

Citation: Kim Y, Kim CG, Kim JW, Han K, Kim JH (2024) Cumulative effect of metabolic syndrome on the risk of retinal vein occlusion in young patients: A nationwide population-based study. PLoS ONE 19(5): e0303871. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0303871

Editor: Jiro Kogo, Akita University: Akita Daigaku, JAPAN

Received: August 8, 2023

Accepted: May 1, 2024

Published: May 20, 2024

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0303871

Copyright: © 2024 Kim et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Access to raw data from the Korean Health Insurance Review and Assessment (HIRA) service is regulated by the Rules for Data Exploration and Use of the HIRA. RESEARCH ARTICLE

Cumulative effect of metabolic syndrome on the risk of retinal vein occlusion in young patients: A nationwide population-based study

Yeji Kim¹, Chul Gu Kim¹, Jong Woo Kim¹, Kyungdo Han²*, Jae Hui Kim¹*

1 Kim's Eye Hospital, Seoul, South Korea, 2 Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, Soongsil University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

* hkd917@naver.com (KH); kimoph@gmail.com (JHK)

Abstract

This study aimed to investigate the impact of the cumulative burden of metabolic syndrome (MetS) on the incidence of retinal vein occlusion (RVO) in young adults. We included 1,408,093 subjects aged \geq 20 and <40 years without a history of RVO who underwent four consecutive annual health examinations during 2009–2012 from the database of the Korean National Health Insurance Service. The metabolic burden was evaluated based on the cumulative number of MetS diagnoses at each health examination (0–4 times) and the cumulative number of each MetS component diagnosed at each health examination (0–4 times per MetS component). Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate the risk of RVO according to metabolic burden. The risk of RVO was positively correlated with the cumulative number of MetS diagnoses over the four health examinations. All five MetS components were independently associated with an increased risk of RVO. Subgroup analysis for the impact of MetS on RVO occurrence revealed that MetS had a greater impact on female subjects (P <0.001). Prompt detection of metabolic derangements and their treatment might be important to decrease the risk of RVO in young adults, especially women.

Introduction

Retinal vein occlusion (RVO) is the second most common retinal vascular disease, with an estimated prevalence of 0.4% in branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO) and 0.08% in central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO) [1, 2]. The incidence of RVO increases with age, frequently seen in people older than 65 years [3, 4]. Traditional risk factors for RVO include advancing age and systemic conditions such as hypertension, arteriosclerosis, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, vascular cerebral stroke, blood hyperviscosity, and thrombophilia [5]. Advanced age is regarded as a basic risk factor, and metabolic syndromes (MetS), such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and hyperlipidemia, and is known as a strong risk factor for RVO [5]. Recently, a nationwide population-based study demonstrated that MetS and each of its diagnostic criteria increase the risk of RVO occurrence [6]. Data are available from the Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service database for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data after receiving approval from the HIRA Data Access Committee. The HIRA data can be obtained using the website (http://opendata.hira. or.kr).

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

As the incidence of RVO is lower in younger adults, information regarding the risk factors of RVO in the younger population is limited. The etiology of RVO in young patients is likely multifactorial. Previous studies have reported that younger adults were more likely to have nontraditional risk factors, such as physical or psychological stress and hematologic abnormalities [7], whereas other studies have reported that atherosclerotic diseases remain the most commonly associated systemic diseases in patients aged \leq 50 years [8]. A substantial increase in the prevalence of MetS has been reported in healthy young adults [9]. In addition, a considerable proportion (7.5–26.2%) of CRVO patients were reported to be under 50 years old [7, 10]. Since visual disturbance in young adults may lead to a significant impact on social participation [11], analyzing the association between MetS and the occurrence of RVO in young patients may have clinical importance.

In the present study, we investigated the association between MetS and the risk of RVO development in young Korean patients through a nationwide, large-scale retrospective cohort study based on the Korean National Health Insurance Database.

Materials and methods

The methods of Ahn et al. were grossly referenced in this study [12]. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Kim's Eye Hospital (Kim's Eye Hospital IRB; IRB number KEH 2022-04-008), and was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The need for informed consent was waived by the IRB of Kim's Eye Hospital because the study did not include any identifiable information about the subjects. The Korean National Health Insurance Corporation has allowed authors to use the database based on the approved IRB. The data access dates for research purposes were June 2, 2022

Study design and data sources

The data analyzed in this study were derived from the National Health Information Database (NHID), which includes all data from the NHIS covering the entire population of the Republic of Korea. Briefly, all insured Korean adults, except 3% of the population covered by the Medical Aid program, were recommended to undergo standardized biennial medical examinations consisting of detailed surveys of demographics, medical histories, lifestyle questionnaires, vital signs, anthropometric measurements, and laboratory tests. In addition to the regular health examination records, the Korean NHIS collects sociodemographic data, income-based insurance contributions, prescription records, inpatient and outpatient usage, and the date of death of all insured Koreans in the NHID [13, 14].

A total of 1,571,091 adults aged \geq 20 and <40 years who underwent four serial health examinations between January 1, 2009, and December 31, 2012, were selected from the NHID. The database was collected over four consecutive years from the first health examination. Individuals with a history of RVO, missing health examination data or covariates, or with a diagnosis of RVO within one year from the last health examination (index date) were excluded (Fig 1). A one-year lag period was implemented to enhance the causality of the study. This was done to mitigate the possibility of delayed RVO diagnoses due to delayed eye examinations and to exclude individuals who received regular checkups over a four-year period and passed away within one year thereafter. By excluding these possibilities, this study aimed to increase the causality of the research, measure exposure over a four-year period, and observe the occurrence of RVO one year after the measurements were taken. The washout period was defined as the period from 2002 until the last health examination was conducted, encompassing a duration of 10 years or more (from 2002 to 2012–2015).



Fig 1. Flow diagram of the selection of the study population from the National Health Information Database. a Patients aged \geq 20 years and <40 years who participated in the National Health Insurance Service at least once between 2009 and 2012. b Patients who received a health examination between January 1, 2009, and December 31, 2012 and have subsequently undergone four consecutive examinations thereafter (For example, for 2009, participants who underwent annual health examinations continuously for four years from 2009 to 2012). c Patients diagnosed with retinal vein occlusion (KCD code H34.8 corresponding to ICD-10-clinical modification code 362.35, CRVO, or 362.36, venous tributary (branch) occlusion) during the washout period were excluded. d Patients diagnosed with retinal vein occlusion within one year from the index date were excluded (For example, for 2009, individuals who received annual health examinations from 2009 to 2012 and experienced RVO or passed away in 2013 were excluded). *CRVO* central retinal vein occlusion.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0303871.g001

In national health examinations, waist circumference, blood pressure, and glucose and cholesterol levels are measured annually, with very few cases of missing data. Individuals with missing data were excluded from the analysis, and only those participants who had complete data for all MetS components over a four-year period were enrolled in the study. Ultimately, 1,408,093 adults were included in the analysis.

Evaluation of metabolic syndrome and the influence of cumulative metabolic burden

The MetS was defined using the modified waist circumference criteria of the Korean Society for the Study of Obesity and the guidelines of the National Cholesterol Education Program Third Adult Treatment Panel (NCEP-ATP III) as the presence of \geq 3 of the following: increased WC [\geq 90 cm in males or \geq 85 cm in females], elevated TG [\geq 150 mg/dL (1.7 mmol/ L) or drug treatment for elevated TG], low HDL-C [<40 mg/dL (1 mmol/L) in males and <50 mg/dL (1.3 mmol/L) in females or drug treatment for low HDL-C], elevated blood pressure [systolic blood pressure \geq 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure \geq 85 mmHg or current use of antihypertensives], and impaired fasting glucose [fasting plasma glucose \geq 100 mg/dL (5.6 mmol/L) or current use of anti-diabetes] [15–17].

At each health examination, the presence of MetS and the number of fulfilled MetS components were calculated. "Metabolic burden" was defined in the following ways during four health examinations: (1) cumulative number of MetS diagnosed at each health examination (0–4 times); and (2) cumulative number of each MetS component diagnosed at each health examination (0–4 times per MetS component).

Covariates, follow-up, and clinical outcomes

The baseline characteristics of the individuals were designated as the data of the last health examination (index date), which comprised sociodemographic data, income-based insurance contributions, laboratory results, anthropometric measurements, comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and chronic kidney disease), and answers to lifestyle questionnaires. We investigated the risk of new-onset RVO using the Korean Standard Classification of Diseases Version 6 (KCD-6; an adapted version of the International Classification of Disease, Tenth Revision [ICD-10] codes) and inpatient and outpatient records. Chronic kidney disease was defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 60 mL/min/1.73m². The follow-up period was the time from the index date to the occurrence of RVO or December 31, 2018, whichever occurred first.

Statistical analysis

Data are summarized as mean ± standard deviation for continuous variables and number (%) for categorical variables. One-way analysis of variance and the chi-square test were used to evaluate significant differences in baseline characteristics among groups categorized by the number of MetS components. The incidence rate of RVO was computed by dividing newonset RVO cases by the total follow-up duration and was presented as per 1000 person-years (PY). The association between MetS status frequency and RVO incidence was estimated using Cox proportional hazards regression models. The risk of RVO according to the number of patients with MetS compared with the non-MetS group was expressed as HRs with 95% CIs. Model 1 represented an unadjusted risk, and Model 2 was adjusted for age and sex. Model 3 was adjusted for age; sex; smoking status (never smoker, ex-smoker, or current smoker); alcohol intake (non-, mild, or heavy drinker, g/day); regular exercise (performing >30 min of moderate physical activity ≥ 5 times a week or >20 min of vigorous physical activity ≥ 3 times a week); and low-income level (income in the lower 20% of the entire Korean population of subjects supported by the medical aid program) [18]. Model 4 was additionally adjusted for systolic blood pressure, fasting glucose, the logarithm of TG, and HDL-C levels. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data collection and statistical analysis was performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of 1,408,093 individuals categorized according to the number of MetS diagnoses over four health examinations. The mean age of the total study population was 32.45 ± 4.11 years, and 1,011,343 (71.8%) were men. The study participants were classified into five groups according to the number of MetS diagnostic criteria met during four consecutive annual health examinations. The number of individuals included in each group was 1,072,217 (76.1%), 165,760 (11.8%), 80,501 (5.7%), 50,712 (3.6%), and 38,903 (2.8%) (0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 times, respectively).

Accumulation of metabolic burden and the risk of RVO

Table 2 shows the incidence of RVO according to the number of MetS and the number of MetS components during the four health examinations. The cumulative number of MetS diagnoses at each health examination and the risk of RVO showed a positive correlation: adjusted HRs with 95% CIs of groups meeting the diagnostic criteria of MetS 1, 2, 3, and 4 times compared to 0 times were 1.20 (1.03–1.39), 1.35 (1.12–1.63), 1.58 (1.28–1.95), and 1.71 (1.36–2.15), *P* for trend <0.001). Fig 2A shows the relation.

	Total	The number of the presence of the metabolic syndrome						
		0	1	2	3	4		
No. of participants (%)	1,408,093 (100.0)	1,072,217 (76.1)	165,760 (11.8)	80,501 (5.7)	50,712 (3.6)	38,903 (2.8)		
Age (years)	32.45 ± 4.11	32.02 ± 4.16	33.5 ± 3.71	33.91 ± 3.55	34.2 ± 3.47	34.63 ± 3.35	< .0001	
Sex							< .0001	
Male	1,011,343 (71.8)	703,191 (65.6)	149,321 (90.1)	74,779 (92.9)	47,505 (93.7)	36,547 (93.9)		
Female	396,750 (28.2)	369,026 (34.4)	16,439 (9.9)	5,722 (7.1)	3,207 (6.3)	2,356 (6.1)		
Smoking							< .0001	
Never smoker	672,683 (47.8)	573,880 (53.5)	53,442 (32.2)	22,564 (28.0)	13,197 (26.0)	9,600 (24.7)		
Ex-smoker	206,809 (14.7)	145,501 (13.6)	29,763 (18.0)	15,238 (18.9)	9,396 (18.5)	6,911 (17.8)		
Current smoker	528,601 (37.5)	352,836 (32.9)	82,555 (49.8)	42,699 (53.0)	28,119 (55.5)	22,392 (57.6)		
Alcohol consumption ^a							< .0001	
Non-drinker	444,733 (31.6)	362,414 (33.8)	41,844 (25.2)	19,140 (23.8)	11,887 (23.4)	9,448 (24.3)		
Mild to moderate drinker	832,667 (59.1)	627,253 (58.5)	101,847 (61.4)	49,433 (61.4)	30,905 (60.9)	23,229 (59.7)		
Heavy drinker	130,693 (9.3)	82,550 (7.7)	22,069 (13.3)	11,928 (14.8)	7,920 (15.6)	6,226 (16.0)		
Regular exercise ^b	248,553 (17.7)	187,096 (17.5)	30,730 (18.5)	14,802 (18.4)	9,178 (18.1)	6,747(17.3)	<.0001	
Low income ^c	72 (0.0)	54 (0.0)	7 (0.0)	5 (0.0)	4 (0.0)	2 (0.0)	< .0001	
Comorbidities								
Diabetes mellitus	29,670 (2.1)	7,387 (0.7)	4,494 (2.7)	4,138 (5.1)	4,847 (9.6)	8,804 (22.6)	< .0001	
Hypertension	107,787 (7.7)	38,336 (3.6)	21,002 (12.7)	16,247 (20.2)	14,642 (28.9)	17,560(45.1)	< .0001	
Dyslipidemia	125,484 (8.9)	59,578 (5.6)	23,902 (14.4)	15,779 (19.6)	12,509 (24.7)	13,716(35.3)	< .0001	
CKD	4,993 (0.4)	3,350 (0.3)	604 (0.4)	370 (0.5)	272 (0.5)	397(1.0)	< .0001	
Laboratory findings								
BMI (kg/m2)	23.58 ± 3.65	22.53 ± 2.97	25.71 ± 3.16	27.22 ± 3.31	28.42 ± 3.45	29.75 ± 3.68	< .0001	
Waist circumference (cm)	79.61 ± 9.99	76.77 ± 8.55	85.72 ± 7.97	89.37 ± 8.06	92.19 ± 8.24	95.31 ± 8.51	< .0001	
Systolic BP (mmHg)	119.07 ± 12.78	116.46 ± 11.69	124.90 ± 11.80	127.95 ± 12.04	130.32 ± 12.56	133.23 ± 13.64	< .0001	
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	74.93 ± 9.19	73.19 ± 8.43	78.66 ± 8.65	80.84 ± 8.99	82.54 ± 9.56	84.72 ± 10.38	< .0001	
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	92.00 ± 16.06	89.46 ± 11.03	95.81 ± 16.30	99.21 ± 21.09	104.06 ± 28.76	115.26 ± 42.11	< .0001	
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	189.34 ± 34.10	184.93 ± 31.99	199.84 ± 35.40	205.12 ± 36.44	208.17 ± 37.49	208.97 ± 39.85	< .0001	
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	56.08 ± 18.47	58.79 ± 18.44	49.46 ± 16.22	46.71 ± 15.14	44.96 ± 14.05	43.33 ± 15.04	< .0001	
LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	108.86 ± 32.47	106.03 ± 30.48	116.87 ± 34.93	119.19 ± 36.61	119.73 ± 38.93	117.09 ± 40.87	< .0001	
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m2)	101.68 ± 68.64	102.54 ± 69.76	99.46 ± 67.04	98.73 ± 65.50	97.97 ± 58.14	98.45 ± 62.49	< .0001	
*Triglyceride (mg/dL)	105.81	89.77	155.03	186.14	211.29	243.67	<.0001	
	$(105\ 70-105\ 92)$	(89 68-89 86)	(154 64-155 43)	(18548 - 18680)	(210 37-212 21)	(242 47-244 88)		

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the total study population according to the cumulative number of MetS diagnosed at each health examination (0 to 4 times).

Data are presented as mean ± SD or No. (Percentages)

Percentages may not total 100 because of rounding

MetS metabolic syndrome, CKD chronic kidney disease, BMI body mass index, BP blood pressure, HDL high-density lipoprotein, LDL low-density lipoprotein, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate

^a Alcohol consumption denotes as following

Non-drinker: alcohol consumption 0 g

Mild to moderate drinker: alcohol consumption >0 g to <30 g per day

Heavy drinker: alcohol consumption \geq 30 g per day

^b Regular exercise denotes performing >30 min of moderate-intensity exercise (e.g., brisk pace walking, tennis doubles, or bicycling leisurely) \geq 5 times a week or >20 min of vigorous-intensity exercise (e.g., running, climbing, fast cycling, or aerobics) \geq 3 times a week

^c Low income denotes income of the lower 20% among the entire Korean population of subjects supported by the Medical Aid program

*Geometric mean (95% CI)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0303871.t001

The number of meeting the component	No. of participants	RVO	IR (1000PY)	HR (95% CI)				
				Model1	Model2	Model3	Model4	
Obesity (BMI ≥25)								
0	871,556	834	0.20	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	
1	89,802	94	0.22	1.10 (0.89–1.36)	1.06 (0.85–1.31)	1.05 (0.85–1.31)	1.02 (0.82–1.26)	
2	70,160	90	0.27	1.36 (1.09–1.68)	1.29 (1.03-1.60)	1.28 (1.03-1.60)	1.22 (0.98-1.52)	
3	79,588	85	0.23	1.13 (0.90-1.41)	1.05 (0.84-1.32)	1.05 (0.84–1.32)	0.98 (0.79-1.23)	
4	296,987	490	0.35	1.72 (1.54–1.93)	1.55 (1.38–1.75)	1.56 (1.39–1.75)	1.33 (1.18–1.50)	
Metabolic syndrome								
0	1,072,217	1,014	0.20	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	
1	165,760	222	0.28	1.39 (1.20–1.61)	1.27 (1.10–1.47)	1.29 (1.11–1.49)	1.20 (1.03–1.39)	
2	80,501	133	0.35	1.71 (1.43-2.05)	1.53 (1.27-1.83)	1.55 (1.29–1.87)	1.35 (1.12–1.63)	
3	50,712	109	0.45	2.22 (1.83-2.71)	1.95 (1.59–2.38)	1.99 (1.63-2.43)	1.58 (1.28-1.95)	
4	38,903	115	0.62	3.06 (2.52-3.71)	2.62 (2.15-3.19)	2.69 (2.21-3.27)	1.71 (1.36-2.15)	
Increased waist circumference	1							
0	1,067,469	1,062	0.21	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	
1	121,985	164	0.28	1.36 (1.15-1.60)	1.29 (1.10-1.53)	1.30 (1.10-1.53)	1.23 (1.04–1.45)	
2	69,078	88	0.27	1.30 (1.04–1.61)	1.21 (0.97-1.51)	1.21 (0.98-1.51)	1.11 (0.89–1.39)	
3	59,932	93	0.33	1.58 (1.28-1.96)	1.47 (1.18–1.81)	1.47 (1.19–1.82)	1.29 (1.04–1.60)	
4	89,629	186	0.44	2.12 (1.82-2.48)	1.93 (1.65-2.27)	1.96 (1.67-2.29)	1.55 (1.31–1.83)	
Low HDL-C								
0	1,001,902	1,050	0.22	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	
1	202,652	249	0.26	1.15 (1.01-1.32)	1.13 (0.98–1.29)	1.13 (0.99–1.30)	1.08 (0.94–1.24)	
2	97,195	135	0.29	1.30 (1.09–1.55)	1.25 (1.04–1.49)	1.26 (1.05-1.50)	1.15 (0.96-1.38)	
3	61,691	77	0.26	1.16 (0.92–1.47)	1.10 (0.87-1.38)	1.11 (0.88–1.40)	0.99 (0.78-1.25)	
4	44,653	82	0.38	1.71 (1.36-2.14)	1.58 (1.26-1.98)	1.60 (1.27-2.00)	1.32 (1.05–1.66)	
Elevated blood pressure								
0	632,898	579	0.20	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	
1	309,082	329	0.22	1.15 (1.00-1.31)	1.08 (0.94–1.25)	1.09 (0.94–1.25)	1.06 (0.92–1.22)	
2	204,139	241	0.25	1.26 (1.09–1.47)	1.16 (0.99–1.36)	1.17 (1.00–1.37)	1.10 (0.94–1.29)	
3	145,957	183	0.26	1.33 (1.13-1.58)	1.20 (1.01-1.43)	1.21 (1.02–1.44)	1.06 (0.88-1.27)	
4	116,017	261	0.47	2.37 (2.05-2.75)	2.06 (1.76-2.40)	2.07 (1.77-2.42)	1.52 (1.26–1.84)	
Impaired fasting glucose								
0	814,304	801	0.21	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	
1	336,845	351	0.22	1.05 (0.92-1.19)	0.98 (0.87-1.11)	0.99 (0.87-1.12)	0.96 (0.85-1.09)	
2	147,913	192	0.27	1.30 (1.11–1.52)	1.16 (0.99–1.36)	1.17 (1.00–1.37)	1.09 (0.93-1.28)	
3	68,920	109	0.33	1.57 (1.29–1.92)	1.35 (1.10-1.66)	1.36 (1.11–1.67)	1.18 (0.96-1.45)	
4	40,111	140	0.73	3.47 (2.90-4.15)	2.84 (2.37-3.41)	2.86 (2.38-3.44)	1.84 (1.46-2.32)	
Elevated TG								
0	750,472	713	0.20	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	
1	245,822	268	0.23	1.12 (0.98-1.29)	1.04 (0.90-1.20)	1.05 (0.91–1.21)	1.01 (0.88–1.17)	
2	152,002	188	0.26	1.26 (1.08–1.48)	1.11 (0.94–1.31)	1.13 (0.96–1.34)	1.05 (0.89–1.25)	
3	123,657	186	0.31	1.53 (1.30–1.79)	1.30 (1.10–1.54)	1.34 (1.13–1.58)	1.18 (1.00–1.41)	
				. , /	. /		. /	

Table 2. The risk of retinal vein occlusion according to the cumulative number of MetS and each component diagnosed during four health examinations (0 to 4 times).

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

The number of meeting the component	No. of participants	RVO	IR (1000PY)	HR (95% CI)				
				Model1	Model2	Model3	Model4	
4	136,140	238	0.36	1.75 (1.51-2.03)	1.44 (1.23–1.68)	1.49 (1.28–1.75)	1.19 (1.00–1.40)	

Model 1 is unadjusted

Model 2 is adjusted for age, sex

Model 3 is adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, and regular exercise

Model 4 is adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, regular exercise, systolic blood pressure, fasting glucose, logarithm of TG, and HDL-C level RVO retinal vein occlusion, IR incidence rate, PY person-years, HR hazard ratio, CI confidence interval, HDL-C high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, TG triglycerides

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0303871.t002

All of the five MetS criteria were independently related to the risk of RVO development. With the increase in the number of each MetS diagnostic criterion satisfied, the incidence and risk of RVO also increased (Table 2 and Fig 1B–1F). The impact of each MetS component on RVO occurrence differed. The adjusted HR (95% CI) of each MetS component, when diagnosed four times serially, was as follows: 1.84 (1.46–2.32) for impaired fasting glucose, 1.55 (1.31–1.83) for increased waist circumference (WC), 1.52 (1.26–1.84) for elevated blood pressure, 1.32 (1.05–1.66) for low HDL-C, and 1.19 (1.00–1.40) for elevated TG.

Subgroup analysis

Additional subgroup analyses for RVO development and the cumulative number of MetS were performed and are presented in <u>Table 3</u>. MetS increased the risk of RVO development greater in the female subgroup than in the male subgroup (adjusted HR (95% CI), 4.76 (2.48–9.15) for



Fig 2. Incidence rate of retinal vein occlusion according to the a. cumulative number of MetS diagnosed at each health examination, and b-f. cumulative number of each component of MetS diagnosed at each health examination. *HDL* high-density lipoprotein, *TG* triglycerides.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0303871.g002

Age 		The number of the presence of the metabolic syndrome	No. of participants	RVO	IR (1000PY)	HR (95% CI)	Interaction P
<.3000.131.01(Reference)0.1281124.97090.610.16(0.91-32)235.9.02110.281.86(0.98-3.52)235.9.021.9.02.9-3.181.9.02.9-3.18>3007.9.07.38.150.221.0.0.08.ference)>3010.1280.1280.1281.21.01.08.ference)207.1.071.220.3.11.25.0.17-16111.40.791.220.3.51.31.10.8.16.01231.58.0.27-1601.22.0.3.111.28.0.27-160111.40.771.120.4.71.58.0.27-160113.58.970.121.00.8.ference)1.58.0.27-160111.40.771.121.00.8.ference)1.59.0.27-160111.40.771.901.60.0.171.60.0.17111.40.0.171.90.0.171.59.0.171.59.0.17111.40.0.171.90.0.171.20.0.171.50.0.17111.40.0.171.90.0.171.20.0.171.50.0.17111.40.0.171.90.0.171.90.0.171.50.0.17111.40.0.171.90.0.171.90.0.171.90.0.17111.40.0.171.90.0.171.90.0.171.90.0.17111.40.0.171.90.0.171.90.0.171.90.0.17111.40.0.171.90.0.171.90.0.171.90.0.17	Age						
Image: style s	<30	0	313,144	199	0.15	1.00 (Reference)	0.1286
2 9.92 11 0.28 1.48 (0.97-3.69) 3 500 5 0.39 1.90 (0.69-5.16) ≥ 0 0 750/73 815 0.22 1.00 (Reference) ≥ 0 0 750/73 815 0.22 1.00 (Reference) ≥ 0 0 750/73 815 0.22 1.00 (Reference) < 2 71.109 122 0.53 1.31 (0.81-160) < 4 35.899 110 0.63 1.69 (1.32-2.14) < 4 35.899 110 0.63 1.69 (1.32-2.14) < 4 35.899 110 0.63 1.69 (1.32-2.14) < 4 35.899 110 0.63 1.69 (1.32-2.14) < 4 35.899 117 0.33 1.22 (1.00-1.49) < 1 1.49 (3.21-31) 1.20 (Reference) <<.0011		1	24,970	9	0.08	0.61 (0.31-1.20)	
35, 0.241.44 (0.57-3.6)≥300759.0738150.221.00 (Referance)≥300759.0738150.221.00 (Referance)211140.7592130.011.25 (1.07-1.46)1271.1091220.051.31 (1.08-1.00)14356.821040.471.58 (1.37-1.96)143.007270.221.00 (Referance)8111.49 (3.37-1.96)1.58 (1.37-1.96)<.0001		2	9,392	11	0.28	1.86 (0.98–3.52)	
43.00450.031.90 (0.09-5.18)>3007590738150.221.00 (Reference)1140.7001.130.311.25 (1.07-1.40)220.351.31 (1.08-1.60)1.00345.621040.471.53 (1.07-1.40)435.8991100.631.09 (1.33-2.14)Ser1149.3211990.281.14 (0.97-1.33)Ser-170.30 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.67 (1.		3	5,030	5	0.24	1.44 (0.57–3.66)	
≥30 0 759,073 815 0.02 1.00 (Reference) 1 140,790 123 0.31 1.25 (1.07-1.60) 1.25 (1.07-1.60) 3 45,682 1.04 0.47 1.58 (1.27-1.90) 1.00 (Reference) 4 35,899 1.04 0.47 1.58 (1.27-1.90) 1.00 (Reference) Sec		4	3,004	5	0.39	1.90 (0.69–5.18)	
1140,7902130.311.25 (10^{-1} .46)20.351.31 (10^{-1} .46)20.351.31 (10^{-1} .46)435.8891100.471.58 (1.2^{-1} .16)18et11.63 (1.3^{-1} .16)1.69 (1.3^{-2} .14)1Male0703.1917270.221.00 (Reference)<.0001	≥30	0	759,073	815	0.22	1.00 (Reference)	
1 121,101220.351.31 (1.81-40)3345.6821040.631.89 (1.33-2.14)1.89 (1.33-2.14)Ser00.631.69 (1.33-2.14)1.900.831.69 (1.33-2.14)Male00703.1917270.221.00 (Reference)<.0001		1	140,790	213	0.31	1.25 (1.07–1.46)	
Image: style		2	71,109	122	0.35	1.31 (1.08–1.60)	
Nale1100.631.91.9Sex<		3	45,682	104	0.47	1.58 (1.27–1.96)	
SexImage: section of the		4	35,899	110	0.63	1.69 (1.33–2.14)	
Male0703,1917270.221.00 (Reference)<.00011149,3211990.381.14 (0.97-1.33)1.22 (1.00-1.49)1.14 (0.97-1.33)2274,7791170.331.22 (1.00-1.49)1.14 (0.97-1.33)3347,5051040.461.54 (1.24-1.91)1.14 (1.14-1.91)Female05.502.00980.551.49 (1.17-1.91)1.15 (1.24-1.91)Female05.502.001.60 (0.174-477)1.00 (Reference)1.10 (Reference)116.439230.301.61 (1.04-2.48)1.16 (1.04-2.48)116.439230.301.42 (0.37-3.57)1.15 (1.24-1.71)142.256171.564.76 (2.48-1.15)142.2567890.191.00 (Reference)0.36591168.933810.241.03 (0.81-1.30)1.16 (1.12-2.17)1168.93180.241.58 (0.97-2.58)1.17 (0.88-2.7)1168.93180.241.58 (0.97-2.58)1.17 (0.88-2.7)1197.126180.511.27 (0.88-2.7)1190.631.27 (0.82-2.7)1.16 (1.12-1.56)1.17 (0.88-2.7)1190.671.410.03 (0.81-1.50)1.16 (0.12-1.56)1190.671.410.621.88 (1.02-1.56)1190.321.21 (0.98-1.55)1.17 (0.12-2.7)	Sex						
1 149,321 199 0.28 1.14 (0.97-1.33) 2 74,779 117 0.33 1.22 (1.00-1.49) 3 44,505 104 0.46 1.54 (1.24-1.9) Female 0 36,5902 287 0.17 1.00 (Reference) Female 0 36,9026 287 0.17 1.00 (Reference) 1 16,439 23 0.30 1.61 (1.04-2.48) 2 5,722 16 0.60 2.94 (1.74-4.97) 3 3.207 5 0.33 1.42 (0.57-3.57) Obesity	Male	0	703,191	727	0.22	1.00 (Reference)	< .0001
1 2 $74,79$ 117 0.33 122 (1.00-1.49) 3 47,505 104 0.46 1.54 (1.24-1.91) Female 0 36,9026 287 0.17 1.00 (Reference) 1 16,439 23 0.30 1.61 (1.04-2.48) 1.00 2 5,722 1.6 0.60 2.94 (1.74-4.97) 1.00 (Reference) 3 3.207 5 0.33 1.42 (0.57-3.57) 1.00 0 3 3.207 5 0.33 1.42 (0.57-3.57) 0 4 2.356 17 1.56 4.76 (2.48-9.15) 0 0 857,392 7.89 0.19 1.00 (Reference) 0.3659 1 66,893 81 0.24 1.03 (0.81-1.30) 1.06 1.00 1.00 (Reference) 0.3659 1 1 66,893 81 0.24 1.03 (0.81-1.30) 1.06 1.00 (Reference) 0.3659 1 1 2.61,177 93 0.32 1.20 (0.97-2.88) 1.01 1.00 (Reference) 1.00 (Reference) 1.00 (Reference)		1	149,321	199	0.28	1.14 (0.97–1.33)	
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA		2	74,779	117	0.33	1.22 (1.00–1.49)	
4 $36,547$ 98 0.56 $1.49 (1.7-1.91)$ Female 0 $36,026$ 287 0.17 $100 (Reference)$ I 1 $16,439$ 23 0.30 $161 (1.04-2.48)$ I 2 $5,722$ 16 0.600 $2.94 (1.74-4.97)$ I 3 3.207 5 0.33 $1.42 (0.57-3.57)$ Obesity I $1.66 (393)$ 81 0.24 $1.56 (2.48-9.15)$ Obesity I 0.0 $857,392$ 789 0.19 $100 (Reference)$ 0.3659 No 0 0 $857,392$ 789 0.19 $100 (Reference)$ 0.3659 No 0 0 $857,392$ 789 0.19 $1.00 (Reference)$ 0.3659 No 0 0 22 $193,324$ 40 0.511 $1.58 (0.97-2.58)$ Ves 0 23 42 $1.56 (1.2-1.70$ $1.50 (0.62-1.56)$ Ves 0 23 42.873 91 0.63 $1.37 (0.68-2.77$		3	47,505	104	0.46	1.54 (1.24–1.91)	
Female 0 $36,9026$ 287 0.17 1.00 (Reference) 1 1 164,39 23 0.30 1.61 (1.04-2.48) 2 $5,722$ 16 0.60 2.94 (1.74-4.97) 3 $3,207$ 5 0.33 1.42 (0.57-3.57) 0 4 $2,356$ 17 1.56 4.76 (2.48-9.15) Obesity - - - - - No 0 0 857,392 789 0.19 1.00 (Reference) 0.3659 1 1 68,993 81 0.24 1.56 (1.12-2.17) - 1 1.33 (0.81-1.30) 1.38 (0.97-2.58) - - - - 1 1.33 (0.81-1.30) 1.38 (0.97-2.58) - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		4	36,547	98	0.56	1.49 (1.17–1.91)	
1 16439 23 0.30 $1.61(1.04-2.48)$ 2 $5,722$ 16 0.60 $2.94(1.74-4.97)$ 3 $3,207$ 5 0.33 $142(0.57-3.57)$ 4 $4.32,356$ 17 1.56 $4.76(2.48-9.15)$ Obesity $$	Female	0	36,9026	287	0.17	1.00 (Reference)	
1 2 5,722 16 0.60 2.94 (1.74-4.97) 1 3 3.207 5 0.33 1.42 (0.57-3.57) 0 4 2.356 17 1.56 4.76 (2.48-9.15) 0 0 857,392 789 0.19 1.00 (Reference) 0.3659 1 66,993 81 0.24 1.35 (0.11-2.17) 1.56 1 2 19,324 40 0.42 1.56 (1.12-2.17) 1 3 7.126 18 0.51 1.58 (0.97-2.58) 1 4 2.873 9 0.63 1.37 (0.68-2.77) Yes 0 214,825 225 0.22 1.00 (Reference) 1 9 0.63 1.37 (0.68-2.77) 1.26 (0.2-1.56) 1.26 (0.2-1.56) 1 2 61,177 13 0.32 1.21 (0.94-1.55) 1.56 1 3 0.32 1.26 (0.2-1.56) 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.56 1 3 0.32 1.21 (0.94-1.55) 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.56 1.5		1	16,439	23	0.30	1.61 (1.04–2.48)	
3 $3,207$ 5 0.33 $1.42 (0.57-3.57)$ 0 4 $2,356$ 17 1.56 $4.76 (2.48-9.15)$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.19 1.00 (Reference) 0.3659 0 0 0 0 $0.57,392$ 789 0.19 1.00 (Reference) 0.3659 1 $68,993$ 81 0.24 $1.56 (1.12-2.17)$ $(1.10-2.17)$ 1 3 $7,126$ 18 0.51 $1.58 (0.97-2.58)$ $(1.10-2.17)$ Yes 0 $24,825$ 225 0.22 1.00 (Reference) $(1.10-2.17)$ Yes 0 $214,825$ 225 0.22 1.00 (Reference) $(1.10-2.15)$ Yes 0 2 $6,677$ 141 0.31 $1.26 (1.02-1.56)$ $Carrent soncorr 4 36,030 0 0.662 1.68 (1.28-2.0) Yes 0 0 719,381 704 0.21 1.00 (Reference) 0.4681 Yes$		2	5,722	16	0.60	2.94 (1.74-4.97)	
4 $2,356$ 17 1.56 4.76 ($2.48-9.15$) Obesity $$		3	3,207	5	0.33	1.42 (0.57-3.57)	
Obesity Image: style styl		4	2,356	17	1.56	4.76 (2.48-9.15)	
No0857,3927890.191.00 (Reference)0.365911668,993810.241.03 (0.81-1.30)1219,324400.421.56 (1.12-2.17)137,126180.511.58 (0.97-2.58)142,87390.631.37 (0.68-7.7)1Yes0214,8252250.221.00 (Reference)196,7671410.311.26 (1.02-1.56)12196,7671410.311.26 (1.02-1.56)11261,177930.321.21 (0.94-1.55)12343,586910.441.49 (1.16-1.93)1143,586910.441.49 (1.16-1.93)1143,586910.441.49 (1.16-1.93)1143,586910.441.49 (1.16-1.93)1143,586910.441.49 (1.16-1.93)1143,586910.441.49 (1.16-1.93)11183,2051270.321.28 (1.05-1.55)11183,2051270.321.28 (1.05-1.59)11183,2051270.321.28 (1.05-1.59)11322,593470.441.44 (1.05-1.97)1141.65,11510.651.72 (1.23-2.41)11	Obesity						
1 $68,993$ 81 0.24 $1.03 (0.81-1.30)$ 2 19,324 40 0.42 $1.56 (1.12-2.17)$ 3 7,126 18 0.51 $1.58 (0.97-2.58)$ 4 $2,873$ 9 0.63 $1.37 (0.68-2.77)$ Yes 0 $214,825$ 225 0.22 $1.00 (Reference)$ 1 $96,767$ 141 0.31 $1.26 (1.02-1.56)$ 1 2 $61,177$ 93 0.32 $1.21 (0.94-1.55)$ 1 3 $43,586$ 91 0.44 $1.49 (1.16-1.93)$ Current smoke 4 $36,030$ 106 0.62 $1.68 (1.28-2.00)$ Current smoke 71 33 $32,055$ 127 0.32 $1.28 (1.05-1.55)$ No 0 0 719,381 704 0.211 $1.00 (Reference)$ 0.4681 No 0 1 $82,505$ 127 0.32 $1.28 (1.05-1.57)$ 1 1 $82,505$ 127 0.32 $1.28 (1.05-1.57)$ $1.68 (1.29-1.41)$	No	0	857,392	789	0.19	1.00 (Reference)	0.3659
1219,324400.421.56 (1.12-2.17)137,126180.511.58 (0.97-2.58)142,87390.631.37 (0.68-2.77)Yes0214,8252250.221.00 (Reference)1196,7671410.311.26 (1.02-1.56)1261,177930.321.21 (0.94-1.55)1343,586910.441.49 (1.16-1.93)1436,0301060.621.68 (1.28-2.20)Current smoke183,2051270.321.28 (1.05-1.55)1183,2051270.321.28 (1.05-1.55)1237,802680.381.37 (1.06-1.78)1322,593470.441.44 (1.05-1.97)141.551510.651.72 (1.23-2.41)Yes03322,593470.441.12 (0.89-1.41)Yes03328,119620.461.70 (1.27-2.27)1422,392640.591.66 (1.21-2.9)Heavy drink*No0989,6679400.201.00 (Reference)1143,6911920.281.18 (1.01-1.39)-		1	68,993	81	0.24	1.03 (0.81-1.30)	
3 $7,126$ 18 0.51 $1.58 (0.97-2.58)$ Yes0 $2,873$ 9 0.63 $1.37 (0.68-2.77)$ Yes0 $214,825$ 225 0.22 1.00 (Reference)1 $96,767$ 141 0.31 $1.26 (1.02-1.56)$ 2 $61,177$ 93 0.32 $1.21 (0.94-1.55)$ 3 3 $43,586$ 91 0.44 $149 (1.16-1.93)$ 43 $36,030$ 106 0.62 $1.68 (1.28-2.20)$ Current smokerNo0 $719,381$ 704 0.21 1.00 (Reference) 100 60.62 $1.68 (1.28-2.20)$ 0.4681 100 100 $719,381$ 704 0.21 1.00 (Reference) 100 100 $719,381$ 704 0.21 1.00 (Reference) 100 100 $32,253$ 47 0.44 $1.44 (1.05-1.78)$ 100 $32,2533$ 47 0.44 $1.44 (1.05-1.97)$ 100 4 $16,511$ 51 0.65 $1.72 (1.23-2.41)$ 100 $82,555$ 95 0.24 $1.12 (0.89-1.41)$ 100 22 $42,699$ 65 0.32 $1.34 (1.02-1.76)$ 100 $23,332$ $28,119$ 62 0.46 $1.70 (1.27-2.7)$ 100 4 $22,392$ 64 0.59 $1.66 (1.21-2.9)$ 100 100 100 (Reference) 0.513 100 100 100 (Reference) 0.513		2	19,324	40	0.42	1.56 (1.12–2.17)	
4 $2,873$ 9 0.63 $1.37 (0.68-2.77)$ Yes 0 0 $214,825$ 225 0.22 $1.00 (Reference)$ 1 1 0.31 $1.26 (1.02-1.56)$ 1.10 2 0.32 0.32 $1.21 (0.94-1.55)$ $1.100 (Reference)$ 2 3 0.32 $1.21 (0.94-1.55)$ $1.100 (Reference)$ 3 0.32 $1.21 (0.94-1.55)$ $1.100 (Reference)$ $0.100 (Reference)$ 4 $36,030$ 106 0.62 $1.68 (1.28-2.20)$ Current smoker $1.00 (Reference)$ 0.4681 0.621 $1.00 (Reference)$ 0.4681 No 0 0 $719,381$ 704 0.211 $1.00 (Reference)$ 0.4681 1 $83,205$ 127 0.32 $1.28 (1.05-1.55)$ $1.00 (Reference)$ 0.4681 1 $83,205$ 127 0.32 $1.28 (1.05-1.55)$ $1.18 (1.05-1.78)$ $1.18 (1.05-1.78)$ 2 2 3 $22,593$ 47 0.44 $1.44 (1.05-1.97)$ $1.18 (1.02-1.78)$ 2 4 1.6511 51 0.65 $1.72 (1.23-2.41)$ $1.18 (1.02-1.76)$ 2 4 $22,593$ 47 0.44 $1.12 (0.89-1.41)$ $1.16 (1.21-2.97)$ 2 4 $20,299$ 65 0.32 $1.34 (1.02-1.76)$ $1.18 (1.02-1.20)$ 3 $22,392$ 64 0.59 $1.66 (1.21-2.97)$ $1.18 (1.01-1.39)$ 1 $1.18 (1.01-1.39)$ $1.18 (1.01-1.39)$ $1.18 (1.01-1.39)$		3	7,126	18	0.51	1.58 (0.97-2.58)	
Yes0 $214,825$ 225 0.22 1.00 (Reference)1196,767141 0.31 1.26 ($1.02-1.56$)261,17793 0.32 1.21 ($0.94-1.55$)33 $43,586$ 91 0.44 1.49 ($1.16-1.93$)Current smoter4 $36,030$ 106 0.62 1.68 ($1.28-2.20$)Current smoter719,381704 0.21 1.00 (Reference) 0.4681 No0719,381704 0.21 1.00 (Reference) 0.4681 183,205 127 0.32 1.28 ($1.05-1.55$) 0.4681 237,802 68 0.38 1.37 ($1.06-1.78$) 0.16 4 $16,511$ 51 0.65 1.72 ($1.23-2.41$) 0.16 Yes0 $352,836$ 310 0.18 1.00 (Reference) 0.16 1 $82,555$ 95 0.24 1.12 ($0.89-1.41$) 0.16 Yes0 $352,836$ 310 0.18 1.00 ($Reference$)1 $22,392$ 64 0.59 1.64 ($1.27-2.27$)Heavy drink* 16 0.20 1.00 (Reference) 0.513 No0989,667940 0.20 1.00 (Reference) 0.513		4	2,873	9	0.63	1.37 (0.68–2.77)	
196,7671410.311.26 (1.02-1.56)261,177930.321.21 (0.94-1.55)3343,586910.441.49 (1.16-1.93) 41 36,0301060.621.68 (1.28-2.20)Current smoter136,0301060.621.68 (1.28-2.20) 100 0719,3817040.211.00 (Reference)0.4681 100 183,2051270.321.28 (1.05-1.55) 100 237,802680.381.37 (1.06-1.78) 100 237,802680.381.37 (1.06-1.78) 100 322,593470.441.44 (1.05-1.97) 100 416,511510.651.72 (1.23-2.41) 100 82,555950.241.12 (0.89-1.41) 100 242,699650.321.34 (1.02-1.76) 100 22,392640.661.70 (1.27-2.27) 100 322,392640.691.66 (1.21-2.29) 100 122,392640.611.70 (1.27-2.27) 100 0989,6679400.201.00 (Reference)0.513 100 1143,6911920.281.18 (1.01-1.39)	Yes	0	214,825	225	0.22	1.00 (Reference)	
2 $61,177$ 93 0.32 $1.21 (0.94-1.55)$ 3 3 $43,586$ 91 0.44 $1.49 (1.16-1.93)$ 4 $36,030$ 106 0.62 $1.68 (1.28-2.20)$ Current smoter 1 $36,030$ 106 0.62 $1.68 (1.28-2.20)$ No 0 $719,381$ 704 0.21 $1.00 (Reference)$ 0.4681 1 $83,205$ 127 0.32 $1.28 (1.05-1.55)$ $1.00 (Reference)$ 0.4681 2 $37,802$ 68 0.38 $1.37 (1.06-1.78)$ $1.00 (Reference)$ 4 $16,511$ 51 0.655 $1.72 (1.23-2.41)$ Yes 0 $352,836$ 310 0.18 $1.00 (Reference)$ 1 $82,555$ 95 0.24 $1.12 (0.89-1.41)$ Yes 0 $32,819$ 65 0.32 $1.34 (1.02-1.76)$ 1 $2,392$ 64 0.59 $1.66 (1.21-2.2)$ Heavy drink ⁴ 1 $143,691$ 192 0.28 $118 (101-1.39)$ $1134,691$ 192 0.28 $1.18 (1.01-1.39)$		1	96,767	141	0.31	1.26 (1.02–1.56)	
3 $43,586$ 91 0.44 $1.49(1.16-1.93)$ $43,586$ 91 0.44 $1.49(1.16-1.93)$ $1.49(1.16-1.93)$ $Current smokent160.621.68(1.28-2.20)1.68(1.28-2.20)No00719,3817040.211.00(Reference)0.4681No0233,2051270.321.28(1.05-1.55)1.28(1.05-1.59)100237,802680.381.37(1.06-1.78)1.68(1.28-2.41)100322,593470.441.44(1.05-1.97)1.68(1.28-2.41)Yes03322,593470.441.44(1.05-1.97)1.68(1.28-2.41)Yes03322,593470.441.44(1.05-1.97)1.68(1.28-2.41)Yes00352,8363100.181.00(Reference)1.68(1.02-1.76)Yes0328,515950.241.12(0.89-1.41)1.68(1.02-1.76)10132,595950.241.70(1.27-2.27)1.68(1.21-2.29)1010100(Reference)1.00(Reference)0.513No00989,6679400.201.00(Reference)0.513$		2	61,177	93	0.32	1.21 (0.94–1.55)	
4 36,030 106 0.62 1.68 (1.28-2.20) Current smoker 0 719,381 704 0.21 1.00 (Reference) 0.4681 No 0 1 83,205 127 0.32 1.28 (1.05-1.55) 1 2 37,802 68 0.38 1.37 (1.06-1.78) 1.00 1 3 22,593 47 0.44 1.44 (1.05-1.97) 1.00 Yes 0 3 22,593 47 0.44 1.41 (1.05-1.97) 1.00 Yes 0 352,836 310 0.18 1.00 (Reference) 1.00 Yes 0 32,555 95 0.24 1.12 (0.89-1.41) 1.12 (0.89-1.41) Yes 0 2 42,699 65 0.32 1.34 (1.02-1.76) Yes 0 2 0.44 22,392 64 0.59 1.66 (1.21-2.29) Heavy drink ⁴ 0 9 940 0.20 1.00 (Reference) 0.513 No		3	43,586	91	0.44	1.49 (1.16–1.93)	
Current smoker Image: Marking the stress of t		4	36,030	106	0.62	1.68 (1.28-2.20)	
No0719,3817040.211.00 (Reference)0.46811183,2051270.321.28 (1.05-1.55)12237,802680.381.37 (1.06-1.78)1322,593470.441.44 (1.05-1.97)1416,511510.651.72 (1.23-2.41)1Yes0352,8363100.181.00 (Reference)1182,555950.241.12 (0.89-1.41)2242,699650.321.34 (1.02-1.76)122,392640.591.66 (1.21-2.29)Heavy drink ^a 1143,6911920.281.18 (1.01-1.39)	Current smo	ker					
1 $83,205$ 127 0.32 $1.28 (1.05-1.55)$ 22 $37,802$ 68 0.38 $1.37 (1.06-1.78)$ 3 $22,593$ 47 0.44 $1.44 (1.05-1.97)$ 4 $16,511$ 51 0.65 $1.72 (1.23-2.41)$ Yes0 $352,836$ 310 0.18 $1.00 (Reference)$ 1 $82,555$ 95 0.24 $1.12 (0.89-1.41)$ 22 $42,699$ 65 0.32 $1.34 (1.02-1.76)$ 1 $22,392$ 64 0.59 $1.66 (1.21-2.27)$ Heavy drink ^a 1 $989,667$ 940 0.20 $1.00 (Reference)$ 1 $143,691$ 192 0.28 $1.18 (1.01-1.39)$	No	0	719,381	704	0.21	1.00 (Reference)	0.4681
2 $37,802$ 68 0.38 $1.37(1.06-1.78)$ 3 $22,593$ 47 0.44 $1.44(1.05-1.97)$ 1 4 $16,511$ 51 0.65 $1.72(1.23-2.41)$ Yes 0 $352,836$ 310 0.18 $1.00(Reference)$ 1 1 $82,555$ 95 0.24 $1.12(0.89-1.41)$ 1 2 $42,699$ 65 0.32 $1.34(1.02-1.76)$ 1 2 $28,119$ 62 0.46 $1.70(1.27-2.27)$ 1 4 $22,392$ 64 0.59 $1.66(1.21-2.29)$ Heavy drink ⁴ 1 $143,691$ 192 0.28 $1.18(1.01-1.39)$		1	83,205	127	0.32	1.28 (1.05-1.55)	
3 $22,593$ 47 0.44 $1.44(1.05-1.97)$ M 4 $16,511$ 51 0.65 $1.72(1.23-2.41)$ M 0 $352,836$ 310 0.18 1.00 (Reference) M 1 $82,555$ 95 0.24 $1.12(0.89-1.41)$ M 2 $42,699$ 65 0.32 $1.34(1.02-1.76)$ M 1 $22,392$ 64 0.59 $1.66(1.21-2.29)$ M M M M M M M M 0 $989,667$ 940 0.20 1.00 (Reference) 0.513		2	37,802	68	0.38	1.37 (1.06–1.78)	
416,511510.65 $1.72 (1.23-2.41)$ Yes0352,8363100.18 $1.00 (Reference)$ 182,555950.24 $1.12 (0.89-1.41)$ 2242,699650.32 $1.34 (1.02-1.76)$ 1228,119620.46 $1.70 (1.27-2.27)$ 422,392640.59 $1.66 (1.21-2.29)$ Heavy drink ^a No0989,6679400.20 $1.00 (Reference)$ 0.513		3	22,593	47	0.44	1.44 (1.05–1.97)	
Yes0 $352,836$ 310 0.18 1.00 (Reference)11 $82,555$ 95 0.24 1.12 ($0.89-1.41$)22 $42,699$ 65 0.32 1.34 ($1.02-1.76$)13 $28,119$ 62 0.46 1.70 ($1.27-2.27$)44 $22,392$ 64 0.59 1.66 ($1.21-2.29$)Heavy drink ^a No0 $989,667$ 940 0.20 1.00 (Reference) 0.513		4	16,511	51	0.65	1.72 (1.23-2.41)	
1 $82,555$ 95 0.24 $1.12 (0.89-1.41)$ 2 2 $42,699$ 65 0.32 $1.34 (1.02-1.76)$ 3 $28,119$ 62 0.46 $1.70 (1.27-2.27)$ 4 $22,392$ 64 0.59 $1.66 (1.21-2.29)$ Heavy drink ^a No 0 $989,667$ 940 0.20 $1.00 (Reference)$ 0.513	Yes	0	352,836	310	0.18	1.00 (Reference)	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		1	82,555	95	0.24	1.12 (0.89–1.41)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		2	42,699	65	0.32	1.34 (1.02–1.76)	
4 22,392 64 0.59 1.66 (1.21-2.29) Heavy drink ^a No 0 989,667 940 0.20 1.00 (Reference) 0.513 1 143,691 192 0.28 1.18 (1.01-1.39)		3	28,119	62	0.46	1.70 (1.27–2.27)	
Heavy drink ^a Image: Constraint of the second		4	22,392	64	0.59	1.66 (1.21–2.29)	
No 0 989,667 940 0.20 1.00 (Reference) 0.513 1 143,691 192 0.28 1.18 (1.01–1.39)	Heavy drink	a					
1 143.691 192 0.28 1.18 (1.01-1.39)	No	0	989,667	940	0.20	1.00 (Reference)	0.513
		1	143,691	192	0.28	1.18 (1.01–1.39)	

Table 3. The risk of retinal vein occlusion according to the cumulative number of MetS in various subgroups.

(Continued)

	The number of the presence of the metabolic syndrome	No. of participants	RVO	IR (1000PY)	HR (95% CI)	Interaction P
	2	68,573	109	0.33	1.29 (1.05–1.58)	
	3	42,792	97	0.47	1.66 (1.32–2.07)	
	4	32,677	99	0.63	1.75 (1.37–2.24)	
Yes	0	82,550	74	0.19	1.00 (Reference)	
	1	22,069	30	0.28	1.32 (0.86-2.03)	
	2	11,928	24	0.42	1.78 (1.10-2.87)	
	3	7,920	12	0.32	1.18 (0.62-2.25)	
	4	6,226	16	0.53	1.55 (0.82-2.90)	
Regular exer	rcise ^b					
No	0	885,121	832	0.20	1.00 (Reference)	0.2396
	1	135,030	183	0.28	1.21 (1.03–1.43)	
	2	65,699	98	0.31	1.21 (0.98–1.51)	
	3	41,534	86	0.43	1.50 (1.18–1.90)	
	4	32,156	97	0.63	1.70 (1.32-2.18)	
Yes	0	187,096	182	0.21	1.00 (Reference)	
	1	30,730	39	0.27	1.15 (0.80-1.63)	
	2	14,802	35	0.49	1.99 (1.36-2.90)	
	3	9,178	23	0.53	1.95 (1.23-3.09)	
	4	6,747	18	0.56	1.72 (0.99-3.02)	

Table 3. (Continued)

HRs with 95% CI were calculated using a Cox proportional hazards model adjusting age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, and regular exercised.

 $^{\rm a}$ Heavy drinkers were defined as those who drank more than 30 g/d.

^b Regular exercise was defined as strenuous physical activity that was performed for at least 30 minutes at least five times a week.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0303871.t003

female, 1.49 (1.17–1.91) for male; *P* for interaction <0.0001; when diagnosed four times consecutively). Other variables including obesity, current smoking, heavy drinking, and regular performance did not show significant differences in the subgroup analyses.

Discussion

RVO, especially CRVO, is a significant cause of visual impairment in individuals of any age [19, 20]. A nationwide, retrospective study using data from the Korean National Health Claims Database, spanning 2007 to 2011, revealed the RVO incidences per 100,000 person-years [21]. For ages 20–29, 30–39, and 40–49, the rates were 4.03, 10.32, and 32.22, respectively. The rates dramatically increased with age, with incidences of 80.22 for ages 50–59 and 172.90 for ages 60–69. This pattern shows a more than twofold increase in incidence every decade from the 20s to the 70s.

Younger patients with RVO have a variable clinical course [22, 23]. Although young patients with RVO generally have a better visual prognosis [7, 24–28], at least 20% of patients are known to develop poor visual outcomes with severe neovascular complications [10, 29]. Therefore, identifying factors associated with the development of RVO in young subjects would be of great value.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first large nationwide population-based cohort study to report the cumulative effect of MetS and its components on the risk of RVO in young adults. The key findings of this study can be summarized as follows: (1) the cumulative burden of MetS during the four health examinations had a linear correlation with the risk of RVO, (2) the cumulative burden of each MetS component showed a positive association with the risk of

RVO, (3) among the five metabolic components, impaired fasting glucose showed the greatest increase in RVO risks, and (4) MetS increased the risk of RVO more in females.

The association of MetS and its components with RVO has been reported in several previous studies [6, 30]. While these studies analyzed the number of MetS components at a single point, our study investigated the accumulated effects of MetS identified in four consecutive health examinations. Participants who were diagnosed with MetS at one time had a 20% increased risk of RVO compared to those without MetS, whereas participants who were diagnosed with MetS four times had a 71% higher risk of RVO. Such a positive increase in RVO according to the repeated diagnosis of metabolic components may suggest a dose-response perspective for evaluating the risk of retinal microvascular disease. Given the proportional increase in RVO risk according to the degree of metabolic burden with temporal changes, it is plausible that the risk of RVO would be higher in patients diagnosed with MetS more than four times during their health checkups.

Among the five diagnostic components of MetS, the impact of WC on RVO occurrence was particularly significant when individuals with impaired fasting glucose, prediabetes, or diabetes were excluded. In a previous population-based study of individuals aged 20 years and older, elevated blood pressure was the greatest risk and increased WC was the minimal risk for RVO development, revealing adjusted hazard ratios of 1.610 (95% CI 1.589-1.631) for BP and 1.212 (95% CI 1.197–1.227) for WC [6]. The reason for the discrepancy in the results of the present study may be associated with age. Another population-based study revealed that obesity has different effects on the incidence of RVO in the presence and absence of DM. In individuals with DM, a lower body mass index (BMI) and WC were associated with an increased risk of RVO, while a higher BMI and WC were associated with a lower risk of RVO. In those without DM, the correlation was reversed; a lower WC was associated with a lower risk of RVO and vice versa [31]. Since the prevalence of DM is lower in the younger population, our study of younger individuals showed similar results to the group without DM. As the cumulative number of times included in the WC criterion increased during the four health examination checkups, the risk of RVO occurrence increased linearly. Further studies are required to determine whether there is a definitive causal relationship between WC and MetS.

The sex differences are observed in MetS prevalence and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk [32]. Studies have shown that the risk for CVD in MetS is greater among females than among males [33, 34]. Each component of MetS also has shown sex differences; dyslipidemia was associated with a greater risk for coronary artery disease, and congestive heart failure was more commonly seen as a consequence of hypertension in females than in males [32]. Similarly, the subgroup analysis for the impact of MetS on RVO occurrence has revealed that MetS has a greater impact on female subjects. Several circumstances specific to females, including pregnancy, polycystic ovary syndrome, and oral contraceptive therapy may play a role in increasing these risks. In addition, it is well known that the prevalence of MetS increases with menopause [35], and the risk of RVO is reported to increase in MetS [6]. Furthermore, a recent study showed that early menopause is associated with an increased risk of RVO [36]. However, in premenopausal women with a relatively low risk of MetS, as in the present study, although the exact mechanism is unclear and requires further investigation, there may be risk factors that can cause MetS other than a decrease in estrogen, which may also affect the occurrence of RVO.

The data employed in this study originates from nationwide health examinations administered to the entire cohort of employed individuals aged 20 and above. These examinations are not individually solicited according to personal healthcare requirements, thereby mitigating the potential for differential screening rates among individuals with suboptimal overall health or higher socioeconomic status. Our study had several limitations. First, using a claims database focused solely on healthcare utilization to identify patients with RVO may have underrepresented asymptomatic cases. Therefore, our data reflected the incidence of clinically diagnosed RVO, potentially underestimating the true occurrence of RVO. Second, the lack of access to hospital-based medical records to validate RVO occurrences and review clinical data compromised the accuracy of the study data. These limitations include the risk of misclassifying diagnoses and the inability to assess factors such as severity, extent, prognosis, or patient comorbidities. Third, owing to the inherent constraints of studies utilizing claims databases, clinical characteristics and interobserver variability in RVO diagnosis were not standardized. Fourth, male patients comprised over two-thirds of the participants, owing to the higher probability of employees undergoing routine health checkups at their workplaces. This sex imbalance introduces a potential selection bias, limiting the representation of both sexes in the broader population. Furthermore, the selection criteria, emphasizing individuals who underwent health assessments four times over four years, might not fully capture the demographics of individuals in their 20s and 30s within the general population.

The major limitation of the present study is that an analysis utilizing the Charlson Comorbidity Index was not performed. Furthermore, the analysis did not account for the use of contraceptives, which is associated with an increased risk of retinal vascular occlusion [37]. Although RVO in younger patients may be related to systemic diseases, such as rheumatic disorders and leukemia, this study did not evaluate the associations with these specific diseases.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this large-scale population-based cohort study revealed that the cumulative burden of MetS and its components was positively correlated with the risk of RVO in young adults. Given the association found in this study, prompt detection of metabolic derangements and their treatment might be important for decreasing the risk of RVO in young adults, especially in females.

Supporting information

S1 Checklist. STROBE statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the National Health Insurance Service for providing the data regarding the original cohort.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Jae Hui Kim.

Data curation: Yeji Kim, Chul Gu Kim, Jong Woo Kim, Kyungdo Han, Jae Hui Kim.

Formal analysis: Yeji Kim, Chul Gu Kim, Jong Woo Kim, Kyungdo Han, Jae Hui Kim.

Funding acquisition: Chul Gu Kim, Jong Woo Kim, Jae Hui Kim.

Investigation: Yeji Kim, Chul Gu Kim, Jong Woo Kim, Kyungdo Han, Jae Hui Kim.

Methodology: Yeji Kim, Kyungdo Han, Jae Hui Kim.

Project administration: Kyungdo Han, Jae Hui Kim.

Resources: Kyungdo Han, Jae Hui Kim.

Software: Kyungdo Han.

Supervision: Kyungdo Han, Jae Hui Kim.

Validation: Kyungdo Han, Jae Hui Kim.

Visualization: Yeji Kim, Jae Hui Kim.

Writing - original draft: Yeji Kim, Chul Gu Kim, Kyungdo Han, Jae Hui Kim.

Writing - review & editing: Yeji Kim, Jong Woo Kim, Jae Hui Kim.

References

- 1. Ip M, Hendrick A. Retinal Vein Occlusion Review. Asia Pac J Ophthalmol (Phila). 2018; 7(1):40–5. https://doi.org/10.22608/APO.2017442 PMID: 29280368
- Rogers S, McIntosh RL, Cheung N, Lim L, Wang JJ, Mitchell P, et al. The prevalence of retinal vein occlusion: pooled data from population studies from the United States, Europe, Asia, and Australia. Ophthalmology. 2010; 117(2):313–9. e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2009.07.017 PMID: 20022117
- Laouri M, Chen E, Looman M, Gallagher M. The burden of disease of retinal vein occlusion: review of the literature. Eye. 2011; 25(8):981–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/eye.2011.92 PMID: 21546916
- Nalcaci S, Degirmenci C, Akkin C, Mentes J. Etiological factors in young patients with Retinal Vein Occlusion. Pak J Med Sci. 2019; 35(5):1397–401. https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.35.5.546 PMID: 31489014
- 5. Kolar P. Risk factors for central and branch retinal vein occlusion: a meta-analysis of published clinical data. Journal of ophthalmology. 2014;2014. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/724780 PMID: 25009743
- Lim DH, Shin KY, Han K, Kang SW, Ham D-I, Kim SJ, et al. Differential effect of the metabolic syndrome on the incidence of retinal vein occlusion in the Korean population: a nationwide cohort study. Translational Vision Science & Technology. 2020; 9(13):15–. https://doi.org/10.1167/tvst.9.13.15 PMID: 33344059
- Eah KS, Kim YN, Park YJ, Lee JY, Kim JG, Yoon YH, et al. CENTRAL RETINAL VEIN OCCLUSION IN YOUNG PATIENTS: Clinical Characteristics and Prognostic Factors. Retina. 2021; 41(3):630–7. https://doi.org/10.1097/IAE.00000000002872 PMID: 32604341
- Sinawat S, Bunyavee C, Ratanapakorn T, Sinawat S, Laovirojjanakul W, Yospaiboon Y. Systemic abnormalities associated with retinal vein occlusion in young patients. Clinical Ophthalmology (Auckland, NZ). 2017; 11:441. https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S128341 PMID: 28260858
- Mattsson N, Rönnemaa T, Juonala M, Viikari JS, Raitakari OT. The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in young adults. The Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. J Intern Med. 2007; 261 (2):159–69. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2796.2006.01752.x PMID: 17241181
- Fong AC, Schatz H. Central retinal vein occlusion in young adults. Surv Ophthalmol. 1993; 37(6):393– 417. https://doi.org/10.1016/0039-6257(93)90138-w PMID: 8516752
- Elsman EBM, van Rens G, van Nispen RMA. Quality of life and participation of young adults with a visual impairment aged 18–25 years: comparison with population norms. Acta Ophthalmol. 2019; 97 (2):165–72.
- Ahn HJ, Han KD, Choi EK, Jung JH, Kwon S, Lee SR, et al. Cumulative burden of metabolic syndrome and its components on the risk of atrial fibrillation: a nationwide population-based study. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2021; 20(1):20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12933-021-01215-8 PMID: 33468142
- Choi E-K. Cardiovascular research using the Korean national health information database. Korean Circulation Journal. 2020; 50(9):754–72. https://doi.org/10.4070/kcj.2020.0171 PMID: 32725984
- Cheol Seong S, Kim Y-Y, Khang Y-H, Heon Park J, Kang H-J, Lee H, et al. Data resource profile: the national health information database of the National Health Insurance Service in South Korea. International journal of epidemiology. 2017; 46(3):799–800. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw253 PMID: 27794523
- Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. Circulation. 2005; 112(17):2735–52. https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.105.169404 PMID: 16157765

- Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Jama. 2001; 285(19):2486–97.
- Seo MH, Lee W-Y, Kim SS, Kang J-H, Kang J-H, Kim KK, et al. 2018 Korean Society for the Study of Obesity guideline for the management of obesity in Korea. Journal of obesity & metabolic syndrome. 2019; 28(1):40.
- Lee SR, Choi EK, Kwon S, Han KD, Jung JH, Cha MJ, et al. Effectiveness and Safety of Contemporary Oral Anticoagulants Among Asians With Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation. Stroke. 2019; 50(8):2245–9. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.119.025536 PMID: 31208303
- Baseline and early natural history report. The Central Vein Occlusion Study. Arch Ophthalmol. 1993; 111(8):1087–95.
- 20. Risk factors for central retinal vein occlusion. The Eye Disease Case-Control Study Group. Arch Ophthalmol. 1996; 114(5):545–54.
- Park SJ, Choi NK, Park KH, Woo SJ. Nationwide incidence of clinically diagnosed retinal vein occlusion in Korea, 2008 through 2011: preponderance of women and the impact of aging. Ophthalmology. 2014; 121(6):1274–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2013.12.024 PMID: 24491641
- Recchia FM, Carvalho-Recchia CA, Hassan TS. Clinical course of younger patients with central retinal vein occlusion. Arch Ophthalmol. 2004; 122(3):317–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/archopht.122.3.317</u> PMID: 15006842
- Zhang XT, Zhong YF, Xue YQ, Li SQ, Wang BY, Zhang GQ, et al. Clinical Features of Central Retinal Vein Occlusion in Young Patients. Ophthalmol Ther. 2022; 11(4):1409–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40123-022-00534-7 PMID</u>: 35697973
- Rothman AL, Thomas AS, Khan K, Fekrat S. CENTRAL RETINAL VEIN OCCLUSION IN YOUNG INDIVIDUALS: A Comparison of Risk Factors and Clinical Outcomes. Retina. 2019; 39(10):1917–24. https://doi.org/10.1097/IAE.00000000002278 PMID: 30085977
- Battaglia Parodi M, Iacono P, Sacconi R, Parravano M, Varano M, Bandello F. DEXAMETHASONE IMPLANT FOR MACULAR EDEMA SECONDARY TO CENTRAL RETINAL VEIN OCCLUSION IN PATIENTS YOUNGER THAN 50 YEARS. Retina. 2015; 35(7):1381–6. https://doi.org/10.1097/IAE. 00000000000494 PMID: 26102437
- Lindsell LB, Lai MM, Fine HF. Current concepts in managing retinal vein occlusion in young patients. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging Retina. 2015; 46(7):695–701. <u>https://doi.org/10.3928/23258160-20150730-02</u> PMID: 26271084
- 27. Walters RF, Spalton DJ. Central retinal vein occlusion in people aged 40 years or less: a review of 17 patients. Br J Ophthalmol. 1990; 74(1):30–5. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.74.1.30 PMID: 2306442
- Ye P, Zhu T, Zheng F, Zhou M, Fang X, Yao K. Microvascular comparison in younger and older patients with retinal vein occlusion analyzed by OCT angiography. BMC Ophthalmol. 2021; 21(1):161. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1186/s12886-021-01931-5 PMID: 33820544
- Nicholson L, Talks SJ, Amoaku W, Talks K, Sivaprasad S. Retinal vein occlusion (RVO) guideline: executive summary. Eye. 2022; 36(5):909–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41433-022-02007-4 PMID: 35301458
- Kim J, Lim DH, Han K, Kang SW, Ham D-I, Kim SJ, et al. Retinal vein occlusion is associated with low blood high-density lipoprotein cholesterol: a nationwide cohort study. American Journal of Ophthalmology. 2019; 205:35–42.
- Paik DW, Han K, Kang SW, Ham D-I, Kim SJ, Chung T-Y, et al. Differential effect of obesity on the incidence of retinal vein occlusion with and without diabetes: a Korean nationwide cohort study. Scientific reports. 2020; 10(1):1–9.
- Bentley-Lewis R, Koruda K, Seely EW. The metabolic syndrome in women. Nature clinical practice Endocrinology & metabolism. 2007; 3(10):696–704. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncpendmet0616 PMID: 17893688
- Sarafidis PA, McFarlane SI, Bakris GL. Gender disparity in outcomes of care and management for diabetes and the metabolic syndrome. Current Diabetes Reports. 2006; 6(3):219–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11892-006-0038-3 PMID: 16898575</u>
- Hunt KJ, Resendez RG, Williams K, Haffner SM, Stern MP. National Cholesterol Education Program versus World Health Organization metabolic syndrome in relation to all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in the San Antonio Heart Study. Circulation. 2004; 110(10):1251–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/01</u>. CIR.0000140762.04598.F9 PMID: 15326061
- Carr MC. The emergence of the metabolic syndrome with menopause. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2003; 88(6):2404–11. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2003-030242 PMID: 12788835

- 36. Hwang S, Kang SW, Choi KJ, Son KY, Lim DH, Shin DW, et al. Early menopause is associated with increased risk of retinal vascular occlusions: a nationwide cohort study. Sci Rep. 2022; 12(1):6068. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10088-0 PMID: 35414644
- Aggarwal RS, Mishra VV, Aggarwal SV. Oral contraceptive pills: A risk factor for retinal vascular occlusion in in-vitro fertilization patients. J Hum Reprod Sci. 2013; 6(1):79–81. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-1208.112389 PMID: 23869159