

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Vulnerable combinations of functional dopaminergic polymorphisms to late-onset treatment resistant schizophrenia

Kengo Oishi<sup>1\*</sup>, Nobuhisa Kanahara<sup>2</sup>, Masayuki Takase<sup>1,3</sup>, Yasunori Oda<sup>1</sup>, Yusuke Nakata<sup>1</sup>, Tomihisa Niitsu<sup>1</sup>, Masatomo Ishikawa<sup>1</sup>, Yasunori Sato<sup>4</sup>, Masaomi Iyo<sup>1</sup>

**1** Department of Psychiatry, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine, Chuo-ku, Chiba, Chiba, Japan, **2** Division of Medical Treatment and Rehabilitation, Chiba University Center for Forensic Mental Health, Chuo-ku, Chiba, Chiba, Japan, **3** Zucker Hillside Hospital, Glen Oaks, NY, United States of America, **4** Department of Global Clinical Research, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine, Chuo-ku, Chiba, Chiba, Japan

\* [kengo.oishi@chiba-u.jp](mailto:kengo.oishi@chiba-u.jp)



## Abstract

### Background

A significant portion of patients with schizophrenia who respond to initial antipsychotic treatment acquire treatment resistance. One of the possible pathogeneses of treatment-resistant schizophrenia (TRS) is antipsychotic-induced dopamine supersensitivity psychosis (Ai-DSP). Patients with this disease progression might share some genetic vulnerabilities, and thus determining individuals with higher risks of developing Ai-DSP could contribute to preventing iatrogenic development of TRS. Therefore, we decided to examine whether combinations of functional single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) known to affect dopaminergic functions are related to Ai-DSP development.

### Methods

In this case-control study, 357 Japanese participants diagnosed with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder were recruited and divided into two groups, those with and without Ai-DSP. As functional SNPs, we examined rs10770141 of the tyrosine hydroxylase gene, rs4680 of the catechol-O-methyltransferase gene, and rs1799732 and rs1800497 of the *DRD2* genes, which are known to possess strong directional ties to dopamine synthesis, dopamine degradation and post-synaptic DRD2 prevalence, respectively.

### Results

Among the 357 Japanese patients with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, 130 were classified as Ai-DSP(+) and the other 227 as Ai-DSP(-). Significantly higher proportions of Ai-DSP(+) patients were found to have the SNP combinations of rs10770141/rs4680 (57.9%, OR2.654, 95%CI1.036–6.787,  $P = 0.048$ ) and rs10770141/rs4680/rs1800497 (64.3%, OR4.230, 95%CI1.306–13.619,  $P = 0.029$ ). However, no single SNP was associated with Ai-DSP.

## OPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Oishi K, Kanahara N, Takase M, Oda Y, Nakata Y, Niitsu T, et al. (2018) Vulnerable combinations of functional dopaminergic polymorphisms to late-onset treatment resistant schizophrenia. PLoS ONE 13(11): e0207133. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207133>

**Editor:** Joohyung Lee, Hudson Institute, AUSTRALIA

**Received:** June 14, 2018

**Accepted:** October 25, 2018

**Published:** November 8, 2018

**Copyright:** © 2018 Oishi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Funding:** This study was partly funded by SENSHIN Medical Research Foundation, whereas the rest was fully supported by the internal fund. There was no additional external funding received for this study.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## Conclusions

We preliminarily found that carrying particular combinations of functional SNPs, which are related to relatively higher dopamine synthesis and dopamine degradation and lower naïve DRD2, might indicate vulnerability to development of Ai-DSP. However, further studies are needed to validate the present results.

## Introduction

Although 75% of first-episode schizophrenia patients positively respond to early antipsychotic medications [1, 2], a significant portion of them have been reported to become unresponsive to antipsychotics during pharmacotherapy [3–8]. One of the assumed pathogeneses of this late-onset treatment-resistant schizophrenia (TRS) is antipsychotic-induced dopamine supersensitivity psychosis (Ai-DSP), which may be associated with compensatory upregulation of dopamine D2 receptors (DRD2) due to over-blockade by antipsychotics [9–12]. It will be necessary to identify risk factors and prevention and treatment strategies for Ai-DSP in order to improve the outcomes of patients with schizophrenia [13].

DRD2 up-regulation is caused not only by blockade of DRD2 but also by dopamine depletion [14], suggesting its pathogenic complexity of Ai-DSP. There are various functional polymorphisms of genes associated with dopamine synthesis [15], dopamine degradation [16,17] and binding sites including DRD2 [18–21]. It has also been reported that multilocus genetic profiles of dopaminergic signaling might modulate the function of the striatum and working memory in schizophrenic patients [22]. Hence, we conjectured that these polymorphisms may determine the individual characteristics of dopaminergic function and affect psychotic symptoms, responses to antipsychotics and/or development of Ai-DSP.

Therefore, we conducted this first preliminary study to evaluate whether particular combinations of dopaminergic functional SNPs are associated with the development of Ai-DSP in patients with schizophrenia. In this study, we examined rs10770141 of the tyrosine hydroxylase (*TH*) gene [15], rs4680 of the catechol-O-methyltransferase (*COMT*) gene [16], and rs1799732 [18] and rs1800497 [19] of the *DRD2* gene. They are all functional SNPs, which have been reported to modify dopamine synthesis, dopamine degradation and dopamine receptors, respectively.

## Materials and methods

### Subjects

This case-control study included 357 patients who met DSM IV-TR criteria for schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. All the participants were Japanese and were seen at Chiba University Hospital or affiliated hospitals in the period from May 2001 to October 2014. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine and was performed in accord with the Helsinki Declaration. We obtained blood samples from all patients who provided written informed consent for the use of their DNA. The capability of patients to give informed consent was evaluated by the treating psychiatrist. Any patients who lacked adequate understanding of the study were excluded.

### Assessment of dopamine supersensitivity psychosis

We classified the patients with schizophrenia into two groups, i.e., with or without Ai-DSP episodes, by investigating their medical records. To determine the presence or absence of Ai-DSP

episodes, we followed previously used criteria [23,24]. Specifically, patients with any of the following three conditions were considered as Ai-DSP(+): 1) *Withdrawal psychosis*, an acute relapse or exacerbation of psychosis appearing within six weeks (oral medication) or three months (long-acting intramuscular injection) after dose reduction or discontinuation of antipsychotics; 2) *Development of tolerance to antipsychotics*, an acute relapse or exacerbation of psychosis, occurring independently of antipsychotic medication adjustment and remaining uncontrolled even by an increase in current antipsychotic dosages of 20%; and/or 3) *Tardive dyskinesia*. Participants who presented none of these conditions were classified as Ai-DSP(-).

Because Ai-DSP is, by definition, an iatrogenic consequence of antipsychotic treatment, we excluded patients experiencing their first psychotic episode. Hence, this study included only patients who had received at least one year of pharmacotherapy. In addition, the presence of comorbidities, such as substance abuse or dependencies, were also exclusion criteria.

### Single nucleotide polymorphisms and genotyping

We selected four SNPs to examine: rs10770141, rs4680, rs1799732 and rs1800497. Patients carrying the minor allele of rs10770141, i.e., T(+), have been reported to exhibit 30%-40% higher gene expression of *TH* compared to their T(-) counterparts [15]. rs4680 is a non-synonymous SNP, which causes the replacement of valine with methionine at residue 158 of COMT, resulting in almost 50%-75% reduction of the enzymatic activity of COMT [16]. Carriers and non-carriers of the methionine allele are expressed as Met(+) and Met(-), respectively. rs1799732, also known as -141C Insertion/Deletion and presented as Del(-) if the polymorphism is not present and Del(+) if it is, has been demonstrated to lower the gene expression of *DRD2* by 40% [18]. For rs1800497, imaging analyses by positron emission tomography revealed that individuals with the minor allele, i.e. A1(+), had 12% less availability of striatal DRD2 than those with the major allele, A1(-) [19].

For the determination of risk alleles, we considered how dynamic the concentration of dopamine at the synaptic cleft changes. Because higher dopamine synthesis and its degradation may lead to relatively rapid changes of the dopamine concentration at the synaptic cleft, we determined that T(+) of rs10770141 and Met(-) of rs4680 were risks. For DRD2, subjects with naïve lower density, i.e., Del(+) of rs1799732 and A1(+) of rs1800497, may need relatively lower doses of antipsychotics. However, they could also be at risk of over-blocking DRD2 because antipsychotics have a significantly longer half-life compared to endogenously released dopamine.

Whole blood samples were obtained from all the participants. A QIAamp DNA Blood Mini kit (250) (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) was used to isolate genomic DNA. Genotypes were determined by using TaqMan probe assays (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and ABI PRISM 7300 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). In order to perform all the procedures, we followed the protocols described in the manufacturer's manuals. All polymerase chain reactions were conducted by one cycle at 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles (rs10770141, rs4680 and rs1800497) or 60 cycles (rs1799732) at 92°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 60 seconds.

### Quality assessments

The quality of the study was evaluated by following the checklist of the Strengthening the Reporting of Genetic Association (STREGA) statement [25].

### Statistical analysis

We applied Student's *t*-test and Fisher's exact test for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. For combined SNP analyses, the patients with risk allele(s) for developing Ai-DSP

were compared to those lacking the risk allele(s). The risk alleles for rs10770141, rs4680, rs1799732 and rs1800497 were considered to be T(+), Met(-), Del(+) and A1(+), respectively. The 95% confidential intervals were estimated by Fisher’s exact test. All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS version 19.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY). The threshold for statistical significance was set at  $P < 0.05$ .

## Results

### Comparisons of study groups

Clinical characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 1. A total of 357 schizophrenia cases, consisting of 130 Ai-DSP(+) and 227 Ai-DSP(-) patients, were examined. The following data were missing: the genotypes of rs4680 for 2 Ai-DSP(+) and 3 Ai-DSP(-) patients and the chlorpromazine equivalent (CP-eq) doses of antipsychotics for 4 Ai-DSP(+) and 8 Ai-DSP(-) patients. There were no significant distributional differences in sex or age among Ai-DSP(+) patients [59 males and 71 females; mean age 52.1 years (standard deviation, SD = 15.2), range 21–86 years] and Ai-DSP(-) patients [116 males and 111 females; average age 49.6 years (SD = 15.5), range 16–92 years]. With respect to antipsychotic dosages, Ai-DSP(+) patients were found to be taking greater amounts [718.8 (SD = 448.6) CP-eq mg] than Ai-DSP(-) patients [519.6 (SD = 389.9) CP-eq mg]. Ai-DSP(+) patients also presented longer disease and treatment durations [28.7 (SD = 14.7) and 27.6 (SD = 15.2) years, respectively] than Ai-DSP(-) patients [23.2 (SD = 15.5) and 22.3 (SD = 15.5) years, respectively].

### Single SNP analyses

The numbers and proportions of Ai-DSP(+) patients among selected SNP groups ranged from 19 to 111 and from 34.0% to 46.3%, respectively. The smallest population, but the highest proportion, of Ai-DSP(+) was observed in T(+): 19 Ai-DSP(+) patients, comprising 46.3%. T(-) patients had the largest Ai-DSP(+) population of 111. The lowest rate of Ai-DSP(+), 34.0%, was observed in Met(+) patients. We failed to obtain the genotype of rs4680 from 5 individuals (1.4% of 357 participants): 2 Ai-DSP patients (1.5% of 130) and 3 Non-Ai-DSP (1.3% of 227). All the genetic distributions were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, as even the largest dissociation was 3.1% (S1 Table). None of these single allelic or genotyping distributions showed associations with developing Ai-DSP. The statistics for each allelic status, including the proportions of Ai-DSP(+) and odds ratios (OR), are shown in Table 2.

**Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients with schizophrenia.**

	Schizophrenia cases						P values
	Total (N = 357)		AI-DSP (N = 130)		Non-AI-DSP (N = 227)		
Sex, male / female	175 / 182		59 / 71		116 / 111		N.S. <sup>a</sup>
Age, mean (SD), years	50.5	(15.4)	52.1	(15.2)	49.6	(15.5)	N.S. <sup>b</sup>
Age range, years	16–92		21–86		16–92		—
CP equivalent dose, mean (SD), mg	593.6	(423.2)	718.0	(448.6)	519.6	(389.9)	0.000 <sup>b</sup>
Disease duration, mean (SD), years	25.3	(15.4)	28.7	(14.7)	23.2	(15.5)	0.001 <sup>b</sup>
Treatment duration, mean (SD), years	24.3	(15.6)	27.6	(15.2)	22.3	(15.5)	0.002 <sup>b</sup>

Abbreviations: AI-DSP, dopamine supersensitivity psychosis; CP, chlorpromazine; N.S., not significant

<sup>a</sup> Comparisons between two groups were performed using the chi-square test.

<sup>b</sup> Comparisons between two groups were performed using Student’s *t*-test.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207133.t001>

### Double SNP analyses

In double SNP analyses, there were 6 patterns of SNP combinations (also see Table 2). The numbers and proportions of AI-DSP(+) patients ranged from 7 [T(+); Del(+)] to 74 [T(-); Del(-)] and from 29.3% [Met(+); A1(-)] to 57.9% [T(+); Met(-)], respectively. An association

**Table 2. Result summary of statistical analyses for all patient categories.**

SNP ID	Subjects	Allele (%)		Proportion of AI-DSP(+), %		OR	95% Confidential Interval		P value <sup>a</sup>	
		Risk	Protective	Risk	Protective		Lower	Upper		
<b>Single SNP</b>										
rs10770141		T(+)		T(-)						
	AI-DSP	19	(11.5)	111	(88.5)	46.3	35.1	1.595	0.834 3.050	0.171
	Non-AI-DSP	22		205						
rs4680		Met(-)		Met(+)						
	AI-DSP	64	(46.6)	64	(53.4)	39.0	34.0	1.240	0.803 1.914	0.375
	Non-AI-DSP	100		124						
rs1800497		A1(+)		A1(-)						
	AI-DSP	76	(58.3)	54	(41.7)	36.5	36.2	1.013	0.655 1.567	1.000
	Non-AI-DSP	132		95						
rs1799732		Del(+)		Del(-)						
	AI-DSP	44	(31.4)	86	(68.6)	39.3	35.1	1.196	0.756 1.894	0.478
	Non-AI-DSP	68		159						
<b>Double SNP</b>										
rs10770141; rs4680		T(+);Met(-)		T(-);Met(+)						
	AI-DSP	11	(5.4)	57	(47.4)	57.9	34.1	2.654	1.036 6.787	<b>0.048</b>
	Non-AI-DSP	8		110						
rs10770141; rs1800497		T(+);A1(+)		T(-); A1(-)						
	AI-DSP	14	(7.6)	49	(37.8)	51.9	36.3	1.890	0.833 4.288	0.137
	Non-AI-DSP	13		86						
rs10770141; rs1799732		T(+);Del(+)		T(-); Del (-)						
	AI-DSP	7	(4.2)	74	(61.3)	46.7	33.8	1.715	0.621 4.738	0.401
	Non-AI-DSP	8		145						
rs4680; rs1800497		Met(-); A1 (+)		Met(+); A1 (-)						
	AI-DSP	34	(26.1)	22	(21.3)	37.0	29.3	1.412	0.738 2.701	0.326
	Non-AI-DSP	58		53						
rs4680; rs1799732		Met(-);Del (+)		Met(+);Del (-)						
	AI-DSP	19	(13.9)	40	(36.4)	38.8	31.3	1.393	0.706 2.752	0.375
	Non-AI-DSP	30		88						
rs1799732; rs1800497		Del (+);A1 (+)		Del (-); A1(-)						
	AI-DSP	26	(17.1)	36	(27.5)	42.6	36.7	1.279	0.669 2.449	0.505
	Non-AI-DSP	35		62						
<b>Triple SNP</b>										
rs10770141; rs4680; rs1800497		T(+);Met(-); A1(+)		T(-);Met(+); A1(-)						
	AI-DSP	9	(4.0)	20	(19.0)	64.3	29.9	4.230	1.306 13.619	<b>0.029</b>
	Non-AI-DSP	5		47						

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

SNP ID	Subjects	Allele (%)				Proportion of AI-DSP(+), %		OR	95% Confidential Interval		P value <sup>a</sup>
		Risk		Protective		Risk	Protective		Lower	Upper	
rs10770141; rs4680; rs1799732		T(+);Met(-);Del(+)		T(-);Met(+);Del(-)		T(+);Met(-);Del(+)	T(-);Met(+);Del(-)	2.786	0.759	10.202	0.152
	AI-DSP	5	(2.6)	35	(32.1)	55.6	31.0				
	Non-AI-DSP	4		78							
rs10770141; rs1800497; rs1799732		T(+);A1(+);Del(+)		T(-); A1(-); Del(-)		T(+);A1(+);Del(+)	T(-); A1(-);Del(-)	1.697	0.486	5.927	0.501
	AI-DSP	5	(2.8)	33	(24.9)	50.0	37.1				
	Non-AI-DSP	5		56							
rs4680; rs1800497; rs1799732		Met(-);A1(+);Del(+)		Met(+);A1(-);Del(-)		Met(-);A1(+);Del(+)	Met(+);A1(-);Del(-)	2.176	0.803	5.915	0.189
	AI-DSP	11	(7.1)	13	(13.9)	44.0	26.5				
	Non-AI-DSP	14		36							

Abbreviations: SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; AI-DSP, dopamine supersensitivity psychosis; OR, odds ratio; Met, methionine; Del, deletion.

<sup>a</sup>Comparisons between two groups were performed using the chi-square test.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207133.t002>

with increased Ai-DSP(+) was found in those who carried the risk allelic combination of rs10770141 and rs4680, i.e. T(+)/Met(-): 57.9%, OR 2.654, 95%CI 1.036–6.787,  $P = 0.048$ . The frequency of this combination was 5.4%. No further association was obtained in double SNP analyses.

### Triple SNP analyses

For triple SNP analyses, there were 4 observed patterns of SNP combinations (also see Table 2). The numbers and proportions of Ai-DSP(+) patients in each pattern ranged from 5 to 35 and from 26.5% to 64.3%, respectively. The T(+)/Met(-)/Del(+) and T(+)/A1(+)/Del(+) combinations were observed in the smallest numbers of Ai-DSP(+) patients: 5 patients for each. Those who carried T(-), Met(+) and Del(-), i.e., non-risk SNPs, made up the largest population, 35. The highest proportion of Ai-DSP(+) was presented by patients with T(+)/Met(-)/A1(+), and carrying this allelic combination was found to be strongly associated with development of Ai-DSP: 64.3%, OR 4.230, 95%CI 1.306–13.619,  $P = 0.029$ . This risk combination was found in 4.0% of all the subjects. The lowest Ai-DSP(+) rate was 26.5%, which was found in those who carried Met(+)/A1(-)/Del(-).

### Discussion

In this preliminary case-control study of 357 schizophrenia patients, we investigated the association between combinations of dopaminergic functional SNPs and Ai-DSP. One hundred thirty patients, 36.4%, were diagnosed as having Ai-DSP. There were significant demographic differences in antipsychotic drug exposure and duration of illness, which are possible risk factors for TD, a major criterion for diagnosing Ai-DSP. However, the finding that Ai-DSP(+) patients were taking higher doses of antipsychotics compared to Ai-DSP(-) patients was considered reasonable, since the former were classified as treatment-resistant, which by definition means they required increased antipsychotics to control psychotic symptoms. In addition, the potential inclusion of false negatives, i.e. pre-disease Ai-DSP patients, in the Ai-DSP(-) group



cannot be ignored but may have been limited, since the post-hoc analysis showed no significant difference in durations of illness between Ai-DSP(+) with TD and Ai-DSP(-) patients (S2 Table).

Associations of these functional SNPs with schizophrenia have been investigated previously, but the results have not been consistently significant [26–28]. In single SNP analysis, neither allelic nor genotypic association with Ai-DSP was observed. On the other hand, combined SNP analysis demonstrated that Ai-DSP(+) patients comprised significantly higher proportions of the T(+)/Met(-) and T(+)/Met(-)/A1(+) groups. The functional SNPs employed have directional influences on dopaminergic function, and combined analysis of these SNPs may partly indicate innate comprehensive dopaminergic characteristics. Patients with T(+) and Met(-) might be expected to show relatively higher dopamine synthesis and more rapid dopamine degradation, which also suggests that there are more dynamic alterations in dopamine concentrations at the synaptic cleft. In addition, having either Del(+) or A1(+) suggests the potential for lower naïve DRD2 density. If it could be shown that individuals who have these genetic factors are more likely to develop Ai-DSP, these biological characteristics might be related to its pathology.

The concept of Ai-DSP was first reported in the late 1970s [29] and has regained attention recently [2,11,13]. There have been arguments both for and against the idea [30,31]. However, it is true that clinical features of Ai-DSP are sometimes seen in cases of chronic schizophrenia [6,7]. Although several studies have reported specific pharmacotherapeutic approaches as being effective for preventing and/or improving Ai-DSP [11,23,32,33], an improved understanding of the mechanisms underlying Ai-DSP will further contribute to advances in treatment. In particular, identifying schizophrenic patients with higher risks at an early clinical stage would lead to better prognosis. The risk combinations of functional SNPs observed in this study may be useful as new biomarkers in clinical settings.

Although minor allele frequencies (MAFs) are one of the most important factors in our approach, some MAFs vary widely by ethnicity. For example, the T allele of rs10770141 had the lowest MAF, 5.7%, in our study (S1 Table), whereas the 1000 Genome Project reported its frequency as 38.2% in the American population [34]. The other MAFs found in this study were similar to those of the American populations reported by the project (SNP ID in the present study/1000 Genome Project: rs4680, 32.4%/37.8%; rs1800497, 36.3%/31.1%; rs1799732, 17.1%/15.7%) [35–37]. These ethnic influences on MAFs might suggest additional insights into the diversity in the occurrence of DSP and complexity of TRS treatments.

There are some limitations to consider. First, the sample size was not large enough to provide us with sufficient power for more convincing statistical analyses, leaving our study still exploratory. Our hope is that the findings of this preliminary report will bring insight to scientists and provide future chances for further validations. Second, Ai-DSP was diagnosed by descriptions found in the patients' medical records, which may be inadequate for a correct diagnosis or assessment of other modifiers such as potential biases and cofounders. Third, other genetic polymorphisms related to dopaminergic signals may be involved in Ai-DSP and should be observed. Clearly, prospective large-scale studies including multiethnic subjects are needed.

## Conclusions

We conducted a preliminary and exploratory study to investigate the association of Ai-DSP in schizophrenia with polymorphisms of genes related to dopamine transmission. We found significant associations between Ai-DSP and combinations of the genetic polymorphisms of *TH*, *COMT* and *DRD2*. The findings are important because of their potential to bring new insights to risk prediction and the development of new treatment methods for schizophrenia.

## Supporting information

**S1 Table. Genotypic distributions and comparison to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of all functional SNPs.** Abbreviations: SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; Met, methionine; Del, deletion; Ins, insertion; MAF, minor allele frequency. <sup>a</sup>The p values were obtained by using chi-square tests and not corrected for multiple testing.

(DOCX)

**S2 Table. Comparisons of disease and treatment durations among Ai-DSP(+) with/without TD and Ai-DSP(-) groups.** Abbreviations: TD, tardive dyskinesia; CP, chlorpromazine; AI-DSP, antipsychotic-induced dopamine supersensitivity psychosis; SD, standard deviation.

(DOCX)

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the patients, their families, and the helpful staff at all study sites.

## Author Contributions

**Conceptualization:** Kengo Oishi, Nobuhisa Kanahara, Masaomi Iyo.

**Data curation:** Kengo Oishi, Nobuhisa Kanahara, Masayuki Takase, Yasunori Oda, Yusuke Nakata, Tomihisa Niitsu, Masatomo Ishikawa, Masaomi Iyo.

**Funding acquisition:** Masaomi Iyo.

**Investigation:** Kengo Oishi, Masayuki Takase.

**Methodology:** Kengo Oishi, Masaomi Iyo.

**Project administration:** Kengo Oishi.

**Supervision:** Nobuhisa Kanahara, Yasunori Sato, Masaomi Iyo.

**Writing – original draft:** Kengo Oishi.

**Writing – review & editing:** Nobuhisa Kanahara, Masayuki Takase, Yasunori Oda, Yusuke Nakata, Tomihisa Niitsu, Masatomo Ishikawa, Yasunori Sato, Masaomi Iyo.

## References

1. Agid O, Arenovich T, Sajeev G, Zipursky RB, Kapur S, Foussias G, et al. An algorithm-based approach to first-episode schizophrenia: response rates over 3 prospective antipsychotic trials with a retrospective data analysis. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2011; 72(11):1439–1444. <https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.09m05785yel> PMID: 21457676
2. Chouinard G, Samaha AN, Chouinard VA, Peretti CS, Kanahara N, Takase M, et al. Antipsychotic-Induced Dopamine Supersensitivity Psychosis: Pharmacology, Criteria, and Therapy. *Psychother Psychosom*. 2017 Jun 24; 86(4):189–219. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000477313> PMID: 28647739
3. Sheitman BB, Lieberman JA. The natural history and pathophysiology of treatment resistant schizophrenia. *J Psychiatr Res*. 1998; 32(3–4):143–150. PMID: 9793867
4. Austin SF, Mors O, Budtz-Jørgensen E, Secher RG, Hjorthøj CR, Bertelsen M, et al. Long-term trajectories of positive and negative symptoms in first episode psychosis: A 10year follow-up study in the OPUS cohort. *Schizophr Res*. 2015 Oct; 168(1–2):84–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.07.021> PMID: 26265299
5. Lally J, Ajnakina O, Di Forti M, Trotta A, Demjaha A, Kolliakou A, et al. Two distinct patterns of treatment resistance: clinical predictors of treatment resistance in first-episode schizophrenia spectrum psychoses. *Psychol Med*. 2016 Nov; 46(15):3231–3240. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716002014> PMID: 27605254
6. Chouinard G, Chouinard VA. Atypical antipsychotics: CATIE study, drug-induced movement disorder and resulting iatrogenic psychiatric-like symptoms, supersensitivity rebound psychosis and withdrawal



- discontinuation syndromes. *Psychother Psychosom.* 2008; 77(2):69–77. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000112883> PMID: 18230939
7. Suzuki T, Kanahara N, Yamanaka H, Takase M, Kimura H, Watanabe H, et al. Dopamine supersensitivity psychosis as a pivotal factor in treatment-resistant schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res.* 2015 Jun 30; 227(2–3):278–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2015.02.021> PMID: 25863824
  8. Yamanaka H, Kanahara N, Suzuki T, Takase M, Moriyama T, Watanabe H, et al. Impact of dopamine supersensitivity psychosis in treatment-resistant schizophrenia: An analysis of multi-factors predicting long-term prognosis. *Schizophr Res.* 2016 Feb; 170(2–3):252–258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2016.01.013> PMID: 26775264
  9. Silvestri S, Seeman MV, Negrete JC, Houle S, Shammi CM, Remington GJ, et al. Increased dopamine D2 receptor binding after long-term treatment with antipsychotics in humans: a clinical PET study. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2000 Oct; 152(2):174–180.
  10. Tadokoro S, Okamura N, Sekine Y, Kanahara N, Hashimoto K, Iyo M. Chronic treatment with aripiprazole prevents development of dopamine supersensitivity and potentially supersensitivity psychosis. *Schizophr Bull.* 2012 Sep; 38(5):1012–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbr006> PMID: 21402722
  11. Iyo M, Tadokoro S, Kanahara N, Hashimoto T, Niitsu T, Watanabe H, Hashimoto K. Optimal extent of dopamine D2 receptor occupancy by antipsychotics for treatment of dopamine supersensitivity psychosis and late-onset psychosis. *J Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2013 Jun; 33(3):398–404. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JCP.0b013e31828ea95c> PMID: 23609386
  12. Seeman MV, Seeman P. Is schizophrenia a dopamine supersensitivity psychotic reaction? *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry.* 2014 Jan 3; 48:155–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpb.2013.10.003> PMID: 24128684
  13. Yin J, Barr AM, Ramos-Miguel A, Procyshyn RM. Antipsychotic Induced Dopamine Supersensitivity Psychosis: A Comprehensive Review. *Curr Neuropharmacol.* 2017; 15(1):174–183. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1570159X14666160606093602> PMID: 27264948
  14. Bychkov E, Ahmed MR, Dalby KN, Gurevich EV. Dopamine depletion and subsequent treatment with L-DOPA, but not the long-lived dopamine agonist pergolide, enhances activity of the Akt pathway in the rat striatum. *J Neurochem.* 2007; 102(3):699–711. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2007.04586.x> PMID: 17630981
  15. Horiguchi M, Ohi K, Hashimoto R, Hao Q, Yasuda Y, Yamamori H, et al. Functional polymorphism (C-824T) of the tyrosine hydroxylase gene affects IQ in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2014 Jun; 68(6):456–462. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pcn.12157> PMID: 24417771
  16. Weinshilboum RM, Otterness DM, Szumlanski C. Methylation pharmacogenetics: catechol O-methyltransferase, thiopurine methyltransferase, and histamine N-methyltransferase. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol.* 1999; 39:19–52. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pharmtox.39.1.19> PMID: 10331075
  17. Bhakta SG, Zhang JP, Malhotra AK. The COMT Met158 allele and violence in schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. *Schizophr Res.* 2012 Sep; 140(1–3):192–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2012.06.026> PMID: 22784685
  18. Arinami T, Gao M, Hamaguchi H, Toru M. A functional polymorphism in the promoter region of the dopamine D2 receptor gene is associated with schizophrenia. *Hum Mol Genet.* 1997 Apr; 6(4):577–582. PMID: 9097961
  19. Pohjalainen T, Rinne JO, Nägren K, Lehtikoinen P, Anttila K, Syvälahti EK, et al. The A1 allele of the human D2 dopamine receptor gene predicts low D2 receptor availability in healthy volunteers. *Mol Psychiatry.* 1998 May; 3(3):256–260. PMID: 9672901
  20. Miura I, Zhang JP, Hagi K, Lencz T, Kane JM, Yabe H, et al. Variants in the DRD2 locus and antipsychotic-related prolactin levels: A meta-analysis. *Psychoneuroendocrinology.* 2016 Oct; 72:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2016.06.002> PMID: 27333159
  21. Lawford BR, Barnes M, Swagell CD, Connor JP, Burton SC, Heslop K, et al. DRD2/ANKK1 Taq1A (rs1800497 C>T) genotypes are associated with susceptibility to second generation antipsychotic-induced akathisia. *J Psychopharmacol.* 2013 Apr; 27(4):343–348. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881112463469> PMID: 23118020
  22. Wang Chao, Liu Bing, Zhang Xiaolong, Cui Yue, Yu Chunshui & Jiang Tianzi. Multilocus genetic profile in dopaminergic pathway modulates the striatum and working memory. *Sci Rep.* 2018 Mar 29; 8(1):5372. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23191-y> PMID: 29599495
  23. Kimura H, Kanahara N, Komatsu N, Ishige M, Muneoka K, Yoshimura M, et al. A prospective comparative study of risperidone long-acting injectable for treatment-resistant schizophrenia with dopamine supersensitivity psychosis. *Schizophr Res.* 2014 May; 155(1–3):52–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2014.02.022> PMID: 24667073
  24. Chouinard G. Severe cases of neuroleptic-induced supersensitivity psychosis. Diagnostic criteria for the disorder and its treatment. *Schizophr Res.* 1991 Jul-Aug; 5(1):21–33. PMID: 1677263

25. Little J, Higgins JPT, Ioannidis JPA, Moher D, Gagnon F, von Elm E et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Genetic Association Studies (STREGA)—an extension of the STROBE statement. *Genet Epidemiol*. 2009; 33: 581–598. <https://doi.org/10.1002/gepi.20410> PMID: 19278015
26. Li D, He L. Meta-analysis shows association between the tryptophan hydroxylase (TPH) gene and schizophrenia. *Hum Genet*. 2006 Aug; 120(1):22–30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-006-0190-5> PMID: 16741719
27. Farrell MS, Werge T, Sklar P, Owen MJ, Ophoff RA, O'Donovan MC, et al. Evaluating historical candidate genes for schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2015 May; 20(5):555–62. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2015.16> PMID: 25754081
28. Noble EP. D2 dopamine receptor gene in psychiatric and neurologic disorders and its phenotypes. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. 2003 Jan 1; 116B(1):103–125. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.10005> PMID: 12497624
29. Chouinard G, Jones BD: Schizophrenia as dopamine-deficiency disease. *Lancet* 1978; 2:99–100. PMID: 78321
30. Murray RM, Quattrone D, Natesan S, van Os J, Nordentoft M, Howes O, et al. Should psychiatrists be more cautious about the long-term prophylactic use of antipsychotics? *Br J Psychiatry*. 2016 Nov; 209(5):361–365. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.116.182683> PMID: 27802977
31. Goff DC, Falkai P, Fleischhacker WW, Girgis RR, Kahn RM, Uchida H, et al. The Long-Term Effects of Antipsychotic Medication on Clinical Course in Schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2017; 174(9):840–849. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.16091016> PMID: 28472900
32. Kimura H, Kanahara N, Sasaki T, Komatsu N, Ishige M, Muneoka K, et al. Risperidone long-acting injectable in the treatment of treatment-resistant schizophrenia with dopamine supersensitivity psychosis: Results of a 2-year prospective study, including an additional 1-year follow-up. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2016; 30(8):795–802. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881116655978> PMID: 27371496
33. Tachibana M, Niitsu T, Watanabe M, Hashimoto T, Kanahara N, Ishikawa M, et al. Effectiveness of bionanserin for patients with drug treatment-resistant schizophrenia and dopamine supersensitivity: A retrospective analysis. *Asian J Psychiatr*. 2016 Dec; 24:28–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2016.08.014> PMID: 27931902
34. The National Center for Biotechnology Information: The database of Short Genetic Variations, dbSNP (Assay ID: ss1339835218). [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp\\_ss.cgi?ss=ss1339835218](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp_ss.cgi?ss=ss1339835218). Accessed July 26, 2017.
35. The National Center for Biotechnology Information: The database of Short Genetic Variations, dbSNP (Assay ID: ss1366683122). [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp\\_ss.cgi?ss=ss1366683122](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp_ss.cgi?ss=ss1366683122). Accessed July 26, 2017.
36. The National Center for Biotechnology Information: The database of Short Genetic Variations, dbSNP (Assay ID: ss1343024241). [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp\\_ss.cgi?ss=ss1343024241](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp_ss.cgi?ss=ss1343024241). Accessed July 26, 2017.
37. The National Center for Biotechnology Information: The database of Short Genetic Variations, dbSNP (Assay ID: ss1371532355). [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp\\_ss.cgi?ss=ss1371532355](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp_ss.cgi?ss=ss1371532355). Accessed July 26, 2017.