

# G OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Alphonce B, Meda J, Nyundo A (2024) Incidence and predictors of post-stroke cognitive impairment among patients admitted with first stroke at tertiary hospitals in Dodoma, Tanzania: A prospective cohort study. PLoS ONE 19(4): e0287952. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0287952

Editor: Kamal Sharma, UN Mehta Institute of Cardiology and Research Center, INDIA

Received: June 18, 2023

Accepted: February 1, 2024

Published: April 10, 2024

**Copyright:** © 2024 Alphonce et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

Incidence and predictors of post-stroke cognitive impairment among patients admitted with first stroke at tertiary hospitals in Dodoma, Tanzania: A prospective cohort study

Baraka Alphonce<sup>1,2</sup>, John Meda<sup>1,3</sup>, Azan Nyundo<sup>2,4</sup>\*

 Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine & Dentistry, The University Dodoma, Dodoma, Tanzania, 2 Department of Internal Medicine, The Benjamin Mkapa Hospital, Dodoma, Tanzania,
 Department of Cardiology, The Benjamin Mkapa Hospital, Dodoma, Tanzania, 4 Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, School of Medicine, The University Dodoma, Dodoma, Tanzania

\* azannaj@gmail.com, azan.nyundo@udom.ac.tz

# Abstract

# Introduction

Stroke survivors develop cognitive impairment, which significantly impacts their quality of life, their families, and the community as a whole but not given attention. This study aims to determine the incidence and predictors of post-stroke cognitive impairment (PSCI) among adult stroke patients admitted to a tertiary hospital in Dodoma, Tanzania.

# Methodology

A prospective cohort study was conducted at tertiary hospitals in the Dodoma region, central Tanzania. A sample size of 158 participants with the first stroke confirmed by CT/MRI brain aged  $\geq$  18 years met the criteria. At baseline, social-demographic, cardiovascular risks and stroke characteristics were acquired, and then at 30 days, participants were evaluated for cognitive functioning using Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA). Key confounders for cognitive impairment, such as depression and apathy, were evaluated using the Personal Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) and Apathy Evaluation Scale (AES), respectively. Descriptive statistics were used to summarise data; continuous data were reported as Mean (SD) or Median (IQR), and categorical data were summarised using proportions and frequencies. Univariate and multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to determine predictors of PSCI.

# Results

The median age of the 158 participants was 58.7 years; 57.6% of them were female, and 80.4% of them met the required criteria for post-stroke cognitive impairment. After multivariable logistic regression, left hemisphere stroke (AOR: 5.798, CI: 1.030–32.623, p = 0.046), a unit cm<sup>3</sup> increase in infarct volume (AOR: 1.064, 95% CI: 1.018–1.113, p = 0.007), and

apathy symptoms (AOR: 12.259, CI: 1.112–89.173, p = 0.041) had a significant association with PSCI.

#### Conclusion

The study revealed a significant prevalence of PSCI; early intervention targeting stroke survivors at risk may improve their outcomes. Future research in the field will serve to dictate policies and initiatives.

#### Introduction

Stroke is the leading cause of death and disability, affecting around 67 million people globally each year, with roughly 5,700,000 dying and 5,000,000 rendered incapacitated [1,2]. Stroke survivors endure cognitive impairment, which has a substantial impact on the quality of life of the sufferer, the family, and the community as a whole. PSCI is associated with reduced quality of life, increased likelihood of depressive symptoms, high level of dependence, increased health care cost, lost wages, and social isolation [3–6].

Globally, PSCI prevalence ranges from 35 to 92% [7–9]. In the few studies undertaken in Sub-Saharan Africa, 40% and 34% of Nigerian and Ghanaian stroke survivors, respectively, were diagnosed with PSCI at three and two years [10,11]. The disparity in prevalence may be rooted in variances in the diagnostic tools used to evaluate PSCI across studies, the timing of cognitive impairment screening following a stroke, ethnicity, and cultural backgrounds [12].

Ageing, female gender, fewer years of formal education, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidaemia, atrial fibrillation, current alcohol and tobacco use, type of stroke, structures involved in stroke, stroke laterality, the size of the infarct or hematoma, and neuropsychiatric manifestations at baseline have all been linked in previous studies as independent risk factors for PSCI at a different stage of stroke [13–17]. The study aimed to assess the incidence and predictors of PSCI in early phase following a first episode of stroke among patients admitted at tertiary hospitals in Dodoma, Tanzania.

#### Material and methods

#### Study design and setting

This prospective cohort study was carried out at Dodoma Referral Regional Hospital and Benjamin Mkapa Hospital, both of which serve 20–30 stroke patients per month. Both are recognised teaching hospitals for the University of Dodoma for medical training at the undergraduate and residency levels. With its well-built and state-of-the-art infrastructure, the Benjamin Mkapa Hospital is equipped with neuroimaging services, such as Computed Tomography scans and Magnetic Resonance Imaging.

#### Sample size and sampling procedure

The sample size was determined using a method for proportion in a prospective cohort study [18]. The estimated sample size was 130, at the very least. However, with a 30% attrition rate in our setting, 170 participants were required to meet the expected sample size. From June 2021 to March 2022, 158 participants who were willing to participate and met the inclusion criteria were recruited for the nine-month study [19].

#### Inclusion criteria/exclusion criteria

Patients who were 18 years of age or older, who provided informed consent or proxy consent from a close relative if the patient is incapable, presented with their first stroke within 14 days, and whose stroke was verified by a CT scan or MRI of the brain, were included in the study. Patients with severe motor impairment on their dominant side and those with intracerebral haemorrhage from a tumour or trauma were excluded, as were those with severe sensory impairment (blindness and deafness), Transient Ischemic Attack, subarachnoid haemorrhage, and prior neurological conditions including epilepsy.

#### **Outcome variable**

Post-stroke cognitive impairment was defined as a MoCA score of less than 23 out of 30 assessed at 30-days post admission. Compared to the widely used 26/30 cut-off, a 23/30 cut-off provides greater diagnostic accuracy [20]. A group with lesser levels of education has proven to benefit from the MoCA tool. The tool examines eight major cognitive domains: visuospatial-executive (trail making B task, 3-D cube copy and clock drawing); naming (unfamiliar animals); language (sentence repetition and phonemic fluency task); short-term memory (delayed recall of words); abstraction (verbal abstraction); attention and calculation (digits forward and backwards, target detection using tapping, serial 7s subtraction) and orientation (time place and people) [21].

#### Independent variables

Through a questionnaire that was structured based on existing evidence, variables such as age, gender, level of education, history of current /less than one year of alcohol use, cigarette smoking, and diabetes were acquired [22]. Other confounding clinical variables, such as post-stroke depression and apathy, were also assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ) and Apathy Evaluation Scale (AES), respectively.

Blood pressure (BP) readings were recorded according to the 2018 AHA/ACC Hypertension guideline for standard measurement of BP [23]. Hypertension was defined as BP  $\geq$ 140/90 mmHg or a patient on antihypertensive medications [24]. Radial pulse and heart rate were also recorded; a deficit of ten or more was considered to indicate atrial fibrillation [25].

A blood sample was analysed for Lipid profiles; according to the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP), dyslipidaemia will be defined as HDL-Cholesterol <40 mg/dl or Total Cholesterol  $\geq$ 200 mg/dl, or LDL-Cholesterol  $\geq$ 130 mg/dl or triglyceride levels  $\geq$ 130mg/dl [26]. Hyperglycaemia was defined according to the American Diabetes Association as random blood sugar >11.1 mmol/L, fasting blood sugar > 7.0 mmol/L or glycated haemoglobin $\geq$  6.5% [27].

A 12-lead ECG was done on each participant under the supervision of a consultant cardiologist. Atrial fibrillation was diagnosed as the absence of P waves and irregular-irregular RR interval [28]. Further screening for atrial fibrillation using a 24-hour ECG Holter was done in a patient with ischemic stroke whose 12-lead ECG tracing was normal [29].

All patients had brain imaging with either a Computed Tomography scan (SIEMENS-SO-MATOM Definition Flash) or Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MAGNETUM SPECTRA A TIM +Dot System 3T). Strokes were characterised according to type, hemisphere affected, cortical or subcortical, and volume of infarct/hematoma, measured using the ellipsoid method [30,31].

The Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ)– 9, with a total score of 27, was used to screen stroke survivors for post-stroke depression; the score was classified as minimal depression (1-4), mild depression (5-9), moderate depression (10-14), moderately severe depression (15-19), and severe depression (20-27). Apathy was evaluated using the apathy evaluation

scale; a score > 38 was suggestive of apathy. A cut-off> 38 has sensitivity of 80% and specificity of 100% [32,33].

#### Data analysis

For statistical analysis, data were entered on a Microsoft Excel sheet and then converted to IBM SPSS PC version 26. Continuous variables were reported as mean and standard deviation (SD) or Median and interquartile ranges; frequencies and percentages were used for categorical variables. Chi square and Mann-Whitney U test were used to determine the difference in Social-Demographic, cardiovascular risk factors, stroke characteristics, and neuropsychiatric manifestations, which are depression and apathy by post-stroke cognitive outcomes. The predictors were evaluated by binary logistic regression, and only variables that met at least a 20% (p-value $\leq 0.2$ ) statistical significance [34] were selected for multivariable Logistic regression analysis to determine independent predictors for post-stroke cognitive impairment. The adjusted odds ratio (aOR) and the 95% confidence interval (CI) were determined. Statistical significance was determined by a two-sided  $p \leq 0.05$ .

#### Ethical issues

After receiving ethical approval from the Directorate of Research and Publications (reference number MA.84/261/02), the Vice Chancellor's office at the University of Dodoma granted authorisation for the study to be carried out. Later, the administrative divisions of Benjamin Mkapa and Dodoma Regional Referral Hospitals gave their respective approvals for data collection under the references AB.150/293/01/196 and EB.21/267/01/123. It was made clear to participants that their participation was completely optional and that they might withdraw at any time. Participants' identities were changed to identification numbers in order to maintain privacy and confidentiality; however, their choice to participate had no bearing on the standard of care they received. Depressive symptoms in stroke survivors led to a referral to a psychiatrist for further evaluation and therapy.

### Results

Out of 255 stroke patients were evaluated for eligibility (Fig 1), 158 participants met the criteria and were evaluated for the Post-Stroke Cognitive Impairment at 30 days of follow-up, and 127 (80.4%) met the criteria for PSCI.

#### Social demographic characteristics

The mean age of the 158 study participants was  $58.7\pm 13.4$  years, and 57.6% of them were female. The majority (66.5%) were referred from a primary healthcare facility, 50% lived in urban areas, and nearly half (49.4%) had completed seven or fewer years of formal education. Only older age (p > 0.001) and seven or fewer years of formal education (p 0.001) demonstrated significant differences with post-stroke cognitive outcomes (Tables 1 and 2).

#### Clinical characteristics of participants

Thirty-one participants (19.6%) had atrial fibrillation, 36 (22.6%) were diabetic, 106 (67.1%) had dyslipidaemia, and 117 (94.1%) of the patients had hypertension. There was no significant difference in post-stroke cognitive outcomes by other vascular risk factors; however, a higher proportion (20.5%) of patients with a history of alcohol use were substantially overrepresented among stroke survivors with post-stroke cognitive impairment (p = 0.022) (Tables 1 and 2).





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287952.g001

The majority of strokes (69.3%) were ischemic, and the median infarct and hematoma volumes were 40 and 20.7 IQR (87 and 28), respectively. Only the infarct volume, cortical strokes, and left-sided strokes exhibited significantly greater proportions among those who had poststroke cognitive impairment (p 0.001, p = 0.003, and p 0.001, respectively) (Tables 1 and 2).

The majority of individuals (80.4%) fit the criteria for mild to moderate depression, with a median PHQ-9 score of 8, and IQR of (10), whereas apathy was found in 36.1% of participants, with a median EAS score of 34, IQR (17). Only apathy was substantially overrepresented among post-stroke cognitive impairment subjects (p 0.001) (Tables 1 and 2).

#### Predictors of post-stroke cognitive impairment

Under unadjusted logistic regression, increasing age, less than eight years of formal education, hypertension, a history of current alcohol use, increasing infarct volume, left-sided stroke, cortical stroke, and apathy were all significantly associated with post-stroke cognitive impairment (Table 3). However, under adjusted logistic regression, only increasing infarct volume (AOR: 1.064, 95% CI: 1.018–1.113, p = 0.007), left-sided stroke (AOR: 5.798, CI: 1.030–32.623, p = 0.046), and apathy (AOR: 12.259, CI: 1.112–89.173, p = 0.041) remained significantly associated with cognitive impairment at 5% ( $p \le 0.05$ ) level of significance while increasing age (p = 0.072) had 10% level of significance (Table 2).

### Discussion

The main objective of this study was to determine the predictors of early cognitive impairment among patients with first-ever stroke admitted at tertiary hospitals in Dodoma. Moreover, we also determined the prevalence of post-stroke cognitive impairment. We revealed a high prevalence of PSCI at 30 days (80.4%), which was independently associated with stroke laterality, increasing infarct volume and apathy.

While the prevalence of PSCI varies around the globe, our findings allude to the high incidence and prevalence of PSCI in the early stages after a stroke episode, observed in past studies

Table 1. Demographic and clinical	characteristics of patients with diffe	erent cognitive outcomes (N = 158).
-----------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------

VariableFrequency(%)Frequency(%)Frequency(%)PrevalueSocial Demographic characteristiesSocial Demographic characteristies </th <th></th> <th>All (N = 158)</th> <th>No PSCI (N = 31)</th> <th>PSCI (N = 127)</th> <th rowspan="2">P-value</th>		All (N = 158)	No PSCI (N = 31)	PSCI (N = 127)	P-value
Social DescentionImageImageImageAge (Man SD)S60 + 12.460 + 12.90Age (Man SD)37 (23 A)10 (42.3)27 (21.3)0.00150-00S5 (33.5)17 (54.8)36 (28.3)1See68 (43.1)4 (12.9)64 (50.4)1See67 (42.4)9 (29)58 (45.7)0.091Branke67 (42.4)9 (29)69 (55.5)22 (7).169 (53.6)Reiden10 (57.6)22 (7).169 (53.6)0.071Branke79 (50)21 (15.5)69 (65.5)0.071Brank79 (50)11 (15.5)69 (65.5)0.071Brank79 (50)13 (14.9)40 (15.2)0.2020Self53 (33.5)13 (14.9)40 (55.6)0.210Self53 (35.7)13 (14.9)40 (55.6)0.210Self53 (35.7)13 (14.9)40 (51.5)0.2020Self53 (35.7)13 (14.9)40 (15.2)0.2020Self73 (27.6)13 (14.9)40 (15.2)0.2020Self73 (28.6)13 (14.9)14 (12.9)74 (58.3)0.401Self10 (16.5)14 (12.9)14 (12.9)0.2100.2020Self10 (71.1)13 (12.9)14 (12.9)0.2100.210Decore It Abold intake10 (16.1)14 (16.9)14 (16.9)0.210Dibabes10 (16.1)14 (16.9)14 (12.9)0.21014 (11.9)Dibabes10 (16.1)14 (16.9)14 (16.9)	Variables	Frequency (%)	Frequency (%)	Frequency (%)	
Age (Ann ± SD)587 ± 13.450.5 ± 12.560 ± 12.9<50	Social Demographic characteristics				
< 50 $37$ (3.3) $10$ (5.3) $27$ (21.3) $0.001$ $50-60$ $33$ (33.5) $17$ (54.8) $36$ (28.3) $ >50 66 (43.1)         41 (2.9)         64 (50.4)                    Male         67 (42.4)         9 (29)         55 (65.7)         0.093           Female         91 (57.6)         22 (71)         69 (54.3)                    Residence  <$	Age (Mean ± SD)	58.7 ± 13.4	50.5 ± 12.5 60 ± 12.9		
9-00 $53 (33.5)$ $17 (54.8)$ $36 (28.3)$ >60 $66 (43.1)$ $4 (12.9)$ $64 (50.4)$ Male $67 (42.4)$ $9 (29)$ $58 (45.7)$ $0.093$ Female $91 (57.6)$ $22 (71)$ $60 (54.3)$ $0.071$ Residence $0.071$ $60 (54.3)$ $0.071$ Ward $79 (50)$ $11 (35.5)$ $68 (53.5)$ $0.071$ Rard $79 (50)$ $20 (64.5)$ $59 (46.5)$ $0.071$ Referral status $0.00 (64.5)$ $59 (46.5)$ $0.071$ Self $53 (33.5)$ $13 (41.9)$ $40 (15.5)$ $0.270$ Referred $105 (66.5)$ $118 (58.1)$ $87 (68.5)$ $0.071$ Years of formal education $   -$ Carrent Cigaret smoking $33 (20.9)$ $5 (16.1)$ $28 (20.5)$ $0.022$ Carrent Cigaret smoking $33 (20.9)$ $5 (16.1)$ $28 (20.5)$ $0.022$ Diabetes $36 (22.8)$ $7 (22.6)$ $29 (22.8)$	<50	37 (23 .4)	10 (32.3) 27 (21.3)		0.001
>60 $68$ (43.1)         4 (12.9) $64$ (50.4)           Set	50-60	53 (33.5)	17 (54.8)	36 (28.3)	
Ser         Image         Image         Image         Image         Image         Image           Male         67 (42.4)         9 (27)         69 (54.3)         0.093           Residence         79 (50)         11 (35.5)         68 (53.5)         0.071           Residence         79 (50)         11 (35.5)         59 (45.5)         59 (45.5)           Referral status         79 (50)         20 (64.5)         59 (45.5)         0.270           Referred         105 (66.5)         118 (58.1)         87 (68.7)         0.270           Keferred         105 (66.5)         118 (58.1)         87 (68.7)         0.270           Vars of formal education	>60	68 (43.1)	4 (12.9)	64 (50.4)	
Male         67 (42.4)         9 (29)         58 (45.7)         0.093           Female         91 (57.6)         22 (71) $69$ (54.3) $$	Sex				
Female         91 (57,6)         22 (71)         69 (54,3)           Residence	Male	67 (42.4)	9 (29)	58 (45.7)	0.093
Residence         Image         Image <thimage< th="">         Image         Image</thimage<>	Female	91 (57.6)	22 (71)	69 (54.3)	
Urban         79 (50)         11 (35.5)         68 (53.5)         0.071           Rural         79 (50)         20 (64.5)         59 (46.5)           Self         53 (33.5)         13 (41.9)         40 (31.5)         0.270           Referred         105 (66.5)         18 (58.1)         87 (68.5)         1           Years of formal education         -         -         -         -           ≥ 3 years         78 (49.4)         4 (12.9)         74 (58.3)         < 0.001	Residence				
Rural         79 (50)         20 (64.5)         59 (46.5)           Referred         53 (33.5)         13 (41.9)         40 (31.5)         0.270           Referred         105 (66.5)         18 (8.8.1)         87 (68.5)         12           Years of formal education	Urban	79 (50)	11 (35.5)	68 (53.5)	0.071
Referral status         Image: method of the status           Referred         105 (66.5)         13 (4.19)         40 (31.5)         0.270           Years of formal education         Image: method of the status         S7 (86.5)         Image: method of the status           ≤ 7 years         78 (49.4)         4 (12.9)         74 (58.3)         < 0.001	Rural	79 (50)	20 (64.5)	59 (46.5)	
Self         53 (33.5)         13 (41.9)         40 (31.5)         0.270           Referred         105 (66.5)         18 (51.1)         87 (68.5)	Referral status				
Referred         105 (66.5)         18 (58.1)         87 (68.5)           Years of formal education $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\leq$ 7 years         78 (49.4)         4 (12.9)         74 (58.3) $<$ 0.001 $\geq$ 8 years         80 (50.6)         27 (87.1)         53 (41.7) $\sim$ Vascular risk factors $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ Current Cigarette smoking         33 (20.9)         5 (16.1)         28 (22)         0.467           Current Alcohol intake         27 (17.1)         1 (3.2)         26 (20.5)         0.022           Hypertension         117 (94.1)         19 (61.3)         98 (77.2)         0.071           Diabetes         36 (22.8)         7 (22.6)         29 (22.8)         0.976           Atrial fibrillation         31 (19.6)         4 (12.9)         27 (1.3)         0.294           Dyshpidaemia         106 (67.1)         20 (64.5)         86 (67.7)         0.734           Stroke type $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ Ischemic         109 (69.3)         21 (67.7)         88 (69.3)         0.867           Haemorthagic         49 (30.7)         10 (32.3)         78 (61.4)	Self	53 (33.5)	13 (41.9)	40 (31.5)	0.270
Years of formal education $\leq 7$ years         78 (49.4)         4 (12.9)         74 (58.3)         <0.001           ≥ 8 years         80 (50.6)         27 (87.1)         53 (41.7)            Vascular risk factors	Referred	105 (66.5)	18 (58.1)	87 (68.5)	
≤ 7 years         78 (49.4)         4 (12.9)         74 (58.3)         < 0.001           ≥ 8 years         80 (50.6)         27 (87.1)         53 (41.7)            Vascular risk factors               Current Cigarette smoking         33 (20.9)         5 (16.1)         28 (22)         0.467           Current Alcohol intake         27 (17.1)         1 (3.2)         26 (20.5)         0.022           Hypertension         117 (94.1)         19 (61.3)         98 (77.2)         0.071           Diabetes         36 (22.8)         7 (22.6)         29 (22.8)         0.976           Atrial fibrillation         31 (19.6)         4 (12.9)         27 (21.3)         0.294           Dyslipidaemia         106 (67.1)         20 (64.5)         86 (67.7)         0.734           Stroke taracteristics               Stroke type                Ischemic         109 (69.3)         21 (67.7)         88 (69.3)         0.867           Stroke type                Carcital         88 (55.7)         10 (32.3)         78 (61.4)	Years of formal education				
$\geq$ 8 years         80 (50.6)         27 (87.1)         53 (41.7)           Vacular risk factors	$\leq$ 7 years	78 (49.4)	4 (12.9)	74 (58.3)	< 0.001
Vascular risk factors         Image: Current Cigarette smoking         33 (20.9)         5 (16.1)         28 (22)         0.467           Current Cigarette smoking         27 (17.1)         1 (3.2)         26 (20.5)         0.022           Hypertension         117 (94.1)         19 (61.3)         98 (77.2)         0.071           Diabetes         36 (22.8)         7 (22.6)         29 (22.8)         0.976           Atrial fibrillation         31 (19.6)         4 (12.9)         27 (21.3)         0.294           Dyslipidaemia         106 (67.1)         20 (64.5)         86 (67.7)         0.734           Stroke characteristics	$\geq$ 8 years	80 (50.6)	27 (87.1) 53 (41.7)		
Current Cigarette smoking $33 (20.9)$ $5 (16.1)$ $28 (22)$ $0.467$ Current Alcohol intake $27 (17.1)$ $1 (3.2)$ $26 (20.5)$ $0.022$ Hypertension $117 (94.1)$ $19 (61.3)$ $98 (77.2)$ $0.071$ Diabetes $36 (22.8)$ $7 (22.6)$ $29 (22.8)$ $0.976$ Atrial fibrillation $31 (19.6)$ $4 (12.9)$ $27 (21.3)$ $0.294$ Dyslipidaemia $106 (67.1)$ $20 (64.5)$ $86 (67.7)$ $0.734$ Stroke characteristics $109 (69.3)$ $21 (67.7)$ $88 (69.3)$ $0.867$ Ischemic $109 (69.3)$ $21 (67.7)$ $88 (69.3)$ $0.867$ Haemorrhagic $49 (30.7)$ $10 (32.3)$ $39 (30.7)$ $20 (44.3)$ $21 (67.7)$ $49 (38.6)$ Stroke taracteristics $10 (32.3)$ $78 (61.4)$ $0.003$ Subortical $70 (44.3)$ $21 (67.7)$ $49 (38.6)$ $< 0.001$ Kinke taterality $10 (32.3)$ $87 (68.5)$ $< 0.001$	Vascular risk factors				
Current Alcohol intake $27(17.1)$ $1(3.2)$ $26(20.5)$ $0.022$ Hypertension $117(94.1)$ $19(61.3)$ $98(77.2)$ $0.071$ Diabetes $36(22.8)$ $7(22.6)$ $29(22.8)$ $0.976$ Atrial fibrillation $31(19.6)$ $4(12.9)$ $27(21.3)$ $0.294$ Dyslipidaemia $106(67.1)$ $20(64.5)$ $86(67.7)$ $0.734$ Stroke tracteristics $2167.7)$ $88(69.3)$ $0.294$ Ischemic $109(69.3)$ $21(67.7)$ $88(69.3)$ $0.867$ Haemorrhagic $49(30.7)$ $10(32.3)$ $39(30.7)$ $0.003$ Structures involved $0.003$ $21(67.7)$ $49(38.6)$ $0.003$ Stroke laterality $0.003$ $70(44.3)$ $21(67.7)$ $49(38.6)$ $0.001$ Ieff $97(61.4)$ $10(32.3)$ $87(68.5)$ $< 0.001$ Right/brain stem, cerebellum $61(38.6)$ $21(67.7)$ $40(31.5)$ $0.217$ Posterior $16(10.1)$ $51(6.1)$ $11(8.7)$ <td>Current Cigarette smoking</td> <td>33 (20.9)</td> <td>5 (16.1)</td> <td>28 (22)</td> <td>0.467</td>	Current Cigarette smoking	33 (20.9)	5 (16.1)	28 (22)	0.467
Hypertension         117 (94.1)         19 (61.3)         98 (77.2)         0.071           Diabetes         36 (22.8)         7 (22.6)         29 (22.8)         0.976           Atrial fibrillation         31 (19.6)         4 (12.9)         27 (21.3)         0.294           Dyslipidaemia         106 (67.1)         20 (64.5)         86 (67.7)         0.734           Stroke characteristics	Current Alcohol intake	27 (17.1)	1 (3.2)	26 (20.5)	0.022
Diabetes         36 (22.8)         7 (22.6)         29 (22.8)         0.976           Atrial fibrillation         31 (19.6)         4 (12.9)         27 (21.3)         0.294           Dyslipidaemia         106 (67.1)         20 (64.5)         86 (67.7)         0.734           Stroke characteristics	Hypertension	117 (94.1)	19 (61.3)	98 (77.2)	0.071
Atrial fibrillation         31 (19.6)         4 (12.9)         27 (21.3)         0.294           Dyslipidaemia         106 (67.1)         20 (64.5)         86 (67.7)         0.734           Stroke characteristics               Stroke type                Ischemic         109 (69.3)         21 (67.7)         88 (69.3)         0.867           Haemorrhagic         49(30.7)         10 (32.3)         39 (30.7)            Structures involved               Cortical         88 (55.7)         10 (32.3)         39 (30.7)            Stroke tareality                Cortical         70 (44.3)         21 (67.7)         49 (38.6)              Stroke tareality	Diabetes	36 (22.8)	7 (22.6)	29 (22.8)	0.976
Dyslipidaemia         106 (67.1)         20 (64.5)         86 (67.7)         0.734           Stroke characteristics               Stroke type               Ischemic         109 (69.3)         21 (67.7)         88 (69.3)         0.867           Haemorrhagic         49(30.7)         10 (32.3)         39 (30.7)            Structures involved                 Cortical         88 (55.7)         10 (32.3)         78 (61.4)         0.003            Subcortical         70 (44.3)         21 (67.7)         49 (38.6)  <	Atrial fibrillation	31 (19.6)	4 (12.9) 27 (21.3)		0.294
Stroke characteristics         Image: Control of the symbol of the s	Dyslipidaemia	106 (67.1)	20 (64.5)	86 (67.7)	0.734
Stroke type         Image: Stroke	Stroke characteristics				
Ischemic         109 (69.3)         21 (67.7)         88 (69.3)         0.867           Haemorrhagic         49(30.7)         10 (32.3)         39 (30.7)            Structures involved                Cortical         88 (55.7)         10 (32.3)         78 (61.4)         0.003            Subcortical         70 (44.3)         21 (67.7)         49 (38.6)              Subcortical         70 (44.3)         21 (67.7)         49 (38.6) <td>Stroke type</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Stroke type				
Haemorrhagic         49(30.7)         10 (32.3)         39 (30.7)           Structures involved               Cortical         88 (55.7)         10 (32.3)         78 (61.4)         0.003           Subcortical         70 (44.3)         21 (67.7)         49 (38.6)            Stroke laterality                Left         97 (61.4)         10 (32.3)         87 (68.5)         < 0.001	Ischemic	109 (69.3)	21 (67.7) 88 (69.3)		0.867
Structures involved         Image: Cortical structures involved         Structures involved         Image: Cortical structures involved	Haemorrhagic	49(30.7)	10 (32.3) 39 (30.7)		
Cortical         88 (55.7)         10 (32.3)         78 (61.4)         0.003           Subcortical         70 (44.3)         21 (67.7)         49 (38.6)	Structures involved				
Subcortical         70 (44.3)         21 (67.7)         49 (38.6)           Stroke laterality	Cortical	88 (55.7)	10 (32.3)	78 (61.4)	0.003
Stroke laterality         Image: Constraint of the system of the sys	Subcortical	70 (44.3)	21 (67.7)	49 (38.6)	
Left         97 (61.4)         10 (32.3)         87 (68.5)         < 0.001           Right/brain stem, cerebellum         61 (38.6)         21 (67.7)         40 (31.5)            Stroke vascular territory                Posterior         16 (10.1)         5 (16.1)         11 (8.7)         0.217           Anterior         142 (89.9)         26 (83.9)         116 (91.3)            Psychiatric factors               Apathy         57 (36.1)         3 (9.7)         54 (42.5)         0.001           Depression                Minimal-moderate         127 (80.4)         27 (87.1)         100 (78.7)         0.294           Severe         31 (19.6)         4 (12.9)         27 (21.3)	Stroke laterality				
Right/brain stem, cerebellum         61 (38.6)         21 (67.7)         40 (31.5)           Stroke vascular territory <t< td=""><td>Left</td><td>97 (61.4)</td><td>10 (32.3)</td><td>87 (68.5)</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td></t<>	Left	97 (61.4)	10 (32.3)	87 (68.5)	< 0.001
Stroke vascular territory         Image: Constraint of the second se	Right/brain stem, cerebellum	61 (38.6)	21 (67.7)	40 (31.5)	
Posterior         16 (10.1)         5 (16.1)         11 (8.7)         0.217           Anterior         142 (89.9)         26 (83.9)         116 (91.3)            Psychiatric factors                Apathy         57 (36.1)         3 (9.7)         54 (42.5)         0.001           Depression               Minimal-moderate         127 (80.4)         27 (87.1)         100 (78.7)         0.294           Severe         31 (19.6)         4 (12.9)         27 (21.3)	Stroke vascular territory				
Anterior         142 (89.9)         26 (83.9)         116 (91.3)           Psychiatric factors              Apathy         57 (36.1)         3 (9.7)         54 (42.5)         0.001           Depression                Minimal-moderate         127 (80.4)         27 (87.1)         100 (78.7)         0.294           Severe         31 (19.6)         4 (12.9)         27 (21.3)	Posterior	16 (10.1)	5 (16.1)	11 (8.7)	0.217
Psychiatric factors         Image: Constraint of actions         Image: C	Anterior	142 (89.9)	26 (83.9) 116 (91.3)		
Apathy         57 (36.1)         3 (9.7)         54 (42.5)         0.001           Depression                0.001            Minimal-moderate         127 (80.4)         27 (87.1)         100 (78.7)         0.294	Psychiatric factors				
Depression         127 (80.4)         27 (87.1)         100 (78.7)         0.294           Severe         31 (19.6)         4 (12.9)         27 (21.3)	Apathy	57 (36.1)	3 (9.7)	54 (42.5)	0.001
Minimal-moderate         127 (80.4)         27 (87.1)         100 (78.7)         0.294           Severe         31 (19.6)         4 (12.9)         27 (21.3)	Depression				
Severe 31 (19.6) 4 (12.9) 27 (21.3)	 Minimal-moderate	127 (80.4)	27 (87.1)	100 (78.7)	0.294
	Severe	31 (19.6)	4 (12.9)	27 (21.3)	

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287952.t001

[34]. The PSCI rates generally range from 20–70% depending on the definition, phases of the stroke, severity of the stroke at admission, population heterogeneity, and pre-morbid cognitive functioning [7–9]. Similarly, high PSCI rates of 66.4–75.2% are reported when cognitive

	All (N = 158)	No PSCI (N = 31)	PSCI (N = 127)	
Variable	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	P-value
Stroke characteristics				
NIHSS scale	12 (7)	12 (8)	12(7)	0.813
Infarct volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	40 (87)	15 (25)	23 (35)	< 0.001
Hematoma volume (cm <sup>3</sup> )	20.7 (28)	15 (25)	23 (35)	0.248

Table 2. Clinical characteristics of patients with different cognitive outcomes (N = 158).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287952.t002

assessment is done at a comparable time frame of two to eight weeks after the stroke [7,9,35]. However, a lower prevalence of 57 and 67% was observed in the acute phase among individuals without pre-morbid cognitive impairment [36]. In general, using screening tools for evaluation of cognitive functioning shows a higher prevalence of PSCI, as observed in this study; on the contrary, when a comprehensive neuropsychological battery is used, prevalence as low as 34% and 39% were reported in Ghana and Nigeria, respectively [10,11]. Higher rates of PSCI could further be explained by the significant proportion of our study participants having less than seven years of formal education and residing in rural areas; these two factors are shown to be independent predictors of poor performance on cognitive functioning in the previous studies and also supported by our findings [10].

The association between post-stroke cognitive impairment and left hemisphere stroke observed in this study is the replication of previous findings [34,37]. Since language is primarily a left hemispheric cognitive domain for more than 90% of individuals globally [38], damage to the left hemisphere due to stroke could significantly impact the language domain and overall cognitive performance [39].

The index study showed that every (cm<sup>3</sup>) unit increase in infarct volume predicted PSCI; the link between a larger infarct volume and PSCI was initially described by Tomlison et al., who demonstrated that infarct volume closer to 100 cm3 considerably increased the likelihood

	Unadjusted results		Adjusted results	
Variable	OR (95% CI)	P-value	AOR (95% CI)	P-value
Age	1.064 (1.028–1.101)	< 0.001	1.075 (0.993–1.163)	0.072
Male gender	2.055 (0.878-4.810)	0.097	0.773 (0.170-3.525)	0.740
< 8 Years of formal education	9.425 (3.113-28.532)	< 0.001	2.802 (0.510-15.399)	0.236
Cigarette smoking	1.471 (0.517-4.182)	0.469		
Alcohol use	4.636(0.593-36.260)	0.144	6.858 (0.470-72.067)	0.159
Hypertension	2.134 (0.928-4.910)	0.074	0.936 (0.162–5.395)	0.941
Diabetes	1.015 (0.397-2.593)	0.976		
Dyslipidaemia	1.154 (0.506-2.631)	0.734		
Atrial fibrillation	1.822 (0.587-5.658)	0.299		
NIHSS	1.014 (0.932-1.102)	0.753		
Stroke type, Ischemic	1.074 (0.463-2.494)	0.867		
Infarct volume	1.048 (1.019–1.078)	0.001	1.064 (1.018–1.113)	0.007
Hematoma volume	1.026 (0.979–1.074)	0.288		
Stroke laterality, Left	4.002 (1.764-9.081)	0.001	5.798 (1.030-32.623)	0.046
Structures involved, Cortical	3.343 (1.453–7.693)	0.005	1.057 (0.131-8.540)	0.959
Apathy	6.904 (1.995–23.895)	0.002	12.259 (1.112-89.173)	0.041
Depression	1.558 (0.492-4.931)	0.451		

Table 3. Logistic regression analysis of predictors of cognitive Impairment at 1 month.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287952.t003

of PSCI [40]. Kumral et al. demonstrated that infarct volume over 90 cm<sup>3</sup> independently predicted PSCI [41]. The correlation between the infarct volume and PSCI has been shown in earlier studies; an infarct greater than 17 cm3 may be adequate to predict PSCI independently [34]. However, in the multitude of methodological approaches to measuring infarct volumes in the aforementioned studies, predicting PSCI based solely on infarct volume parameters needs more evidence to improve the reliability [42].

Several neuropsychiatric phenomena, including apathy, may share a common pathway to PSCI; based on the strong correlation, apathy may be considered an inherent sign of cognitive impairment rather than a distinct neuropsychiatric condition [14,43]. The same underlying brain lesion may drive apathy and cognitive impairment, specifically, the frontal lobes and subcortical structures, where the corresponding lesions may lead to the loss of cognitive function that restricts a person's ability to organise goal-directed behaviour [44].

Given the high risk and debilitating complications with profound disabilities among stroke survivors, early stratification of those at risk for cognitive impairment is highly recommended [45–47]. Identifying patients who could benefit from early cognitive assessment is crucial for better outcomes through somatic and psychological interventions [48].

#### Limitation of the study

This prospective cohort study design had a high attrition rate due to loss to follow-up and death; this needed extensive recruitment of patients to mitigate the effect. Since the pre-morbid cognitive assessment was not assessed, we could not clearly understand the status of pre-stroke cognitive functions; hence, its influence on PSCI remains speculative. Therefore, a survey such as an Informant Questionnaire for Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE) [49] may be included in research designs to collect baseline data for pre-stroke cognitive performance.

The exclusion of patients with TIA may be confounding since TIA may raise the risk of cognitive impairment in at least one cognitive domain by approximately 30% [50], underscoring the benefits of screening cognitive changes in minor cerebrovascular [51]. Similarly, using MoCA rather than the gold standard test (comprehensive neuropsychological battery) limited the diagnostic accuracy, grading the severity of cognitive impairments, determining functional limitations, and planning for ideal treatment and rehabilitation [52].

# Conclusion

Post-stroke cognitive impairment is a common manifestation among stroke survivors in the early phase of recovery. Factors associated with PSCI are predictable; thus, identifying and targeting individuals at risk for specific interventions in the acute setting is crucial. For a comprehensive understanding of the magnitude, drivers, characteristics and overall clinical course of PSCI, well-designed long-term prospective research, including clinical trials, is necessary for progress.

#### Supporting information

S1 Checklist. STROBE statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies. (DOCX)

**S1 File. IRB approval for data collection.** (PDF)

**S2** File. Psci excel deidentified data. (XLSX)

## **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Baraka Alphonce, John Meda, Azan Nyundo.

Data curation: Baraka Alphonce.

Formal analysis: Baraka Alphonce.

Investigation: Baraka Alphonce.

Methodology: Baraka Alphonce, Azan Nyundo.

Supervision: John Meda, Azan Nyundo.

Writing - original draft: Baraka Alphonce.

Writing - review & editing: Baraka Alphonce, John Meda, Azan Nyundo.

#### References

- Kulesh A, Drobakha V, Kuklina E, Nekrasova I, Shestakov V. Cytokine Response, Tract-Specific Fractional Anisotropy, and Brain Morphometry in Post-Stroke Cognitive Impairment. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2018 Jul 1. 27(7):1752–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis. 2018.02.004 PMID: 29610037
- Zhu Z, Chen L, Guo D, Zhong C, Wang A, Bu X, et al. Serum Rheumatoid Factor Levels at Acute Phase of Ischemic Stroke are Associated with Poststroke Cognitive Impairment. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2019 Apr 1. 28(4):1133–40. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis</u>. 2018.12.049 PMID: 30661971
- Fride Y, Adamit T, Maeir A, Ben Assayag E, Bornstein NM, Korczyn AD, et al. What are the correlates of cognition and participation to return to work after first ever mild stroke. Topics in Stroke Rehabilitation. 2015. 22(5):317–25. https://doi.org/10.1179/1074935714Z.0000000013 PMID: 26461878
- Lees RA, Hendry BA K, Broomfield N, Stott D, Larner AJ, Quinn TJ. Cognitive assessment in stroke: feasibility and test properties using differing approaches to scoring of incomplete items. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2017. 32(10):1072–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4568</u> PMID: 27526678
- Pollock A, St George B, Fenton M, Firkins L. Top ten research priorities relating to life after stroke. Vol. 11, The Lancet Neurology. Lancet Neurol; 2012. p. 209. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70029-7 PMID: 22341029
- Jeffares I, Rohde D, Doyle F, Horgan F, Hickey A. The impact of stroke, cognitive function and poststroke cognitive impairment (PSCI) on healthcare utilisation in Ireland: a cross-sectional nationally representative study. BMC Health Services Research. 2022. 22(1):1–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-</u> 022-07837-2 PMID: 35351125
- Gong L, Gu Y, Yu Q, Wang H, Zhu X, Dong Q, et al. Prognostic Factors for Cognitive Recovery Beyond Early Poststroke Cognitive Impairment (PSCI): A Prospective Cohort Study of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage. Frontiers in Neurology. 2020. 11(April):1–10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020. 00278 PMID: 32411073
- Nys GMS, Van Zandvoort MJE, De Kort PLM, Jansen BPW, De Haan EHF, Kappelle LJ. Cognitive disorders in acute stroke: Prevalence and clinical determinants. Cerebrovascular Diseases. 2007 May. 23 (5–6):408–16. https://doi.org/10.1159/000101464 PMID: 17406110
- Sharma R, Mallick D, Llinas RH, Marsh EB. Early Post-stroke Cognition: In-hospital Predictors and the Association With Functional Outcome. Frontiers in Neurology. 2020 Dec 23. 11:1817. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fneur.2020.613607</u> PMID: 33424761
- Akinyemi RO, Allan L, Owolabi MO, Akinyemi JO, Ogbole G, Ajani A, et al. Profile and determinants of vascular cognitive impairment in African stroke survivors: The CogFAST Nigeria Study. Journal of the Neurological Sciences. 2014. 346(1–2):241–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2014.08.042 PMID: 25238666
- 11. Sarfo FS, Akassi J, Adamu S, Obese V, Ovbiagele B. Burden and Predictors of Poststroke Cognitive Impairment in a Sample of Ghanaian Stroke Survivors. Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular

Diseases. 2017. 26(11):2553–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.05.041 PMID: 28652059

- Chi NF, Hu HH, Chan L, Wang CY, Chao SP, Huang LK, et al. Impaired cerebral autoregulation is associated with poststroke cognitive impairment. Annals of Clinical and Translational Neurology. 2020. 7 (7):1092–102. https://doi.org/10.1002/acn3.51075 PMID: 32468721
- Pendlebury ST, Rothwell PM. Prevalence, incidence, and factors associated with pre-stroke and poststroke dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Neurology. 2009. 8(11):1006–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70236-4 PMID: 19782001
- Douven E, Köhler S, Schievink SHJ, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Staals J, Verhey FRJ, et al. Baseline Vascular Cognitive Impairment Predicts the Course of Apathetic Symptoms After Stroke: The CASPER Study. American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2018 Mar 1. 26(3):291–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jagp.2017.09.022 PMID: 29079017
- Swardfager W, MacIntosh BJ. Depression, Type 2 Diabetes, and Poststroke Cognitive Impairment. Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair. 2017 Jan 1. 31(1):48–55. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1545968316656054 PMID: 27364648
- Al Fawal B, Ibrahim A, Abd Elhamed M. Post-stroke dementia: frequency, predictors, and health impact. Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery. 2021 Dec 1. 57(1):1–8. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/S41983-021-00270-Y/FIGURES/3
- Chander RJ, Lim L, Handa S, Hiu S, Choong A, Lin X, et al. Atrial Fibrillation is Independently Associated with Cognitive Impairment after Ischemic Stroke. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease. 2017. 60 (3):867–75. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-170313 PMID: 28922154
- Wang X, Ji X. Sample Size Estimation in Clinical Research: From Randomized Controlled Trials to Observational Studies. Chest. 2020 Jul 1. 158(1S):S12–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2020.03. 010 PMID: 32658647
- Baraka A, Meda J, Nyundo A. Predictors of post-stroke cognitive impairment at three-month following first episode of stroke among patients attended at tertiary hospitals in Dodoma, central Tanzania: A protocol of a prospective longitudinal observational study metadata. PLOS ONE. 2023 Mar 1. 18(3): e0273200. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273200 PMID: 36862705
- Carson N, Leach L, Murphy KJ. A re-examination of Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) cutoff scores. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2018 Feb 1. 33(2):379–88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4756 PMID: 28731508</u>
- Julayanont P., Phillips N., Chertkow H., and Nasreddine ZS. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA): Concept and Clinical Review. 2012. (10).
- Chen A, Akinyemi RO, Hase Y, Firbank MJ, Ndung'u MN, Foster V, et al. Frontal White matter hyperintensities, clasmatodendrosis and gliovascular abnormalities in ageing and post-stroke dementia. Brain. 2016 Jan 1. 139(1):242–58. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awv328 PMID: 26667280
- 23. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE, Collins KJ, Himmelfarb CD, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/ AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: Executive summary: A report of the American college of cardiology/American Heart Association task. Vol. 71, Hypertension. 2018. 1269–1324 p. https://doi.org/10.1161/HYP.00000000000066 PMID: 29133354
- 24. Maduagwu SM, Umeonwuka CI, Mohammad HH, Oyeyemi AY, Nelson EC, Jaiyeola OA, et al. Reference Arm for Blood Pressure Measurement in Stroke Survivors. Middle East Journal of Rehabilitation and Health. 2018 Jan 30. 5(1). https://doi.org/10.5812/mejrh.62368
- 25. Rajkumar A, Bhattacharjee A, Selvaraj RJ. Diagnostic accuracy of apex-pulse deficit for detecting atrial fibrillation. International Journal of Advanced Medical and Health Research. 2019. 6(2):52. https://doi.org/10.4103/IJAMR.IJAMR\_48\_19
- 26. Cleeman JI. Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel III). Journal of the American Medical Association. 2001 May 16. 285(19):2486–97. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.285.19.2486 PMID: 11368702
- 27. Elsayed NA, Aleppo G, Aroda VR, Bannuru RR, Brown FM, Bruemmer D, et al. Summary of Revisions: Standards of Care in Diabetes—2023. Diabetes Care. 2023 Jan 1. 46:S5–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.2337/</u> dc23-Srev PMID: 36507641
- 28. Brugada J, Demosthenes G. Katritsis EA, Fernando Arribas JJB, Carina Blomstro<sup>®</sup>m-Lundqvist HC, Domenico Corrado, Spyridon G. Deftereos, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with supraventricular tachycardia The Task Force for the management of patients with supraventricular tachycardia of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). 2020.: 655–720. https://doi.org/10.1093/ eurhearti/ehz467 PMID: 31504425

- Onder H, Yilmaz S. The Rationale of Holter Monitoring After Stroke. Angiology. 2017 Nov 1. 68 (10):926–7. https://doi.org/10.1177/0003319717703003 PMID: 28387127
- Morotti A, Goldstein JN. Diagnosis and Management of Acute Intracerebral Hemorrhage. Emergency Medicine Clinics of North America. 2016. 34(4):883–99. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emc.2016.06.010</u> PMID: 27741993
- Sharma R, Mallick D, Llinas RH, Marsh EB. Early Post-stroke Cognition: In-hospital Predictors and the Association With Functional Outcome. Frontiers in Neurology. 2020. 11(December):1–9. https://doi. org/10.3389/fneur.2020.613607 PMID: 33424761
- Santangelo G, Barone P, Cuoco S, Raimo S, Pezzella D, Picillo M, et al. Apathy in untreated, de novo patients with Parkinson's disease: validation study of Apathy Evaluation Scale. Journal of Neurology. 2014 Nov 25. 261(12):2319–28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-014-7498-1 PMID: 25228003
- Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S, Sturdivant RX. Applied Logistic Regression, 3rd Edition [Internet]. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. Wiley; 2013. 528 p.
- Chaurasia RN, Sharma J, Pathak A, Mishra VN, Joshi D. Poststroke Cognitive Decline: A Longitudinal Study from a Tertiary Care Center. Journal of Neurosciences in Rural Practice. 2019. 10(3):459. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-1697872 PMID: 31595118
- Nijsse B, Visser-Meily JMA, Van Mierlo ML, Post MWM, De Kort PLM, Van Heugten CM. Temporal evolution of poststroke cognitive impairment using the montreal cognitive assessment. Stroke. 2017. 48 (1):98–104. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.014168 PMID: 27899753
- Mellon L, Brewer L, Hall P, Horgan F, Williams D, Hickey A, et al. Cognitive impairment six months after ischaemic stroke: A profile from the ASPIRE-S study. BMC Neurology. 2015. 15(1):1–9. <u>https://doi.org/</u> 10.1186/s12883-015-0288-2 PMID: 25879880
- Baccaro A, Wang YP, Brunoni AR, Candido M, Conforto AB, da Costa Leite C, et al. Does stroke laterality predict major depression and cognitive impairment after stroke? Two-year prospective evaluation in the EMMA study. Progress in neuro-psychopharmacology & biological psychiatry. 2019 Aug 30. 94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2019.109639 PMID: 31075348
- Packheiser J, Schmitz J, Arning L, Beste C, Güntürkün O, Ocklenburg S. A large-scale estimate on the relationship between language and motor lateralization. Scientific Reports. 2020 Dec 1. 10(1). <u>https:// doi.org/10.1038/S41598-020-70057-3 PMID: 32747661</u>
- Hobson J. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA). Occupational Medicine. 2015 Dec 1. 65 (9):764–5. https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqv078 PMID: 26644445
- Tomlinson BE, Blessed G, Roth M. Observations on the brains of demented old people. Journal of the Neurological Sciences. 1970 Sep 1. 11(3):205–42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-510x(70)90063-8</u> PMID: 5505685
- Kumral E, Bayam FE, Arslan H, Ph D, Orman M, Ph D. Associations Between Neuroanatomic Patterns of Cerebral Infarctions and Vascular Dementia. 2017.: 1–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.neuropsych.</u> 19120356 PMID: 32718274
- Gottesman RF, Hillis AE. Predictors and assessment of cognitive dysfunction resulting from ischaemic stroke. Vol. 9, The Lancet Neurology. 2010. p. 895–905. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(10)</u> 70164-2 PMID: 20723846
- Van Almenkerk S, Smalbrugge M, Depla MFIA, Eefsting JA, Hertogh CMPM. Apathy among institutionalized stroke patients: Prevalence and clinical correlates. American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry. 2015 Feb 1. 23(2):180–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2014.03.011 PMID: 24823894
- 44. Willem Van Dalen J, Moll Van Charante EP, Nederkoorn PJ, Van Gool WA, Richard; Edo. From the Department of Neurology. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA
- Rohde D, Gaynor E, Large M, Mellon L, Hall P, Brewer L, et al. The Impact of Cognitive Impairment on Poststroke Outcomes: A 5-Year Follow-Up. Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology. 2019. 32 (5):275–81. https://doi.org/10.1177/0891988719853044 PMID: 31167593
- Lim KB, Kim J, Lee HJ, Yoo JH, You EC, Kang J. Correlation Between Montreal Cognitive Assessment and Functional Outcome in Subacute Stroke Patients With Cognitive Dysfunction. Annals of Rehabilitation Medicine. 2018 Feb 1. 42(1):26–34. https://doi.org/10.5535/arm.2018.42.1.26 PMID: 29560321
- Stolwyk RJ, O'Neill MH, McKay AJD, Wong DK. Are cognitive screening tools sensitive and specific enough for use after stroke?: A systematic literature review. Stroke. 2014. 45(10):3129–34. https://doi. org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.004232 PMID: 25074518
- Quinn TJ, Richard E, Teuschl Y, Gattringer T, Hafdi M, O'Brien JT, et al. European Stroke Organisation and European Academy of Neurology joint guidelines on post-stroke cognitive impairment. European journal of neurology. 2021 Dec 1. 28(12):3883–920. https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.15068 PMID: 34476868

- Jorm AF. The Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE): A review. International Psychogeriatrics. 2004 Sep. 16(3):275–93. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1041610204000390 PMID: 15559753
- Van Rooij FG, Schaapsmeerders P, Maaijwee NAM, Van Duijnhoven DAHJ, De Leeuw FE, Kessels RPC, et al. Persistent cognitive impairment after transient ischemic attack. Stroke. 2014. 45(8):2270– 4. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.005205 PMID: 25070959
- Pendlebury ST, Wadling S, Silver LE, Mehta Z, Rothwell PM. Transient cognitive impairment in TIA and minor stroke. Stroke. 2011 Nov. 42(11):3116–21. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.111.621490 PMID: 21903955
- Roebuck-Spencer TM, Glen T, Puente AE, Denney RL, Ruff RM, Hostetter G, et al. Cognitive Screening Tests Versus Comprehensive Neuropsychological Test Batteries: A National Academy of Neuropsychology Education Paper. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology. 2017 Jun 1. 32(4):491–8. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/ARCLIN/ACX021">https://doi.org/10.1093/ARCLIN/ACX021</a> PMID: 28334244