's alpha was used to assess internal consistency. Convergent validity was tested based on a priori defined hypotheses: a higher level of ego-integrity was expected to be related to a higher level of quality of life, and lower levels of distress, depression and anxiety; a higher level of despair was expected to be related to a lower level of quality of life, and higher levels of distress, depression and anxiety.

#### Results

The majority of all items (94.5%) of the NEIS were completed by patients and single item missing rate was below 2%. The two subscales, labeled as Ego-integrity (5 items) and Despair (4 items) had acceptable internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha .72 and .61, respectively). The Ego-integrity subscale was not significantly associated with quality of life, distress, anxiety, or depression. The Despair subscale correlated significantly (p < .001) with quality of life (r = .29), distress (r = .44), anxiety (r = .47) and depression (r = .32).



### Conclusion

The NEIS has good psychometric characteristics to assess ego-integrity and despair among cancer patients.

#### Introduction

According to Erikson's theory, ego-integrity and despair are key topics in the eighth developmental stage, when people enter the final stage of life and reflect on the meaning of life and how they lived it. A person experiences ego-integrity, if he or she accepts his or her life cycle as something that had to be, feels connected to others, and experiences a sense of wholeness, meaning and coherence as he or she faces (the approach of) death. Achieving ego-integrity is supposed to be associated with achieving wisdom [1–4] and less death anxiety [5]. In contrast, a person experiences despair, if he or she experiences regret about the life he or she has led, and has feelings of sadness, failure and hopelessness. It is suggested that despair is related to psychological distress, depressive symptoms, loneliness and isolation [3].

Not only older people in the final stage of life are confronted with death, ego-integrity and despair, but people with a life-threatening disease as cancer as well [3]. Much is known about the impact of cancer and its effect on quality of life [6–8]. We also know that prevalence of distress and depression among cancer patients is high [9]. However, information on ego-integrity and despair is scarce and a valid questionnaire to assess ego-integrity and despair among cancer patients is lacking. Such a questionnaire is important, because it is hypothesized that patients who do not achieve ego-integrity and have a high level of despair can experience more psychological problems and death anxiety, have fewer personal and interpersonal resources for facing cancer, and are more vulnerable to developing depressive symptoms [3,5].

The Northwestern Ego-integrity Scale (NEIS)—a questionnaire targeting ego-integrity and despair -was developed earlier based on research in the general population [10]. Recently, Westerhof et al. [11] investigated the NEIS among the general population aged 50–95 and reported that the NEIS has a two factor structure comprising Ego-integrity and Despair.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the psychometric characteristics of the NEIS among cancer patients. The research questions were: 1) Is the NEIS feasible among cancer patients?, 2) Is the same factor structure found compared to the general population [11]?, 3) Is the scale reliable in a cancer population, and 4) Has the scale validity in a cancer population?. Convergent validity of the questionnaire NEIS was tested based on a priori defined hypotheses: a higher level of ego-integrity was expected to be related to a higher level of quality of life, and lower levels of psychological distress, depression and anxiety; a higher level of despair was expected to be related to a lower level of quality of life, and higher levels of psychological distress, depression and anxiety [3]. The results of this study are expected to contribute to the development of a patient reported outcome measure to evaluate ego-integrity and despair among cancer patients.

#### Methods

### Subjects and procedure

From 2009 to 2014, cancer patients were recruited for two intervention studies, on the effectiveness of life review therapy targeting advanced cancer patients (n = 107), and on the effectiveness of autobiographic writing targeting cancer survivors (n = 57). The studies were



approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the VU University Medical Center Amsterdam. In the present study, we used baseline data (before start of the intervention) of the patient reported outcomes measures: the Northwestern Ego-integrity Scale (NEIS), the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and the quality of life questionnaires EORTC QLQ-C30 (EORTC QLQ-C30 (cancer survivors) or EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL (advanced cancer patients)). In both studies patients under 18 years of age or with psychotic behavior, severe cognitive dysfunction or insufficient mastery of the Dutch language were excluded.

# Study measures

Patients completed a study specific questionnaire on sociodemographic characteristics (age, gender, marital status (married, widowed, divorced), children (number), and educational level (none, primary education, secondary general or vocational education, higher general or vocational education or academic education)), and clinical characteristics (treatment intent (curative or advanced cancer) and type of cancer (according to the ICD-10)).

The Northwestern Ego-integrity Scale (NEIS) [10, 12] is a 15-item questionnaire to assess despair and ego-integrity, with higher mean scores indicating more despair and ego-integrity [13]. The NEIS reflects Erikson's conception of the eighth and final developmental phase in a person's life, regarding ego-integrity and despair [1]. Respondents are asked to indicate their agreement to statements such as, 'I have reached a point where I can accept the events in my life as having been necessary' or 'I wish I had more time to take a different path in life' on a scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree). A recent study among the general population aged 50–95, showed that the NEIS has 2 subscales, Ego-integrity (5 items) and Despair (4 items) [11].

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) is a 14-item questionnaire [14]. The HADS consists of two subscales, depression (HADS-D; 7 items) and anxiety (HADS-A; 7 items). The two subscales are combined in one overall score on psychological distress (HADS-T; 14 items). Scores on each item range from 0–3, with a score on the subscales ranging from 0–21 and a total score ranging from 0–42.

The European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality-of-Life Questionnaire C30 (EORTC QLQ-C30) is a 30-item questionnaire assessing quality of life among cancer patients [15]. It consists of five functional scales (physical, role, cognitive, emotional, and social), three symptom scales (fatigue, pain, and nausea and vomiting), and a global quality of life scale. The European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality-of-Life Questionnaire PAL15 (EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL) is a shortened version of the EORTC QLQ-C30. It is developed as a 15-item questionnaire assessing quality of life of cancer patients in palliative care [16]. In the present study, the single item for global quality of life (identical in the QLQ-C30 and QLQ-C15-PAL) was used (How would you rate your overall quality of life during the past week?), which is scored on a 7-point scale ranging from 1, 'very poor', to 7, 'excellent'. According to the EORTC guidelines, this scale was transformed to a 100-points scale with a higher score indicating a better quality of life.

# Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics were used to investigate the number of missing items. Confirmatory Factor Analysis was used to analyze whether the factor structure found in Westerhof et al. [11] could be replicated. Criteria for an acceptable fit were: 1) Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) < .06 and Comparative Fit Index (CFI) and Tucker-Lewis Index-Non-Normed Fit Index  $\geq$  .9. Measurement invariance of the factor structure was investigated between advanced cancer patients and patients treated with curative intent. Internal



consistency of the subscales was assessed by calculating Cronbach's alpha coefficient. Sum scores of the NEIS subscales were computed by summing all standardized item scores and rescaling the total score to a percentage score. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients were computed to examine the relationship between the NEIS subscales and quality of life, psychological distress, anxiety and depression. The statistical comparisons were two-sided and a p-value <.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS (version 20, IBM Crop., Armonk, NY USA), Mplus version 6.11 [17] and R version 3.1.1. (<a href="https://www.r-project.org">www.r-project.org</a>) with the package lavaan [18] were used for the analyses.

## **Results**

# Subjects

A total of 164 cancer patients (57 patients treated with curative intent and 107 advanced cancer patients) participated. Mean age of the patients was 60.6 years (range 29–86; SD = 9.6), and 38.4% were male. Patients characteristics are shown in Table 1.

# Missing items

Overall, the majority of all items (94.5%) of the NEIS were completed by patients. Regarding the single items, missing item responses ranged from .6% to 1.2%.

# Confirmatory Factor Analysis

Westerhof et al. [11] suggested a 9-item factor structure with two subscales for the NEIS (Table 2). Assuming uncorrelated factors the fit was nearly acceptable ( $\chi^2(27) = 62.0$ , p = .0001; RMSEA = .089; CFI = .919; TLI = .892) and improved little when assuming correlated factors ( $\chi^2(26) = 56.4$ , p = .0005; RMSEA = .084; CFI = .930; TLI = .902). Only configural invariance ( $\chi^2(52) = 74.1$ , p = .023; RMSEA = .073; CFI = .905) and no metric invariance ( $\Delta\chi^2(7) = 27.1$ , p = .0003;  $\Delta$ CFI = .086) could be proven between advanced cancer patients and patients treated with curative intent.

## Internal consistency

Cronbach's alpha coefficient .72 for the Ego-integrity subscale and .61 for the Despair subscale.

## Subscale scores

To compute the scores of both subscales, all individual item scores were first transformed to Z-scores. Z-scores of items 6, 7, 10, 13 and 15 were summed for the subscale 'Ego-integrity', Z-scores of items 2, 5, 8, and 14 were summed for the subscale 'Despair'. The obtained subscale 'Ego-integrity' ranged from -10.2 to 7.3 and the 'Despair' subscale ranged from 5.6 to 6.6. Final scores were obtained by rescaling the summed Z-scores as follows: Total score = ((sum Z-score—minimum sum Z-score)) times 100. The mean total score for the subscale 'Ego-integrity' was 58.1 (SD = 19.6) and for the subscale 'Despair' 45.1 (SD = 21.7).

## Convergent validity

The Ego-integrity subscale did not correlate significantly with quality of life, psychological distress, anxiety or depression. The Despair subscale correlated significantly (p < .001) and negatively with global quality of life (r = .29), and positively with psychological distress (HADS-T score; r = .44), anxiety (HADS-A; r = .47) and depression (HADS-D; r = .32) (see <u>Table 3</u>).



Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants.

	Total group (n = 164)		Advanced cancer patients (n = 107)		Cancer survivors (n = 57)	
Scale	Distribution	%	Distribution	%	Distribution	%
Gender						
Male	63	38.4	57	55.3	6	10.5
Female	101	61.6	50	46.7	51	89.5
Age						
Mean (SD)	60.6 (9.7)		62.75 (9.3)		56.68 (9.2)	
Range	29–86		31–86		29–73	
Marital status						
Married	99	60.4	75	70.1	24	42.1
Divorced	29	17.7	13	12.2	16	28.1
Widowed	12	7.3	7	6.5	5	8.8
Never married	24	14.6	12	11.2	12	21.0
Children						
Yes	134	81.7	91	85.0	43	75.4
No	30	18.3	16	15.0	14	24.6
Level of education						
Academic education	27	16.5	15	14.0	12	21.1
Higher general or vocational education	54	32.9	27	25.2	27	47.4
Secondary general or vocational education	52	31.7	35	32.7	17	29.8
Primary education	28	17.1	28	26.2	0	0
None	2	1.2	2	1.9	0	0
Unknown	1	0.6	0	0	1	1.8
Religion						
Yes	76	46.3	35	32.7	41	71.9
No	87	53	72	67.3	15	26.3
Unknown	1	0.6	0	0	1	1.8
Tumor type						
Lung cancer	66	40.2	66	61.1	0	0
Head and neck cancer	2	1.2	2	1.9	0	0
Hematological cancer	33	20.1	23	21.5	10	17.5
Breast cancer	32	19.5	5	4.7	27	47.4
Other	21	12.8	11	10.3	10	17.5
Unknown	10	6.1	0	0	10	17.5

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156003.t001

#### **Discussion**

In the present study, we investigated psychometric characteristics of the NEIS, a patient reported outcome measure on ego-integrity and despair among cancer patients. The feasibility was good: the majority of all patients (94.5%) completed all items, missing item rate was below 2%. The factor structure of the NEIS consisting of two subscales 'Ego-integrity' (5 items) and 'Despair' (4 items) was confirmed in the present study among patients treated with curative intent as well as advanced cancer patients. We found acceptable internal consistency for Ego-integrity ( $\alpha$  = .72), which is in accordance with earlier research in the general population [11–13,19], and somewhat lower internal consistency for Despair ( $\alpha$  = .61).

In the present study, the scores on the Despair subscale correlated significantly and negatively with global quality of life, and positively with psychological distress, anxiety and



Table 2. Confirmatory Factor Analysis of the Northwestern Ego-integrity Scale, correlated factors were assumed.

	Item	EIS	DS	М	SD
6.	I have reached a point where I can accept the events in my life as having been necessary.	.75		3.4	1.7
7.	As I grow older, I understand people more.	.30		4.7	1.2
10.	I see a meaningful thread running through the many events in my life.	.66		3.5	1.5
13.	Even my sufferings have had meaning.	.69		3.7	1.6
15.	As I get older, my life story makes more sense to me.	.61		4.0	1.3
2.	It pains me to think about dreams and goals I have had that I did not fulfill.		.47	3.6	1.7
5.	I wish I had loved more in my life.		.72	3.3	1.7
8.	I am bothered by mistakes I have made in the past.		.47	3.0	1.5
14.	I wish I had more time to take a different path in life.		.64	3.3	1.7

EIS = Ego-integrity subscale, DS = Despair subscale, M = Mean, SD = standard deviation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156003.t002

depression, which confirms our hypothesis and earlier research [3]. However, in contrast to our hypotheses, the scores on the Ego-integrity subscale did not correlate significantly with quality of life, psychological distress, anxiety or depression. Janis [10] stated that 'ego-integrity is a complex psychological state that involves a belief that life has been meaningful, a sense of contentment or satisfaction, and a feeling that one's desires have been adequately met'. It may be that the construct of ego-integrity is more related to existential issues and not to quality of life (which also entails physical aspects of life, especially among cancer patients) or psychological distress or psychiatric disorders like depression and anxiety. The findings of Westerhof et al. [11] seem to support this. Their results showed that ego-integrity was related to well-being. They also found that more depressive symptoms are significantly related to more despair, but not to ego-integrity. Future research is needed to explore these associations, for example via qualitative research methods.

There are some limitations to our study. Our sample comprised patients participating in randomized controlled trials and our sample size was relatively small which may have affected correlations among items. Future research to test the hypotheses in a more representative sample would therefore be interesting. Also, In the present study both cancer survivors and patients with advanced cancer participated. Although the factor structure was comparable with 2 scales (Ego-integrity and Despair), the factor loadings on these scales differed between the two groups. It may well be that these groups differ in how they experience ego-integrity and despair. Further research is necessary to examine this.

In this study we investigated the feasibility, internal consistency, and validity. Furthermore, we used summed Z-scores instead of standardized scoring coefficients, because in general

Table 3. Convergent validity.

	Ego-integrity subscale		Despair subscale			
	Spearman	P-value	Spearman	P-value		
QoL	.11	.18	29	<.001		
HADS T	084	.29	.44	<.001		
HADS A	005	.95	.47	<.001		
HADS D	14	.072	.32	<.001		

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156003.t003



summed Z-scores are more accessible in clinical practice. However, further research is needed to determine cut-off scores, cross-cultural validity, and responsiveness of the NEIS. Cut-off scores, and responsiveness are also important in clinical practice. Furthermore, investigating cross-cultural validity is necessary so that the NEIS can be used in other cultural groups outside of the Netherlands. In future research the NEIS can be used to investigate ego-integrity and despair among cancer patients. More insight is needed in the prevalence of ego-integrity and despair, and the course from diagnosis and treatment to long-term survivorship or end-of-life. Also knowledge on factors that may influence ego-integrity and despair among cancer patients can be enriched, such as sociodemographic (age, gender, socio-economic status), clinical (as cancer type and stage, treatment modality, treatment intent), and psychological factors (such as perceived threat of cancer, optimism, anxiety and depression).

In clinical practice, addressing ego-integrity and despair among cancer patients does not seem to be standard practice yet, but could be an important aspect of psychological functioning. Therefore, more attention to ego-integrity and despair is important to be able to support the patients better. It is also important to develop and investigate more interventions that aim to improve ego-integrity and prevent despair. The NEIS can be used as a tool to investigate the effectiveness of these interventions targeting cancer patients.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: GK LP BW EB GW PC IV. Performed the experiments: GK LP IV. Analyzed the data: GK BW IV. Wrote the paper: GK LP BW EB GW PC IV.

# References

- 1. Erikson EH. Childhood and Society. New York: Norton; 1950.
- 2. Erikson EH. The life cycle completed. New York: Norton; 1988.
- Holland J, Poppito S, Nelson C, Weiss T, Greenstein M, Martin A, et al. Reappraisal in the eighth life cycle stage: a theoretical psychoeducational intervention in elderly patients with cancer. Palliat Support Care. 2009; 7: 271–279. doi: 10.1017/S1478951509990198 PMID: 19788768
- Sneed JR, Whitbourne SK, Culang ME. Trust, identity, and ego integrity: Modeling Erikson's core stages over 34 years. J Adult Dev. 2006; 13: 148–157.
- Fishman S. Relationships among an older adult's life review, ego integrity, and death anxiety. Int Psychogeriatr. 1992; 4: 267–277. PMID: 1288666
- De Haes JCJM, Van Knippenberg CE. The quality of life of cancer patients: a review of the literature. Soc Sci Med. 1985; 20: 809–817. PMID: 3890194
- Mols F, Vingerhoets A, Coebergh J, van de Poll-Franse L. Quality of life among long-term breast cancer survivors: a systematic review. Eur J Cancer. 2005; 41: 2613–2619. PMID: 16226458
- Montazeri A, Gillis CR, McEwen J. Quality of life in patients with lung cancer: a review of the literature from 1970–1995. Chest. 1998; 133: 467–481.
- Krebber AMH, Buffart LM, Kleijn G, Riepma IC, De Bree R, Leemans CR, et al. Prevalence of depression in cancer patients: A meta-analysis of diagnostic interviews and self-report instruments. Psychooncology. 2014; 23: 121–130. doi: 10.1002/pon.3409 PMID: 24105788
- Janis L, Canak T, Machado MA, Green RM, McAdams DP. Development and valdiation of the Nortwestern Ego Integrity Scale. Evanston, IL.: Nortwestern University;
- 11. Westerhof GJ, Bohlmeijer ET, McAdams DP. The Relation of Ego Integrity and Despair to Personality Traits and Mental Health. Journals Gerontol Ser B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2015; 00: 1–9.
- Webster JD. Wisdom and positive psychosocial values in young adulthood. J Adult Dev. 2010; 17: 70– 80.
- Lamers SMA, Bohlmeijer ET, Korte J, Westerhof GJ. The Efficacy of Life-Review as Online-Guided Self-help for Adults: A Randomized Trial. Journals Gerontol Ser B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2014; 70: 24– 34.



- Spinhoven P, Ormel J, Sloekers PP, Kempen GI, Speckens AE, Van Hemert AM. A validation study of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) in different groups of Dutch subjects. Psychol Med. 1997; 27: 363–70. PMID: 9089829
- Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, Bullinger M, Cull A, Duez NJ, et al. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-of-life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1993; 85: 365–376. PMID: 8433390
- 16. Groenvold M, Petersen MA, Aaronson NK, Arraras JI, Blazeby JM, Bottomley A, et al. The development of the EORTC QLQ-C15-PAL: A shortened questionnaire for cancer patients in palliative care. Eur J Cancer. 2006; 42: 55–64. PMID: 16162404
- 17. Muthén L, Muthén B. Mplus User's Guide 1998–2011. Sixth ed. Los Angeles, CA; 2011.
- Hirschfeld G, Von Brachel R. Multiple-Group confirmatory factor analysis in R—A tutorial in measurement invariance with continuous and ordinal. Pract Assessment, Res Eval. 2014; 19: 1–12.
- 19. Bohlmeijer ET, Westerhof GJ, Lamers SMA. The development and initial validation of the narrative fore-closure scale. Aging Ment Health. 2014; 18: 879–888. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2014.896865">10.1080/13607863.2014.896865</a> PMID: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2014.896865">24678959</a>