Correction

Correction: Are Regional Habitat Models Useful at a Local-Scale? A Case Study of Threatened and Common Insectivorous Bats in South-Eastern Australia

The PLOS ONE Staff

Figure 6 is incorrect. The authors have provided a corrected version here.

Figure 6. Spatial visualisation of prediction errors. Regional-scale probability of occurrence maps [25] presented at the local-scale of the study for *Mormopterus norfolkensis* and *Mormopterus species 2* with activity levels from the local study overlaid. The probability of occurrence of each species from the regional-scale models was classified into three categories to aid visualisation of prediction errors. The sensitivity = specificity occurrence threshold (0.34) obtained from the local-scale study was used to define the lowest category. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072420.g006

Reference

- McConville A, Law BS, Mahony MJ (2013) Are Regional Habitat Models Useful at a Local-Scale? A Case Study of Threatened and Common Insectivorous Bats in South-Eastern Australia. PLoS ONE 8(8): e72420. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072420

Citation: The PLOS ONE Staff (2014) Correction: Are Regional Habitat Models Useful at a Local-Scale? A Case Study of Threatened and Common Insectivorous Bats in South-Eastern Australia. PLoS ONE 9(2): e89702. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089702

Published February 26, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 The PLOS ONE Staff. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.