Correction

Correction: Ecophysiological and Anatomical Mechanisms behind the Nurse Effect: Which Are More Important? A Multivariate Approach for Cactus Seedlings

The PLOS ONE Staff

Figure 2 is incorrect. The authors have provided a corrected version here.

Reference

- Delgado-Sánchez P, Yáñez-Espinosa L, Jiménez-Bremont JF, Chapa-Vargas L, Flores J (2013) Ecophysiological and Anatomical Mechanisms behind the Nurse Effect: Which Are More Important? A Multivariate Approach for Cactus Seedlings. PLoS ONE 8(11): e81513. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081513

Figure 2. Responses of *Opuntia streptacantha* seedlings under combined water and light treatments at 15, 30 and 45 days. a) osmotic potential (MPa) and, b) titratable acidity (mmol equiv. H⁺ m⁻²). Bars represent the means $\pm$ SE ($P < 0.001$; $n = 3$). Different letters indicated differences between treatments and days. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081513.g001

Citation: The PLOS ONE Staff (2014) Correction: Ecophysiological and Anatomical Mechanisms behind the Nurse Effect: Which Are More Important? A Multivariate Approach for Cactus Seedlings. PLoS ONE 9(4): e95405. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095405

Published April 10, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 The PLOS ONE Staff. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.