CORRECTION

Correction: Is the Readmission Rate a Valid Quality Indicator? A Review of the Evidence

The PLOS ONE Staff

There is an error in the first sentence of the Results paragraph of the Abstract. The correct sentence is: The search resulted in 101 included papers.

There is an error in the third sentence of the first paragraph of the Results. The correct sentence is: Of the remaining 420 articles another 319 were excluded based on full text review ([Fig. 1](#)).

There is an error in [Fig. 1](#). The authors have provided a corrected figure below.

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: The PLOS ONE Staff (2015) Correction: Is the Readmission Rate a Valid Quality Indicator? A Review of the Evidence. PLoS ONE 10(2): e0118968. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118968

Published: February 27, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 The PLOS ONE Staff. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Fig 1. Flow chart of inclusion process.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118968.g001

Reference

1. Fischer C, Lingsma HF, Marang-van de Mheen PJ, Kringos DS, Klazinga NS, et al. (2014) Is the Readmission Rate a Valid Quality Indicator? A Review of the Evidence. PLoS ONE 9(11): e112282. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0112282](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112282) PMID: [25379675](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25379675/)