

Citation: Yabuuchi H, Hayashi K, Shigemoto A, Fujiwara M, Nomura Y, Nakashima M, et al. (2023) Virtual screening of antimicrobial plant extracts by machine-learning classification of chemical compounds in semantic space. PLoS ONE 18(5): e0285716. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0285716

Editor: Guadalupe Virginia Nevárez-Moorillón, Universidad Autonoma de Chihuahua, MEXICO

Received: March 29, 2023

Accepted: April 28, 2023

Published: May 15, 2023

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285716

Copyright: © 2023 Yabuuchi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: R scripts and preprocessed literature data are available at <u>https://</u>github.com/yabuuchi-hiroaki/webvs. All other

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Virtual screening of antimicrobial plant extracts by machine-learning classification of chemical compounds in semantic space

Hiroaki Yabuuchi^{1**}, Kazuhito Hayashi¹, Akihiko Shigemoto², Makiko Fujiwara¹, Yuhei Nomura², Mayumi Nakashima², Takeshi Ogusu¹, Megumi Mori¹, Shinichi Tokumoto², Kazuyuki Miyai¹

1 Department of Pharmaceutical Industry, Industrial Technology Center of Wakayama Prefecture, Wakayama, Japan, 2 Department of Digital Manufacturing, Industrial Technology Center of Wakayama Prefecture, Wakayama, Japan

Current address: Kushimoto Branch, Shingu Health Center of Wakayama Prefecture, Wakayama, Japan
yabuuchi_h0002@pref.wakayama.lg.jp

Abstract

Plant extract is a mixture of diverse phytochemicals, and considered as an important resource for drug discovery. However, large-scale exploration of the bioactive extracts has been hindered by various obstacles until now. In this research, we have introduced and evaluated a new computational screening strategy that classifies bioactive compounds and plants in semantic space generated by word embedding algorithm. The classifier showed good performance in binary (presence/absence of bioactivity) classification for both compounds and plant genera. Furthermore, the strategy led to the discovery of antimicrobial activity of essential oils from *Lindera triloba* and *Cinnamomum sieboldii* against *Staphylococcus aureus*. The results of this study indicate that machine-learning classification in semantic space can be a highly efficient approach for exploring bioactive plant extracts.

Introduction

Plant extracts have been used to treat various diseases for thousands of years. In eastern medicines, plant extracts have formed the basis for traditional medicine systems. In western medicines, by contrast, the isolation of bioactive low-molecular-weight compounds such as morphine (from opium), quinine (from cinchona tree), atropine (from *Atropa belladonna*) led to the idea of chemical compounds as drugs [1]. Identification of the active ingredients accelerated pharmacological researches, resulted in discovery of the target proteins and disentanglement of the molecular mechanism of actions.

Knowledge accumulation on active compounds has come with the development of information-rich approaches for efficient drug discovery. Quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) and machine learning have been introduced to the drug development [2]. With the pharmacological reports increased, data resources for bioactive compounds such as MeSH, PubChem [3] and ChEBI [4] were also made available. relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This research was supported by the Kayamori Foundation of Informational Science Advancement (K32 ken XXV 577). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Exploring novel medicinal plants is a major task in natural product research. In order to predict biological activity of the plant extracts, a mathematical model called quantitative composition-activity relationships (QCAR) was proposed [5, 6]. QCAR accounts the relationship of magnitude of the various chemical compositions of plant extracts with the bioactivity. However, its application to medicinal plant screening is limited because of (1) lack of the large-scale open data treating relation between composition and bioactivity of plant extracts, (2) difficulty in comprehensive compositional analysis covering diverse secondary metabolites in a plant sample, (3) necessity of composition data for all plant extracts to be predicted.

To circumvent these limitations, we have shown that a new computational screening strategy, word embedding-based virtual screening (WEBVS), has the potential to identify bioactive plant extracts. The overview of WEBVS is shown in Fig 1. Word embedding is known to encode semantic and syntactic similarity insofar as the embeddings for similar words will be nearby one another in vector space [7]. The WEBVS method utilizes the word embedding and a large amount of biomedical literature data to encode all known compounds and plants into a semantic space. The compounds are labeled by the presence/absence (active/inactive) of biological annotation data, and the labels and vectors are learned to construct a classification model. Finally, the labels of plants are predicted by the model in the semantic space. In this research, WEBVS was applied to screening of antimicrobial plant extracts, and was evaluated by statistical methods and antimicrobial assay against *Staphylococcus aureus*, a major human pathogen that causes a wide range of clinical infections [8].

Materials and methods

Data

Biomedical literature data with automatic annotation of chemical compounds and species was retrieved from Pubtator FTP site in September 2020 [9]. Biological annotation data of chemical



Fig 1. Overview of word embedding-based virtual screening (WEBVS).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285716.g001

compounds was retrieved from MeSH [3] and ChEBI [4] in September 2021. Plant taxonomic data was retrieved from NCBI Taxonomy [3] in September 2021. A list of antibacterial plants was retrieved from a systematic review conducted by Chassagne *et al.* [10] to evaluate prediction performance of WEBVS.

Reagents

Acetone for gas chromatography was purchased from KISHIDA CHEMICAL Co., Ltd, Japan. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and thymol (special grade) were purchased from FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation, Japan. A series of *n*-alkane standards (C_9 to C_{40}) was purchased from GL Sciences Inc., Tokyo, Japan. Mueller-Hinton II broth was purchased from Becton, Dickinson and Company, USA. *Staphylococcus aureus* (NBRC 12732) for antibacterial activity tests were from the National Institute of Technology and Evaluation, Biological Resource Center (NBRC), Japan.

Preprocessing text data

We selected natural compounds annotated by "pharmacological action" term with "anti-bacterial agents" or "antifungal agents" or "fungicides, industrial" or "antitubercular agents" or "antibiotics, antitubercular" or "anti-infective agents" from MeSH, and those annotated by "has_role" relation with "antibacterial agent" or "antibacterial drug" or "antifungal agent" or "antifungal agrochemical" or "antifungal drug" or "antiinfective agent" or "antimicrobial agent" or "antiseptic drug" or "antitubercular agent" or "fungicide" from ChEBI. These compounds (128 compounds, <u>S1 Table</u>) were regarded as "active compounds" in this research. The other MeSH compounds were assumed to be "inactive compounds".

The biomedical literature data consisted of 132962 PubTator articles which contain both a bioactivity-related keyword ("activity", "action", "effect", "property", "efficacy" or "assessment") and a name of either active compounds or plants in their titles. The plant species, subspecies and variants were grouped at the genus level. Low-frequent words (appeared in less than 0.1% of the selected articles) and stop-words were removed from the abstracts of the articles.

Word embedding

12356663 words appeared in the abstracts were inputted to word2vec embedding with continuous bag of words (CBOW) [11] to encode 16381 unique words as numerical vectors. "word2vec" R package (version 0.3.4) was used for the embedding implementation. The number of dimensions was set to 100, the window size was set to 5, and the number of negative samples was set to 5.

Machine learning of antimicrobial activity of chemical compounds

The embedded vectors of 128 active and 6443 inactive compounds were inputted to machine learning algorithms to classify the presence/absence of antimicrobial activity. As the labels of inactive compounds were uncertain, we randomly selected the same number of inactive compounds as that of active compounds. This selection was repeated ten times to avoid bias and increase robustness. Support vector machine (SVM) with the radial basis function kernel [12], random forest [13] and deep neural network [14] were tested by five-fold cross-validation with hyper-parameter optimization. The machine learning algorithm which showed the best accuracy was chosen as the best classifier. The labels of all embedded compounds were predicted

by the classifier, and were sorted by output probability of the presence of antimicrobial activity (hereinafter referred to as "antimicrobial probability").

Virtual screening of antimicrobial plants

In order to predict labels of the plants, 2534 plant genera encoded by the word embedding were inputted to the classifier. The antimicrobial probability (classified as active if the value is above 0.5) was checked against the list of antibacterial plants, and plotted as an enrichment curve. "chemmodlab" R package (version 2.0.0) was used for plotting the curve with simultaneous plus-adjusted sup-t confidence bands [15]. Furthermore, two plants classified as active were selected for essential oil extraction, gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) analysis and antimicrobial assay.

Extraction of essential oils

Fresh plant samples of *Lindera triloba* (syn. *Parabenzoin trilobum*) were collected from Koya town (Wakayama, Japan) in September 2021, and were separated into leaves and branches. Fresh plant samples of *Cinnamomum sieboldii* (syn. *Cinnamomum okinawense*) were collected from Tanabe city (Wakayama, Japan) in September 2021, and were separated into leaves, branches and stem barks. After shade-dried for several weeks, the materials were submitted to hydro-distillation for 3 hr with distilled water using a Clevenger-type apparatus. The obtained essential oils were stored at 4°C until further analysis.

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS) analysis

Chemical characterization was performed by gas chromatograph coupled with mass spectrometer model QP2010 (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Essential oils were dissolved in acetone (2 μ L/ mL). This solution (1 μ L) was injected in split mode (1:50 ratio) onto a DB-5MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d. × 0.25 μ m film thickness, Agilent, USA). The injection temperature was set at 270°C. The oven temperature was started at 60°C for 1 min after injection and then increased at 10°C/min to 180°C for 1 min, increased at 20°C/min to 280°C for 3 min followed by an increase at 20°C/min to 325°C, where the column was held for 20 min. Mass spectra were obtained in the range of 20 to 550 m/z. Essential oil components were identified based on a search (National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST 14), the calculation of retention indices relative to homologous series of *n*-alkane, and a comparison of their mass spectra libraries with data from the mass spectra in the literature [16, 17].

Antimicrobial assay

Broth microdilution assay was performed according to standard method of Japan Society of Chemotherapy [18] with slight modification. A stock solution of each essential oil (dissolved to a concentration of 40 mg/mL in DMSO) was diluted to 4 mg/mL by Mueller-Hinton II broth medium, followed by serial dilution by the medium to lower concentrations (2, 1, 0.5, 0.25, 0.125, 0.0625, 0.0313, 0.0156 and 0.0078 mg/mL). Thymol, a known antimicrobial agent, was dissolved and diluted in the same way to ensure microbial susceptibility (positive control). The oils were all tested in triplicate. *Staphylococcus aureus* NBRC 12732 was inoculated onto normal agar plates, and cultured for 24 hr at $35\pm1^{\circ}$ C. The bacterial suspensions were diluted by saline to obtain 0.5 McFarland turbidity equivalent (*ca.* 10^{8} colony forming units per mL (CFU/mL)), and were further diluted 10 times (*ca.* 10^{7} CFU/mL). 0.1 mL of essential oil-containing medium and 5 µL inoculum were added to sterile micro-titre plates. 10% (v/v) DMSO in the medium was used to determine if the solvent exhibited any antimicrobial effect (negative

control). The micro-titre plates were incubated for 18 to 24 hr at 35±1°C. Based on the opacity and color change in each well, minimum concentration capable of inhibiting the growth was determined.

Results

Machine learning of antimicrobial activity of chemical compounds

The classification models for antimicrobial compounds were successfully constructed in the semantic space. All machine learning algorithms showed good accuracies ranged from 84.3 to 85.4% in the five-fold cross-validation (S2 Table). In the following sections, SVM was adopted for further evaluations because it showed the best average accuracy.

The constructed model classified 726 MeSH compounds as active even though they were assumed to be inactive in the learning process. The top 10 MeSH compounds ranked by antimicrobial probability were shown in Table 1. Among the compounds, perillyl alcohol [19], daphnoretin [20], xanthohumol [21], rhodomyrtone [22], galbanic acid [23] and alphahederin [24] were previously reported to show antimicrobial activities. These compounds are potentially active, although they are not annotated as active compounds in the databases.

Virtual screening of antimicrobial plants

Out of 2534 plant genera, 561 were predicted as active by the classifier (S3 Table). Among them, 164 were overlapped with antimicrobial plants listed in the review [10]. On the other hand, 265 genera in the review were predicted as inactive (sensitivity = 38.2%). The results were also shown as enrichment curve (Fig 2). The closer the curve is to the ideal curve, the higher the predictive performance of the model is. In the top 1% ranked plant genera (25 genera), WEBVS model correctly predicted 9 active genera, while 4.2 (1% of 429) active genera were expected to be included at random sampling (Table 2).

Plant selection and extraction of essential oil

Lindera is a genus predicted as active (antimicrobial probability = 0.910), although it is not listed in the systematic review [10]. In fact, various pharmacological and biological properties of *Lindera* plants have been focused in many studies [25]. In this study, *Lindera triloba*, an endemic species in Japan, was selected for antimicrobial bioassay. The essential oils from branch and leaf of *Lindera triloba* were obtained by hydrodistillation with yields (v/w % on dry weight basis) of 0.36% and 0.46%, respectively (<u>S4 Table</u>).

Table 1. The top 10 ranked compounds with higher antimicrobial probability.

Compound	Probability	Biological annotation		
perillyl alcohol	0.975	antineoplastic agents, enzyme inhibitors		
hydrazones	0.944	-		
daphnoretin	0.938	antiviral agent, antineoplastic agent		
xanthohumol	0.938	apoptosis inducer, antineoplastic agent, antiviral agent, diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase inhibitor, anti-HIV-1 agent		
rhodomyrtone	0.918	_		
calomel	0.917	-		
dehydroabietinol	0.915	-		
galbanic acid	0.901	-		
naphthoquinones	0.895	-		
alpha-hederin	0.890	anti-inflammatory agent		

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285716.t001



Fig 2. Enrichment curve obtained by WEBVS. The simultaneous 95 percent plus-adjusted sup-t confidence bands are colored in gray.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285716.g002

Cinnamomum is one of the genera with the most species investigated for antibacterial activity [10], and was also predicted as active (antimicrobial probability = 0.614) in this study. *Cinnamomum sieboldii*, a species grown wild in Japan, was also selected for antimicrobial assay. The essential oils from branch, leaf and stem bark of *Cinnamomum sieboldii* were obtained by hydrodistillation with yields of 0.80%, 0.64% and 0.58%, respectively (S4 Table).

Chemical composition of selected essential oils

The chemical profile of investigated essential oils determined via GC/MS analysis, was presented in Table 3 and S4 Table. The main constituents of *Lindera triloba* branch oil were α cadinol (9.4%), epi- α -muurolol (9.3%), camphor (9.1%), whereas those of the leaf oil were δ cadinene (14.7%), α -cadinol (11.3%) and epi- α -muurolol (10.8%).

The main constituents of *Cinnamomum sieboldii* leaf oil were linalool (24.8%), cinnamaldehyde (19.1%), geranial (12.1%), whereas those from the other parts were linalool (branch: 51.2%, stem bark: 41.4%) followed by cinnamaldehyde (21.0%, 19.0%).

Antimicrobial assay

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values against *S. aureus* were 1 mg/mL for *Lindera triloba* branch oil and 4 mg/mL for the leaf oil. The MIC value of *Cinnamomum sieboldii*

Casearia 0.965 Lithospermum 0.956 Syngonanthus 0.956 Forsythia 0.938 Daphne 0.930 Biancaea 0.924 Ruta 0.918 Chelidonium 0.916 Sophora 0.914 Peganum 0.913 Spatholobus 0.911 Lindera 0.907 Carapa 0.901 Humulus 0.899 Garcinia 0.898 Alisma 0.895 Zanthoxylum 0.895 Boesenbergia 0.890 Gardenia 0.890 Buddleja 0.888 Craton 0.890 Buddleja 0.888 Craton 0.888 Cardenia 0.890 Buddleja 0.888 Craton 0.888 Copatiferia 0.890 Boesenbergia 0.890 Buddleja 0.888 <tr t=""> 0.888</tr>	Genus	Probability
Lithospermum 0.956 Syngonanthus 0.956 Forsythia 0.938 Daphne 0.930 Biancaea 0.924 Ruta 0.918 Chelidonium 0.916 Sophora 0.914 Peganum 0.913 Spatholobus 0.911 Lindera 0.907 Carapa 0.901 Humulus 0.899 Garcinia 0.899 Alisma 0.897 Copaifera 0.893 Bosenbergia 0.891 Kaempferia 0.890 Gardenia 0.890 Buddleja 0.888 Croton 0.887 Pentanema 0.886	Casearia	0.965
Syngonanthus 0.956 Forsythia 0.938 Daphne 0.930 Biancaea 0.924 Ruta 0.918 Chelidonium 0.916 Sophora 0.914 Peganum 0.913 Spatholobus 0.911 Lindera 0.907 Carapa 0.901 Humulus 0.899 Garcinia 0.899 Alisma 0.895 Zanthoxylum 0.893 Bosenbergia 0.891 Kaempferia 0.890 Gardenia 0.890 Buddleja 0.886	Lithospermum	0.956
Forsythia 0.938 Daphne 0.930 Biancaea 0.924 Ruta 0.918 Chelidonium 0.916 Sophora 0.914 Peganum 0.913 Spatholobus 0.911 Lindera 0.910 Ecballium 0.907 Carapa 0.901 Humulus 0.899 Garcinia 0.897 Copaifera 0.893 Zanthoxylum 0.893 Bosenbergia 0.891 Kaempferia 0.890 Gardenia 0.890 Buddleja 0.888 Croton 0.887	Syngonanthus	0.956
Daphne 0.930 Biancaea 0.924 kuta 0.918 Chelidonium 0.916 Sophora 0.914 Peganum 0.913 Spatholobus 0.911 Lindera 0.901 Ecballium 0.907 Carapa 0.901 Humulus 0.899 Garcinia 0.897 Copaifera 0.893 Josenbergia 0.891 Kaempferia 0.890 Gardenia 0.890 Buddleja 0.888 Croton 0.887 Pentanema 0.886	Forsythia	0.938
Biancaea0.924Ruta0.918Chelidonium0.916Sophora0.916Sophora0.913Peganum0.913Spatholobus0.911Lindera0.910Ecballium0.907Carapa0.901Humulus0.899Garcinia0.899Alisma0.895Zanthoxylum0.895Boesenbergia0.891Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.885Pentanema0.887	Daphne	0.930
kuta0.918Chelidonium0.916Sophora0.914Peganum0.913Spatholobus0.911Lindera0.910Ecballium0.907Carapa0.901Humulus0.899Garcinia0.898Alisma0.895Zanthoxylum0.893Boesenbergia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.887Pentanema0.887	Biancaea	0.924
Chelidonium0.916Sophora0.914Peganum0.913Spatholobus0.911Lindera0.910Ecballium0.907Carapa0.901Humulus0.899Garcinia0.898Alisma0.895Canthoxylum0.893Boesenbergia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.890Corton0.887Pentanema0.886	Ruta	0.918
Sophora 0.914 Peganum 0.913 Spatholobus 0.911 Lindera 0.910 Ecballium 0.907 Carapa 0.901 Humulus 0.901 Garcinia 0.899 Garcinia 0.897 Copaifera 0.895 Zanthoxylum 0.893 Boesenbergia 0.890 Garcinia 0.890 Gardenia 0.890 Buddleja 0.888 Croton 0.887 Pentanema 0.886	Chelidonium	0.916
Peganum0.913Spatholobus0.911Lindera0.910Ecballium0.907Carapa0.901Humulus0.899Garcinia0.898Alisma0.897Copaifera0.895Zanthoxylum0.893Boesenbergia0.891Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.885Croton0.887Pentanema0.886	Sophora	0.914
Spatholobus0.911Lindera0.910Ecballium0.907Carapa0.901Humulus0.899Garcinia0.898Alisma0.897Copaifera0.895Zanthoxylum0.893Boesenbergia0.891Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.887Pentanema0.887	Peganum	0.913
Lindera0.910Ecballium0.907Carapa0.901Humulus0.899Garcinia0.898Alisma0.897Copaifera0.895Zanthoxylum0.893Boesenbergia0.891Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.887Pentanema0.887	Spatholobus	0.911
Ecballium0.907Carapa0.901Humulus0.899Garcinia0.898Alisma0.897Copaifera0.895Zanthoxylum0.893Boesenbergia0.891Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.887Pentanema0.887	Lindera	0.910
Carapa0.901Humulus0.899Garcinia0.898Alisma0.897Copaifera0.895Zanthoxylum0.893Boesenbergia0.891Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.888Croton0.887Pentanema0.886	Ecballium	0.907
Humulus0.899Garcinia0.898Alisma0.897Copaifera0.895Zanthoxylum0.893Boesenbergia0.891Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.888Croton0.887Pentanema0.886	Carapa	0.901
Garcinia0.898Alisma0.897Copaifera0.895Zanthoxylum0.893Boesenbergia0.891Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.888Croton0.887Pentanema0.886	Humulus	0.899
Alisma0.897Copaifera0.895Zanthoxylum0.893Boesenbergia0.891Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.888Croton0.887Pentanema0.886	Garcinia	0.898
Copaifera0.895Zanthoxylum0.893Boesenbergia0.891Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.888Croton0.887Pentanema0.886	Alisma	0.897
Zanthoxylum0.893Boesenbergia0.891Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.888Croton0.887Pentanema0.886	Copaifera	0.895
Boesenbergia0.891Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.888Croton0.887Pentanema0.886	Zanthoxylum	0.893
Kaempferia0.890Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.888Croton0.887Pentanema0.886	Boesenbergia	0.891
Gardenia0.890Buddleja0.888Croton0.887Pentanema0.886	Kaempferia	0.890
Buddleja 0.888 Croton 0.887 Pentanema 0.886	Gardenia	0.890
Croton 0.887 Pentanema 0.886	Buddleja	0.888
Pentanema 0.886	Croton	0.887
	Pentanema	0.886
Polygonum 0.886	Polygonum	0.886

Table 2. The top 1% ranked plants with higher antimicrobial probability.

Gray background indicates antimicrobial plants reviewed by Chassagne et al. [10]

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285716.t002

oils from leaf, branch and stem bark were all 1 mg/mL (Table 4). These values are considered to be active with reference to Gibbons' paper which defined the essential oils having significant activity if the MIC is equal to or less than 5 μ L/mL [26]. MIC for thymol (positive control) was 0.25 mg/mL, which was equivalent to literature data (0.03 v/v % [27]). No inhibition of bacterial growth was observed in the negative control.

Table 3. Major components of essential oils from Lindera triloba and Cinnamomum sieboldii.

Species	Parts	Major compounds identified (%)*
Lindera triloba	leaf	δ-cadinene (14.7), α-cadinol (11.3), epi-α-muurolol (10.8), α-muurolene (6.1), alloaromadendrene (6.0), β-bisabolene (6.0)
	branch	α-cadinol (9.4), epi-α-muurolol (9.3), camphor (9.1), limonene (8.3), bornyl acetate (7.5), δ-cadinene (7.1)
Cinnamomum sieboldii	leaf	linalool (24.8), cinnamaldehyde (19.1), geranial (12.1)
	branch	linalool (51.2), cinnamaldehyde (21.0), 1,8-cineole (11.8)
	stem bark	linalool (41.4), cinnamaldehyde (19.0), 1,8-cineole (10.3)

Values in parentheses are the percentage of the total peak area obtained from the total ion current chromatogram.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285716.t003

	Linde	ra triloba	Cinnamomum sieb		boldii
	leaf	branch	leaf	branch	stem bark
MIC (mg/mL) ^a	4	1	1	1	1

Table 4. Antimicrobial activity of essential	oils from selected plan	nts against Staphy	lococcus aureus.
--	-------------------------	--------------------	------------------

^a MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0285716.t004

Discussion

Drug discovery and development is a long and costly process that takes years with an average cost of over \$1–2 billion to be approved as a new drug [28]. Various technologies for miniaturization, lab automation and robotics have enabled pharma to perform bioassay targeting massive chemical compounds by means of high-throughput screening (HTS) [29]. However, application of HTS for identification of biologically active natural products remains a relatively uncommon activity because of requirement of expensive equipment and a variety of experimental obstacles such as sample unavailability (restricted season or location), degradation, precipitation and non-specific/off-target effects [30]. Therefore, computational approach is of great help in understanding the bioactivity of plant extracts composed of complex mixtures of phytochemicals. In this research, WEBVS method successfully classified antimicrobial plant extracts by capturing local context similarity between bioactive compounds and plant extracts.

The most important advantage of WEBVS is unnecessity of manual data curation that is costly and time-consuming process. Although recent studies [31, 32] showed good performance of QCAR-based model at predicting antimicrobial activity of essential oils, they have limitations in collecting new training data. WEBVS consists of simple and automated processes with public literature data which is regularly updated, indicating that the classification model is easily constructed and updated. Furthermore, WEBVS is suitable for large-scale exploration because it is applicable to all plants that appeared in literature data.

WEBVS also fits the idea of drug repositioning [33] that identifies new therapeutic uses for already-available drugs including approved, shelved and withdrawn drugs. To our knowledge, this is the first report on antimicrobial activity of Lindera triloba and Cinnamomum sieboldii. Lindera triloba is a deciduous shrub distributed on the Pacific side of the islands (Honshu, Shikoku and Kyushu) in Japan [34], and was reported to show insect anti-feeding activity [35]. In this research, GC/MS analysis of the essential oils revealed the presence of various sesquiterpene alcohols including α -cadinol and epi- α -muurolol (τ -cadinol). These alcohols were determined to be active by Su et al. [36], and are considered to contribute to the antimicrobial activity of Lindera triloba. Cinnamomum sieboldii is an evergreen arbor that used to be cultivated as a substitute for cassia (*Cinnamomum cassia*), and was used as traditional Japanese medicine in the 19th century. Watanabe and Goto reported that quantity of the essential oil compares favorably with that of cassia [37]. However, Cinnamomum sieboldii was removed from Japanese Pharmacopoeia (7th edition) in 1962 because the increasing import of low-cost cassia rendered it unnecessary as a substitute [38]. Both linalool and cinnamaldehyde, detected as main constituents of the essential oil in this study, were reported to show antimicrobial activity against S. aureus [27]. Further researches including clinical studies are needed to reconsider the medicinal use of Cinnamomum sieboldii.

Literature-based discovery, a text mining technique used to discover new knowledge implicitly present in scientific literature, has become widespread as scientific literature is growing at an exponential rate [39]. However, it has not been systematically explored in context with natural products [40]. Our WEBVS strategy can also be considered as an automated

literature-based discovery trying to build a knowledge bridge from chemistry area to the natural product area. Development of different literature-based models such as co-occurrence models and semantic models may also support the drug discovery and drug repositioning for natural products as well.

Finally, WEBVS has potential limitations. The first is that WEBVS cannot predict for a plant which has never been reported before. Approximately 13500 plant genera have been identified worldwide [41], but just 19% of them (2534 genera) were targeted in this study because of the lack of literature data. Combining WEBVS with phylogenetic analysis may be a promising approach because secondary metabolites of the plants are often similar within members of a clade [42]. The second limitation concerns the quantitativity. Any values in the text data did not influence the embedding, indicating that WEBVS is not suitable for quantitative prediction. However, it is generally difficult to combine quantitative activity data from multiple studies because the method and experimental conditions differ among them. Development of a relation extraction technique could help for integration and prediction of the quantitative activity data from full-text, tables and figures of the articles. The third limitation concerns chemical and bioactive variation due to environmental conditions. Various factors including temperature, carbon dioxide, lighting, ozone, soil water, soil salinity and soil fertility are known to affect plants' physiological and biochemical responses [43]. These factors may cause prediction error of WEBVS.

In conclusion, WEBVS is an efficient approach for exploring antimicrobial plant extracts. Application of WEBVS for other biological activities will be evaluated in future research.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Active compounds used for the machine learning of antimicrobial activity. (XLS)

S2 Table. Accuracy result of various machine learning algorithms. (XLS)

S3 Table. Antimicrobial probability of plant genera. (XLS)

S4 Table. Chemical composition of essential oils from *Lindera triloba* and *Cinnamomum* sieboldii.

(XLS)

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank Mr. & Mrs. Shimoyama (Monpetokuwa) and Mr. Nishida (Forestry cooperative of temple estate in Koya-san) for providing the plant samples used in this study. We appreciate the assistance of Kazuaki Sakaguchi, Sayo Sugimoto and Yuki Kishimoto for selection of the plant samples.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Hiroaki Yabuuchi.

Data curation: Hiroaki Yabuuchi.

Formal analysis: Hiroaki Yabuuchi.

Funding acquisition: Hiroaki Yabuuchi, Kazuyuki Miyai.

Investigation: Hiroaki Yabuuchi, Kazuhito Hayashi.

Methodology: Akihiko Shigemoto, Yuhei Nomura.

Project administration: Shin-ichi Tokumoto, Kazuyuki Miyai.

Resources: Makiko Fujiwara, Yuhei Nomura.

Software: Yuhei Nomura, Mayumi Nakashima.

Supervision: Megumi Mori, Kazuyuki Miyai.

Validation: Kazuhito Hayashi, Takeshi Ogusu.

Visualization: Yuhei Nomura, Mayumi Nakashima.

Writing – original draft: Hiroaki Yabuuchi.

Writing - review & editing: Akihiko Shigemoto, Megumi Mori.

References

- Newman DJ, Cragga GM, Snader KM. The influence of natural products upon drug discovery. Nat Prod Rep. 2000; 17(3):215–234. https://doi.org/10.1039/a902202c PMID: 10888010
- Zhu H. Big data and artificial intelligence modeling for drug discovery. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2020; 60:573–589. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010919-023324 PMID: 31518513
- Sayers EW, Bolton EE, Brister JR, Canese K, Chan J, Comeau DC, et al. Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information in 2023. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023; 51(D1):D29–D38. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac1032 PMID: 36370100
- Hastings J, Owen G, Dekker A, Ennis M, Kale N, Muthukrishnan V, et al. ChEBI in 2016: Improved services and an expanding collection of metabolites. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016; 44(D1):D1214–D1219. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1031 PMID: 26467479
- Cheng Y, Wang Y, Wang X. A causal relationship discovery-based approach to identifying active components of herbal medicine. Comput Biol Chem. 2006; 30(2):148–154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. compbiolchem.2005.11.003 PMID: 16542877
- Wang Y, Wang X, Cheng Y. A computational approach to botanical drug design by modeling quantitative composition-activity relationship. Chem Biol Drug Des. 2006; 68(3):166–172. <u>https://doi.org/10.</u> 1111/j.1747-0285.2006.00431.x PMID: 17062014
- Zhang Y, Rahman MM, Braylan A, Dang B, Chang HL, Kim H, et al. Neural Information Retrieval: A Literature Review. arXiv:1611.06792v3 [Preprint]. 2016 [cited 2017 Mar 3]. Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.06792v3 [Preprint]. 2016 [cited 2017 Mar 3]. Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.06792v3 [Preprint]. 2016 [cited 2017 Mar 3]. Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.06792v3 [Preprint]. 2016 [cited 2017 Mar 3]. Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.06792v3 [Preprint]. 2016 [cited 2017 Mar 3]. Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.06792v3
- Tong SYC, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG Jr. Staphylococcus aureus infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015; 28 (3):603–661. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00134-14 PMID: 26016486
- Wei CH, Allot A, Leaman R, Lu Z. PubTator central: automated concept annotation for biomedical full text articles. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019; 47(W1):W587–W593. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz389 PMID: 31114887
- Chassagne F, Samarakoon T, Porras G, Lyles JT, Dettweiler M, Marquez L, et al. A systematic review of plants with antibacterial activities: A taxonomic and phylogenetic perspective. Front Pharmacol. 2021; 11:586548. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.586548 PMID: 33488385
- Mikolov T, Chen K, Corrado G, Dean J. Efficient estimation of word representations in vector space. arXiv:1301.3781v3 [Preprint]. 2013 [cited 2013 Jan 16]. Available from: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv. 1301.3781
- 12. Vapnik VN. The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory. New York, USA: Springer; 1995.
- Ho TK. Random decision forests. In: Proceedings of 3rd international conference on document analysis and recognition. 1995. pp. 278–282.
- Hinton GE, Osindero S, Teh YW. A fast learning algorithm for deep belief nets. Neural Comput. 2006; 18(7):1527–1554. https://doi.org/10.1162/neco.2006.18.7.1527 PMID: 16764513
- Ash JR, Hughes-Oliver JM. Confidence bands and hypothesis tests for hit enrichment curves. J Cheminform. 2022; 14(1):50. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13321-022-00629-0 PMID: 35902962

- 16. Babushok VI, Linstrom PJ, Zenkevich IG. Retention indices for frequently reported compounds of plant essential oils. J Phys Chem Ref Data. 2011; 40:043101. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3653552
- Adams RP. Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. 3rd ed. Carol Stream, IL, USA:Allured Publishing Corp.; 1995.
- Committee on antimicrobial susceptibility testing, Japanese Society of Chemotherapy. [title in Japanese] Chemotherapy. 1990; 38:102–105.
- Figueiredo RDA, Ortega AC, Maldonado LAG, de Castro RD, Avila-Campos MJ, Rossa C, et al. Perillyl alcohol has antibacterial effects and reduces ROS production in macrophages. J Appl Oral Sci. 2020; 28:e20190519. https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-7757-2019-0519 PMID: 32348444
- Cottiglia F, Garau GLD, Floris C, Casu M, Pompei R, Bonsignore L. Antimicrobial evaluation of coumarins and flavonoids from the stems of Daphne gnidium L. Phytomedicine. 2001; 8(4):302–305. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1078/0944-7113-00036 PMID: 11515721
- Cermak P, Olsovska J, Mikyska A, Dusek M, Kadleckova Z, Vanicek J, et al. Strong antimicrobial activity of xanthohumol and other derivatives from hops (Humulus lupulus L.) on gut anaerobic bacteria. APMIS. 2017; 125(11):1033–1038. https://doi.org/10.1111/apm.12747 PMID: 28960474
- Bach QN, Hongthong S, Quach LT, Pham LV, Pham TV, Kuhakarn C, et al. Antimicrobial activity of rhodomyrtone isolated from Rhodomyrtus tomentosa (Aiton) Hassk. Nat Prod Res. 2020; 34(17):2518– 2523. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2018.1540479 PMID: 30600706
- Bazzaz BSF, Memariani Z, Khashiarmanesh Z, Iranshahi M, Naderinasab M. Effect of galbanic acid, a sesquiterpene coumarin from ferula szowitsiana, as an inhibitor of efflux mechanism in resistant clinical isolates of Staphylococcus aureus. Braz J Microbiol. 2010; 41(3):574–580. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/</u> S1517-83822010000300006 PMID: 24031531
- Favel A, Steinmetz MD, Regli P, Vidal-Ollivier E, Elias R, Balansard G. In vitro antifungal activity of triterpenoid saponins. Planta Med. 1994; 60(1):50–53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-959407</u> PMID: 8134417
- Cao Y, Xuan B, Peng B, Li C, Chai X, Tu P. The genus Lindera: a source of structurally diverse molecules having pharmacological significance. Phytochem Rev. 2016; 15:869–906. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-015-9432-2</u>
- Gibbons S. Anti-staphylococcal plant natural products. Nat Prod Rep. 2004; 21(2):263–277. https://doi. org/10.1039/b212695h PMID: 15042149
- 27. Reichling J, Suschke U, Schneele J, Geiss HK. Antibacterial activity and irritation potential of selected essential oil components—Structure-activity relationship. Nat Prod Commun. 2006; 1(11):1003–1012. https://doi.org/10.1177/1934578X0600101116
- Hinkson IV, Madej B, Stahlberg EA. Accelerating therapeutics for opportunities in medicine: A paradigm shift in drug discovery. Front Pharmacol. 2020; 11:770. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.00770</u> PMID: 32694991
- Mayr LM, Fuerst P. The future of high-throughput screening. J Biomol Screen. 2008; 13(6):443–448. https://doi.org/10.1177/1087057108319644 PMID: 18660458
- Henrich CJ, Beutler JA. Matching the power of high throughput screening to the chemical diversity of natural products. Nat Prod Rep. 2013; 30(10):1284–1298. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c3np70052f</u> PMID: 23925671
- Daynac M, Cortes-Cabrera A, Prieto JM. Application of Artificial Intelligence to the Prediction of the Antimicrobial Activity of Essential Oils. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2015; 2015:561024. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1155/2015/561024</u> PMID: 26457111
- El-Attar NE, Awad WA. Computational tool for optimizing the essential oils utilization in inhibiting the bacterial growth. Adv Appl Bioinform Chem. 2017; 10:65–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/AABC.S138944</u> PMID: 28919787
- Parvathaneni V, Kulkarni NS, Muth A, Gupta V. Drug repurposing: a promising tool to accelerate the drug discovery process. Drug Discov Today. 2019; 24(10):2076–2085. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.</u> 2019.06.014 PMID: 31238113
- **34.** Horikawa Y. Atlas of the Japanese flora, an introduction to plant sociology of east Asia. Tokyo, Japan: Gakken; 1972. p. 577 [in Japanese].
- Wada K, Matsui K, Enomoto Y, Ogiso O, Munakata K. Insect feeding inhibitors in plants part I. Isolation of three new sesquiterpenoids in Parabenzoin trilobum Nakai. Agric Biol Chem. 1970; 34(6):941–945. https://doi.org/10.1080/00021369.1970.10859708
- 36. Su YC, Hsu KP, Wang EIC, Ho CL. Composition, in vitro cytotoxic, and antimicrobial activities of the flower essential oil of Diospyros discolor from Taiwan. Nat Prod Commun. 2015; 10(7):1311–1314. https://doi.org/10.1177/1934578X1501000744 PMID: 26411038
- 37. Watanabe T, Goto M. Study on Japanese Cinnamon (II). Japan J Pharmacog. 1953; 6(1):35–37.

- Nitta A. Studies on commercial cinnamon and allied barks. X. On nikkei, Cinnamomum sieboldii MEISN., syn. C. loureirii auct. Japon non NEES. Chem Pharm Bull. 1987; 35(4):1464–1478. <u>https://doi.org/10.1248/cpb.35.1464</u>
- Henry S, McInnes BT. Literature Based Discovery: Models, methods, and trends. J Biomed Inform. 2017; 74:20–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2017.08.011 PMID: 28838802
- Lardos A, Aghaebrahimian A, Koroleva A, Sidorova J, Wolfram E, Anisimova M, et al. Computational Literature-based Discovery for Natural Products Research: Current State and Future Prospects. Front Bioinform. 2022; 2:827207. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbinf.2022.827207 PMID: 36304281
- **41.** Christenhusz MJM, Byng JW. The number of known plants species in the world and its annual increase. Phytotaxa. 2016; 261(3):201–217. https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.261.3.1
- Wink M. Evolution of secondary metabolites from an ecological and molecular phylogenetic perspective. Phytochemistry. 2003; 64(1):3–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0031-9422(03)00300-5 PMID: 12946402
- Pant P, Pandey S, Dall'Acqua S. The Influence of Environmental Conditions on Secondary Metabolites in Medicinal Plants: A Literature Review. Chem Biodivers. 2021; 18(11):e2100345. https://doi.org/10. 1002/cbdv.202100345 PMID: 34533273