CORRECTION

Correction: Economic Analysis of Vaccination Strategies for PRRS Control

Daniel C. L. Linhares, Clayton Johnson, Robert B. Morrison

In the title of the article, the word “Vaccination” should be “Immunization.” The correct title is: Economic Analysis of Immunization Strategies for PRRS Control. The correct citation is: Linhares DCL, Johnson C, Morrison RB (2015) Economic Analysis of Immunization Strategies for PRRS Control. PLoS ONE 10(12): e0144265. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0144265](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0144265)

There is an error in the seventh sentence of the Abstract. The correct sentence is: Preventive vaccination of sow herds was beneficial when the frequency of PRRSv infection was at least every 1 year and 9 months.

There are errors in Figs [3–5](#). Please see the corrected Figs [3–5](#) below.

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Linhares DCL, Johnson C, Morrison RB (2016) Correction: Economic Analysis of Vaccination Strategies for PRRS Control. PLoS ONE 11(4): e0150444. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0150444](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150444)

Published: April 18, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Linhares et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Fig 3. Distribution of economic advantage of farms that used MLV in comparison to those that used LVI as part of load-close-expose program. Outcome of model B, a Monte Carlo simulation of economic advantage of MLV compared to LVI. (A) is the outcome illustrated as a probability density function. (B) is the outcome illustrated as cumulative density function.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150444.g001

Fig 4. Break-even analysis of preventative vaccination practice according to cost of attenuated-PRRSv on growth performance or magnitude of reduction on pigs/sow/year (PSY) due to attenuated PRRSv. (A). Effect of attenuated-PRRSv impact on pig growth performance on break-even of preventative vaccination, considering sow herd-level impact of 1 PSY. (B) Effect of attenuated-PRRSv impact on reduction of pigs weaned/sow/year on break-even of preventative vaccination, assuming no impact of attenuated PRRSv on growth performance.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150444.g002

Fig 5. Break-even analysis of preventive vaccination practice according to cost of attenuated-PRRSv on growth performance or magnitude of reduction on pigs/sow/year (PSY) due to attenuated PRRSv. (A) Impact of margin over variable cost (MOVC) and production cost attributable to PRRSv on advantage to have prior PRRSv-immunity. (B) Impact of MOVC and PSY on advantage to have prior PRRSv-immunity.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150444.g003

Reference

1. Linhares DCL, Johnson C, Morrison RB (2015) Economic Analysis of Vaccination Strategies for PRRS Control. PLoS ONE 10(12): e0144265. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144265 PMID: 26673898