

Citation: Jugha VT, Anchang JA, Taiwe GS, Kimbi HK, Anchang-Kimbi JK (2023) Association between malaria and undernutrition among pregnant women at presentation for antenatal care in health facilities in the Mount Cameroon region. PLoS ONE 18(10): e0292550. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0292550

Editor: Gifty Dufie Ampofo, University of Health and Allied Sciences, GHANA

Received: January 12, 2023

Accepted: September 24, 2023

Published: October 12, 2023

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292550

Copyright: © 2023 Jugha et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its <u>Supporting Information</u> files

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Association between malaria and undernutrition among pregnant women at presentation for antenatal care in health facilities in the Mount Cameroon region

Vanessa Tita Jugha^{1*}, Juliana Adjem Anchang², Germain Sotoing Taiwe¹, Helen Kuokuo Kimbi^{1,3,4}, Judith Kuoh Anchang-Kimbi¹

1 Department of Animal Biology and Conservation, University of Buea, Buea, Cameroon, 2 International Centre for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas, ICARDA, Cairo, Eygpt, 3 Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Bamenda, Bamenda, Cameroon, 4 Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Drexel University College of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

* jughav@yahoo.com

Abstract

In resource limited settings, malaria and undernutrition are major public health problems in pregnancy. Therefore, this study assessed the association between malaria infection and undernutrition among pregnant women in the Mount Cameroon area. This cross-sectional study enrolled 1,014 pregnant women consecutively over a year. A structured questionnaire was used to collect socio-demographic information and clinical data. Maternal nutrition was assessed using dietary diversity (DD). Peripheral blood samples collected were used for the diagnosis of malaria parasitaemia by microscopy whereas haemoglobin (Hb) levels were determined using an Hb meter. Logistic regression was used to determine factors associated with malaria and dietary diversity. The prevalence of malaria infection and undernutrition was 17.8% and 89.6% respectively. In addition, of those infected with malaria, geometric mean parasite density was 301/µL of blood (range: 40–9280) while mean DD score was 3.57±0.82 (range: 1–7). The odds of being infected with malaria parasitaemia was highest among women enrolled in the rainy season (OR = 1.58, P = 0.043), who were farmers (OR = 2.3, P = 0.030), had a household size of < 4 individuals (OR = 1.48, P = 0.026) and who were febrile (OR = 1.87, P < 0.001). Also, attending clinic visits in Mutengene Medical Centre (OR = 2.0, P = 0.012) or Buea Integrated Health Centre (OR = 2.9, P = < 0.001), being < 25 years (OR = 2.4, P = 0.002) and a farmer (OR = 10.6, P = 0.024) as well as < 4 clinic visits (OR = 1.62, P = 0.039) were identified as predictors of undernutrition. Furthermore, the association between malaria and DD was statistically significant (P = 0.015). In this study, undernutrition was highly frequent than malaria infection. Thus, there is an urgent need to improve maternal awareness through nutritional counselling and health campaigns on the benefits of consuming at least five food groups. Besides, improved maternal dietary nutrient intake is likely to have impact on the burden of malaria parasite infection.

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Malaria is a life-threatening parasitic infection disproportionately affecting the poor, children below 5 years of age and pregnant women. In 2020, an estimated 241 million cases of malaria and 627,000 deaths occurred globally, 95% of the cases were documented in the World Health Organization (WHO) African region where majority (99%) of the infection is caused by Plas*modium falciparum* [1,2]. Furthermore, of the estimated 33.8 million pregnancies that occur in sub-Saharan Africa an area characterized by moderate to high transmission, 11.6 million were exposed to malaria infection [2]. Although infections during pregnancy are frequently asymptomatic and often go undetected, they are however associated with anaemia, intrauterine growth restriction, low birth weight (LBW), stillbirth and maternal death [3,4]. Apart from transmission setting, other factors previously documented to increase the risk of malaria among pregnant women are; age, gravidity, season, level of education, coinfection and nutritional status [5,6]. In order to control and mitigate the adverse effects of malaria in pregnancy (MiP), the WHO recommends a package of interventions which include; use of insecticidetreated nets (ITNs), administration of intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy with sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine (IPTp-SP) and prompt as well as effective case management [7,8]. In Cameroon, these interventions are usually delivered in antenatal clinics (ANC). Therefore, MiP is a useful marker for malaria surveillance as recommended by the WHO as this helps the country to evaluate the impact of malaria control programs as well as to revise or reinforce already existing intervention strategies.

Malnutrition, on the other hand causes significant morbidity and mortality across a range of health systems and is associated with economic burden [9]. Globally, over 170 million people are undernourished among which 1 billion suffer from micronutrient deficiencies [10,11]. In Africa, the burden of undernutrition due mainly to inadequate dietary diversification is still high with an estimated prevalence of 23.5% [12,13] and pregnant women are regarded as most vulnerable [14,15]. Furthermore, the consequences of inadequate maternal nutrient intake are severe for the new-borns [11] as every year nearly 20 million infants are born with LBW, an early form of malnutrition that is closely linked to maternal nutritional status during pregnancy [11]. Also, poor nutrition during pregnancy increases susceptibility to infections [16,17]. In low-income settings, maternal undernutrition is often measured by anthropometry because it is simple and feasible in clinical settings [18,19]. However, the minimum dietary diversity for women (MDD-W) scale is currently considered the main nutrition indicator in the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) [20-22]. Although Cameroon is bestowed with a rich agricultural biodiversity, it is not exempted from the burden of undernutrition [23]. Cognizant of this, efforts have been made over the years to raise the nutrition profile to a high priority in the country [24,25]. Despite these positive developments, maternal dietary diversity is still a neglected health problem in Cameroon [26] as much of the evidence pertaining to the interventions focuses on the health benefit of the child. Even though it has been shown that factors influencing malaria might also influence dietary nutrient uptake [27–29], reports on the predictors of maternal dietary diversity in the study area are limited.

In low income settings, malaria and undernutrition frequently coexist in pregnant women and the two may interact to worsen pregnancy outcomes [30]. Evidence in the Democratic Republic of Congo and Kenya indicates that the effect of malaria on foetal growth and birthweight are largely dependent on maternal nutritional status [30–32]. In the context of attaining the SDG of ending all forms of malnutrition and malaria by 2030 [33], interventions to protect pregnant Cameroonian women and their foetuses from poor nutrition and malaria remains poorly integrated as little efforts have been made to comprehensively explore this relationship. Moreover, investigating the association between these ailments will be essential to develop public health interventions especially in our setting where these two conditions co-exist. Hence, this study sought to examine the association between infection with malaria parasitaemia and poor nutrition among pregnant women in the Mount Cameroon region.

Methodology

Study setting

This study was conducted in various health facilities located in the Tiko and Buea Health Districts of the Mount Cameroon region. The Tiko Health District (THD) is situated 18–240 meters above sea level with temperatures ranging between 25.08 to 33°C [26,34]. Moreover, this district hosts the Cameroon Development Corporation (CDC) which is the largest agroindustrial complex in Tiko that grows banana, semi-finished rubber, and palm oil for export. Also, the presence of the local seaport allows for fishing and the exchange of goods between neighbouring countries. In this health area, pregnant women were enrolled from Tiko Holforth Health Centre (THHC) and Mutengene Medical Centre (MMC).

The Buea Health District (BHD) is situated 896 metres above sea level with an average temperature range of 18–27°C. The principal occupational activity here is teaching and business. Besides, study respondents here were enrolled from the Buea Integrated Health Centre (BIHC) and the Mount Mary Hospital (MMH). All the above-mentioned health facilities were chosen because they are highly accessible thus facilitating utilisation of ANC services [3].

The Mount Cameroon region has an equatorial climate characterised by a temperature range of 18–35°C [35,36] and two seasons. The short dry season begins late in October and ends in February while the long rainy season which is usually accompanied by high precipitation (2,000–10,000 mm) [37], spans from March to October. Malaria transmission in the area is perennial with peak periods of infection corresponding to the rainy season [3]. Also, pregnant women residing in the study area are frequently exposed to *P. falciparum* which is the main malaria parasite species in the region with prevalence rates between 13.4% and 22.4% [3,38].

Study design and population

This cross-sectional study enrolled consenting pregnant women aged 15–49 years in any gestational age for over a year [26]. The sample size for this survey has previously been estimated based on the prevalence of anaemia in the study area [26]. This is because according to the WHO, maternal anaemia in the Mt Cameroon region is still a severe health problem [39]. In brief, it was calculated using the formula $n = Z^2p(1-p)/d^2$ [40] where n is the required sample size; Z = 1.96 at a 95% confidence level; prevalence (p) = 40% and d, the acceptable error willing to be committed was 0.05. Thus, the minimum sample size was 408 study participants per health district giving a total of 816. After taking into consideration the loss of biological material at 10%, the final sample size was increased to 1,014 participants. Sampling was done using the non-probability sampling technique for convenience and only women who gave their consent were enrolled into the study consecutively.

Ethics statement

Ethical clearance (Ref No: 2019/967-05/UB/SG/IRB/FHS) for this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board hosted by the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Buea and Administrative authorization from the South West Regional Delegation of Public Health, Buea. After explaining study protocol, informed consent forms stating the purpose and bene-fits of the study as well as the amount of blood to be drawn from each participant was read,

described and distributed. Participation in the study was voluntary. Subsequently, written and signed informed consent was obtained from each individual before enrolment into the study. Pregnant women with evidence of chronic disease and complicated pregnancy (hypertension, pre-eclampsia, diabetes) were not eligible to take part in the study.

Data acquisition

A pre-tested structured questionnaire through a face-to-face interview conducted by welltrained graduate field assistants was used to document maternal demographic information, education level, marital status, occupation, ITN usage, IFA (iron folic acid) uptake, household size, house type, house ownership, type of toilet, possession/availability of household assets (television, radio, mobile phone, motorcycle, bicycle, car) and source of drinking water. Antenatal characteristics (parity, gestational age, gravidity, trimester of pregnancy, number of clinic visits attended and IPTp-SP dose uptake) and history of fever in the last 48 hours prior to survey were also documented and verified by checking the antenatal card.

Clinical assessment

Each participants' axillary temperature was measured using a digital thermometer and fever (febrile status) was defined as temperature greater than 37.5 °C [3]. In addition, maternal gestational age (weeks) was determined upon physical examination by the midwife who used either a gestational calendar or ultrasound when the date of last menstrual period was not known.

Assessment of minimum dietary diversity

Maternal dietary nutrient intake was assessed using the minimum dietary diversity for women (MDD-W) questionnaire endorsed by the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations [21]. Ten food groups provided by the tool developers was used to calculate the dietary diversity (DD) score [21,26]. These food groups were: starchy staples (grains, white roots, tubers and plantains); pulses (beans, peas and lentils); nuts and seeds; dairy products; meat, fish and poultry; eggs; dark green leafy vegetables; vitamin A-rich vegetables and fruits; other vegetables and other fruits [21]. Responses were scores as "1" for women who consumed food item(s) within any food group [21,26]. Scores were then summed up to obtain each participants DD score which was further dichotomized into adequate (good) DD, for pregnant women who consumed at least 5 or more food groups and inadequate (poor) DD, for participants who consumed < 5 food groups.

Household economic status determination

In this study, maternal household wealth index based on household assets and characteristics was determined using principal component analysis (PCA). Indicators subjected to PCA were; house type (brick or plank/wood), house ownership (yes/no), toilet type (pipped sewer system or pit toilet), possession of household goods (television, radio, mobile phone, car, motorcycle), and source of drinking water (pipped to residence/mineral or public tap/spring). The factor score generated by this analysis was used to categorise each study subject as having either a low or high wealth index.

Laboratory methods

Two to Three millilitres (ml) of venous blood was collected from each expectant mother using a sterile disposable syringe by a trained laboratory technician. Thick and thin blood films were prepared on the same slide, allowed to air-dry, fixed with absolute methanol for 30 seconds

(thin blood film only) and stained with 10% Giemsa for 15 minutes. Each slide was then examined by two independent microscopists under oil immersion with the 100x objective of a light microscope for the identification of malaria parasites. A slide was declared negative if no parasites were found after examining 100 high power fields. With each positive slide, parasite density/µL of blood was determined by counting the number of parasites per 200 leucocytes on thick blood film after assuming a white blood cell (WBC) count of 8000 leucocytes/µL of blood [41,42]. Symptomatic infection was defined as fever associated with malaria parasites while asymptomatic infection was defined as the presence of malaria parasites in the absence of fever [3]. Parasitaemia levels was categorised as low (\leq 500 parasites/µL of blood), moderate (501–5000 parasites/µL of blood) and high (\geq 5000 parasites/µL of blood) [43,44].

Haemoglobin (Hb) concerntration was determined using Urit12[®] Hb meter (URIT Medical Electronics Co., Ltd. Guangxi, China). Maternal anaemia status in this study was gestational age specific and defined as follows; Hb < 11.0 g/dL for women between 1–13 weeks and \geq 27 weeks of gestation and Hb < 10.5 g/dL for women between 14–26 weeks of gestation [45]. This cut-off used takes into consideration the haemodilution effect of pregnancy which is maximal at mid-trimester [46].

Statistical analysis

All data collected was entered into Microsoft Excel (MS Excel 2016) and cleaned for entry errors. Data was analyzed using IBM-statistical package for social sciences (IBM-SPSS) version 23 (IBM-SPSS, Inc, Chicago, Il, USA). Normally distributed variables were expressed as means and standard deviations (SD) while categorial variables were summarized as numbers and percentages. The wealth index of study respondents was determined using PCA. Before carrying out the analysis, the assumptions were checked, that is; Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy (KMO > 0.5) and Bartlett's test of sphericity (P < 0.05). Criteria for extraction was eigen value greater than 1 after which varimax (orthogonal) rotation with Kaiser Normalization was used.

Malaria parasite density was log transformed before analysis. Associations between the predictor variables and the primary outcome variables (malaria infection and dietary diversity) was explored using Pearson Chi-square test (χ^2). Differences in group means were compared using Student's t-test, analysis of variance (ANOVA), Mann Whitney U or Kruskall Wahlis test were appropriate. Multivariate logistic regression model was used to determine risk factors associated with malaria parasite infection and dietary diversity. Prior to the analysis, covariates with explanatory plausibility and those with P < 0.2 from the bivariate analysis were subjected to multicollinearity testing using the variance inflation factor (VIF). Collinearity was absent with all covariates having a VIF < 1. Crude odd ratios (COR) were computed using the confidence interval (CI) calculator. Significant levels were measured at 95% CI with significant differences set at P < 0.05.

Results

Characteristics of the study participants

A total of 1,014 pregnant women with mean age 26.72 ± 5.48 (range: 15-46) years and gestational age 27.60 ± 7.61 (range: 6-43) weeks were enrolled from Tiko (50.2%, 509/1,014) and Buea (49.8%, 505/1,014) health districts in the Mount Cameroon region. Amongst those enrolled, over 50% were above 25 years, had a low wealth index and were in the third trimester of pregnancy. Moreover, less than a quarter (22.7%) achieved at least four or more clinic visits and only 12.2% took at least three SP doses. Both paucipara and multigravids constituted 43.3% and 40.1% of the study participants respectively. Majority (71.5%) of the women

surveyed owned an insecticide treated net but, only 39.1% made use of it the night prior to enrolment. The overall prevalence of anaemia and undernutrition was; 40.9% and 89.6% respectively as shown in Table 1.

Malaria prevalence and density

Overall, the prevalence of malaria parasitaemia and malaria anaemia was 17.8% (95% CI: 15.4-20.1; n = 180) and 23.4% (97/415) respectively. Malaria parasite densities ranged from 40-9280 parasites / µL of blood with a geometric mean parasite density (GMPD) of 301.6 ± 1527.5 parasites / μ L of blood. A larger proportion of women presented with low parasite density (13.6%, 95% CI: 11.4–15.8; n = 138) while 3.5% (95% CI: 2.4–4.6; n = 35) and 0.7% (95% CI: 0.2-1.3; n = 7) had moderate and high parasitaemia levels respectively. As shown in Table 2, the prevalence of malaria parasitaemia was significantly higher (P = 0.029) among women enrolled during the rainy season (19.1%) when compared with their counterparts enrolled during the dry season (12.7%). Likewise, study respondents who were febrile (25.6%) had a significantly higher (P < 0.001) malaria parasitaemia prevalence when compared with their contemporaries who were afebrile (15.1%). Geometric mean parasite density differed significantly with maternal age (P < 0.001), and gravidity status (P = 0.010) whereby, women aged \leq 20 years of age and who were primigravids recoded the highest GMPD when compared with their respective counterparts. Also, although not statistically significant GMPD was higher among study participants who did not use their mosquito nets (322 parasites / µL of blood) than in those who made use of it (275 parasites / μ L of blood) the night before the survey (Table 2).

Predictors of infection with malaria parasitaemia

To investigate the risk factors of malaria parasite infection among study participants, the multivariate logistic regression modelling was performed. After adjusting for possible confounders, the model revealed season (P = 0.043), being a farmer (P = 0.030), a family size less than 4 persons (P = 0.026) and fever (P < 0.001) as significant predictors of malaria parasite infection. Considering the odds ratios, respondents who were enrolled in the rainy season (OR = 1.58, 95% CI: 1.01–2.48), engaged in farming (OR = 2.30, 95% CI: 1.08–4.88) and had a household size of < 4 individuals (OR = 1.48, 95% CI: 1.04–2.11) were at increased odds of being infected with malaria parasites when compared with their respective contemporaries. Also, women who had fever were 1.87-folds more likely to be infected with malaria parasites when compared with their counterparts who did not present with fever during enrollment into the study. Although not statistically significant, women who were undernourished were at increased risk (OR = 1.56, 95% CI: 0.82–2.96) of being infected with malaria parasites (Table 3).

Factors associated with dietary diversity

In the unadjusted analysis as shown in Table 4, health facility type, maternal age and number of clinic visits attended were significantly (P < 0.05) associated with undernutrition. Variables with explanatory plausibility and P < 0.2 from the bivariate analysis were run in the multivariate logistic regression analysis in order to ascertain predictors of poor dietary diversity. The analysis showed that, women attending antenatal care at THHC (OR = 2.18, 95% CI: 0.91– 5.21), MMC (OR = 1.94, 95% CI: 1.12–3.35) and BIHC (OR = 2.93, 95% CI: 1.66–5.20) were 2.2, 1.9 and 2.9 times more likely to have an inappropriate diet than those enrolled from MMH. Furthermore, compared with older women (>25 years), those \leq 20 years (OR = 2.12, 95% CI: 0.94–0.47) and within the age group 21–25 years (OR = 2.43, 95% CI: 1.38–4.30) were 2.1 and 2.4 times at increased odds of having a less diverse diet. The likelihood of a poor

| Parameter | Category | Value % (n) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Season at enrollment | Dry | 21.0 (213) |
| | Rainy | 79.0 (801) |
| | ТННС | 7.8 (79) |
| Health facility type | MMC | 42.4 (430) |
| | BIHC | 33.7 (342) |
| | MMH | 16.1 (163) |
| Age (years) | ≤ 20 | 14.3 (145) |
| _ | 21–25 | 29.1 (295) |
| - | >25 | 56.6 (574) |
| Marital status | Single | 37.8 (383) |
| - | Married | 62.2 (631) |
| Educational level | Primary | 20.1 (204) |
| - | Secondary | 53.1 (538) |
| - | Tertiary | 26.8 (272) |
| Occupation | Housewife | 16.5 (167) |
| - | Farmer | 5.8 (59) |
| - | Business | 43.6 (442) |
| - | Student | 19.5 (198) |
| - | Civil servant | 14.6 (148) |
| ANC visits attended | 1 | 30.8 (312) |
| - | 2 | 27.6 (280) |
| - | 3 | 18.9 (192) |
| - | >4 | 22.7 (230) |
| Parity | | 38.5 (390) |
| | Paucipara (1-2) | 43.3 (439) |
| - | Multipara (3–4) | 16.5 (167) |
| - | Grand multipara (> 5) | 1.8 (18) |
| Gravidity | Primigravid | 34.6 (351) |
| Graviariy | Secundigravid | 25.2 (256) |
| - | Multigravid | 40.1 (407) |
| Trimester of pregnancy | Firet | 3 5 (35) |
| residence of pregnancy | Second | 41 2 (418) |
| - | Third | 55 3 (561) |
| PTn-SP dosage frequency | 0 | A1 Q (A25) |
| i ip or uosage nequency | 1 | 25 7 (261) |
| - | 2 | 20.1 (204) |
| - | <u> </u> | 20.1 (204) |
| IEA untako | <u></u> | 71 5 (725) |
| іга цраке | 105 | /1.5 (/25) |
| ITNI ann and the | INO V | 28.5 (289) |
| 11IN ownersnip | <u>res</u> | /1.2 (/22) |
| TTNI | INO X | 28.8 (292) |
| 11 N usage | Yes | 39.1 (396) |
| D | No | 60.9 (618) |
| Fever status | Febrile | 25.0 (254) |
| | Atebrile | 75.0 (760) |
| Family size | < 4 | 38.2 (387) |
| | ≥ 4 | 61.8 (627) |

Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants.

(Continued)

| Parameter | Category | Value % (n) |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Wealth index | Low | 56.8 (576) |
| | High | 43.2 (438) |
| Anaemia status | Anaemic | 40.9 (415) |
| | Non anaemic | 59.1 (599) |
| MDD-W status | Inadequate dietary diversity | 89.6 (909) |
| | Adequate dietary diversity | 10.4 (105) |
| Means | Mean (± SD) | Range |
| Mean age in years | 26.72 ± 5.48 | 15-46 |
| Mean ANC visits | 2.54 ± 1.58 | 1-12 |
| Mean GA in weeks | 27.60 ± 7.61 | 6-43 |
| Mean temperature in °C | 36.52 ± 0.64 | 35.0-39.0 |
| Mean Hb levels in g/dL | 11.00 ± 1.34 | 7.7-14.3 |
| Mean MDD-W score | 3.57 ± 0.82 | 1-7 |

Table 1. (Continued)

THHC, Tiko Holforth Health Centre; MMC, Mutengene Medical Centre; BIHC, Buea Integrated Health Centre; MMH, Mount Mary Hospital; ANC, antenatal clinic; intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy with sulphadoxin-pyrimethamine, IPTp-SP; IFA, iron folic acid; ITN, insecticide treated net; MDD-W, minimum dietary diversity for women; SD, standard deviation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292550.t001

dietary intake was 8.8 and 1.6 times higher among women engaged in farming (OR = 8.86, 95% CI: 0.41-1.81) and in those who attended < 4 clinic visits (OR = 1.60, 95% CI: 1.01-2.55) when compared with their respective counterparts (Table 4).

Mean dietary diversity scores with respect to maternal socio-demographic and antenatal characteristics

As shown in Table 5, mean dd scores were significantly (P < 0.05) higher among pregnant women above 25 years of age (3.64 ± 0.841), who were enrolled from the Mount Mary Hospital (3.79 ± 0.864) and who attended at least four or more clinic visits (3.78 ± 0.818) when compared with their contemporaries respectively. Also, mean dd scores were significantly (P = 0.047) higher among those without fever (3.60 ± 0.807) when compared with their counterparts who presented with fever (3.48 ± 0.865).

Influence of independent variables on dietary diversity scores

In <u>Table 6</u> below, multilinear regression (enter) modelling was run to assess the influence of the various independent variables on dd (dietary diversity) scores. The analysis showed that maternal age (P = 0.011) and number of clinic visits attended (P < 0.001) significantly influenced dd scores (Table 6).

Association between malaria infection and dietary diversity

The mean MDD-W score was lower in women positive for infection with malaria parasites $(3.43 \pm 0.799; \text{ score range: } 1-6)$ when compared with their counterparts who were negative $(3.60 \pm 0.826; \text{ score range: } 1-7)$. This association was statistically significant (t = -2.444; P = 0.015). As shown in Fig 1, the MDD-W score was significantly (F = 3.823; P = 0.024) lower among pregnant women who harboured high malaria parasitaemia levels $(2.71 \pm 1.113; \text{ score range: } 1-4)$ when compared with their equivalents who had moderate $(3.31 \pm 0.796; \text{ score range: } 1-5)$ and low malaria parasite levels $(3.50 \pm 0.767; \text{ score range: } 1-6)$. The overall

| Parameter | Category | Number examined | Prevalence % (n) | P value | GMPD (range)/ μL of blood | P value |
|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|----------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Season at enrolment | Dry | 213 | 12.7 (27) | 0.029* | 197 (40–5080) | 0.022 ^a * |
| | Rainy | 801 | 19.1 (153) | | 325 (80-9280) | |
| Setting | THD | 509 | 18.1 (92) | 0.787 | 324 (40-8400) | 0.406 ^a |
| | BHD | 505 | 17.4 (88) | | 279 (40-9280) | |
| Age (years) | ≤ 20 | 145 | 24.1 (35) | 0.092 | 630 (80-8400) | < 0.001 ^b * |
| | 21-25 | 295 | 16.3 (48) |] | 284 (40-9280) | |
| | >25 | 574 | 16.9 (97) | | 238 (40-8400) | |
| Education level | Primary | 204 | 20.6 (42) | 0.478 | 220 (40-2600) | 0.013 ^b * |
| | Secondary | 538 | 17.3 (93) |] | 361 (40-8400) | |
| | Tertiary | 272 | 16.5 (45) | | 279 (80-9280) | |
| Marital status | Single | 383 | 18.0 (69) | 0.864 | 323 (40-8400) | 0.524 ^a |
| | Married | 631 | 17.6 (111) |] | 289 (40-9280) | |
| Gravidity | Primigravid | 351 | 18.5 (65) | 0.775 | 423 (80-9280) | |
| | Secundigravid | 256 | 18.4 (47) | | 277 (80–6160) | 0.010 ^b * |
| | Multigravid | 407 | 16.7 (68) | | 231 (40-8400) | |
| No of clinic visits | 1 | 312 | 17.6 (55) | 0.997 | 334 (80-8400) | |
| | 2 | 280 | 17.5 (49) | | 281 (40-5040) | 0.229 ^b |
| | 3 | 192 | 18.2 (35) |] | 325 (80-6000) | |
| | ≥ 4 | 230 | 17.8 (41) | | 269 (40-9280) | |
| IPTp-SP dosage frequency | 0 | 425 | 18.4 (78) | | 334 (40-8400) | |
| | 1 | 261 | 18.4 (48) | 0.490 | 293 (40-8400) | 0.102 ^b |
| | 2 | 204 | 14.2 (29) | | 348 (80–9280) | |
| | ≥ 3 | 124 | 20.2 (25) | | 196 (80–1600) | |
| ITN usage | Yes | 396 | 18.9 (75) | 0.428 | 275 (40-6160) | 0.277 ^a |
| | No | 618 | 17.0 (105) |] | 322 (80–9280) | |
| Fever status | Febrile | 254 | 25.6 (65) | < 0.001* | 515 (80-9280) | < 0.001 ^a |
| | Afebrile | 760 | 15.1 (115) | | 223 (40-2600) | |

THD, Tiko Health District; BHD, Buea Health District; intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy with sulphadoxin-pyrimethamine, IPTp-SP; ITN, insecticide treated net.

*Statistically significant at P <0.05.

^a Difference in GMPD determined by Mann Whitney *U* test.

^b Difference in GMPD determined by Kruskal-Wallis test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292550.t002

prevalence of fever was 25% (95% CI: 22.4–27.9; n = 254). Among those with fever, 6.4% (95% CI: 4.8–8.0; n = 65) and 18.6% (95% CI: 16.3–21.2; n = 189) presented with current fever and a history of fever respectively. Also, of the total women enrolled, 6.4% (95% CI: 4.9–8.0; n = 65) presented with malaria and fever whereas 11.3% (95% CI: 9.5–13.3; n = 115) had malaria without fever. The MDD-W score was significantly lower (F = 4.198, P = 0.006) among study respondents presenting with symptomatic malaria infection (3.23 ± 0.786; score range: 1–5), asymptomatic malaria infection (3.55 ± 0.786; score range: 1–6), and fever alone (3.57 ± 0.876; score range: 1–6) when compared with their counterparts who presented with none of the above morbidities (3.61 ± 0.811; score range: 1–7) (Fig 2).

Discussion

In low-income settings, malaria and undernutrition are major health challenges in pregnancy contributing significantly to unfavorable outcomes [3,4,11]. Therefore, this study aimed to

| SeriesCOR (95% CI)AOR (95% CI)PalseSearoNormal SectionNormal SectionNormal SectionSectionNormal SectionNormal SectionNormal SectionDry80119.1 (153)1.62 (1.04-2.52)1.58 (1.01-2.48)Out94*DrySectionREFERINCEREFERINCENormal SectionNormal SectionMillic7913.5 (1.1)REFERINCENormal Section-MMC4301.88 (81)0.07 (0.67-1.71)MMH1631.73 (29)0.96 (0.59-1.57)MMH1631.73 (29)0.96 (0.59-1.57)1.90 (0.84-2.67)0.163Secondary205163 (43)0.95 (0.65-1.39)0.90 (0.84-2.67)0.163214524.1 (35)REFERINCEREFERINCESecondary53817.3 (93)1.05 (0.71-1.55)Secondary5381.63 (69)1.00 (0.72-1.45)Married1431.76 (111)REFERINCESingle38318.06 (69)1.00 (0.73-1.45)0.03 (0.43-1.61)0.600Married1671.50 (25)1.00 (0.74-1.47)2.00 (0.66-1.48)0.030*Single38318.06 (69)1.00 (0.73-1.49)1.01 (0.55-1.57)0.60Single168 <t< th=""><th>Variable</th><th>N</th><th>Malaria positive % (n)</th><th>Bivariate logistic regression</th><th>Multivariate logistic regress</th><th colspan="2">ion</th></t<> | Variable | N | Malaria positive % (n) | Bivariate logistic regression | Multivariate logistic regress | ion | |
|---|------------------------|-----|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|--|
| SensorSensorRainy80112.1 (133)1.6.2 (1.04-2.52)1.58 (1.01-2.48)0.043*Dry21312.2 (27)REFERINCEREFERINCEHealth faily typeHealth faily typeTHIC7913.9 (11)0.74 (0.35-1.58)MMC43018.8 (81)1.07 (0.67-1.71)BI103421.73 (39)0.96 (0.59-1.57)MMH16317.2 (9)REFERINCEAge (verso)S2014524.1 (33)S212516.6 (34)Secondary5381.73 (93)1.05 (0.71-1.55)Secondary538173 (93)1.05 (0.71-1.55)Marid statsMarid statsMarid stats <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>COR (95% CI)</td><td>AOR (95% CI)</td><td>P value</td></t<> | | | | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) | P value | |
| Bainy80119.1 (153) $1.2.2 (1.9-2.52)$ $1.5.8 (1.01-2.48)$ 0.043^{*} Dry 213 $1.2.7 (27)$ REFRENCEREFRENCEHealth facility typeTHHC79 $1.5.9 (11)$ $0.74 (0.35-1.58)$ $$ $$ MMC430 $1.8.8 (81)$ $1.07 (0.67-17)$ $$ $$ BHHC 342 $17.3 (59)$ $0.96 (0.57-17)$ $$ $$ AMM1103 $1.7 (29)$ $RFFRENCE$ $$ $$ $Ape (year.)$ $$ $$ $$ $$ 22^{-2} 27-25254 $16.5 (4.90 - 24.2)$ $1.50 (0.84-2.67)$ 0.163 2^{-2} 574 $16.9 (97)$ REFRENCEREFRENCE $$ Primary24 $20.6 (4.2)$ $1.50 (0.82-2.08)$ $$ $$ Primary25574 $16.9 (97)$ REFRENCE $$ $$ Single383 $17.3 (9.3)$ $1.05 (0.71-1.55)$ $$ $$ Single383 $18.0 (69)$ $1.00 (0.75-1.45)$ $$ $$ Married167 $15.0 (25)$ $1.00 (0.51-1.87)$ $0.03 (0.42-1.61)$ 0.030^{*} Married167 $15.0 (25)$ $1.00 (0.54-1.87)$ $0.33 (0.42-1.61)$ 0.030^{*} Buinces442 $17.4(77)$ $1.20 (0.72-2.02)$ $1.08 (0.65-1.84)$ 0.030^{*} Buinces442 $17.4(77)$ $2.30 (1.06-1.84)$ 0.030^{*} Student198 $19.7 (9.9)$ $1.01 (0.75-1.57)$ $0.81 (6.51-1.57)$ <td>Season</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | Season | | | | | | |
| Dry21312.7 (27)REFERENCEREFERENCEHadh fadhy typeHadh fadhy typeHadh fadhy typeHadh fadhy typeHadh fadhy typeHAMC43013.9 (11)0.74 (0.35-1.58).MMC43013.8 (81)1.07 (0.67-1.71).MHH16317.8 (29)REFERENCESerie and the type ≤ 20 14524.1 (35)1.56 (1.00-2.42)1.50 (0.84-2.67)0.1630.95 (0.65-1.39)0.92 (0.59-1.44)0.7412.2557416.9 (97)REFERENCE.Flucation levelFunary20420.6 (42)1.30 (0.82-208).Secondary53817.3 (39)1.05 (0.71-159)Tertary20216.5 (45)REFERENCE.Single38311.8.0 (69)1.03 (0.75-1.43)Marited tatusHousewid1671.5.0 (25)1.00 (0.54-1.87)0.83 (0.43-1.61)0.600Farmer592.8.8 (17)2.31 (1.12-4.77)2.30 (1.08-4.88)0.030*Busines44217.4 (77)1.20 (0.72-1.49)1.11 (0.58-21.30)0.746Stade tatus19819.7 (39)1.40 (0.79-2.49)1.11 (0.58-1.57)0.806Conjation1.8.4 (47)1.12 (0.72-1.64)1.00 (0.65-1.57)0.806Stade tatus7.8 (41)REFERINCEMultig | Rainy | 801 | 19.1 (153) | 1.62 (1.04–2.52) | 1.58 (1.01-2.48) | 0.043* | |
| Health facility type | Dry | 213 | 12.7 (27) | REFERENCE | REFERENCE | | |
| THHC 79 13.9 (1) 0.74 (0.35-1.58) . . MMC 430 18.8 (81) 1.07 (0.67-17) . . BHC 442 17.3 (59) 0.96 (0.59-1.57) . . MMI 163 17.8 (29) REFERENCE . . Age (years) . | Health facility type | | | | | | |
| MMC 430 18.8 (8) 1.07 (0.67-1.7) . . BHC 342 17.3 (5) 0.96 (0.59-1.57) . . MMI 103 17.8 (29) REFERENCE . . Age (years) \leq 20 145 24.1 (35) 1.56 (1.00-2.42) 1.50 (0.84-2.67) 0.163 21-25 295 16.3 (48) 0.95 (0.65-1.39) 0.92 (0.59-1.44) 0.741 \geq 25 574 16.9 (97) REFERENCE REFERENCE . Education level Primary 204 2.06 (42) 1.30 (0.82-2.08) . . . Stoondary 538 17.3 (93) 1.05 (0.71-1.55) Maricel 631 17.6 (11) REFERENCE . . . Maricel 167 15.0 (25) 1.00 (0.3-1.47) 2.30 (1.08-4.58) 0.030' | THHC | 79 | 13.9 (11) | 0.74 (0.35–1.58) | - | - | |
| BHC 342 173 (59) 0.96 (0.59-1.57) . . MMH 163 17.8 (29) RFFRENCE . . \leq 20 145 24.1 (35) 1.56 (1.00-2.42) 1.50 (0.84-2.67) 0.163 $2-25$ 295 16.3 (48) 0.95 (0.65-1.39) 0.92 (0.59-1.44) 0.741 \geq 25 574 10.6 (97) REFERENCE REFERENCE REFERENCE Education level Stoodary 538 17.3 (93) 1.05 (0.71-1.55) . . . Tertiny 272 16.5 (45) REFERENCE . . . Marita tatus Marite datus Housevife 167 15.0 (25) 1.00 (0.54-1.87) 0.83 (0.43-1.61) 0.600 Student 198 19.7 (39) 1.40 (0. | MMC | 430 | 18.8 (81) | 1.07 (0.67–1.71) | - | - | |
| MMH16317.8 (29)REFERENCEAge (sers) ≤ 20 14524.1 (35)1.56 (1.00-2.42)1.50 (0.84-2.67)0.16321-2529516.3 (48)0.95 (0.65-1.39)0.92 (0.39-1.44)0.741 ≥ 23 57416.9 (97)RREPENCEREFERENCE0.92 (0.39-1.44)0.741Etucation level > 23 57416.9 (97)RREPERNCEREFERENCE \sim Etucation level > 23 57410.6 (97)1.30 (0.82-2.08) $ -$ Secondary53817.3 (93)1.05 (0.71-1.55) $ -$ Marita53818.0 (69)1.03 (0.73-1.43) $ -$ Marita63117.6 (111)REFERENCE $ -$ Marita63117.6 (111)REFERENCE $ -$ Marita63115.0 (25)1.00 (0.54-1.87)0.33 (0.43-1.61)0.600Farmer592.8.8 (17)2.3.0 (1.02-4.72)1.10 (0.8-4.88)0.638Business44217.4 (71)1.20 (0.72-2.02)1.10 (0.8-4.88)0.636Suddent19819.7 (139)0.90 (0.67-1.47) $ -$ Chi servant14814.9 (22)REFERENCEREFERENCE $-$ Chi servant14817.7 (139)0.90 (0.67-1.45)1.00 (0.63-1.54)0.936Secundigravid23618.4 (47)1.12 (0.74-1.68)1.00 (0.63-1.59)0.936Multgravid24618 | BIHC | 342 | 17.3 (59) | 0.96 (0.59–1.57) | - | - | |
| Age (years) ≤ 20 14524.1 (35)1.5 (1.00 - 2.42)1.5 (0.084-2.67)0.163 $21 - 25$ 57416.3 (48)0.95 (0.65 - 1.39)0.92 (0.59 - 1.44)0.741 > -25 57416.3 (49)REFERENCEREFERENCEREFERENCEPrimary20420.6 (42)1.30 (0.82 - 2.08)Secondary53817.3 (93)1.05 (0.71 - 1.55)Tertiary27216.6 (45)REFERENCEMiralia tatasSingle38318.0 (69)1.03 (0.73 - 1.43)Married6.311.7 (11)REFERENCECocupationHousewife16715.0 (25)1.00 (0.54 - 1.87)0.83 (0.43 - 1.61)0.600Farmer592.8.4 (17)2.31 (1.2 - 4.77)2.30 (1.08 - 4.88)0.039*Business44217.4 (7.7)1.20 (0.72 - 2.02)1.08 (0.63 - 1.84)0.768Student198197 (39)1.40 (0.79 - 2.49)1.11 (0.58 - 2.13)0.746Civil servant14814.9 (22)REFERENCE< 4 visits | MMH | 163 | 17.8 (29) | REFERENCE | - | - | |
| ≤ 20 145 24.1 (35) 1.56 (1.00-2.42) 1.50 (0.84-2.67) 0.163 21-25 255 16.3 (48) 0.95 (0.65-1.39) 0.92 (0.59-1.44) 0.741 >255 574 16.9 (90) REFERENCE REFERENCE Primary 204 20.6 (42) 1.30 (0.82-2.08) . . Scondary 538 17.3 (93) 1.05 (0.71-1.55) . . Tertary 272 16.5 (45) REFERENCE . . Marital status Marital status Marital status Marital status Marital status Marital status <td>Age (years)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>÷</td> <td></td> <td></td> | Age (years) | | | ÷ | | | |
| $21-25$ 295 $16.3 (48)$ $0.95 (0.65-1.39)$ $0.92 (0.59-1.44)$ 0.741 >25 574 $16.9 (97)$ REFERNCEREFERENCEElucation level $REFERENCE$ $REFERENCE$ $Primary$ 204 $20.6 (42)$ $1.30 (0.82-2.08)$ $.$ $Secondary$ 538 $17.3 (93)$ $1.05 (0.71-1.55)$ $.$ $Secondary$ 238 $17.3 (93)$ $1.05 (0.71-1.55)$ $.$ $Narial staus$ $.$ $.$ $.$ $Married$ 631 $17.6 (111)$ $REFERENCE$ $.$ $Married$ 631 $17.6 (111)$ $REFERENCE$ $.$ $Married$ 611 $17.6 (111)$ $REFERENCE$ $.$ $Married$ 611 $17.6 (111)$ $REFERENCE$ $.$ $Married$ 611 $17.6 (111)$ $REFERENCE$ $.$ $Married$ 617 $15.0 (25)$ $1.00 (0.54-1.87)$ $0.83 (0.43-1.61)$ 0.600 $Farmer$ 59 $28.8 (17)$ $2.31 (1.12-4.77)$ $2.30 (1.08-4.88)$ 0.030^* $Business44217.4 (77)1.20 (0.72-2.02)1.08 (0.63-1.84)0.768Student19819.7 (39)1.40 (0.79-2.49)1.11 (0.58-2.13)0.746Civi servant14814.9 (22)REFERENCEREFERENCE..< 4 visits78417.7 (139)0.99 (0.67-1.45)...< far equal gravid$ | ≤ 20 | 145 | 24.1 (35) | 1.56 (1.00–2.42) | 1.50 (0.84-2.67) | 0.163 | |
| >25 574 16.9 (97) REFERENCE REFERENCE Education level $-$ Primary 204 20.6 (42) 1.30 (0.82-2.08) . . Secondary 538 17.3 (93) 1.05 (0.71-1.55) . . Tertiary 272 16.5 (45) REFERENCE . . Maried 631 17.6 (11) REFERENCE . . Maried 631 17.6 (11) REFERENCE . . Housewife 167 15.0 (25) 1.00 (0.54-1.87) 0.83 (0.43-1.61) 0.600 Farmer 59 2.8.8 (17) 2.31 (1.12-4.77) 2.30 (1.08-4.88) 0.030* Business 442 17.4 (77) 1.20 (0.72-2.02) 1.08 (0.63-1.84) 0.768 Student 198 19.7 (39) 1.40 (0.79-2.49) 1.11 (0.85-1.57) 0.56 Civi servant 148 14.9 (22) REFERENCE - - Civi servant 148 14.9 (23) 0.99 (0.67-1.45) <t< td=""><td>21-25</td><td>295</td><td>16.3 (48)</td><td>0.95 (0.65–1.39)</td><td>0.92 (0.59–1.44)</td><td>0.741</td></t<> | 21-25 | 295 | 16.3 (48) | 0.95 (0.65–1.39) | 0.92 (0.59–1.44) | 0.741 | |
| Education level Primary 204 20.6 (42) 1.30 (0.82–2.08) . . Secondary 538 17.3 (93) 1.05 (0.71–1.55) . . Tertiary 272 1.65 (45) REFERENCE . . Maried 631 1.05 (0.71–1.55) . . . Married 631 1.06 (0.71–1.57) 0.83 (0.43–1.61) . . Occupation Housewife 167 1.50 (25) 1.00 (0.54–1.87) 0.83 (0.43–1.61) 0.600 Farmer 59 2.88 (17) 2.31 (1.12–4.77) 2.30 (1.08–4.88) 0.030* Business 442 17.4 (77) 1.20 (0.72–2.02) 1.08 (0.63–1.84) 0.768 Student 198 19.7 (39) 1.40 (0.79–2.49) 1.11 (0.58–2.13) 0.746 Clivi servant 1.48 14.9 (12) REFERENCE REFERENCE . . < 4 visits atcodet | >25 | 574 | 16.9 (97) | REFERENCE | REFERENCE | | |
| Primary 204 20.6 (42) 1.30 (0.82-2.08) . . Secondary 538 17.3 (93) 1.05 (0.71-1.55) . . Tertiary 272 16.5 (45) REFERENCE . . Maried 631 0.76 (111) REFERENCE . . Married 631 0.76 (111) REFERENCE . . Housewife 167 15.0 (25) 1.00 (0.54-1.87) 0.83 (0.43-1.61) 0.600 Farmer 59 2.88 (17) 2.31 (1.12-4.77) 2.30 (1.08-4.88) 0.030* Business 442 17.4 (77) 1.20 (0.72-2.02) 1.08 (0.63-1.48) 0.7668 Student 198 19.7 (39) 1.40 (0.79-2.49) 1.11 (0.55-1.57) 0.806 Stvisits | Education level | | | - I | | | |
| Secondary 538 17.3 (93) 1.05 (0.71-1.55) . . Tertiary 272 16.5 (45) REFERENCE . . Marital status Maried 631 17.6 (111) REFERENCE . . Married 631 17.6 (111) REFERENCE . . Mousewife 167 15.0 (25) 1.00 (0.54-1.87) 0.83 (0.43-1.61) 0.600 Farmer 59 2.8.8 (17) 2.31 (1.12-4.77) 2.30 (1.08-4.88) 0.030° Business 442 17.4 (77) 1.20 (0.72-2.02) 1.08 (0.63-1.84) 0.768 Student 198 19.7 (39) 1.40 (0.79-2.49) 1.11 (0.58-2.13) 0.746 Civil servant 148 14.9 (22) REFERENCE REFERENCE . . Civil servant 148 14.9 (22) REFERENCE . . . Civil servant 148 19.0 (0.77-1.49) 1.01 (0.55-1.57) 0.806 <td>Primary</td> <td>204</td> <td>20.6 (42)</td> <td>1.30 (0.82–2.08)</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> | Primary | 204 | 20.6 (42) | 1.30 (0.82–2.08) | - | - | |
| Tertiary 272 16.5 (45) REFERENCE . . Maried 383 18.0 (69) 1.03 (0.73-1.43) . . Married 631 17.6 (11) REFERENCE . . Occupation Housewife 167 15.0 (25) 1.00 (0.54-1.87) 0.83 (0.43-1.61) 0.600 Farmer 59 2.8.8 (17) 2.31 (1.12-4.77) 2.30 (1.08-4.88) 0.030* Business 442 17.4 (77) 1.20 (0.72-2.02) 1.08 (0.63-1.84) 0.768 Student 198 19.7 (59) 1.40 (0.79-2.49) 1.11 (0.58-2.13) 0.746 Civil servant 148 14.9 (22) REFERENCE REFERENCE . . 4 visits 784 17.7 (139) 0.99 (0.67-1.45) . . . 4 visits 784 17.7 (139) 0.99 (0.67-1.45) . . . Statended 101 0.55-1.57) | Secondary | 538 | 17.3 (93) | 1.05 (0.71–1.55) | - | - | |
| Marital status Image of the status Single 383 18.0 (69) 1.03 (0.73-1.43) - - Married 631 17.6 (111) REFERENCE - - - Occupation - - - - - - Housewife 167 15.0 (25) 1.00 (0.54-1.87) 0.83 (0.43-1.61) 0.600 Farmer 59 28.8 (17) 2.31 (1.12-4.77) 2.30 (1.08-4.88) 0.030* Business 442 17.4 (77) 1.20 (0.72-2.02) 1.08 (0.63-1.84) 0.768 Student 198 19.9 (39) 1.40 (0.79-2.49) 1.11 (0.58-2.13) 0.746 Civil servant 148 14.9 (22) REFERENCE REFERENCE - - <4 visits | Tertiary | 272 | 16.5 (45) | REFERENCE | - | - | |
| Single 383 18.0 (69) 1.03 (0.73-1.43) - - Married 631 17.6 (111) REFERENCE - - Occupation - - - - - Housewife 167 15.0 (25) 1.00 (0.54-1.87) 0.83 (0.43-1.61) 0.600 Farmer 59 28.8 (17) 2.31 (1.12-4.77) 2.30 (1.08-4.88) 0.030* Business 442 17.4 (77) 1.20 (0.72-2.02) 1.08 (0.63-1.84) 0.768 Student 198 19.7 (39) 1.40 (0.79-2.49) 1.11 (0.58-2.13) 0.746 Civil servant 148 14.9 (22) REFERENCE REFERENCE Civil servant 198 19.7 (39) 0.99 (0.67-1.45) - - < 4 visits | Marital status | | | - | | | |
| Married 631 17.6 (11) REFERENCE . . Occupation . | Single | 383 | 18.0 (69) | 1.03 (0.73–1.43) | - | - | |
| Occupation Image: Constraint of the system o | Married | 631 | 17.6 (111) | REFERENCE | - | - | |
| Housewife 167 15.0 (25) 1.00 (0.54-1.87) 0.83 (0.43-1.61) 0.600 Farmer 59 28.8 (17) 2.31 (1.12-4.77) 2.30 (1.08-4.88) 0.030* Business 442 17.4 (77) 1.20 (0.72-2.02) 1.08 (0.63-1.84) 0.768 Student 198 19.7 (39) 1.40 (0.79-2.49) 1.11 (0.58-2.13) 0.746 Civil servant 148 14.9 (22) REFERENCE REFERENCE Clinic visits attended < 4 visits | Occupation | | . , | - | | | |
| Farmer 59 28.8 (17) 2.31 (1.12–4.77) 2.30 (1.08–4.88) 0.030* Business 442 17.4 (77) 1.20 (0.72–2.02) 1.08 (0.63–1.84) 0.768 Student 198 19.7 (39) 1.40 (0.79–2.49) 1.11 (0.58–2.13) 0.746 Civil servant 148 14.9 (22) REFRENCE REFRENCE 0.746 Clinic visits attended | Housewife | 167 | 15.0 (25) | 1.00 (0.54–1.87) | 0.83 (0.43-1.61) | 0.600 | |
| Business 442 17.4 (77) 1.20 ($0.72-2.02$) 1.08 ($0.63-1.84$) 0.768 Student 198 19.7 (39) 1.40 ($0.79-2.49$) 1.11 ($0.58-2.13$) 0.746 Civil servant 148 14.9 (22) REFERENCE REFERENCE < 4 visits | Farmer | 59 | 28.8 (17) | 2.31 (1.12–4.77) | 2.30 (1.08-4.88) | 0.030* | |
| Student 198 19.7 (39) 1.40 (0.79–2.49) 1.11 (0.58–2.13) 0.746 Civil servant 148 14.9 (22) REFERENCE REFERENCE Image: Constraint of the server of t | Business | 442 | 17.4 (77) | 1.20 (0.72–2.02) | 1.08 (0.63–1.84) | 0.768 | |
| Civil servant 148 14.9 (2) REFERENCE REFERENCE Clinic visits attended $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ $<$ <td>Student</td> <td>198</td> <td>19.7 (39)</td> <td>1.40 (0.79–2.49)</td> <td>1.11 (0.58–2.13)</td> <td>0.746</td> | Student | 198 | 19.7 (39) | 1.40 (0.79–2.49) | 1.11 (0.58–2.13) | 0.746 | |
| Clinic visits attended - - < 4 visits 784 17.7 (139) 0.99 (0.67-1.45) - - ≥ 4 visits 230 17.8 (41) REFERENCE - - Gravidity status - - - - - Primigravid 351 18.5 (65) 1.13 (0.77-1.64) 1.01 (0.55-1.57) 0.806 Secundigravid 256 18.4 (47) 1.12 (0.74-1.68) 1.00 (0.63-1.59) 0.975 Multigravid 407 16.7 (68) REFERENCE REFERENCE REFERENCE IPTp-SP uptake - - - - - No 426 18.3 (78) 0.93 (0.67-1.29) 1.00 (0.71-1.39) 0.996 Yes 588 17.3 (102) REFERENCE REFERENCE - - IN o 618 17.0 (105) REFERENCE - - - Yes 396 18.9 (75) 1.06 (0.77-1.47) - - - Yes 396 | Civil servant | 148 | 14.9 (22) | REFERENCE | REFERENCE | | |
| < 4 visits 784 17.7 (139) 0.99 (0.67-1.45) - - \geq 4 visits 230 17.8 (41) REFERENCE - - Gravidity status Primigravid 351 18.5 (65) 1.13 (0.77-1.64) 1.01 (0.55-1.57) 0.806 Secundigravid 256 18.4 (47) 1.12 (0.74-1.68) 1.00 (0.63-1.59) 0.975 Multigravid 407 16.7 (68) REFERENCE REFERENCE IPTp-SP uptake IPTp-SP uptake No 426 18.3 (78) 0.93 (0.67-1.29) 1.00 (0.71-1.39) 0.996 Yes 588 17.3 (102) REFERENCE REFERENCE ITN usage No 618 17.0 (105) REFERENCE - - Yes 396 18.9 (75) 1.06 (0.77-1.47) - - Yes 396 18.9 (99) REFERENCE REFERENCE - | Clinic visits attended | | | | | | |
| ≥ 4 visits 230 17.8 (41) REFERENCE - - Gravidity status Primigravid 351 18.5 (65) 1.13 (0.77–1.64) 1.01 (0.55–1.57) 0.806 Secundigravid 256 18.4 (47) 1.12 (0.74–1.68) 1.00 (0.63–1.59) 0.975 Multigravid 407 16.7 (68) REFERENCE REFERENCE IPTp-SP uptake No 426 18.3 (78) 0.93 (0.67–1.29) 1.00 (0.71–1.39) 0.996 Yes 588 17.3 (102) REFERENCE REFERENCE T INo 618 17.0 (105) REFERENCE - - Yes 396 18.9 (75) 1.06 (0.77–1.47) - - Yes 396 18.9 (75) 1.41 (1.01–1.95) 1.48 (1.04–2.11) 0.026* Family size - - - - - < 4 387 20.9 (81) 1.41 (1.01–1.95) 1.48 (1.04–2.11) 0.026* Wealth index - - - - - | < 4 visits | 784 | 17.7 (139) | 0.99 (0.67–1.45) | - | - | |
| Gravidity status Image Number of State Status Primigravid 351 18.5 (65) 1.13 (0.77-1.64) 1.01 (0.55-1.57) 0.806 Scundigravid 256 18.4 (47) 1.12 (0.74-1.68) 1.00 (0.63-1.59) 0.975 Multigravid 407 16.7 (68) REFERENCE REFERENCE Image Number of State Sta | > 4 visits | 230 | 17.8 (41) | REFERENCE | - | - | |
| Primigravid 351 18.5 (65) $1.13 (0.77-1.64)$ $1.01 (0.55-1.57)$ 0.806 Secundigravid 256 18.4 (47) $1.12 (0.74-1.68)$ $1.00 (0.63-1.59)$ 0.975 Multigravid 407 16.7 (68) REFERENCE REFERENCE REFERENCE IPTp-SP uptake $1.67 (68)$ REFERENCE REFERENCE 0.975 No 426 18.3 (78) $0.93 (0.67-1.29)$ $1.00 (0.71-1.39)$ 0.996 Yes 588 17.3 (102) REFERENCE REFERENCE $-$ ITN usage $7.0 (105)$ REFERENCE $ -$ Yes 396 18.9 (75) $1.06 (0.77-1.47)$ $ -$ Yes 396 18.9 (75) $1.06 (0.77-1.47)$ $ -$ Family size $ < 4$ 387 $20.9 (81)$ $1.41 (1.01-1.95)$ $1.48 (1.04-2.11)$ 0.026^* ≥ 4 627 $15.8 (99)$ REFEREN | Gravidity status | | | - | | | |
| Secundigravid 256 18.4 (47) 1.12 (0.74-1.68) 1.00 (0.63-1.59) 0.975 Multigravid 407 16.7 (68) REFERENCE REFERENCE IPTp-SP uptake V No 426 18.3 (78) 0.93 (0.67-1.29) 1.00 (0.71-1.39) 0.996 Yes 588 17.3 (102) REFERENCE REFERENCE REFERENCE No 618 17.0 (105) REFERENCE - - Yes 396 18.9 (75) 1.06 (0.77-1.47) - - Family size - - - - - ≤ 4 387 20.9 (81) 1.41 (1.01-1.95) 1.48 (1.04-2.11) 0.026* Wealth index - - - - - Low 576 18.2 (105) 1.07 (0.77-1.49) - - High 438 17.1 (75) REFERENCE - - | Primigravid | 351 | 18.5 (65) | 1.13 (0.77–1.64) | 1.01 (0.55–1.57) | 0.806 | |
| Multigravid 407 16.7 (68) REFERENCE REFERENCE IPTp-SP uptake IPTp-SP uptake No 426 18.3 (78) 0.93 (0.67–1.29) 1.00 (0.71–1.39) 0.996 Yes 588 17.3 (102) REFERENCE REFERENCE ITN usage No 618 17.0 (105) REFERENCE - - Yes 396 18.9 (75) 1.06 (0.77–1.47) - - Yes 396 18.9 (75) 1.06 (0.77–1.47) - - ≤ 4 387 20.9 (81) 1.41 (1.01–1.95) 1.48 (1.04–2.11) 0.026* ≥ 4 627 15.8 (99) REFERENCE REFERENCE Wealth index - Low 576 18.2 (105) 1.07 (0.77–1.49) - - High 438 17.1 (75) REFERENCE - - | Secundigravid | 256 | 18.4 (47) | 1.12 (0.74–1.68) | 1.00 (0.63-1.59) | 0.975 | |
| IPTp-SP uptake IPTp-SP uptake No 426 18.3 (78) 0.93 (0.67–1.29) 1.00 (0.71–1.39) 0.996 Yes 588 17.3 (102) REFERENCE REFERENCE ITTN usage ITN usage ITN usage 1.00 (0.71–1.39) 0.996 - - Yes 588 17.3 (102) REFERENCE REFERENCE ITTN usage No 618 17.0 (105) REFERENCE - - Yes 396 18.9 (75) 1.06 (0.77–1.47) - - < 4 | Multigravid | 407 | 16.7 (68) | REFERENCE | REFERENCE | | |
| No 426 18.3 (78) $0.93 (0.67-1.29)$ $1.00 (0.71-1.39)$ 0.996 Yes 588 17.3 (102) REFERENCE REFERENCE ITN usage | IPTp-SP uptake | | | - | | | |
| Yes 588 17.3 (102) REFERENCE REFERENCE ITN usage - - - - No 618 17.0 (105) REFERENCE - - Yes 396 18.9 (75) 1.06 (0.77-1.47) - - Family size - - - - - < 4 | No | 426 | 18.3 (78) | 0.93 (0.67–1.29) | 1.00 (0.71-1.39) | 0.996 | |
| ITN usage No 618 17.0 (105) REFERENCE - - Yes 396 18.9 (75) 1.06 (0.77–1.47) - - Family size - < 4 387 20.9 (81) 1.41 (1.01–1.95) 1.48 (1.04–2.11) 0.026* ≥ 4 627 15.8 (99) REFERENCE REFERENCE REFERENCE Wealth index - Low 576 18.2 (105) 1.07 (0.77–1.49) - - High 438 17.1 (75) REFERENCE - - | Yes | 588 | 17.3 (102) | REFERENCE | REFERENCE | | |
| No 618 $17.0 (105)$ REFERENCE - - Yes 396 $18.9 (75)$ $1.06 (0.77-1.47)$ - - Family size V < 4 387 $20.9 (81)$ $1.41 (1.01-1.95)$ $1.48 (1.04-2.11)$ 0.026^* ≥ 4 627 $15.8 (99)$ REFERENCE REFERENCE Vealth index Low 576 $18.2 (105)$ $1.07 (0.77-1.49)$ - - High 438 $17.1 (75)$ REFERENCE - - | ITN usage | | . , | - | | | |
| Yes 396 $18.9 (75)$ $1.06 (0.77-1.47)$ - - Family size $ < < < < < < << << <<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<$ | No | 618 | 17.0 (105) | REFERENCE | - | - | |
| Family size Control of the state of the st | Yes | 396 | 18.9 (75) | 1.06 (0.77–1.47) | - | - | |
| <4 387 20.9 (81) $1.41 (1.01-1.95)$ $1.48 (1.04-2.11)$ 0.026^* ≥ 4 627 $15.8 (99)$ REFERENCE REFERENCE Wealth index Low 576 $18.2 (105)$ $1.07 (0.77-1.49)$ $ -$ High 438 $17.1 (75)$ REFERENCE $ -$ | Family size | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| ≥ 4 627 15.8 (99) REFERENCE REFERENCE Wealth index Low 576 18.2 (105) 1.07 (0.77–1.49) - - High 438 17.1 (75) REFERENCE - - | < 4 | 387 | 20.9 (81) | 1.41 (1.01–1.95) | 1.48 (1.04–2.11) | 0.026* | |
| Wealth index 576 18.2 (105) 1.07 (0.77–1.49) - - High 438 17.1 (75) REFERENCE - - | > 4 | 627 | 15.8 (99) | REFERENCE | REFERENCE | - | |
| Low 576 18.2 (105) 1.07 (0.77-1.49) - - High 438 17.1 (75) REFERENCE - - | Wealth index | | | | | | |
| High 438 17.1 (75) REFERENCE | Low | 576 | 18.2 (105) | 1.07 (0.77–1.49) | _ | - | |
| | High | 438 | 17.1 (75) | REFERENCE | - | - | |
| Fever status | Fever status | | | | | | |

Table 3. Logistic regression analysis showing predictors of malaria parasite infection status.

(Continued)

| Variable | N | Malaria positive % (n) | Bivariate logistic regression | Multivariate logistic regression | |
|--------------------------|-----|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| | | | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) | P value |
| Yes | 254 | 25.6 (65) | 1.92 (1.36–2.72) | 1.87 (1.31-2.67) | <0.001* |
| No | 760 | 15.1 (115) | REFERENCE | REFERENCE | |
| Dietary diversity status | | | | | |
| Inadequate dd | 909 | 18.5 (168) | 1.75 (0.94–3.27) | 1.56 (0.82-2.96) | 0.168 |
| Adequate dd | 105 | 11.4 (12) | REFERENCE | REFERENCE | |

Table 3. (Continued)

THHC, Tiko Holforth Health Centre; MMC, Mutengene Medical Centre; BIHC, Buea Integrated Health Centre; MMH, Mount Mary Hospital; intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy with sulphadoxin-pyrimethamine, IPTp-SP; ITN, insecticide treated net; dd, dietary diversity; COR, crude odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio *Statistically significant at P < 0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292550.t003

assess the association between malaria infection and poor nutrition among pregnant women in the study area.

In the present study, the overall prevalence of malaria was 17.8%. This prevalence rate is comparable with 16.0% obtained in the study area [3] and 16.1% in Burkina Faso [47] but lower than that documented in Foumban (53.4%) [48]. Difference in study design might explain this variation. For instance, the study in Foumban [48] was population based whereas our study was a hospital based cross sectional survey. Also, poor environmental conditions such as; presence of bushes and standing water might as well explain these disparities. On the other hand, the present finding is higher than 13.4% recorded in the same study setting [38]. Earlier studies of IPTp in Cameroon demonstrated a clear reduction in parasitaemia following receipt of SP [49,50]. Thus, the relatively high prevalence of infection with malaria parasitaemia may be due to suboptimal ITN use and IPTp-SP uptake. At the time of the study, IPTp-SP coverage of at least one dose was 67.6% (686/1,014) which however, is lower compared with 90.5% reported in a previous study in the Mount Cameroon area [51]. In addition, only 39.1% of the women reported usage of ITN. More so, inadequate uptake of malaria preventive measures may be attributed to inadequate ANC clinic attendance or late ANC initiation [51].

In agreement with reports of several studies, malaria parasite levels decreased with increasing maternal age and gravidity status [1,3,49,52]. This might be linked to pregnancy-associated anti-parasite immunity. The variant surface antigen (VAR2CSA), a specific variant of *P. falciparum* erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (PfEMP1) is expressed on the surface of infected erythrocytes (IEs) [53–55]. This expression mediates adhesion to chondroitin sulfate A (CSA) [56] thus, enabling sequestration of IEs in the placenta [57]. Primigravidae and secundigravidae are more prone to malaria as they do not possess significant levels of VAR2CSA-specific IgG which is acquired only after successive pregnancy and with increasing maternal age [58]. Furthermore, this study equally revealed that malaria parasitaemia varied significantly with maternal education level. Similar observations have been reported elsewhere [59,60]. Low maternal education levels may contribute to inadequate understanding of malaria preventive measures which in turn increases the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes due to malaria parasite infection. Thus, government policies and programme initiatives should target improving maternal education statuses as this would be vital in reducing the burden of malaria among this vulnerable group.

Analysis of the factors associated with malaria infection demonstrated that climatic season at enrolment, being a farmer, having a household number of less than four individuals and the presence of fever were significantly associated with malaria. In line with the current study,

| Factor | Category | N | PDD % (n) | Bivariate logistic regression | | Multivariate logisti | c regression |
|------------------------|---------------|-----|--------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------|--------------|
| | | | | COR (95% CI) | P value | AOR (95% CI) | P value |
| Season | Dry | 213 | 91.5 (195) | 1.32 (0.77-2.24) | 0.376 | - | - |
| | Rainy | 801 | 89.1 (714) | REFERENCE | | - | - |
| Health facility type | THHC | 79 | 89.9 (71) | 2.16 (0.94-4.95) | | 2.18 (0.91-5.21) | 0.078 |
| | MMC | 430 | 91.2 (392) | 2.51 (1.51-4.19) | < 0.001* | 1.94 (1.12–3.35) | 0.017* |
| | BIHC | 342 | 92.1 (315) | 2.84 (1.64-4.94) | | 2.93 (1.66-5.20) | < 0.001* |
| | MMH | 163 | 80.4 (131) | REFERENCE | | REFEREN | CE |
| Age (years) | ≤ 20 | 145 | 93.1 (135) | 2.06 (1.03-4.09) | 0.003* | 2.12 (0.94-0.47) | 0.070 |
| | 21-25 | 295 | 93.6 (276) | 2.21 (1.31-3.74) | | 2.43 (1.38-4.30) | 0.002* |
| | >25 | 574 | 86.8 (498) | REFERNCE | | REFEREN | CE |
| Marital status | Single | 383 | 90.3 (346) | 1.12 (0.74–1.72) | 0.572 | - | - |
| | Married | 631 | 89.2 (563) | REFERENCE | | - | - |
| Education level | Primary | 204 | 91.2 (186) | 1.52 (0.83-2.78) | 0.267 | - | - |
| | Secondary | 538 | 90.3 (486) | 1.38 (0.87–2.17) | | - | - |
| | Tertiary | 272 | 87.1 (237) | REFERENCE | | - | - |
| Occupation | Housewife | 167 | 88.6 (148) | 1.28 (0.66-2.50) | | 0.86 (0.41-1.81) | 0.710 |
| | Farmer | 59 | 98.3 (58) | 9.59 (1.25-73.02) | 0.106 | 8.86 (1.07-72.83) | 0.042* |
| | Business | 442 | 86.6 (396) | 1.42 (0.81-2.47) | | 1.06 (0.55-2.06) | 0.845 |
| | Student | 198 | 90.9 (180) | 1.65 (0.84-3.22) | | 0.99 (0.45-2.14) | 0.983 |
| | Civil servant | 148 | 85.8 (127) | REFERENCE | | REFEREN | CE |
| Clinic visits attended | < 4 | 784 | 91.1 (714) | 1.83 (1.18–2.83) | 0.006* | 1.60 (1.01–2.55) | 0.044* |
| | ≥ 4 | 230 | 84.8 (195) | REFERENCE | | REFEREN | CE |
| Family size | < 4 | 387 | 91.7 (355) | 1.46 (0.94–2.26) | 0.087 | 1.39 (0.88–2.18) | 0.150 |
| | ≥ 4 | 627 | 88.4 (554) | REFRENCE | | REFERENCE | |
| Wealth index | Low | 576 | 90.1 (519) | 1.12 (0.74–1.68) | 0.582 | - | - |
| | High | 438 | 89.0 (390) | REFERENCE | | - | - |
| Fever status | Febrile | 254 | 91.7 (233) | 1.37 (0.83–2.27) | 0.207 | 1.38 (0.82–2.31 | 0.217 |
| | Afebrile | 760 | 88.9 (676) | REFERENCE | | REFERENCE | |

| Table 4. | Logistic regi | ession analys | is showing | predictors | of dietary | diversity |
|----------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
|----------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|

PDD, poor dietary diversity; THHC, Tiko Holforth Health Centre; MMC, Mutengene Medical Centre; BIHC, Buea Integrated Health Centre; MMH, Mount Mary Hospital; COR, crude odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio

*Statistically significant at P <0.05.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292550.t004

previous studies have reported an association between climatic season particularly the rainy season with increased malaria infection transmission [61,62]. It is well known that rainfall plays an important role in malaria epidemiology because water not only provides breeding sites for mosquitoes but also increases the longevity of the adult mosquitoes [61,63]. Likewise, the risk of infection with malaria has been documented to be linked with the occupation of an individual [64]. Results from this study showed that farmers were 2.3 times at increased odds of becoming infected with malaria parasites. Similar observations were found in studies carried out in Ethiopia [65], Ghana [66], Kenya [67] and Congo [68]. This could be because these women practiced agricultural activities (a form of outdoor activity) either at dawn or dusk thus increasing the risk of them receiving infective bites from the mosquito vector [69]. Moreover, reports from several studies have persistently shown an increased risk of malaria infection among individuals living in houses with many family members [68,70,71]. Study findings however demonstrated otherwise that pregnant women in households of one to three family

| Factor | Categories | Mean ±SD | P value |
|------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Season | Dry | 3.52 ± 0.804 | 0.294 ^a |
| | Rainy | 3.58 ± 0.828 | |
| | ТННС | 3.71 ± 0.754 | _ |
| Health facility type | ММС | 3.55 ± 0.826 | < 0.001 ^b * |
| | BIHC | 3.46 ± 0.794 | |
| | ММН | 3.79 ± 0.864 | - |
| | < 20 | 3.46 ± 0.866 | |
| Age (years) | 21-25 | 3.47 ± 0.750 | 0.004 ^b * |
| | >25 | 3.64 ± 0.841 | - |
| Education level | Primary | 3.60 ± 0.753 | |
| | Secondary | 3.51 ± 0.831 | 0.020 ^b * |
| | Tertiary | 3.67 ± 0.850 | |
| | Housewife | 3.61 ± 0.849 | |
| Occupation | Farmer | 3.47 ± 0.653 | 0.377 ^b |
| | Business | 3.55 ± 0.824 | |
| | Student | 3.52 ± 0.811 |] |
| | Civil servant | 3.67 ± 0.868 | |
| Clinic visits attended | < 4 | 3.51 ± 0.815 | 0.001 ^a * |
| | ≥ 4 | 3.78 ± 0.818 | |
| Family size | < 4 | 3.51 ± 0.816 | 0.068 ^a |
| | ≥ 4 | 3.61 ± 0.827 | |
| Fever status | Febrile | 3.48 ± 0.865 | 0.047 ^a * |
| | Afebrile | 3.60 ± 0.807 | |

Table 5. Mean DD scores with respect to maternal socio-demographic and antenatal characteristics.

THHC, Tiko Holforth Health Centre; MMC, Mutengene Medical Centre; BIHC, Buea Integrated Health Centre; MMH, Mount Mary Hospital; ANC, antenatal clinic; SD, standard deviation

*Statistically significant at P < 0.05.

^a means compared using student T-test.

^b means compared using one-way ANOVA.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292550.t005

| Factor | В | 95% CI | P value | | | |
|------------------------|--------|--------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Season at enrolment | 0.047 | -0.077-0.172 | 0.457 | | | |
| Health facility type | 0.013 | -0.049-0.079 | 0.670 | | | |
| Age | 0.091 | 0.021-0.162 | 0.011* | | | |
| Education | 0.045 | -0.036-0.127 | 0.276 | | | |
| Occupation | -0.009 | -0.053-0.035 | 0.676 | | | |
| Clinic visits attended | 0.257 | 0.137-0.378 | < 0.001* | | | |
| Family size | 0.085 | -0.019-0.190 | 0.109 | | | |
| Fever status | 0.105 | -0.011-0.222 | 0.076 | | | |

Table 6. MLR analysis examining the influence of independent variables on dietary diversity scores.

MLR, multiple linear regression; B, unstandardized beta

*Statistically significant at P < 0.05.

MLR Model summary: R = 0.187, R^2 = 0.035, Adjusted R^2 = 0.027, F = 4.574, P < 0.001.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292550.t006



Fig 1. Dietary diversity scores Vs malaria parasitaemia levels.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292550.g001

members were 1.4 times at increased odds of being infected with malaria. The increased risk of infection among members in households with low occupancy might be due to the fact that they were significantly younger (≤ 25 years) and the majority were primigravidae. Infection with malaria parasites often presents with a vast array of symptoms one of which is fever. Although fever is a fairly sensitive indicator of malaria especially in settings where infection with other pathogens are possible [72] in this study, it was significantly associated with an increased risk of infection among pregnant women. Similar significant association between malaria and fever has been documented in the study area [3,49,73].

With regards to poor dietary diversity, the overall prevalence was 89.6%. Besides, we observed health facility type, inadequate antenatal visit attendance, younger maternal age and farming as an occupation as prominent factors associated with poor nutrition among pregnant women. Nutrition interventions during pregnancy can be delivered through various platforms; among them, healthcare systems are considered the most effective platform to reach and counsel expectant mothers on adequate dietary practices as well as to prepare them for breastfeeding [9,74]. According to the present study, the likelihood of having a diverse diet was higher among women attending clinic visits in THHC and MMH than those from BIHC and MMC. This finding suggests gaps in the quality of nutrition counselling offered by the different health facilities in the Mount Cameroon region. An effective ANC package depends on competent health care providers in a functioning health system with referral services and adequate supplies and laboratory support [75]. Sub-optimal ANC (< 4 visits) was positively (OR = 1.6) associated with uptake of inadequate nutrient diet and corroborates reports by other authors [76,77]. Pregnant women may have missed scheduled ANC opportunities to be educated on healthy dietary lifestyle during pregnancy. The anglophone crisis in the English-speaking



Fig 2. Dietary diversity scores Vs malaria infection status.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0292550.g002

regions of Cameroon since 2017 [26] and/or late ANC initiation [51] may have hampered ANC clinic utilization. Exposure to high prenatal visits and nutrition information from qualified health professionals are more likely to enhance good dietary practice. Nutrition information in the form of dietary counselling during clinic visit plays a major role in improving nutrient intake [78,79] and nutritional status as well [80,81].

Congruent to findings in Ethiopia [82] women engaged in agricultural activities in the study area were 8.8 times more likely to have a less diverse diet. The increased odds of dietary inadequacy among these women could be that they do not consume what they produce as they rather sell farm products to generate income to support livelihood of the family [83,84]. Poor dietary intake among farmers may also be related to the fact that most of them had a low level of education (46 out of 59). Higher levels of education are associated with healthier dietary pattern [85]. Study findings showed that the odds of poor DD increased with younger age; women \leq 25 years old had more than two-fold risks of having a less diverse diet when compared with those over 25 years. This finding is supported by similar studies [86–88]. Older women are more aware of the importance of a diverse diet in achieving optimum health during pregnancy from previous experience [89]. Moreover, younger women may have limited knowledge, socioeconomic and household support [90].

Consistent with the finding of previous studies [27,91–93], we observed a significantly low DD score among women infected with malaria parasitaemia whereas others found no association between these two co-morbidities [94–96]. It is well established that undernutrition supresses the body's immune response [97,98] thus, exposing the individual to infections like malaria [93,99,100]. Likewise, the nutrition of women infected with malaria parasitaemia may have been worsened by loss of appetite as well as the diversion of dietary nutrients for immune response.

This study has several limitations. Firstly, the cross-sectional nature cannot establish the cause-and-effect relationship between the predictors and outcome variables. Secondly, there might be recall bias as study participants had to remember the food which they consumed a day before the survey. Also, the high confidence interval level observed in maternal occupation (farmer) as a predictor of dietary diversity is likely due to the small number of women recorded to be engaged in farming. Even though this study employed the use of microscopy which is the gold standard for malaria diagnosis, the prevalence of malaria may have been under estimated considering that sub-microscopic infections are common in the study area. More so, this study did not evaluate the influence of sub-microscopic malaria infection and soil-transmitted helminths on maternal nutrition. Nevertheless, the findings of the study demonstrated the main determinants of two major health problems in our setting in the context of attaining the SDGs. Also, this study provides the basis for further studies on the association between maternal nutrition and the risk of malaria infection.

Conclusion

In summary, 17.8% of the respondents were infected with malaria parasites. Among those infected, GMPD was 301 parasites/µL of blood (range: 40–9280). Infection with malaria parasites was significantly associated with climatic season at enrollment, occupation, family size and fever status whereas health facility type, younger maternal age, farming as a form of occupation and inadequate clinic visits were factors that significantly increased the prevalence of poor dietary nutrient intake. Besides, the association between malaria and dietary diversity was statistically significant. Since nutrition is tied to immune response, there is need for improving awareness through multi-sectoral collaboration on the benefits of consuming at least five or more food groups among pregnant women. Likewise, there should be integration of nutrition activities with malaria control programs in order to efficiently manage malaria among pregnant Cameroonian women.

Supporting information

S1 Dataset. (XLSX)

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the administrative staff, nurses and laboratory technicians of the various health facilities where this study was carried out for their assistance and collaboration.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Vanessa Tita Jugha, Judith Kuoh Anchang-Kimbi.

Data curation: Vanessa Tita Jugha.

Formal analysis: Vanessa Tita Jugha, Juliana Adjem Anchang, Germain Sotoing Taiwe, Judith Kuoh Anchang-Kimbi.

Supervision: Helen Kuokuo Kimbi, Judith Kuoh Anchang-Kimbi.

Validation: Helen Kuokuo Kimbi, Judith Kuoh Anchang-Kimbi.

Writing – original draft: Vanessa Tita Jugha.

Writing – review & editing: Juliana Adjem Anchang, Germain Sotoing Taiwe, Helen Kuokuo Kimbi, Judith Kuoh Anchang-Kimbi.

References

- 1. Dosoo DK, Chandramohan D, Atibilla D, Oppong FB, Ankrah L, Kayan K, et al. Epidemiology of malaria among pregnant women during their first antenatal clinic visit in the middle belt of Ghana: a cross sectional study. Malaria Journal. 2020; 19(1):1–12.
- 2. Organization WH. World malaria report 2022: World Health Organization; 2022.
- Anchang-Kimbi JK, Nkweti VN, Ntonifor HN, Apinjoh TO, Tata RB, Chi HF, et al. Plasmodium falciparum parasitaemia and malaria among pregnant women at first clinic visit in the mount Cameroon Area. BMC infectious diseases. 2015; 15(1):1–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-015-1211-6</u> PMID: 26494140
- Limenih A, Gelaye W, Alemu G. Prevalence of Malaria and Associated Factors among Delivering Mothers in Northwest Ethiopia. BioMed Research International. 2021; 2021. https://doi.org/10.1155/ 2021/2754407 PMID: 34917681
- Mbu RE, Takang WA, Fouedjio HJ, Fouelifack FY, Tumasang FN, Tonye R. Clinical malaria among pregnant women on combined insecticide treated nets (ITNs) and intermittent preventive treatment (IPTp) with sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine in Yaounde, Cameroon. BMC Women's Health. 2014; 14 (1):1–6. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6874-14-68 PMID: 24886135
- Anchang-Kimbi JK, Kalaji LN, Mbacham HF, Wepnje GB, Apinjoh TO, Ngole Sumbele IU, et al. Coverage and effectiveness of intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy with sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine (IPTp-SP) on adverse pregnancy outcomes in the Mount Cameroon area, South West Cameroon. Malaria journal. 2020; 19(1):1–12.
- 7. Organization WH. WHO evidence review group: intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy (IPTp) with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP), 2012.
- 8. Organization WH. WHO policy brief for the implementation of intermittent preventive treatment of malaria in pregnancy using sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (IPTp-SP). World Health Organization, 2014.
- Nguyen PH, Kachwaha S, Tran LM, Avula R, Young MF, Ghosh S, et al. Strengthening nutrition interventions in antenatal care services affects dietary intake, micronutrient intake, gestational weight gain, and breastfeeding in Uttar Pradesh, India: Results of a cluster-randomized program evaluation. The Journal of Nutrition. 2021; 151(8):2282–95. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxab131 PMID: 34038529
- 10. Organization WH. The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2019: safeguarding against economic slowdowns and downturns: Food & Agriculture Org.; 2019.
- 11. Guidance UP. Prevention of malnutrition in women before and during pregnancy and while breastfeeding. United Nations Children's Fund ed. New York: UNICEF; 2021.
- 12. Desyibelew HD, Dadi AF. Burden and determinants of malnutrition among pregnant women in Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS one. 2019; 14(9):e0221712. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221712 PMID: 31490956</u>
- 13. Bilal JA, Rayis DA, AlEed A, Al-Nafeesah A, Adam I. Maternal undernutrition and low birth weight in a tertiary hospital in Sudan: a cross-sectional study. Frontiers in Pediatrics. 2022; 10.
- Nguyen PH, Avula R, Ruel MT, Saha KK, Ali D, Tran LM, et al. Maternal and child dietary diversity are associated in Bangladesh, Vietnam, and Ethiopia. The Journal of nutrition. 2013; 143(7):1176–83. https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.112.172247 PMID: 23658424
- Nigussie E, Ferede A, Markos M. Diversified dietary intake and associated factors among pregnant mothers attending antenatal care follow-up in public health facilities of Dire Dawa, Eastern Ethiopia. PLOS Global Public Health. 2022; 2(6):e0000002. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0000002</u> PMID: 36962246
- Muze M, Yesse M, Kedir S, Mustefa A. Prevalence and associated factors of undernutrition among pregnant women visiting ANC clinics in Silte zone, Southern Ethiopia. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2020; 20(1):1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-03404-x PMID: 33213406
- 17. Gelebo DG, Gebremichael MA, Asale GA, Berbada DA. Prevalence of undernutrition and its associated factors among pregnant women in Konso district, southern Ethiopia: a community-based cross-sectional study. BMC nutrition. 2021; 7(1):1–13.
- Petraro P, Madzorera I, Duggan CP, Spiegelman D, Manji K, Kisenge R, et al. Mid-arm muscle area and anthropometry predict low birth weight and poor pregnancy outcomes in Tanzanian women with HIV. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2018; 18:1–12.

- Shiferaw CB, Yallew WW, Tiruneh GT. Maternal anthropometric measurements do not have effect on birth weight of term, single, and live births in addis Ababa City, Ethiopia. Journal of Pregnancy. 2018; 2018.
- 20. Webb P. Nutrition and the post-2015 Sustainable Development Goals: A technical note. Nutrition and the post-2015 SDGs http://www.unscn.org/files/Publications/Briefs_on_Nutrition/Final_Nutrition% 20and_the_SDGs.pdf. 2014.
- 21. FAO F. Minimum dietary diversity for women: a guide for measurement. Rome: FAO. 2016; 82.
- 22. Nandi R, Nedumaran S, Ravula P. The interplay between food market access and farm household dietary diversity in low and middle income countries: a systematic review of literature. Global Food Security. 2021; 28:100484.
- 23. ICF. NIoSCa. 2018 Cameroon DHS Summary Report. Rockville, Maryland, USA: NIS and ICF; 2020.
- Ongolo-Zogo P BR, Nsangou MM,. Strengthening Local Governance against Manultrition and Food Insecurity in Cameroon: Lessons Learned during the UNOPS Project led by Helen Keller International Foundation Cameroon, PERLS-Cameroon strategic briefing note. 2020: 12.
- 25. the WFPatTSo, in ICftFAM, Cameroon. Fill the Nutrient Gap, Cameroon. Yaoundé, Cameroon2021.
- 26. Jugha VT, Anchang-Kimbi JK, Anchang JA, Mbeng KA, Kimbi HK. Dietary diversity and its contribution in the etiology of maternal anemia in conflict hit Mount Cameroon area: A cross-sectional study. Frontiers in Nutrition. 2021; 7:625178. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2020.625178 PMID: 33614694
- Gone T, Lemango F, Eliso E, Yohannes S, Yohannes T. The association between malaria and malnutrition among under-five children in Shashogo District, Southern Ethiopia: a case-control study. Infectious diseases of poverty. 2017; 6(1):1–8.
- Mann DM, Swahn MH, McCool S. Undernutrition and malaria among under-five children: findings from the 2018 Nigeria demographic and health survey. Pathogens and Global Health. 2021; 115(6):423– 33. https://doi.org/10.1080/20477724.2021.1916729 PMID: 33944705
- 29. Mmbando BP, Mwaiswelo RO, Chacky F, Molteni F, Mohamed A, Lazaro S, et al. Nutritional status of children under five years old involved in a seasonal malaria chemoprevention study in the Nanyumbu and Masasi districts in Tanzania. Plos one. 2022; 17(4):e0267670. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267670 PMID: 35486649
- **30.** Cates J. Malaria and Malnutrition during Pregnancy: An Investigation of Interactions and Interventions. 2017.
- Landis S, Lokomba V, Ananth C, Atibu J, Ryder R, Hartmann K, et al. Impact of maternal malaria and under-nutrition on intrauterine growth restriction: a prospective ultrasound study in Democratic Republic of Congo. Epidemiology & Infection. 2009; 137(2):294–304. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/</u> S0950268808000915 PMID: 18588723
- 32. McClure EM, Meshnick SR, Lazebnik N, Mungai P, King CL, Hudgens M, et al. A cohort study of Plasmodium falciparum malaria in pregnancy and associations with uteroplacental blood flow and fetal anthropometrics in Kenya. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2014; 126(1):78–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2014.01.016 PMID: 24792408
- 33. nations U. Retrieved from Sustainable Development Goals2015.
- Green AE, Anchang-Kimbi JK, Wepnje GB, Ndassi VD, Kimbi HK. Distribution and factors associated with urogenital schistosomiasis in the Tiko Health District, a semi-urban setting, South West Region, Cameroon. Infectious diseases of poverty. 2021; 10(1):1–15.
- Achidi EA, Apinjoh TO, Anchang-Kimbi JK, Mugri RN, Ngwai AN, Yafi CN. Severe and uncomplicated falciparum malaria in children from three regions and three ethnic groups in Cameroon: prospective study. Malaria journal. 2012; 11(1):1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-11-215 PMID: 22727184
- 36. Wanji S, Kengne-Ouafo AJ, Eyong EEJ, Kimbi HK, Tendongfor N, Ndamukong-Nyanga JL, et al. Genetic diversity of Plasmodium falciparum merozoite surface protein-1 block 2 in sites of contrasting altitudes and malaria endemicities in the Mount Cameroon region. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene. 2012; 86(5):764. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2012.11-0433 PMID: 22556072
- Wanji S, Tanke T, Atanga SN, Ajonina C, Nicholas T, Fontenille D. Anopheles species of the mount Cameroon region: biting habits, feeding behaviour and entomological inoculation rates. Tropical Medicine & International Health. 2003; 8(7):643–9. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3156.2003.01070.x PMID: 12828548
- Fokam EB, Ngimuh L, Anchang-Kimbi JK, Wanji S. Assessment of the usage and effectiveness of intermittent preventive treatment and insecticide-treated nets on the indicators of malaria among pregnant women attending antenatal care in the Buea Health District, Cameroon. Malaria journal. 2016; 15 (1):1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-016-1228-3 PMID: 26987387
- **39.** Organization WH. Haemoglobin concentrations for the diagnosis of anaemia and assessment of severity. World Health Organization, 2011.

- 40. Cochran WG. Sampling techniques: John Wiley & Sons; 1977.
- **41.** Cheesbrough M. District laboratory practice in tropical countries, part 2: Cambridge university press; 2005.
- Maketa V, Mavoko HM, da Luz RI, Zanga J, Lubiba J, Kalonji A, et al. The relationship between Plasmodium infection, anaemia and nutritional status in asymptomatic children aged under five years living in stable transmission zones in Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo. Malaria Journal. 2015; 14 (1):1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-015-0595-5 PMID: 25880427
- Moody A. Rapid diagnostic tests for malaria parasites. Clinical microbiology reviews. 2002; 15(1):66– 78. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.15.1.66-78.2002 PMID: 11781267
- 44. Anchang-Kimbi JK, Elad DM, Sotoing GT, Achidi EA. Coinfection with Schistosoma haematobium and Plasmodium falciparum and anaemia severity among pregnant women in Munyenge, Mount Cameroon area: a cross-sectional study. Journal of Parasitology Research. 2017;2017. https://doi.org/10. 1155/2017/6173465 PMID: 28168042
- Organization WH. WHO recommendation: calcium supplementation during pregnancy for prevention of pre-eclampsia and its complications: World Health Organization; 2018.
- 46. Donangelo C, Bezerra F. Pregnancy: metabolic adaptations and nutritional requirements. 2016.
- Lingani M, Zango SH, Valéa I, Sanou M, Ouoba S, Samadoulougou S, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of malaria among first antenatal care attendees in rural Burkina Faso. Tropical Medicine and Health. 2022; 50(1):1–8.
- Sidiki NN, Payne VK, Cedric Y, Nadia NA. Effect of impregnated mosquito bed nets on the prevalence of malaria among pregnant women in Foumban Subdivision, West Region of Cameroon. Journal of Parasitology Research. 2020;2020. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7438317 PMID: 32733698
- Anchang-Kimbi JK, Achidi EA, Nkegoum B, Sverremark-Ekström E, Troye-Blomberg M. Diagnostic comparison of malaria infection in peripheral blood, placental blood and placental biopsies in Cameroonian parturient women. Malaria Journal. 2009; 8(1):1–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-8-126</u> PMID: 19505312
- 50. Leke RF, Bioga JD, Zhou J, Fouda GG, Leke RJ, Tchinda V, et al. Longitudinal studies of Plasmodium falciparum malaria in pregnant women living in a rural Cameroonian village with high perennial transmission. The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene. 2010; 83(5):996. <u>https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2010.10-0249 PMID: 21036826</u>
- Anchang-Kimbi JK, Achidi EA, Apinjoh TO, Mugri RN, Chi HF, Tata RB, et al. Antenatal care visit attendance, intermittent preventive treatment during pregnancy (IPTp) and malaria parasitaemia at delivery. Malaria Journal. 2014; 13(1):1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-13-162 PMID: 24779545
- 52. Yaro JB, Ouedraogo A, Diarra A, Sombié S, Ouedraogo ZA, Nébié I, et al. Risk factors for Plasmodium falciparum infection in pregnant women in Burkina Faso: a community-based cross-sectional survey. Malaria journal. 2021; 20(1):1–13.
- 53. Salanti A, Staalsoe T, Lavstsen T, Jensen AT, Sowa MK, Arnot DE, et al. Selective upregulation of a single distinctly structured var gene in chondroitin sulphate A-adhering Plasmodium falciparum involved in pregnancy-associated malaria. Molecular microbiology. 2003; 49(1):179–91. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03570.x PMID: 12823820
- Duffy MF, Caragounis A, Noviyanti R, Kyriacou HM, Choong EK, Boysen K, et al. Transcribed var Genes Associated with Placental Malaria in MalawianWomen. Infection and immunity. 2006; 74 (8):4875–83. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01978-05 PMID: 16861676
- 55. Barfod L, Dobrilovic T, Magistrado P, Khunrae P, Viwami F, Bruun J, et al. Chondroitin sulfate A-adhering Plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes express functionally important antibody epitopes shared by multiple variants. The Journal of Immunology. 2010; 185(12):7553–61. https://doi.org/10. 4049/jimmunol.1002390 PMID: 21078904
- 56. Fried M, Duffy PE. Adherence of Plasmodium falciparum to chondroitin sulfate A in the human placenta. Science. 1996; 272(5267):1502–4. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.272.5267.1502 PMID: 8633247
- Walter PR, Garin Y, Blot P. Placental pathologic changes in malaria. A histologic and ultrastructural study. The American journal of pathology. 1982; 109(3):330. PMID: 6758604
- Nega D, Dana D, Tefera T, Eshetu T. Prevalence and predictors of asymptomatic malaria parasitemia among pregnant women in the rural surroundings of Arbaminch Town, South Ethiopia. PloS one. 2015; 10(4):e0123630. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123630 PMID: 25849587
- 59. Fana SA, Bunza MDA, Anka SA, Imam AU, Nataala SU. Prevalence and risk factors associated with malaria infection among pregnant women in a semi-urban community of north-western Nigeria. Infectious diseases of poverty. 2015; 4(1):1–5.

- Oladosu OO, Adeniyi AV. A Cross-Sectional Study of Risk Factors Associated with Malaria Diseases in Pregnant Women Attending a State Hospital Iwo Osun State, Southwest Nigeria. Scientific African. 2023:e01668.
- Alemu A, Abebe G, Tsegaye W, Golassa L. Climatic variables and malaria transmission dynamics in Jimma town, South West Ethiopia. Parasites & vectors. 2011; 4(1):1–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/</u> 1756-3305-4-30 PMID: 21366906
- Sondo P, Derra K, Rouamba T, Nakanabo Diallo S, Taconet P, Kazienga A, et al. Determinants of Plasmodium falciparum multiplicity of infection and genetic diversity in Burkina Faso. Parasites & vectors. 2020; 13(1):1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04302-z PMID: 32819420
- 63. Ndiaye O, Le Hesran J-Y, Etard J-F, Diallo A, Simondon F, Ward MN, et al. Variations climatiques et mortalité attribuée au paludisme dans la zone de Niakhar, Sénégal, de 1984 à 1996. Cahiers d'études et de recherches francophones/Santé. 2001; 11(1):25–33.
- 64. Degarege A, Fennie K, Degarege D, Chennupati S, Madhivanan P. Improving socioeconomic status may reduce the burden of malaria in sub Saharan Africa: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS one. 2019; 14(1):e0211205. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0211205 PMID: 30677102
- Alemu K, Worku A, Berhane Y, Kumie A. Men traveling away from home are more likely to bring malaria into high altitude villages, northwest Ethiopia. PLoS One. 2014; 9(4):e95341. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0095341 PMID: 24748159
- 66. Kreuels B, Kobbe R, Adjei S, Kreuzberg C, von Reden C, Bäter K, et al. Spatial variation of malaria incidence in young children from a geographically homogeneous area with high endemicity. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2008; 197(1):85–93. https://doi.org/10.1086/524066 PMID: 18171290
- Homan T, Maire N, Hiscox A, Di Pasquale A, Kiche I, Onoka K, et al. Spatially variable risk factors for malaria in a geographically heterogeneous landscape, western Kenya: an explorative study. Malaria Journal. 2016; 15(1):1–15.
- Jean-Claude MK, Bienfait MMa, Simon IK, Jean-Baptiste KSZ. Epidemiological aspects of malaria in pregnant women: Prevalence and risk factors in Mwene Ditu, DR Congo. Open Access Library Journal. 2018; 5(6):1–4.
- Bayoh MN, Mathias DK, Odiere MR, Mutuku FM, Kamau L, Gimnig JE, et al. Anopheles gambiae: historical population decline associated with regional distribution of insecticide-treated bed nets in western Nyanza Province, Kenya. Malaria journal. 2010; 9(1):1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-9-62 PMID: 20187956
- **70.** Ngadjeu CS, Doumbe-Belisse P, Talipouo A, Djamouko-Djonkam L, Awono-Ambene P, Kekeunou S, et al. Influence of house characteristics on mosquito distribution and malaria transmission in the city of Yaoundé, Cameroon. Malaria Journal. 2020; 19(1):1–14.
- Abdishu M, Gobena T, Damena M, Abdi H, Birhanu A. Determinants of Malaria Morbidity Among School-Aged Children Living in East Hararghe Zone, Oromia, Ethiopia: A Community-Based Case– Control Study. Pediatric Health, Medicine and Therapeutics. 2022; 13:183. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/</u> PHMT.S347621 PMID: 35615100
- 72. Okiro EA, Snow RW. The relationship between reported fever and Plasmodium falciparum infection in African children. Malaria Journal. 2010; 9(1):1–6. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-9-99 PMID: 20398428
- 73. Kimbi HK, Sumbele IU, Nweboh M, Anchang-Kimbi JK, Lum E, Nana Y, et al. Malaria and haematologic parameters of pupils at different altitudes along the slope of Mount Cameroon: a cross-sectional study. Malaria journal. 2013; 12(1):1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-12-193 PMID: 23758846
- 74. Torlesse H, Benedict RK, Craig HC, Stoltzfus RJ. The quality of maternal nutrition and infant feeding counselling during antenatal care in South Asia. Maternal & child nutrition. 2021; 17(3):e13153. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.13153</u> PMID: 33554434
- Lincetto O, Mothebesoane-Anoh S, Gomez P, Munjanja S. Antenatal care. Opportunities for Africa's newborns: Practical data, policy and programmatic support for newborn care in Africa. 2006:55–62.
- 76. Diddana TZ. Factors associated with dietary practice and nutritional status of pregnant women in Dessie town, northeastern Ethiopia: a community-based cross-sectional study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2019; 19(1):1–10.
- 77. Yeneabat T, Adugna H, Asmamaw T, Wubetu M, Admas M, Hailu G, et al. Maternal dietary diversity and micronutrient adequacy during pregnancy and related factors in East Gojjam Zone, Northwest Ethiopia, 2016. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2019; 19(1):1–9.
- 78. Girard AW, Olude O. Nutrition education and counselling provided during pregnancy: effects on maternal, neonatal and child health outcomes. Paediatric and perinatal epidemiology. 2012; 26:191–204. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3016.2012.01278.x PMID: 22742611

- 79. Nguyen PH, Kim SS, Sanghvi T, Mahmud Z, Tran LM, Shabnam S, et al. Integrating nutrition interventions into an existing maternal, neonatal, and child health program increased maternal dietary diversity, micronutrient intake, and exclusive breastfeeding practices in Bangladesh: results of a cluster-randomized program evaluation. The Journal of Nutrition. 2017; 147(12):2326–37. https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.117.257303 PMID: 29021370
- Kennedy GL, Pedro MR, Seghieri C, Nantel G, Brouwer I. Dietary diversity score is a useful indicator of micronutrient intake in non-breast-feeding Filipino children. The Journal of nutrition. 2007; 137 (2):472–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/137.2.472 PMID: 17237329
- Kaleem R, Adnan M, Nasir M, Rahat T. Effects of antenatal nutrition counselling on dietary practices and nutritional status of pregnant women: A quasi-experimental hospital based study. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences. 2020; 36(4):632. https://doi.org/10.12669/pims.36.4.1919 PMID: 32494246
- Ayele E, Gebreayezgi G, Mariye T, Bahrey D, Aregawi G, Kidanemariam G. Prevalence of undernutrition and associated factors among pregnant women in a public general hospital, Tigray, Northern Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study design. Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism. 2020;2020.
- Ng'endo M, Bhagwat S, Keding GB. Influence of seasonal on-farm diversity on dietary diversity: a case study of smallholder farming households in Western Kenya. Ecology of Food and Nutrition. 2016; 55(5):403–27. https://doi.org/10.1080/03670244.2016.1200037 PMID: 27398836
- Gitagia MW, Ramkat RC, Mituki DM, Termote C, Covic N, Cheserek MJ. Determinants of dietary diversity among women of reproductive age in two different agro-ecological zones of Rongai Sub-County, Nakuru, Kenya. Food & nutrition research. 2019; 63. <u>https://doi.org/10.29219/fnr.v63.1553</u> PMID: 30692880
- Kiboi W, Kimiywe J, Chege P. Determinants of dietary diversity among pregnant women in Laikipia County, Kenya: a cross-sectional study. BMC Nutrition. 2017; 3(1):1–8.
- Dadi AF, Desyibelew HD. Undernutrition and its associated factors among pregnant mothers in Gondar town, Northwest Ethiopia. PloS one. 2019; 14(4):e0215305. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.</u> 0215305 PMID: 31009475
- Hidru HD, Berwo Mengesha M, Hailesilassie Y, Tekulu Welay F. Burden and determinant of inadequate dietary diversity among pregnant women in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism. 2020;2020. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/1272393</u> PMID: 32855822
- Tilahun AG, Fufa DA, Taddesse RD. Undernutrition and its associated factors among pregnant women at the public hospitals of Bench-Sheko and Kaffa zone, southwest Ethiopia. Heliyon. 2022; 8 (5):e09380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09380 PMID: 35592665
- Tsegaye D, Tamiru D, Belachew T. Factors associated with dietary practice and nutritional status of pregnant women in rural communities of Illu aba Bor zone, Southwest Ethiopia. Nutr Diet Suppl. 2020; 12(103):10.2147.
- Lee YQ, Loh J, Ang RSE, Chong MF-F. Tracking of maternal diet from pregnancy to postpregnancy: A systematic review of observational studies. Current Developments in Nutrition. 2020; 4(8):nzaa118. https://doi.org/10.1093/cdn/nzaa118 PMID: 32793849
- Alexandre MAA, Benzecry SG, Siqueira AM, Vitor-Silva S, Melo GC, Monteiro WM, et al. The association between nutritional status and malaria in children from a rural community in the Amazonian region: a longitudinal study. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2015; 9(4):e0003743. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pntd.0003743 PMID: 25928774
- 92. Sumbele IUN, Bopda OSM, Kimbi HK, Ning TR, Nkuo-Akenji T. Nutritional status of children in a malaria meso endemic area: cross sectional study on prevalence, intensity, predictors, influence on malaria parasitaemia and anaemia severity. BMC public health. 2015; 15(1):1–9.
- 93. Sakwe N, Bigoga J, Ngondi J, Njeambosay B, Esemu L, Kouambeng C, et al. Relationship between malaria, anaemia, nutritional and socio-economic status amongst under-ten children, in the North Region of Cameroon: A cross-sectional assessment. Plos one. 2019; 14(6):e0218442. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0218442 PMID: 31226133
- Snow R, Byass P, Shenton F, Greenwood B. The relationship between anthropometric measurements and measurements of iron status and susceptibility to malaria in Gambian children. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 1991; 85(5):584–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0035-9203(91)90351-x PMID: 1780980</u>
- 95. Deen J, Walraven G, Von Seidlein L. Increased risk for malaria in chronically malnourished children under 5 years of age in rural Gambia. Journal of tropical pediatrics. 2002; 48(2):78–83. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1093/tropej/48.2.78 PMID: 12022433</u>
- 96. Ferreira EdA, Alexandre MA, Salinas JL, de Siqueira AM, Benzecry SG, de Lacerda MV, et al. Association between anthropometry-based nutritional status and malaria: a systematic review of

observational studies. Malaria Journal. 2015; 14(1):1–23. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-015-0870-5 PMID: 26377094

- 97. Ehrhardt S, Burchard GD, Mantel C, Cramer JP, Kaiser S, Kubo M, et al. Malaria, anemia, and malnutrition in African children—defining intervention priorities. The Journal of infectious diseases. 2006; 194(1):108–14. https://doi.org/10.1086/504688 PMID: 16741889
- Schaible UE, Kaufmann SHE. Malnutrition and infection: complex mechanisms and global impacts. PLoS medicine. 2007; 4(5):e115. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0040115 PMID: 17472433
- 99. Wilson AL, Bradley J, Kandeh B, Salami K, D'Alessandro U, Pinder M, et al. Is chronic malnutrition associated with an increase in malaria incidence? A cohort study in children aged under 5 years in rural Gambia. Parasites & vectors. 2018; 11(1):1–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-3026-y</u> PMID: 30081945
- Onukogu S, Ibrahim J, Ogwuche R, Jaiyeola T, Adiaha M. Role of nutrition in the management and control of malaria infection: a review. World Scientific News. 2018; 107:58–71.