A Phylogeny of the Family Poritidae (Cnidaria, Scleractinia) Based on Molecular and Morphological Analyses

Yuko F. Kitano^{1,2}, Francesca Benzoni³, Roberto Arrigoni³, Yoshihisa Shirayama^{1,4}, Carden C. Wallace⁵, Hironobu Fukami²*

1 Seto Marine Biological Laboratory, Field Science and Education Center, Kyoto University, Shirahama, Wakayama, Japan, 2 Department of Marine Biology and Environmental Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Miyazaki, Miyazaki, Japan, 3 Deptartment of Biotechnology and Biosciences, University of Milano-Bicocca, Milan, Italy, 4 Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Kanagawa, Japan, 5 Museum of Tropical Queensland, Townsville, Queensland, Australia

Abstract

The family Poritidae formerly included 6 genera: Alveopora, Goniopora, Machadoporites, Porites, Poritipora, and Stylaraea. Morphologically, the genera can be differentiated based on the number of tentacles, the number of septa and their arrangement, the length of the polyp column, and the diameter of the corallites. However, the phylogenetic relationships within and between the genera are unknown or contentious. On the one hand, Alveopora has been transferred to the Acroporidae recently because it was shown to be more closely related to this family than to the Poritidae by previous molecular studies. On the other hand, Goniopora is morphologically similar to 2 recently described genera, Machadoporites and Poritipora, particularly with regard to the number of septa (approximately 24), but they have not yet been investigated at the molecular level. In this study, we analyzed 93 samples from all 5 poritid genera and Alveopora using 2 genetic markers (the barcoding region of the mitochondrial COI and the ITS region of the nuclear rDNA) to investigate their phylogenetic relationships and to revise their taxonomy. The reconstructed molecular trees confirmed that Alveopora is genetically distant from all poritid genera but closely related to the family Acroporidae, whereas the other genera are genetically closely related. The molecular trees also revealed that Machadoporites and Poritipora were indistinguishable from Goniopora. However, Goniopora stutchburyi was genetically isolated from the other congeneric species and formed a sister group to Goniopora together with Porites and Stylaraea, thus suggesting that 24 septa could be an ancestral feature in the Poritidae. Based on these data, we move G. stutchburyi into a new genus, Bernardpora gen. nov., whereas Machadoporites and Poritipora are merged with Goniopora.

Citation: Kitano YF, Benzoni F, Arrigoni R, Shirayama Y, Wallace CC, et al. (2014) A Phylogeny of the Family Poritidae (Cnidaria, Scleractinia) Based on Molecular and Morphological Analyses. PLoS ONE 9(5): e98406. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098406

Editor: Dorothee Huchon, Tel-Aviv University, Israel

Received December 1, 2013; Accepted April 28, 2014; Published May 28, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Kitano et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: Financial support was provided by a grant to H. F. from Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (No. 223070033)and by Sasagawa Science Research grant from the Japan science society (23–515). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: hirofukami@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp

Introduction

The family Poritidae Gray, 1842 is distributed throughout the tropics [1] and includes over 140 nominal species [2], some of which are among the major coral reef framework builders. The family formerly included 6 extant genera (currently *Alveopora* is not included), 3 of which are species-rich [1]—Porites Link, 1807 (73 species), Goniopora de Blainville, 1830 (31 spp.), and Alveopora de Blainville, 1830 (18 spp.)—and 3 are monospecific—Stylaraea Milne Edwards & Haime, 1851, Poritipora Veron, 2000, and Machadoporites Nemésio, 2005. These last 3 are rare or restricted to peculiar environmental conditions or have a limited geographic distribution. Conversely, the 3 major genera are common throughout the Indo-Pacific, with Porites extending into the Atlantic, from tropical to temperate regions. The biological, ecological and biometeorological studies such as climate change using Porites are well known [3–7], and this genus has been studied extensively at the molecular level in comparison with other coral genera [8–10] although it is still very difficult to divide Porites into

discrete species in some cases (e.g., P. lutea and P. lobata)[9] due to the tiny but highly variable morphological characters used in the classification. In contrast, there are very few ecological and molecular studies of other Poritidae [11,12], with the exception of our recent work showing the phylogenetic relationships of several species of *Goniopora* [13].

There has been some dispute over the classification of genera in the Poritidae since the revision by Dana [14]. In particular, the position of Alveopora has been contentious [15]. Vaughan and Wells [16] reinstated *Alveopora* in the Poritidae because of its similarity to Goniopora in ecology and polyp behavior, although in listing the skeletal characteristics of the genus, Wells [17] qualified most as ''except in Alveopora''. Alveopora may be confused with Goniopora in the field because the polyps of both genera have very long columns, a character not shared with other genera, and these are usually elongated, obscuring the skeleton. As Veron and Pichon [15] noted, *Goniopora* polyps have 24 tentacles whereas *Alveopora* polyps have 12 tentacles. Moreover, they state that ''no east

Australian Alveopora shows any sign of having either of the patterns of septal fusion found in Porites or Goniopora.'' In support of this argument, recent molecular studies have indicated that Alveopora is genetically distant from Porites and Goniopora [18,19], although only a few specimens and species from each genus were analyzed in these studies. Based only on the evidence from these published genetic works, Alveopora was transferred from the Poritidae to the Acroporidae Verrill, 1902 by Dai and Horng [20], albeit without any formal diagnosis or discussion. Recently the characters of Alveopora have been discussed in relation to the Acroporidae [21,22].

Goniopora is easily distinguishable from other genera in the Poritidae, with 3 septal cycles and 24 septa (vs. 2 septal cycles and 12 septa for Porites, Alveopora, and Stylaraea) and larger corallites [15]. Machadoporites has 15–22 septa and hence fewer than Goniopora [23]. Poritipora skeletal morphology is quite similar to that of Goniopora due to the presence of 24 septa, but the former has smaller corallites and only 2 septal cycles [24]. Stylaraea is the only monospecific poritid genus to have been studied since its description. One ecological study [25] and a few morphological studies [26] have been reported for Stylaraea. Stylaraea punctata is possibly the smallest colonial zooxanthellate scleractinian coral and has been reported as a brooder [25]. This genus superficially resembles *Porites*, but is distinguished from it by reduced septation without the *Porites* pattern of fusion (e.g. septal triplet), and the absence of pali, although its phylogenetic position in the Poritidae has not been studied.

Recent molecular phylogenetic analyses of scleractinian corals [19,27–31] revealed that molecular-based phylogenetic relationships sometimes conflict with traditional macromorphology-based taxonomy. This indicates that the current, common identifying characters within a family or genus of scleractinian corals do not always reflect their phylogenetic relationships. One such inconsistency is seen in the relationship between Atlantic and Indo-Pacific Faviidae Gregory, 1900 [27] (but see [32] for the taxonomic revision). Despite such conflicts, there are also many cases in which molecular data are consistent with traditional taxonomy. Moreover, some morphological characters previously not considered key characters have been found to be effective as diagnostics for the phylogenetic relationships in several cases [32–34]. Overall, most molecular studies conclude that molecular analysis is useful in discerning the relationships of species or genera and inferring the phylogeny. Forsman et al. [9] investigated the molecular-based phylogenetic relationships among species of Porites, using mainly the entire internal transcribed spacer region (ITS) of the nuclear ribosomal DNA. Their results showed that most species of Porites were clearly distinguishable genetically, highlighting the usefulness of ITS markers in inferring relationships in Porites at the species level.

In this study, we assess the relationship of all 5 genera in the Poritidae with *Alveopora* to revise the taxonomy, and infer the morphological changes in the evolutional lineage in this family, using both molecular and morphological analysis. Also to assess phylogenetic variation in the regional and species differences, the present study examines a large number of specimens collected with broad geographic ranges from mainly Japan water to the Indian Ocean, covering most of common species and some uncommon and rare species, together with the genetic data of Porites spp. from Forsman et al. [9].

Materials and Methods

Collection

Most specimens (approximately 10 cm^3 in size) were collected from 17 sites in Japan (Fig. 1). Additionally some samples were collected from Malaysia, and western Indian Ocean (Table 1). All Japanese sampling (AK, AM, AO, IK, IR, IS, KK, KS, MI, MO, OT, OU, SO, SR, SS, TN, TR; see Fig. 1) was performed in the frame of research projects by Japanese Society for Coral Taxonomy or by associate prof. H. Fukami at University of Miyazaki with sampling permission from each local government in Japan. Malaysia (PEN; see Fig. 1) sampling has taken place by local staffs in non-marine protected area, Songsong Island, under the permission of the research project by prof. Zulfigar Yasin and prof. Aileen Tan at Universiti Sains Malaysia. All western Indian Ocean sampling was also performed in the frame of research projects for which a sampling permission was delivered by local authorities and samples were shipped with CITES permits. AD, BA, BU, DJ, and MU are all sites in Yemen (Fig. 1). There, sampling has taken place in several missions and regular sampling permits were issued by Yemen Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in Sana'a. Moreover, EPA staff supervised the activities in the field at all times. MY is Mayotte Island (Fig. 1). Sampling permits there were issued by the Direction de l'Agriculture et de la Foret de Mayotte, Service Environnement et Foret and by the Maritime Affairs Office. DJ are samples from Djibouti (Fig. 1) taken during the Tara Oceans expedition and the sampling permits were delivered by the Aménagement du Territoire et de l'Environnement de Djibouti. Photos of each specimen were taken in the field (particularly for living polyps) and the depth and habitat were recorded. After collection, a small piece of each specimen was removed for use in DNA extraction (see below), and the remaining sample was bleached to investigate the skeletal morphology for species identification.

Species identification

Species identification of Goniopora and Alveopora is difficult due to very limited skeletal characters and highly variable skeletal and polyp morphologies. The best solution to this common problem in the scleractinian corals is detailed analyses of the type material of each species [28,29]. In order to minimize the risk of misidentification in this study, we firstly made lists of characters for species identification for these two genera (Tables S1, and S2) using the original descriptions and related references [1,14,15,26,35–60]. These tables were used to identify our specimens to species, examining the skeletal morphology of each specimen using a VHX-1000 digital microscope (Keyence) or stereoscopic microscope. Traditionally, species identification of *Goniopora* and Alveopora is based on skeletal characters, but recently Veron and Pichon [15], Nishihira and Veron [59], and Veron [1] added polyp characters to support species identification. Therefore, we also considered polyp characters along with skeletal characters for species identification in this study. The skeletal specimens collected in Japan are retained at University of Miyazaki (MUFS) or Seto Marine Biological Laboratory (SMBL). Skeletal specimens collected in Malaysia are deposited at Universiti Sains Malaysia (MSL/USM), and skeletal specimens collected in western Indian Ocean are at University of Milano-Bicocca (UNIMIB).

Genetic analyses

A small sample (less than 1 cm^3) of each specimen was put in CHAOS solution to dissolve the tissues or fixed in 99% ethanol. Total DNA was extracted from CHAOS solution using the phenol/chloroform extraction method [61], and from the coral

Figure 1. Map of sampling locations for this study. A: Indian and Pacific Ocean, B: southern Red Sea, Gulf of Tadjoura and Gulf of Aden; C-E: main island of Japan and Ryukyu archipelago. AD: Aden, Yemen, AK: Akajima Island, Japan, AM: Amakusa, Japan, AO: Amami-Oshima, Japan, BA: Bir Ali, Yemen, BU: Al Mukallah, Yemen, DJ: Djibouti, IK: Iki Island, Japan, IR: Iriomote Island or Hatoma Island, Japan, IS: Ishigaki Island or Taketomi Island, Japan, KA: Kamaran Islands, Yemen, KK: Kikai Island, Japan KS: Kushimoto, Japan, MI: Miyako Island, Japan, MY: Mayotte Island, France, OT: Ootuki, Japan, OU: Oura bay, Japan, PEN: Song song Island, Malaysia, SO: Suou-Oshima, Japan, SR: Shirahama, Japan, SS: Sesoko Island, Japan, TN: Tanegashima Island, Japan, TR: Nakanoshima Island, Japan. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098406.g001

tissues preserved in ethanol using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen). The barcoding region of the mitochondrial Cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) was amplified by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the primers ZCO1 and ZCO1R [9]. The nuclear ribosomal ITS region (ITS) including the $3'$ end of the 18s $rRNA$, ITS-1, 5.8s, ITS-2, and the 5' end of the 28s $rRNA$ was also amplified by PCR using the primers 1S and 2SS [62]. The PCR condition for these two markers was 94° C for 30 seconds followed by 30 or 35 cycles at 94° C for 30 seconds, 55° C or 60° C for 45 seconds, and 72° C for 90 seconds, with a final phase of 72° C for 5 minutes. For the mitochondrial region, PCR products were treated with Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (SAP) and Exonuclease I (ExoI) at 37° C for 40 minutes followed by 80° C for 20 minutes. The DNA sequences were then determined via a direct sequence method, using ABI3730 or ABI310 sequencer. PCR products of the nuclear marker were also directly sequenced, but when obtained sequences had more than double peaks in the chromatogram, they were sub-cloned into TA-vector (Promega) or TOPO10 (Invitrogen) and sequenced using ABI3730 or ABI310.

All DNA sequences obtained in this study were submitted to DDBJ (accession No. AB906942–AB907101, listed in Table 1).

A total of 15 COI and 26 ITS Goniopora sequences were taken from our previous study ([13], see Table S3). Also, a number of sequences were downloaded from GenBank/DDBJ and included in the molecular analyses. For COI analysis, 30 sequences from 18 species of *Porites* ([9], Table S3), one sequence (AB441211 [19]) from Siderastrea siderea in the family Siderastreidae Vaughan an Wells, 1943, two sequences (AB441201–AB441202 [19]) from Galaxea fascicularis in the family Euphylliidae (Alloiteau, 1952), and two sequences (AB441216–AB441217 [19]) from Pavona spp. in the family Agariciidae Gray, 1847 (species name of AB441216 is registered as P. cactus in DDBJ, but it is revised P. decussata as the results of the reexamination of the skeleton). Also, in the family Acroporidae, four sequences (AF338425, AY451340–AY451342 [63,64]) from three species of Acropora, one sequence (AY903296 [65]) from *Montipora cactus* and one sequence (AY903295 [65]) from Anacropora matthai were used. For ITS, 67 sequences from 18 species of *Porites* from previous study ([9], see Table S3) were used. Because there are many sequences of Porites for COI and ITS Table 1. List of samples examined in this study and the accession numbers of DNA sequences.

Table 1. Cont.

Dash means no data.

Asterisk shows accession number referred from Kitano et al. [13]. Note that more than one ITS sequences were obtained by sub-cloning from a single specimen in several samples while ITS from other samples were determined by direct sequencing of PCR products. Museum abbreviations are as follows: MSL/USM: Universiti Sains Malaysia, MUFS: University of Miyazaki, Department of Fisheries Science (= Department of Marine Biology and Environmental Science), Japan, SMBL: Seto Marine Biological Laboratory, Kyoto University, Japan, and UNIMIB: University of Milano-Bicocca, Department of Biotechnology and Biosciences, Italy. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098406.t001

registered in GenBank, the sequences of representative species from each of the different phylogenetic groups reported by Forsman et al. [9], were selected for this study. Finally, as Fukami et al. [19] and Kitahara et al. [31] showed that the family Dendrophylliidae Gray, 1847 is genetically closely related to the family Poritidae, specimens of Turbinaria peltata (family Dendrophylliidae) from Japan were also sampled for this study.

Molecular phylogenetic analysis

Electropherograms and DNA sequences were checked and edited using Sequencher (Gene Code Co.) and SeeView 4.3.0 [66]. DNA sequences were aligned with MAFFT 7 [67] using the L-INS-i option. Then, all sites with indels and several sites with alignment ambiguities were excluded manually from the subsequent analyses. Two aligned DNA datasets (COI and ITS) used in this study are shown in supplementary information (Datasets S1, S2). Pairwise genetic distances were calculated as p-distance using MEGA 4.0.2 [68]. Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed by neighbor-joining (NJ) and maximum likelihood (ML). For NJ, PAUP* 4.0b10 [69] was used to infer the topologies for both COI and the ITS markers using Kimura 2-parameter model [70] and to conduct bootstrap analysis (1000 replicates). For ML, we assumed a model of nucleotide evolution obtained by using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) as implemented in MrModeltest 2.2 [71]. The most appropriate models of nucleotide evolution were TrN with invariant (I) and gamma (G) parameters (TrN+I+G) for the COI marker, and TrNef +I+G for ITS marker. PAUP* was used to reconstruct a best ML tree using a heuristic search and the tree-bisection-reconnection branch swapping method. GARLI (Genetic Algorithm for Rapid Likelihood Inference) 0.951 [72] was preferred to PAUP* for the bootstrap estimation as the former is less time consuming. Using GARLI, optimal ML topologies were searched with default setting using the models selected by MrModeltest (TrN+I+G for COI, TrNef +I+G for ITS) and bootstrap analyses (500 replicates) were conducted for each marker. MrBayes 3.2.2 [73] was also used to conduct Bayesian analyses under the same models. Four parallel chains of $1-4\times10^{6}$ generations were run for each marker. Trees were sampled every 100 generations, and the initial 25% of the total trees as burn-in were discarded. The remaining trees were pooled to produce a 50% majority rule consensus tree. The average standard deviation of split frequencies after 4×10^6 generations was 0.002069 for COI, and ones after 2.4×10^6 generations was 0.009967 for ITS. All topologies obtained in these analyses were quite similar, so that only the ML tree inferred using PAUP* is used in this study.

In addition, we combined COI and ITS data and analyzed them with same methods as each marker using the GTR+I+G model for the nucleotide substitution (the average standard deviation of split frequencies after 1.0×10^6 generations was 0.009909).

Nomenclature Acts

The electronic edition of this article conforms to the requirements of the amended International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, and hence the new names contained herein are available under that Code from the electronic edition of this article. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix ''<http://zoobank.org/>''. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub: 6975D790-3A4F-466A-ABFA-D922E6675B4B. The electronic edition of this work was published in a journal with an ISSN, and has been archived and is available from the following digital repositories: PubMed Central, LOCKSS.

Results

Species identification

Twenty samples of Alveopora and 58 samples of Goniopora were analyzed in this study (Table 1). Although a few species have species-specific polyps, such as *Goniopora albiconus*, polyp characters vary greatly in the field. For example, terete tentacles, a typical polyp character of G. tenuidens, are also seen in G. burgosi.

All 7 specimens of Stylaraea punctata were found in very shallow water (1 m) on a sandy beach in Aakajima Island, Okinawa, Japan (Fig. 1). Notably, all of them were attached to dead coral skeletons of the genus Acropora. Their size is very small (less 1 cm) and they have only 5 or 6 corallites. Tentacle and septal numbers were both 12 in all of them (Fig. 2A–D).

Poritipora paliformis Veron, 2000 has 24 septa with typically 2 septal cycles (long and short), 6 pali and no columella reported in the literature [24]. Two samples we collected in Taketomi Island, Japan (first record in the Pacific Ocean) had no elongating polyps in the field and had a cellular appearance (Fig. 3A), which is a feature of P. paliformis, as shown in Veron [1,24]. The skeletal morphologies are also consistent in the literature, although the second cycle is not well developed in some corallites; however, many had 24 septa with 2 cycles (Fig. 3B). Therefore, we identified these 2 samples as P. paliformis. This species was described in Veron [1] without designating type material, and then it was redescribed [24] designating the holotype. However, the hototype of this species is not valid following ICZN [74], and the specimens listed in Veron [1] are regarded as part of the syntype series. Therefore,

Figure 2. Polyp and skeleton characters of Stylaraea punctata and Bernardpora stutchburyi. A-B. Stylaraea punctata AK93, MUFS YFK1244, Akajima Island, Japan. C–D. S. punctata AK92, MUFS YFK1243, Akajima Island, Japan. E–H. Bernardpora stutchburyi SS21G MUFS YFK220, Sesoko, Japan. Living specimen for whole colonies (A, E) and polyps (B, F), corallite structures (C, G), and star-shaped columella (D, H). Arrows show columella. Bars show 1 mm for (C) and (G), and 0.5 mm for (D) and (H). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098406.g002

the holotype of this species listed in Veron [24] is to be considered a lectotype.

Four specimens collected in the Gulf of Aden (Fig. 3EF), which is near the type locality of Machadoporites tantillus (Claereboudt & Al-Amri, 2004), were identified as M. tantillus because they are consistent with the original description of this species [23].

Diagnostic morphological characters among genera in the family Poritidae are summarized in Table 2.

COI phylogeny

We obtained 69 COI sequences from all 5 genera in the Poritidae with Alveopora, 3 sequences from Turbinaria peltata and Astreopora spp., and one from Montipora venosa (Table 1). A total of 473 positions were used (120 polymorphic sites with 109 informative sites) and no indels were observed. A phylogenetic tree was reconstructed using these data, including sequences from GenBank/DDBJ (see Methods). Siderastrea siderea was used as an outgroup, based on the phylogenetic position of the Scleractinia shown by Fukami et al. [19].

Figure 3. Polyp and skeleton characters of 'Poritipora' paliformis, 'Machadoporites' tantillus and morphologically related species. Living specimens and corallite structures for P. paliformis IS48, MUFS YFK959, Taketomi, Japan (A, B) and M. tantillus AD068, UNIMBI AD068, Aden, Yemen (E, F), respectively. Corallite structures of holotypes of P. paliformis MTQ G55857 (C) and Goniopora minor NHMUK 1934.5.14.436 No. 56 (D). Corallites structures of G. burgosi OT6, MUFS YFK286, Otsuki, Japan (G) and G. pendulus TN11, MUFS YFK243, Tanegashima, Japan (H) from Japan water, as examples of corallites with less 24 septa. Bars show 1 mm. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098406.g003

The COI phylogenetic tree showed that all 18 species of *Porites* are monophyletic. Moreover, the 13 Goniopora species we examined in this tree are also monophyletic, with the notable exception of G. stutchburyi (Fig. 4). This species and Stylaraea punctata are sister taxa (Fig. 2), and together they form a sister group to Porites. Machadoporites tantillus and Poritipora paliformis are nested within Goniopora (except G. stutchburyi). On the one hand, within the Goniopora phylogeny, M. tantillus forms a clade with the western Indian Ocean species G. somaliensis and G. cf. somaliensis. On the other hand, P. paliformis forms a clade with G. minor and G. columna, although G. somaliensis and G. minor are polyphyletic. Notably, all G. somaliensis from Japan are genetically distant from the G. somaliensis specimens from the western Indian Ocean. Uncorrected genetic pdistances between G. stutchburyi and Porites and between G. stutchburyi and the remaining Goniopora spp. were very similar (approximately 0.02).

All samples of Alveopora are genetically distant from all other poritids (p-distance 0.08–0.10), but closely related to the family Acroporidae (0.06). The phylogenetic position of Alveopora is unclear due to low bootstrap values, but it forms a sister group Table 2. Comparison of the diagnostic morphological characters between the previous classifications and the classification used in this study in the family Poritidae.

¹Specific septal pattern for Porites, ²3rd septa turn into 2nd septa (=irregular reducing of gonioporoid pattern), ³Gonioporoid pattern.

*including Poritipora and Machadoporites as junior synonym.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098406.t002

with Astreopora spp. In addition, sequences from T. peltata (family Dendrophylliidae) form a sister clade of all poritids except Alveopora and are positioned between Alveopora and the other poritids.

ITS phylogeny

We obtained a total of 84 sequences of ITS from all 5 genera in the Poritidae (Table 1). In this study, we excluded Alveopora from ITS analysis because ITS regions were highly variable between Alveopora and other genera and they were hardly aligned.

The phylogenetic relationships among Porites, Goniopora, Stylaraea, Poritipora, and Machadoporites were inferred using ITS (Fig. 5). The 68 Porites sequences from Forsman et al. [9] and 26 Goniopora sequences from Kitano et al. [13] were also added for this analysis (see Table S3). A total of 347 positions were used (108 polymorphic sites with 89 informative sites). This ITS tree also showed similar topology to the COI tree as described above. In particular, Stylaraea punctata and G. stutchburyi are sister taxa. Poritipora paliformis formed a clade with G. minor and G. columna. One specimen of G. minor in the Poritipora clade is from the western Indian Ocean and others are from Japan. Machadoporites tantillus formed a clade with G. *somaliensis* and another 3 species (G. cf. somaliensis, G. sp.1, and G. sp.2), all of which were collected from the western Indian Ocean. Other western Indian Ocean specimens (G. albiconus, G. ciliatus) and Malacca Strait specimens (G. albiconus, G. pendulus) were included in a major clade of Goniopora spp. Meanwhile, species relationships of Goniopora were less resolved because Porites and Goniopora have many indels in their rDNA sequences and phylogenetic information sites were largely excluded.

The tree using combined data of COI and ITS showed a similar topology to the one for ITS (Fig. S1).

Discussion

Phylogenetic relationships of Goniopora, Stylaraea, and Porites

Our molecular data showed that Goniopora can be divided into 2 distinct groups (Figs. 4, 5). One contains most Goniopora species together with Machadoporites and Poritipora, whilst the other is monospecific for G. stutchburyi. Moreover, G. stutchburyi is monophyletic with Stylaraea punctata and these 2 species form a sister group to all Porites. Stylaraea punctata has very unique morphological characters among the zooxanthellate scleractinian corals, such as the smallest (less 1 cm) mature colony size with only 5 or 6 corallites [25 and our observation of the release of zooxanthellate planulae from samples AK92 (maximum diameter 7.15 mm) and AK93 (4.00 mm)], and 12 septa ($= 12$ tentacles) without a specific septal pattern such as in *Porites* or *Goniopora*. Moreover, the calices of this species are devoid of pali. Thus, these features allow to distinguish Stylaraea from the other genera in this family. Although Alveopora can bear resemblance to Stylaraea, the two genera can be distinguished based on the columella (not present or very weakly developed in *Alveopora* and star-like columella in *Stylaraea*) and the elongation of polyps (long polyps for Alveopora and no elongation for Stylaraea). Goniopora stutchburyi also has its own specific characters, such as coralla that appear to have a smooth surface, dense septa and a columella composed of a star-shaped central rod (Figs. 2G, H). Thus, G. stutchburyi is easily distinguishable from all other congeneric species. Although the smooth appearance of the skeleton of this species and small corallite size may lead to misidentification as Porites, G. stutchburyi can be differentiated from Porites on the basis of its septal number (24 vs. 12). Thus, morphologically, the only character shared by S. punctata and G. stutchburyi is a columella composed of a star-shaped central rod (Figs. 2D, H), which is absent in other Goniopora species but common in Porites. This type of columella could be a synapomorphy in the lineage including Porites, Stylaraea, and G. stutchburyi. Considering that molecular and morphological data agree in distinguishing G . stutchburyi from the other Goniopora species and S. punctata, we establish Bernardpora Kitano & Fukami gen. nov. (urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:9C2FE523- A491-45AE-BC22-B528CA68C040, also see below for details) and move G. stutchburyi into it. Comparison of diagnostic morphological characters between genera is summarized in Table 2.

Bernard [26] proposed that the septal formula of Porites derives from that of Goniopora by reduction of the third septal cycle, referring to the typical septal pattern of Goniopora as the gonioporoid pattern. Veron and Pichon [15] showed that G. stutchburyi typically has this septal pattern (Fig. 2G). In this study,

Figure 4. Molecular phylogenetic relationships of the family Poritidae and related families based on mitochondrial COI sequences. Numbers on/below main branches show bootstrap values (>50%) in ML and NJ analyses, and Bayesian posterior probability (>0.8). Stars show specimens collected from western Indian Ocean, and triangles show ones collected from Malacca Strait. Sample codes or accession numbers are shown after species names (see Table 1, Table S3). Grey in color for Alveopora, green for Porites, purple for Stylaraea, blue for 'Poritipora', and orange for 'Machadoporites'. Goniopora is shown by bars in black. Bernardpora is shown by bar in red. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098406.g004

we proved that G. *stutchburyi* is a basal species of *Porites*, and our results strongly support Bernard's hypothesis that Porites is derived from *Goniopora*-like morphologies. This conclusion is also supported by the fossil record: Goniopora extends back to the Cretaceous, but Porites only to the Eocene [16]. Thus, the presence of 24 septa would seem to be an ancestral feature in the Poritidae. The fact that taxa in the family Dendrophylliidae, the closest related outgroup of the Poritidae, have more than 24 septa would appear to support this.

According to Veron and Pichon [15] and Veron [1], Goniopora burgosi and G . somaliensis are morphologically similar to G . stutchburyi in corallite size and height, respectively. Furthermore, G. burgosi appears to form only 12 septa as a third cycle of septa is reduced or absent in whole colonies, as is typical of Porites (Goniopora usually forms 24 septa). However, in our genetic analysis, G. burgosi and G. somaliensis were included in the major Goniopora clade, and their phylogenetic position was distant from Porites even though they have fewer than 24 septa. The typical gonioporoid pattern was also observed in some colonies of G. lobata and G. djiboutiensis, but they were not closely related to G. stutchburyi. These results suggest that each of these morphological characters alone (corallite size, depth, and septal formula) would not be sufficient to explain the species relationships in this genus.

Phylogenetic position of Alveopora

None of the 8 species of Alveopora we analyzed is closely related to Goniopora, despite the 2 genera having similar elongating polyps and tentacles. This suggests that the typically elongated polyps in these genera have appeared independently as result of convergent evolution. Alveopora is also genetically distant from Porites. Both genera have 12 tentacles (12 septa), but our genetic data indicate that this character is not synapomorphic, which is also supported morphologically by the difference in septal plan in both genera (spin-like for Alveopora and fused for Porites). Bernard [26] asserted that Alveopora did not belong to the Poritidae, and Veron and Pichon [15] also cast doubt on this classification. Along with our genetic data, the morphological differences between Alveopora and other genera in the Poritidae, which have previously been noted [15], support the hypothesis that *Alveopora* does not belong in the Poritidae. Wallace [21] also noted that Alveopora has the Acroporidae characteristic of synapticulothecate skeleton but does not have coenosteum. As reported by previous studies, the phylogenetic position of Alveopora is close to the Acroporidae [18,19,31,62]. The ITS of *Alveopora* is also very divergent, a characteristic shared by acroporids, but not observed in other families [62]. However, the phylogenetic position of Alveopora is still unclear because different topologies (forming a sister group with Astreopora or outside of Astreopora) were also obtained in COI (Fig. 4) and rDNA [62] trees. Our preliminary analyses of rDNA also showed that *Alveopora* was positioned outside of the Astreopora (data not shown). A complete evaluation of the phylogenetic position of Alveopora is outside the scope of this study. To perform such an evaluation, morphological comparison among all genera of Acroporidae would be necessary (Wallace et al., in preparation).

Phylogenetic relationships of the monospecific genera Stylaraea, Machadoporites, and Poritipora

Poritibora and Machadoporites are found within the Goniopora lineage in all molecular phylogenetic trees. This is supported by morphology. *Machadoporites* differs from *Goniopora* by having fewer septa (fewer than 24) and smaller calices $(\leq 1.7 \text{ mm})$. However, some *Goniobora* species can have superficially similar characters. For example, *G. burgosi* has typically 12–15 septa, as shown in the original description ([51], Fig. 3G). A similar pattern is also observed in G. pendulus (Fig. 3H). Moreover, the G. minor calices were described as 1.5–2 mm in size in the original description [50]. Thus, characters such as "fewer than 24 septa," and "small" size calices" are not enough to separate Machadoporites from $Goniopora$. In addition, $M.$ tantillus forms a clade with $G.$ somaliensis and other Goniopora species from the western Indian Ocean.

Similar to Goniopora, Poritipora has 24 septa, but the 2 genera can be distinguished by the difference in the number of septal cycles: 2 in P. paliformis and 3 in Goniopora. However, for several Goniopora species, primary and secondary cycles of septa are equal or subequal, such as in the case of G. minor (Fig. 3D). Therefore, the character ''two cycles of septa'' is not enough to separate Poritipora from Goniopora. In addition, P. paliformis forms a clade with G. minor and G. columna.

On the one hand, Machadoporites and Poritipora are considered junior synonyms of Goniopora and their taxonomy is hence revised hereafter.

On the other hand, the type material of P. paliformis (Fig. 3C) and our samples (Fig. 3B) look similar to the type material of G. minor (Fig. 3D) shown in Crossland [50]. Goniopora minor has a similar size of corallites, 12 equally sized septa for the primary and secondary cycles, small or absent septa in tertiaries, and 4–6 pali. The development of the columella was described as ''large,'' but it is composed only of joined septa, which is the same pattern as that of Poritipora. Considering that most G. minor examined in this study (one colony of G. minor was genetically separated; Figs. 4 and 5) formed a clade with P. paliformis with little genetic difference, P. paliformis may be a morphological variant of G. minor.

Regional differences

Our specimens were collected mostly from Japanese waters, but some of them including Machadoporites were collected from the western Indian Ocean and the Malacca Strait. Although several species from these regions, such as G. albiconus and G. ciliatus, were included in the clade with specimens collected from Japanese waters, 4 specimens, including G. somaliensis from the western Indian Ocean, formed their own clade, whereas G. somaliensis from Japanese waters was distant from the western Indian Ocean clade and included in a major clade of *Goniopora* spp. This suggests that morphological convergence may have occurred between the western Pacific and the western Indian Ocean populations of these species. Recently, Arrigoni et al. [75] showed that numerous cases of intraspecific divergence between Indian Ocean and Pacific Ocean populations were present in the families Merulinidae and Lobophylliidae. Moreover, Keshavmurthy et al. [76] reported that a widely distributed species, Stylophora pistillata, comprises 4 divergent clades corresponding to different regions, such as the western Pacific and the Red Sea, suggesting that their clades are

Figure 5. Molecular phylogenetic relationships of genera of the Poritidae except of Alveopora based on ITS sequences. Letter (a, b, c, d) after sample code indicates that different alleles were obtained from a single coral sample by cloning. Numbers on/below main branches show bootstrap values (>50%) in ML and NJ analyses, and Bayesian posterior probability (>0.8). Stars show specimens collected from western Indian Ocean, and triangles show ones collected from Malacca Strait. Sample codes or accession numbers are shown after species names (see Table 1). Green in color for Porites, purple for Stylaraea, blue for 'Poritipora', orange for 'Machadoporites', red for Bernardpora, and black for Goniopora. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098406.g005

divergent at the species level. A similar observation on divergence was made with regard to the octocoral family Melithaeidae [77], indicating that species did not cluster according to their present morphological classification but instead clustered according to a biogeographical pattern such as the Indo-Pacific, Red Sea and Indian Ocean. Thus far, many studies of scleractinian corals have focused on higher level taxonomy and are based on material sampled from one or a few nearby Indo-Pacific regions. However, species-level analyses among regions are the next necessary step in the ongoing revolution in scleractinian taxonomy.

Taxonomic account

Below we propose the description of the new genus Bernardpora gen. nov. and the revised diagnosis of Goniopora, based on the original descriptions and subsequent information resulting from this study. See Table 1 for the museum abbreviations.

Family Poritidae Gray, 1847

Type genus: Porites Link, 1807

Diagnosis [1,16,60]: Massive, laminar or ramose colonies; corallites vary in size but usually small and mostly compacted closely without coenosteum, with one or two synapticular rings. Walls and septa are porous. Septa usually 12 to 24. Septa formed by 3 to 8 nearly vertical trabeculae, and innermost trabeculae of certain septa differentiated as pali.

Remarks: There are four extant genera in Family Poritidae, Porites, Goniopora, Stylaraea and Bernardpora gen. nov. All are zooxanthellate corals. Porites is the only genus distributed throughout the tropics. Others are Indo-Pacific. Based on our results we confirm that the genus Alveopora does not belong to the same lineage as the family Poritidae. Although a full evaluation of the position of Alveopora is not completed yet, it is certain that Alveopora is closely related to other genera in the family Acroporidae ([20], this study).

Genus Porites Link, 1807

Type species: *Porites polymorphus* Link, 1807: 163 (= Madrepora porites Pallas, 1766:324–326, Neotype: MHNNP Lamarck Collection No. 150 (figured in Jameson & Cairns, 2012, figs 4D, 5). This specimen is also the holotype of Porites clavaria Lamarck, 1816 [78,80])

Generic synonymy [2,16,78]

- Neoporites Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1864: 97. Type species is not fixed.

- Cosmoporites Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1864: 99. Type species: Cosmoporites laevigata Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1864: 99. Holotype: unknown (figured in Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1864: 99, pl. x, figs. 12, 16. Bernard [79] described 'the type specimen was not found by Count Peracca in the Turin Museum'.)

- Synaraea Verrill, 1864: 42. Type species: Porites erosa Dana 1846: 565–566, pl. 55, fig. 8. Holotype: USNM 668

- Napopora Quelch, 1884:296. Type species: Napopora irregularis Quelch, 1884: 296–297. Holotype: NHMUK 86.12.9.302.

Diagnosis [1,16,78]: Colonies massive, ramose, laminar, or encrusting. Corallites are small, immersed, circular or polygonal. Calice diameter 0.5–2.2 mm. Septa are 12 in number, composed of 1 to 4 trabeculae. The typical formula of septal arrangement in this genus, with some of its variations, is seen. Pali are present, variable development in different species, usually 4–8 in number.

Mural trabeculae always present. Columella trabeculae usually present with star-shaped granules. The wall is really simple, but the incipient synapticulae, seen starting from the sides of septal granules, may become complete and form an inner synapticular wall.

Remarks: Distribution: Indo-Pacific and Atlantic [1]. Species number: 73[1,15]

Genus Goniopora de Blainville, 1830

Type species: Goniopora pedunculata Quoy & Gaimard, 1833:218– 220, pl. 16, Figs. 9–11. The type specimen appears to be lost [15]. Generic synonymy [2,16,26]

- Rhodaraea Mile Edwards & Haime, 1849: 259. Type species:

Astraea calicularis, Lamarck 1816: 266. Holotype: unknown.

- Tichopora Quelch, 1886:188. Type species: Tichopora tenella Quelch, 1886: 189, pl. 11, figs. 1, 1a. Type specimens: NHMUK 86.12.9.342.

- Poritipora Veron, 2000:347. Type species: Poritipora paliformis Veron, 2000: 347. lectotype: MTQ G55857

- Calathiscus Claereboudt & Al-Amri, 2004. Type species: Calathiscus tantillus Claereboudt & Al-Amri, 2004 (This species is also type species of the genus Machadoporites). Holotype: SQU040001.

- Machadoporites Nemésio, 2005. Type speices: Calathiscus tantillus Claereboudt & Al-Amri, 2004.

Revised diagnosis [1,16,26,this study]: Massive, columnar or ramose, rarely encrusting colonies. Corallites are circular or polygonal. Calice diameter 1–10 mm. Septa 24 in two or three cycles, or between 24 and 12 in two or three cycles, composed of 4 to 8 trabeculae. Pali and columella may develop. Columellae are composed of anastomosed septal dentations or arranged synapticula and fused inner ends of septa. Wall structure is synapticulothecal. Polyps usually elongate during the day (note that G. paliformis does not elongate polyps during the day).

Remarks: Poritipora and Machadoporites are considered as junior synonyms of *Goniopora*. Distribution: Indo-Pacific [1]. Species number: 33 [1,15,this study].

Genus Stylaraea Milne Edwards & Haime, 1851

Type species: Madrepora punctata Linnaeus, 1758:795. The specimen ZMB #956 may be Syntype [78] (examined).

Diagnosis: Stylaraea is a monospecific genus with only known species, S. *bunctata*. Therefore, the characters of this genus are those of S. punctata. Colonies are tiny (usually less 10 mm in size) and from ''cushion-shaped crusts''[46]. Calices are concavate and around 1 mm diameters. Septal number is 12 (''2 cycles of 6 each'' [15]) without specific septal pattern. Septa are composed of rows of star-shaped granules. Primary septa may reach to collumellae. Columella is composed of a star-shaped central rod such as Porites or Bernaldopora. Wall structure is synapticulothecal.

Remarks: Distribution: Indo-Pacific [1]. Species number: 1 Genus Bernardpora Kitano & Fukami gen. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:9C2FE523-A491-45AE-BC22-

B528CA68C040

Type species: Goniopora stutchburyi Wells, 1955: 11, pl. 1, figs 1–2; Holotype: MTQ G2931 (examined)

Diagnosis: Bernardpora is a monospecific genus with only known species, *B. stutchburyi*. Therefore, the characters of this genus are those of B. stutchburyi. Encrusting or sub-massive colonies. Corallites round to polygonal and very shallow with smooth appearance. Calices are around 2 mm diameters. Septal number is mostly 24 with clear gonioporoid pattern shown in Bernard [26]. Septa are dense with up to seven multiple-spine-shaped septal teeth. Septal teeth closest to collumellae are indistinguishable from pali. Primary and secondary septa nearly reach to collumellae. Columella is composed of a star-shaped central rod such as Stylaraea and Porites. Wall structure is synapticulothecal. Polyps elongate but very short during the day.

Remarks: Distribution: Indo-Pacific [1]. Species number: 1

Etymology: The generic name is in honor of the coral scientist Henry M. Bernard.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Molecular phylogenetic relationships of genera of the Poritidae except of Alveopora based on combined COI+ITS sequences. Numbers on/below main branches show bootstrap values $(>50\%)$ in ML and NJ analyses, and Bayesian posterior probability (>0.8) . Stars show specimens collected from western Indian Ocean, and triangles show ones collected from Malacca Strait. Sample codes or accession numbers are shown after species names (see Table 1, Table S3). Grey in color for Alveopora, green for Porites, purple for Stylaraea, blue for 'Poritipora', and orange for 'Machadoporites'. Goniopora is shown by bars in black. Bernardpora is shown by bar in red. (TIF)

Dataset S1 Nexus data file of the COI sequence alignments used for the analyses. (NXS)

Dataset S2 Nexus data file of the ITS sequence alignments used for the analyses. (NXS)

References

- 1. Veron JEN (2000) Corals of the world. Vol. 3. Townsville: Australian Institute of Marine Science. 489 p.
- 2. WoRMS Editorial Board (2013) World Register of Marine Species. Available from<http://www.marinespecies.org> at VLIZ. Accessed 2013-11-18.
- 3. Lough JM, Barnes DJ (2000) Environmental controls on growth of the massive coral Porites. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 245: 225–243.
- 4. Bessat F, Buigues D (2001) Two centuries of variation in coral growth in a massive Porites colony from Moorea (French Polynesia): a response of oceanatmosphere variability from south central Pacific. Palaeogeogr Palaeocl 175: 381–392.
- 5. McClanahan TR, Weil E, Maina J (2009) Strong relationship between coral bleaching and growth anomalies in massive Porites. Glob Change Biol 15: 1804– 1816.
- 6. Barshis DJ, Stillman JH, Gates RD, Toonen RJ, Smith LW, et al. (2010) Protein expression and genetic structure of the coral Portes lobata in an environmentally extreme Samoan back reef: does host genotype limit phenotypic plasticity? Mol Ecol 19: 1705–1720.
- 7. Stimson J (2011) Ecological characterization of coral growth anomalies on Porites compressa in Hawai'i. Coral Reefs 30: 133–142.
- 8. Hunter CL, Morden CW, Smith CM (1997). The utility of ITS sequences in assessing relationships among zooxanthellae and corals. Proc 8th Int Coral Reef Symp 2: 1599-1602
- 9. Forsman ZH, Barshis DJ, Hunter CL, Toonen RJ (2009) Shape-shifting coral: Molecular markers show morphology is evolutionarily plastic in Porites. BMC Evol Biol 9: 45.
- 10. Benzoni F, Stefani F (2012) Porites fontanesii, a new species of hard coral (Scleractinia, Poritidae) from the southern Red Sea, the Gulf of Tadjoura, and the Gulf of Aden. Zootaxa 3447: 56–68.
- 11. Heyward AJ (1985) Chromosomes of the coral Goniopora lobata (Anthozoa: Scleractinia). Heredity 55: 269–271.
- 12. Peach MB, Hoegh-Guldberg O (1999) Sweeper polyps of the coral Goniopora tenuidens (Scleractinia: Poritidae). Invertebr Biol 118: 1–7.
- 13. Kitano YF, Obuchi M, Uyeno D, Miyazaki K, Fukami H (2013) Phylogenetic and taxonomic status of the coral Goniopora stokesi and related species (Scleractinia: Poritidae) in Japan based on molecular and morphological data. Zool Stud 52: 25.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1810-522X-52-25>.

Table S1 Summary of the diagnostic morphological characters for species identification of the genus Goniopora. Original descriptions are shown in bold. (XLS)

Table S2 Summary of the diagnostic morphological characters for species identification of the genus Alveopora. Original descriptions are shown in bold.

(XLS)

Table S3 List of poritid samples and accession numbers for COI and ITS, referred from previous study. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We thank the member of Japan Society for Coral Taxonomy, and Keiichi Nomura, Kenji Iwao, Dr. Kazuhiko Sakai, Fumihito Iwase, Dr. Tohru Naruse, Dr. Go Suzuki, Dr. Kaoru Sugihara who have contributed tissue samples. We also thank the staff of the Seto Marine Biological Laboratory at Kyoto University, Dr. Shunichirou Ueno at National Fisheries University, and Dr. Zulfigar Yasin, Dr. Aileen S. Tan and their lab staff in Universiti Sains Malaysia for assistance and sampling with field research. For sampling in Yemen we are grateful to E. Dutrieux (CREOCEAN), C.H. Chaineau (Total SA), R. Hirst and M. AbdulAziz (YLNG). Sampling in Djibouti and Mayotte was possible thanks to the Tara Oceans scientific expedition and the OCEANS Consortium. The authors also acknowledge comments from reviewers and editor.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: YK HF. Performed the experiments: YK. Analyzed the data: YK. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: YK HF FB RA CW YS. Wrote the paper: YK HF FB.

- 14. Dana JD (1846) Zoophytes. United States Exploring Expedition during the years 1838, 1839, 1840, 1841, 1842, Vol. 7. Philadelphia: Lea and Blanchard. 740 p.
- 15. Veron JEN, Pichon M (1982) Scleractinia of Eastern Australia, Part 4. Aust Inst Mar Sci Monogr Ser 5: 1–159.
- 16. Vaughan TW, Wells JW (1943) Revision of the suborders, families and genera of the Scleractinia. Geol Soc Am Spec Pap 44: 1–363, pl 1–51.
- 17. Wells JW (1956) Scleractinia. In: Moore RC, editor. Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology. Part F. Coelenterata. Lawrence: Geological Society of America & University of Kansas Press. pp. 328–440.
- 18. Romano SL, Cairns SD (2000) Molecular phylogenetic hypotheses for the evolution of scleractinian corals. Bull Mar Sci 67: 1043–1068.
- 19. Fukami H, Chen CA, Budd AF, Collins A, Wallace C, et al. (2008) Mitochondrial and nuclear genus suggest that stony corals are monophyletic but most families of stony corals are not (order Scleractinia, class Anthozoa, phylum Cnidaria). PLoS ONE 3: e3222. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0003222.
- 20. Dai C-F, Horng S (2009) Scleractinia fauna of Taiwan I. The complex group. Taipei: National Taiwan University. 175 p.
- 21. Wallace CC (2012) Acroporidae of the Caribbean. Geol Belg 15: 388–393.
- 22. Wallace CC, Turak E, DeVantier L (2011) Novel characters in a conservative coral genus: three new species of Astreopora (Scleractinia: Acroporidae) from West Papua. J Nat Hist 45: 1905–1924.
- 23. Claereboudt MR, Al-Amri IS (2004) Calathiscus tantillus, a new genus and new species of scleractinian coral (Scleractinia, Poritidae) from the Gulf of Oman. Zootaxa 532: 1–8.
- 24. Veron JEN (2002) New species described in corals of the world. Aust Inst Mar Sci Monogr Ser 11: 1–205.
- 25. Golbuu Y, Richmond RH (2006) Substratum preferences in planula larvae of two species of scleractinian corals, Goniastrea retiformis and Stylaraea punctata. Mar Biol 152: 639–644.
- 26. Bernard HN (1903) The family Poritidae, I: The genus Goniopora. Cat Madreporarian Corals Br Mus (Nat Hist) 4: 1–206, pl 1–14.
- 27. Fukami H, Budd AF, Paulay G, Sole-Cava A, Chen CA, et al. (2004) Conventional taxonomy obscures deep divergence between Pacific and Atlantic corals. Nature 427: 832–835.
- 28. Benzoni F, Stefani F, Stolarski J, Pichon M, Mitta G, et al. (2007) Debating phylogenetic relationships of the scleractinian Psammocora: molecular and morphological evidences. Contrib Zool 76: 35–54.
- 29. Benzoni F, Stefani F, Pichon M, Galli P (2010) The name game: morphomolecular species boundaries in the genus Psammocora (Cnidaria, Scleractinia). Zool J Linn Soc 160: 421–456.
- 30. Benzoni F, Arrigoni R, Stefani F, Stolarski J (2012) Systematics of the coral genus Craterastrea (Cnidaria, Anthozoa, Scleractinia) and description of a new family through combined morphologic and molecular analyses. System Biodivers 10: 417–433. DOI: 10.1080/14772000.2012.744369.
- 31. Kitahara MV, Cairns SD, Stolarski J, Blair D, Miller DJ, (2010) A comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of the Scleractinia (Cnidaria, Anthozoa) based on mitochondrial CO1 sequence data. PLoS ONE 5: e11490. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0011490.
- 32. Budd AF, Fukami H, Smith ND, Knowlton N (2012) Taxonomic classification of the reef coral family Mussidae (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Scleractinia). Zool J Linn Soc 166: 465–529.
- 33. Budd AF, Stolarski J (2009) Searching for new morphological characters in the systematics of scleractinian reef corals: comparison of septal teeth and granules between Atlantic and Pacific Mussidae. Acta Zoologica 90: 142–165.
- 34. Budd AF, Stolarski J (2011) Corallite wall and septal microstructure in scleractinian reef corals: comparison of molecular clades within the family Faviidae. J Morphol 272: 66–88.
- 35. Lamark JBPAde (1816) Histoire naturelle des animaux sans vertèbres. Paris 2: 1– 568.
- 36. Milne Edwards H and Haime J (1851) Monographie des Polypiers fossiles des terrains Palaeozoïque. Arch Mus Hist Natur. Paris 5: 1–502.
- 37. Milne Edwards H (1860) Histoire naturelle des Coralliaires ou polypes proprement dits. 3: 1–560.
- 38. Verrill AE (1864) List of the polyps and corals sent by the Museum of Comparative Zoology to other institutions in exchange, with annotations. Bull Mus Comp Zool Harv College 1: 29–60.
- 39. Quelch JJ (1886) Report on the reef-corals collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873-76. Rep Sci Results Voyage HMS Challenger Zool 16: 1– 203, pl 1–12.
- 40. Ortmann A (1888) Studien über Systematik und geographische Verbreitung der Steinkorallen. Zool Jahrb Abt Syst Geogr Biol Tiere 3: 143–188, pl. 6.
- 41. Basett-Smith PW (1890) Report on the corals from the Lizard and Macclesfield banks, China sea. Ann Mag Nat Hist Ser 6: 353–74.
- 42. Saville-Kent W (1891) Notes on new and little known Australian Madreporaceae. Rec Aust Mus 1: 123–124.
- 43. Saville-Kent W (1893) The Great Barrier Reef of Australia. Its products and potentialities. London: WH Allen & Co. 387 p.
- 44. Bernard HN (1906) The family Poritidae, II: The genus Goniopora, a supplement to Vol. IV. Cat Madreporarian Corals Br Mus (Nat Hist) 6: 145–173, pl 7–8, 17.
- 45. Bedot M (1907) Madréporaires d'Amboine. Rev Suisse Zool 15: 143-292, pl. 5-50.
- 46. Vaughan TW (1907) Some madreporarian corals from French Smaliland, East Africa, collected by Dr. Charles Gravier. Proc US Nat Mus 32: 249–266, pl 17– 28.
- 47. Vaughan TW (1918) Some shoal-water corals from Murray Islands (Australia), Cocos-Keeling Islands and Fanning Island. Pap Dep Mar Biol Carnegie Inst Wash 9: 51–234, pl 20–93.
- 48. Hoffmeister JE (1925) Some corals from American Samoa and the Fiji Islands. Pap Dep Mar Biol Carnegie Inst Wash 22: 1–90.
- 49. Faustino LA (1927) Recent Madreporaria of the Philippine Islands. Ber Sci Manila Monogr 22: 1–310. pl. 1–100.
- 50. Crossland C (1952) Madreporaria, Hydrocorallinae, Helliopora and Tubipora. Great Barrier Reef Exped 1928–29: Sci Rep Br Mus (Nat Hist) 6: 85–257, pl 1– 56.
- 51. Nemenzo F (1955) Systematic studies on Philippine shallow water scleractinians: I. Suborder Fungiida. Natur Appl Sci Bull 15:3–84, pl 1–14.
- 52. Wells JW (1955) Recent and Subfossil corals of Moreton Bay Queensland. Univ Queensl Pap Dep Geol 4: 1–18, pl 1–3.
- 53. Eguchi M (1965) Scleractinia. In: Uchida K & Uchida T, editors. New illustrated encyclopedia of the fauna of Japan 1. Tokyo: Hokuruyu-kan. pp. 270–296.
- 54. Eguchi M (1968) The hydrocorals and scleractinian corals of Sagami bay collected by his majesty the emperor of Japan. Tokyo: Maruzen Co Ltd. 221 p.
- 55. Wells JW (1968) Notes on Indo-Pacific scleractinian corals. V. A new species of Alveopora from New Caledonia. VI. Further notes on Bantamia merleti Wells. Pac Sci 22: 274–276.
- 56. Rosen BRR, Taylor JD (1969) Reef Coral from Aldabra: New Mode of Reproduction. Science 166: 119–121.
- 57. Veron JEN (1985) New Scleractinia from Australian coral reefs. Rec West Aust Mus 12: 147–183.
- 58. Veron JEN (1990) New Scleractinia from Japan and other Indo-West Pacific countries. Galaxea 9: 95–173.
- 59. Nishihira M, Veron JEN (1995) Hermatypic Corals of Japan. Tokyo: Kaiyusha.440 p. (in Japanese) 60. Wallace CC, Fellegara I, Muir PR, Harrison PL (2009) Recent and fossil corals
- of Moreton Bay, S.E. Queensland. Mem Queensl Mus 54: 1–118. 61. Fukami H, Budd AF, Levitan DR, Jara J, Kersanach R, et al. (2004) Geographic
- defferences in species boundaries among members of the Montastraea annularis complex based on molecular and morphological markers. Evolution 58: 324– 337.
- 62. Wei NWV, Wallace CC, Dai CF, Pillay KRM, Chen CA (2006) Analyses of the Ribosomal Internal Transcribed Spacers (ITS) and the 5.8S Gene indicate that extremely high rDNA heterogeneity is a unique feature in the scleractinian coral genus Acropora (Scleractinia; Acroporidae). Zool Stud 45: 404–418.
- 63. van Oppen MJH, Catmull J, McDonald BJ, Hislop NR, Hagerman PJ, et al. (2002) The mitochondrial genome of Acropora tenuis (Cnidaria; Scleractinia) contains a large group I intron and a candidate control region. J Mol Evol 55: 1– 13.
- 64. Shearer TL, Coffroth MA (2008) DNA barcoding: barcoding corals: limited by interspecific divergence, not intraspecific variation. Mol Ecol Resour 8: 247– 255.
- 65. Tseng C-C, Wallace CC, Chen CA (2005) Mitogenomic analysis of Montipora cactus and Anacropora matthai (cnidaria; scleractinia; acroporidae) indicates an unequal rate of mitochondrial evolution among Acroporidae corals. Coral Reefs 24: 502–508.
- 66. Gouy M, Guindon S, Gascuel O (2010) SeaView version 4: a multiplatform graphical user interface for sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree building. Mol Biol Evol 27: 221–224.
- 67. Katoh K, Standley DM (2011) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol 30: 772– 780.
- 68. Tamura K, Dudly J, Nei M, Kumar S (2007) MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 24: 1596–1599.
- 69. Swofford DL (2002) PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods), version 4.0b10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- 70. Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 16: 111–120.
- 71. Nylander JAA (2004) Mr.Modeltest v2. Program distributed by the author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.
- 72. Zwickl DJ (2006) Genetic algorithm approaches for the phylogenetic analysis of large biological sequence datasets under the maximum likelihood criterion. Ph.D. dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin.
- 73. Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, et al. (2012) MrBayes 3.2: efficient bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst Biol 61: 539–542.
- 74. ICZN (2011) Coral taxon names published in 'Corals of the world' by J.E.N. Veron (2000): potential availability confirmed under Article 86.1.2. Bull Zool Nomencl 68: 162–166.
- 75. Arrigoni R, Stefani F, Pichon M, Galli P, Benzoni F (2012) Molecular phylogeny of the robust clade (Faviidae, Mussidae, Merulinidae, and Pectiniidae): an Indian Ocean perspective. Mol Phylogenet Evol 65: 183–193.
- 76. Keshavmurthy S, Yang S-Y, Alamaru A, Chuang Y-Y, Pichon M, et al. (2013) DNA barcoding reveals the coral "laboratory-rat", Stylophora pistillata encompasses multiple identities. Sci Rep 3: 1520. DOI:10.1038/srep01520.
- 77. Reijnen BT, McFadden CS, Hermanlimianto YT, van Ofwegen LP (2014) A molecular and morphological exploration of the generic boundaries in the family Melithaeidae (Coelenterata: Octocorallia) and its taxonomic consequences. Mol Phylogenet Evol 70: 383–401.
- 78. Bernard HN (1905) The family Poritidae, II: The genus Porites. Part I: Porites of the Indo-Pacific region. Cat Madreporarian Corals Br Mus (Nat Hist) 5: 1–303, pl 1–35.
- 79. Bernard HN (1906) The family Poritidae, II: The genus Porites. Part II: Porites of the Atlantic and West Indies, with the European forms. The genus Goniopora, a supplement to vol. IV. Cat Madreporarian Corals Br Mus (Nat Hist) 6: 1-167, pl $1 - 17$.
- 80. Jameson SC, Cairns SD (2012) Neotypes for *Porites porites* (Pallas, 1766) and Porites divaricata Le Sueur, 1820 and remarks on other western Atlantic species of Porites (Anthozoa: Scleractinia). Proc Biol Soc Wash 125: 189–207.
- 81. Claereboudt MR, Al-Amri IS (2004) Calathiscus tantillus, a new genus and new species of scleractinian coral (Scleractinia, Poritidae) from the Gulf of Oman. Zootaxa 532: 1–8.