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# Correction: Anaerobic capacity estimated by the sum of both oxygen equivalents from the glycolytic and phosphagen pathways is dependent on exercise mode: Running versus cycling 

Paulo Eduardo Redkva, Willian Eiji Miyagi, Fabio Milioni, Alessandro Moura Zagatto

In the abstract, the values of data are incorrect. The full corrected abstract should read: The purpose of this study was to verify whether the exercise modality (i.e., running and cycling) alters the magnitude of "anaerobic" capacity estimated by a single supramaximal effort $\left(\mathrm{AC}_{[\mathrm{La}]+E P O C f a s t}\right)$. Fourteen healthy men (age: $26 \pm 9$ years) underwent a maximum incremental test and a supramaximal effort to exhaustion at $115 \%$ of the intensity associated with maximal oxygen uptake to determine the $\mathrm{AC}_{[\mathrm{La}]+E P O C f a s t}$ (i.e., the sum of both oxygen equivalents from the glycolytic and phosphagen pathways), performed on both a treadmill and cycle ergometer. The maximal oxygen uptake during running was higher ( $\mathrm{p}=0.001$; large effect size) vs. cycling ( $49.2 \pm 3.8 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ vs. $44.7 \pm 5.7 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, respectively). Contrarily, the oxygen equivalent from the glycolytic metabolism was not different between exercise modalities $(p=0.133$; small effect size; running $=2.27 \pm 0.51 \mathrm{~L}$ and cycling $=2.33 \pm 0.49 \mathrm{~L})$. Furthermore, the "anaerobic" capacity was likely meaningfully ( $3.9 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{~L}$ and $54.1 \pm 6.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ ) and very likely meaningfully greater in running than cycling ( $3.6 \pm 0.7 \mathrm{~L}$ and $49.2 \pm 6.1 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}$ ). Additionally, the contribution of the phosphagen metabolism was higher ( $\mathrm{p}=0.001$; large effect size) for running compared to cycling ( $1.6 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~L}$ vs.1.3 $\pm 0.3 \mathrm{~L}$ respectively). Therefore, the "anaerobic" capacity estimated by the sum of both oxygen equivalents from the glycolytic and phosphagen pathways during a supramaximal effort is influenced by exercise modality and is able to identify the difference in phosphagen metabolic contribution, based on the methodological conditions of this study.
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