# Associations of VEGF-C Genetic Polymorphisms with Urothelial Cell Carcinoma Susceptibility Differ between Smokers and Non-Smokers in Taiwan

Min-Che Tung<sup>1,2</sup>, Ming-Ju Hsieh<sup>3,4</sup>, Shian-Shiang Wang<sup>4,5</sup>, Shun-Fa Yang<sup>4,6</sup>, Shiou-Sheng Chen<sup>7,8</sup>, Shih-Wei Wang<sup>9</sup>, Liang-Ming Lee<sup>10</sup>, Wei-Jiunn Lee<sup>10</sup>, Ming-Hsien Chien<sup>2,11</sup>\*

1 Department of Surgery, Tungs' Taichung Metro Harbor Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, 2 Graduate Institute of Clinical Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan, 3 Cancer Research Center, Changhua Christian Hospital, Changhua, Taiwan, 4 Institute of Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan, 5 Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, 6 Department of Medical Research, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan, 7 Division of Urology, Taipei City Hospital Renai Branch, Taipei, Taiwan, 8 Department of Urology, National Yang-Ming University School of Medicine, Taipei, Taiwan, 9 Department of Medicine, Mackay Medical College, Taipei, Taiwan, 10 Department of Urology, Wan Fang Hospital, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan, 11 Wan Fang Hospital, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan

# Abstract

**Background:** Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-C is associated with lymphangiogenesis, pelvic regional lymph node metastasis, and an antiapoptotic phenotype in urothelial cell carcinoma (UCC). Knowledge of potential roles of VEGF-C genetic polymorphisms in susceptibility to UCC is lacking. This study was designed to examine associations between VEGF-C gene variants and UCC susceptibility and evaluate whether they are modified by smoking.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** Five single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of VEGF-C were analyzed by a TaqManbased real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in 233 patients with UCC and 520 cancer-free controls. A multivariate logistic regression was applied to model associations between genetic polymorphisms and UCC susceptibility, and to determine if the effect was modified by smoking. We found that after adjusting for other covariates, individuals within the entire population and the 476 non-smokers carrying at least one A allele at VEGF-C rs1485766 respectively had 1.742- and 1.834-fold risks of developing UCC than did wild-type (CC) carriers. Among the 277 smokers, we found that VEGF-C rs7664413 T (CT+TT) and rs2046463 G (AG+GG) allelic carriers were more prevalent in UCC patients than in non-cancer participants. Moreover, UCC patients with the smoking habit who had at least one T allele of VEGF-C rs7664413 were at higher risk of developing larger tumor sizes (p = 0.021), compared to those patients with CC homozygotes.

**Conclusions:** Our results suggest that the involvement of VEGF-C genotypes in UCC risk differs among smokers compared to non-smokers among Taiwanese. The genetic polymorphism of VEGF-C rs7664413 might be a predictive factor for the tumor size of UCC patients who have a smoking habit.

Citation: Tung M-C, Hsieh M-J, Wang S-S, Yang S-F, Chen S-S, et al. (2014) Associations of VEGF-C Genetic Polymorphisms with Urothelial Cell Carcinoma Susceptibility Differ between Smokers and Non-Smokers in Taiwan. PLoS ONE 9(3): e91147. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091147

Editor: Xin-Yuan Guan, The University of Hong Kong, China

Received January 3, 2014; Accepted February 7, 2014; Published March 7, 2014

**Copyright:** © 2014 Tung et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This study was supported by grants from Chung Shan Medical University and Tungs' Taichung Metro Harbor Hospital (CSMU-TTM-101-01) and Taipei Medical University (TMU101-AE3-Y22). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: mhchien1976@gmail.com

# Introduction

Urothelial cell carcinoma (UCC) is the second most common cancer and second leading cause of death among malignancies of the genitourinary tract system in the US [1]. Urothelium covers the epithelial lining of the urinary tract from the renal calyces to the bladder, and several types of UCC (bladder, renal pelvis, and ureter carcinomas) have histologic features similar to those of transitional cell carcinoma and are considered to have an analogous etiology [2]. In Taiwan, bladder cancer is the ninth leading malignancy among men and the sixteenth leading malignancy among women [3], and bladder cancer accounts for more than 90% of UCCs in both genders. The etiology of UCC is heterogeneous, involving ethnic, environmental, genetic, and dietary factors [4]. In particular, tobacco is the main risk factor for bladder cancer, as approximately 60% of male urinary tract cancers and one-third of female urinary tract cancers are attributable to cigarette smoking [5], while occupational exposure, particularly to aromatic amines, may play role in perhaps 10% of bladder cancers [6].

Many previous studies demonstrated that tumor-associated angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis play crucial roles in tumor progression, and angiogenic and lymphangiogenic activities are frequently correlated with tumor growth, regional lymph-node metastasis, distant metastasis, and the prognosis of patients with malignant neoplasms [7,8]. It is well known that vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-A is essential in vasculogenesis and angiogenesis. VEGF-C, a member of the VEGF family, induces lymphatic endothelial proliferation and vessel enlargement and facilitates nodal metastasis [8]. Upregulation of VEGF-C was observed in many different epithelial tumors including bladder UCC [9–11]. Overexpression of VEGF-C in bladder UCC was shown to be significantly related to tumor size, pathological T stage, lymphatic-venous involvement, and pelvic lymph node metastasis [10]. Moreover, upregulation of VEGF-C was also shown to play an important role in cigarette smoking-associated cervical tumorigenesis [12], but the association between VEGF-C and cigarette smoking in UCC is still unknown.

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are a variation in the DNA sequence that occur when a nucleotide (A, T, C, or G) is changed in at least 1% of a certain population [13]. Impacts of VEGF-A polymorphisms on human bladder cancer susceptibility were identified [14,15], but the roles of *VEGF-C* gene SNPs and cigarette smoking in UCC susceptibility and clinical features remain unknown. In this research, a case-control study was performed on five SNPs, which are located in the intron or downstream of the *VEGF-C* gene. Some of these SNPs were reported to be correlated with the risk of oral [16] and liver cancers [17]. In this study, we examined the association between polymorphisms within the *VEGF-C* gene and UCC risk as well as the potential of an effect modification by cigarette smoking in a case-control study in Taiwanese patients.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Subjects and Specimen Collection

In 2011~2012, we recruited 233 patients (with a mean age of 68.6±11.8 years) at Taichung Veterans General Hospital (Taichung, Taiwan) as a case group. All patients had pathologically proven UCC of the upper urinary tract or bladder. For the control group, we randomly chose 520 non-cancer individuals (with a mean age of  $52.4 \pm 14.7$  years) who were from the same geographic area. Personal information and characteristics were collected from study subjects using interviewer-administered questionnaires that contained questions involving demographic characteristics and the status of cigarette smoking. Medical data of the cases, including TNM clinical staging, the primary tumor size, lymph node involvement, and histologic grade, were obtained from their medical records. UCC patients were clinically staged at the time of diagnosis according to the TNM staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) Staging Manual (7th ed.). Tumors were classified as superficial tumors ( $pT0 \sim 1$ , n = 142) or invasive tumors (pT2~4, n = 91). Metastasis into lymph nodes was detected in 21 cases (9.0%), and four patients (1.7%)had distal metastasis. Before conducting this study, approval from the Institutional Review Board of Taichung Veterans General Hospital was obtained (IRB no. CF11094), and informed written consent was obtained from each individual. The whole-blood specimens collected from controls and UCC patients were placed in tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), immediately centrifuged, and then stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C.

### Selection of VEGF-C Polymorphisms

In the dbSNP database, more than 60 SNPs have been documented in the intron or downstream of the *VEGF-C* gene region. To obtain adequate power for evaluating the potential association, we investigated rs3775194, rs11947611, rs1485766, rs7664413, and rs2046463, as they have minor allelic frequencies of  $\geq$ 5%. The locations of these variants were shown in Figure 1. Furthermore, these SNPs of the *VEGF-C* gene were selected in this study since they were found in cancer patients [16–18].

# Genomic DNA Extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted using QIAamp DNA blood mini kits (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. We dissolved DNA in TE buffer (10 mM Tris at pH 7.8 and 1 mM EDTA) and then quantified it by measuring the optical density at 260 nm. The final preparation was stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C and used to create templates for the polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

#### Real-time PCR

Allelic discrimination of rs3775194, rs11947611, rs1485766, rs7664413, and rs2046463 polymorphisms of the *VEGF-C* gene was assessed with the ABI StepOne<sup>TM</sup> Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and analyzed using SDS v3.0 software (Applied Biosystems), with a TaqMan assay. The FAM respective primers used for analysis of rs3775194, rs11947611, rs1485766, rs7664413, and rs2046463 gene polymorphisms were FAM-5'-ATTTAGCACTATTAACTTCAAG, FAM-5'-TTACTTTTGAGAATGTCA, FAM-5'-CTTTTTGA-TTGCAGTGTTA, FAM-5'-CTTTACTATACTTTAGC, and FAM-5'-TTTAGCACACGGTTTAGT. The final volume for each reaction was 5  $\mu$ L, containing 2.5  $\mu$ L TaqMan Genotyping Master Mix, 0.125  $\mu$ L TaqMan probe mix, and 10 ng genomic DNA. The real-time PCR included an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min.

#### Statistical Analyses

Differences between groups were considered significant for p values of <0.05. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was assessed using a goodness-of-fit  $X^2$ -test for biallelic markers. The Mann-Whitney *U*-test and Fisher's exact test were used to compare differences in demographic characteristic distributions between the healthy control group and UCC patients. Adjusted odds ratios (AORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the association of genotype frequencies with risk and clinicopathological characteristics were estimated using multiple logistic regression models after controlling for other covariates. We analyzed all data with Statistical Analytic System (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) software (vers. 9.1, 2005) for Windows.

# Results

The statistical analysis of demographic characteristics is shown in Table 1. There were significantly different distributions of gender (p < 0.001) and age (p < 0.001) between the healthy controls and UCC patients. Hence, the AORs and 95% CIs were estimated by multiple logistic regression models after controlling for these confounders in each comparison.

In our recruited control group, frequencies of *VEGF-C* rs3775194 (p = 0.696,  $\chi^2$  value: 0.153), rs11947611 (p = 0.092,  $\chi^2$  value: 2.833), rs1485766 (p = 0.292,  $\chi^2$  value: 1.110), rs7664413 (p = 0.403,  $\chi^2$  value: 0.699), and rs2046463 (p = 0.403,  $\chi^2$  value: 0.699) were in HWE. The reconstructed linkage disequilibrium (LD) plot of the five SNPs has been shown in our previous study. We found one observed haploblock in which rs7664413 and rs2046463 showed 100% LD in that study [16]. Genotype distributions and associations between UCC and *VEGF-C* gene polymorphisms are shown in Table 2. Alleles with the highest distribution frequency for the rs3775194, rs11947611, rs1485766, rs7664413, and rs2046463 genes of *VEGF-C* for both our recruited UCC patients and healthy control were respectively homozygous for G/G, heterozygous for A/G, heterozygous for C/A, homozygous for C/C, and homozygous for A/A. After adjusting for



**Figure 1. Vascular endothelial growth factor (***VEGF***)**-*C* **gene, locations of the genotyped variants.** Schematic presentation of the *VEGF*-*C* (gene ID: 7424); indicating locations of the analyzed variants (rs3775194, rs11947611, rs1485766, rs7664413, and rs2046463). The blue color reveals the PESS sequence in intron 5 predicted by the PESXs server. The shading indicates the exon sequence. LD, linkage disequilibrium. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091147.g001

several variables, there was no significant difference in having UCC in individuals with rs3775194, rs11947611, rs7664413, and rs2046463 polymorphisms of the *VEGF-C* gene compared to wild-type (WT) individuals. However, subjects with the *VEGF-C* polymorphic rs1485766 CA, AA, and the combination of CA and AA genotypes respectively exhibited significantly (p < 0.05)

higher risks of 1.494- (95% CI:  $1.051 \sim 2.345$ ), 2.494- (95% CI:  $1.423 \sim 4.373$ ), and 1.742-fold (95% CI:  $1.143 \sim 2.654$ ) of having UCC compared to their corresponding WT homozygotes.

Regardless of whether one was male or female, cigarette smoking is the main risk factor for urinary tract cancer [5], and cigarette smoking was reported to stimulate VEGF-C expression in

Table 1. Distributions of demographic characteristics in 520 controls and 233 patients with urothelial cell carcinoma (UCC).

| Variable                           | Controls (N=520) | Patients (N=233) | <i>p</i> value   |
|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Age (years)                        | Mean ± S.D.      | Mean ± S.D.      |                  |
|                                    | 52.43±14.67      | 68.55±11.82      | <i>p</i> <0.001  |
| Gender                             | n (%)            | n (%)            |                  |
| Male                               | 426 (81.9%)      | 149 (63.9%)      |                  |
| Female                             | 94 (18.1%)       | 84 (36.1%)       | <i>p</i> <0.001  |
| Tobacco consumption (Male)         |                  |                  |                  |
| No                                 | 224 (52.6%)      | 84 (56.4%)       |                  |
| Yes                                | 202 (47.4%)      | 65 (43.6%)       | p=0.424          |
| Tobacco consumption (Female)       |                  |                  |                  |
| No                                 | 86 (91.5%)       | 82 (97.6%)       |                  |
| Yes                                | 8 (8.5%)         | 2 (2.4%)         | <i>p</i> = 0.076 |
| Stage                              |                  |                  |                  |
| Superficial tumor (pTa $\sim$ pT1) |                  | 142 (60.9%)      |                  |
| Invasive tumor (pT2~pT4)           |                  | 91 (39.1%)       |                  |
| Tumor T status                     |                  |                  |                  |
| то                                 |                  | 65 (27.9%)       |                  |
| T1~T4                              |                  | 168 (72.1%)      |                  |
| Lymph node status                  |                  |                  |                  |
| NO                                 |                  | 212 (91.0%)      |                  |
| N1+N2                              |                  | 21 (9.0%)        |                  |
| Metastasis                         |                  |                  |                  |
| MO                                 |                  | 229 (98.3%)      |                  |
| M1                                 |                  | 4 (1.7%)         |                  |
| Histopathologic grading            |                  |                  |                  |
| Low grade                          |                  | 36 (15.5%)       |                  |
| High grade                         |                  | 197 (84.5%)      |                  |
|                                    |                  |                  |                  |

Mann-Whitney U-test or Fisher's exact test was used between healthy controls and patients with UCC. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091147.t001

**Table 2.** Distribution frequency of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-C genotypes in 520 controls and 233 patients with urothelial cell carcinoma (UCC).

| Variable   | Controls ( <i>N</i> =520) <i>n</i> (%) | Patients ( <i>N</i> =233) <i>n</i> (%) | OR (95% CI)          | AOR (95% CI)         |
|------------|--|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| rs3775194  |  |  |                      |                      |
| GG         | 372 (71.5%)                            | 175 (75.1%)                            | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| GC         | 137 (26.4%)                            | 56 (24.0%)                             | 0.869 (0.607~1.244)  | 0.862 (0.546~1.360)  |
| сс         | 11 (2.1%)                              | 2 (0.9%)                               | 0.386 (0.085~1.762)  | 0.329 (0.060~1.801)  |
| GC+CC      | 148 (28.5%)                            | 58 (24.9%)                             | 0.833 (0.585~1.185)  | 0.811 (0.519~1.265)  |
| rs11947611 |  |  |                      |                      |
| AA         | 221 (42.5%)                            | 93 (39.9%)                             | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| AG         | 249 (47.9%)                            | 114 (48.9%)                            | 1.088 (0.783~1.511)  | 0.841 (0.559~1.265)  |
| GG         | 50 (9.6%)                              | 26 (11.2%)                             | 1.236 (0.726~2.104)  | 1.538 (0.780~3.031)  |
| AG+GG      | 299 (57.5%)                            | 140 (60.1%)                            | 1.113 (0.812~1.524)  | 0.929 (0.628~1.374)  |
| rs1485766  |  |  |                      |                      |
| сс         | 190 (36.5%)                            | 63 (27.0%)                             | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| CA         | 239 (46.0%)                            | 115 (49.4%)                            | 1.451 (1.011~2.083)* | 1.494 (1.051~2.345)* |
| AA         | 91 (17.5%)                             | 55 (23.6%)                             | 1.823 (1.174~2.829)* | 2.494 (1.423~4.373)* |
| CA+AA      | 330 (63.5%)                            | 170 (73.0%)                            | 1.554 (1.106~2.182)* | 1.742 (1.143~2.654)* |
| rs7664413  |  |  |                      |                      |
| сс         | 309 (59.4%)                            | 132 (56.7%)                            | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| СТ         | 188 (36.2%)                            | 89 (38.2%)                             | 1.108 (0.801~1.533)  | 1.282 (0.847–1.939)  |
| тт         | 23 (4.4%)                              | 12 (5.1%)                              | 1.221 (0.590~2.527)  | 1.146 (0.423–3.103)  |
| CT+TT      | 211 (40.6%)                            | 101 (43.3%)                            | 1.121 (0.820~1.532)  | 1.267 (0.849–1.889)  |
| rs2046463  |  |  |                      |                      |
| AA         | 309 (59.4%)                            | 132 (56.7%)                            | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| AG         | 188 (36.2%)                            | 89 (38.2%)                             | 1.108 (0.801~1.533)  | 1.282 (0.847~1.939)  |
| GG         | 23 (4.4%)                              | 12 (5.1%)                              | 1.221 (0.590~2.527)  | 1.146 (0.423~3.103)  |
| AG+GG      | 211 (40.6%)                            | 101 (43.3%)                            | 1.121 (0.820~1.532)  | 1.267 (0.849~1.889)  |

Odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (Cls) were estimated by logistic regression models. Adjusted ORs (AORs) and their 95% Cls were estimated by multiple logistic regression models after controlling for age, gender, and tobacco consumption. \*p<0.05, statistically significant.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091147.t002

other epithelial tumors [12]. In this study, we divided our recruited cohort into smoking and non-smoking groups and further investigated the difference between VEGF-C SNPs and UCC susceptibility in these two groups. In the non-smoking group, subjects with the VEGF-C polymorphic rs1485766 CA, AA, and the combination of CA and AA genotypes exhibited significantly (p < 0.05) higher risks of 1.983- (95% CI: 1.320~2.802), 2.486-(95% CI: 1.251~4.938), and 1.834-fold (95% CI: 1.085~3.100), respectively, of having UCC compared to their corresponding WT homozygotes (Table 3). The distribution frequency of VEGF-C genotypes in the non-smoking cohort was similar to the overall cohort we recruited. However, in the smoking cohort, there was no significant difference in having UCC in individuals with rs1485766 polymorphisms of the VEGF-C gene compared to wildtype (WT) individuals (Table 4). Among 277 smokers, subjects with the VEGF-C polymorphic rs7664413 CT and the combination of CT and TT genotypes respectively exhibited significantly (\$\$\phi\$<0.05) higher risks of 3.730- (95% CI: 1.384~10.056) and 3.595-fold (95% CI: 1.359~9.512) of having UCC compared to their corresponding WT homozygotes. Moreover, a similar result was also observed in subjects with the VEGF-C polymorphic rs2046463 (Table 4).

The impact of the *VEGF-C* polymorphic genotype on the pathological development of UCC was initially estimated by comparing frequency distributions of polymorphic genotypic subgroups and WT genotypic subgroups in UCC patients who had progressed to different clinical statuses including TNM clinical staging, primary tumor size, lymph node involvement, distant metastasis, and histologic grade. The comparison showed that the WT or polymorphic genotypes of rs3775194, rs11947611, and rs1485766 SNPs in UCC patients were irrelevant to the development of any UCC clinical pathological variable (data not shown). However, among UCC patients with the smoking habit, those who had the rs7664413 CT/TT SNP or rs2046463 AG/GG SNP had a higher risk (3.375-fold) of developing larger tumor sizes (p = 0.021) than did WT patients (Table 5 and Table S1).

# Discussion

Overexpression of VEGF-C was found in many epithelial tumors, including bladder UCC [9–11]. Recently, some *VEGF-C* SNPs (rs7664413 and rs1485766) were reported to be correlated with the risk of oral and liver cancer [16,17], osteonecrosis of the femoral head [19], and the survival rate from ovarian cancer [18]. However, to our knowledge, there are no reports concerning the roles of *VEGF-C* SNPs in UCC. In this study, we examined the

**Table 3.** Distribution frequency of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-C genotypes in 310 controls and 166 patients with urothelial cell carcinoma (UCC) without tobacco consumption.

| Variable   | Controls ( <i>N</i> =310) <i>n</i> (%) | Patients ( <i>N</i> = 166) <i>n</i> (%) | OR (95% CI)          | AOR (95% CI)         |
|------------|--|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| variable   | Among non-tobacco conse                | umers ( <i>n</i> =476)                  |                      |                      |
| rs3775194  |  |   |                      |                      |
| GG         | 232 (74.8%)                            | 126 (75.9%)                             | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| GC         | 69 (22.3%)                             | 38 (22.9%)                              | 1.014 (0.646~1.593)  | 0.859 (0.488~1.514)  |
| сс         | 9 (2.9%)                               | 2 (1.2%)                                | 0.409 (0.087~1.923)  | 0.411 (0.071~2.390)  |
| GC+CC      | 78 (25.2%)                             | 40 (24.1%)                              | 0.944 (0.609~1.464)  | 0.810 (0.467~1.406)  |
| rs11947611 |  |   |                      |                      |
| AA         | 128 (41.3%)                            | 68 (41.0%)                              | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| AG         | 152 (49.0%)                            | 82 (49.4%)                              | 1.015 (0.682~1.512)  | 0.729 (0.438~1.211)  |
| GG         | 30 (9.7%)                              | 16 (9.6%)                               | 1.004 (0.512~1.970)  | 1.469 (0.625~3.453)  |
| AG+GG      | 182 (58.7%)                            | 98 (59.0%)                              | 1.014 (0.691~1.487)  | 0.824 (0.509~1.335)  |
| rs1485766  |  |   |                      |                      |
| сс         | 124 (40.0%)                            | 42 (25.3%)                              | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| CA         | 134 (43.2%)                            | 87 (52.4%)                              | 1.917 (1.232~2.982)* | 1.983 (1.320~2.802)* |
| AA         | 52 (16.8%)                             | 37 (22.3%)                              | 2.101 (1.215~3.633)* | 2.486 (1.251~4.938)* |
| CA+AA      | 186 (60.0%)                            | 124 (74.7%)                             | 1.968 (1.297~2.987)* | 1.834 (1.085~3.100)* |
| rs7664413  |  |   |                      |                      |
| сс         | 177 (57.1%)                            | 100 (60.2%)                             | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| СТ         | 120 (38.7%)                            | 58 (34.9%)                              | 0.856 (0.575~1.274)  | 1.122 (0.677~1.862)  |
| Π          | 13 (4.2%)                              | 8 (4.8%)                                | 1.089 (0.437~2.717)  | 1.188 (0.335~4.211)  |
| CT+TT      | 133 (42.9%)                            | 66 (39.8%)                              | 0.878 (0.598~1.289)  | 1.129 (0.692~1.841)  |
| rs2046463  |  |   |                      |                      |
| AA         | 177 (57.1%)                            | 100 (60.2%)                             | 1.00                 | 1.00                 |
| AG         | 120 (38.7%)                            | 58 (34.9%)                              | 0.856 (0.575~1.274)  | 1.122 (0.677~1.862)  |
| GG         | 13 (4.2%)                              | 8 (4.8%)                                | 1.089 (0.437~2.717)  | 1.188 (0.335~4.211)  |
| AG+GG      | 133 (42.9%)                            | 66 (39.8%)                              | 0.878 (0.598~1.289)  | 1.129 (0.692~1.841)  |

Odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated by logistic regression models. Adjusted ORs (AORs) and their 95% CIs were estimated by multiple logistic regression models after controlling for age and gender.

\*p<0.05, statistically significant.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091147.t003

association of UCC risk with variations in *VEGF-C* and its interaction with subjects who smoke in a Taiwanese population.

The data demonstrated that subjects with the VEGF-C rs1485766 polymorphism were at a significantly elevated risk for UCC, suggesting that this polymorphism may contribute to the etiology of UCC. A similar finding was observed in our previous report which indicated an association between a VEGF-C rs1485766 polymorphism and the risk of liver cancer [17]. Since cigarette smoking is an important risk factor for UCC, we further explored any possible interactions of VEGF-C SNPs with smoking. We divided our recruited cohort into smoking and non-smoking groups and found that the distribution frequencies of VEGFC genotypes were similar between non-smoking groups and the overall cohort. Individuals from non-smoking groups or the overall cohort carrying the VEGF-C polymorphic rs1485766 CA, AA, or the combination of CA and AA genotypes had a higher risk of UCC compared to the WT genotype. The rs1485766 SNP is located in the intron 4 region of the VEGF-C gene (Figure 1), but the functional importance of this SNP to VEGF-C expression is still unknown. A previous report indicated that if the intronic SNP of a gene was detected in the RNA extract, the allelic gene expression with intronic SNPs gives very similar estimates to those obtained with exonic SNPs [20]. Several reports also indicated that an intronic SNP can affect gene expressions [21,22]. We assumed that VEGF-C messenger (m)RNA levels might also be affected by this intronic SNP. Higher mRNA levels might translate into higher protein levels; but, as yet, we have no proof of this assumption.

Cigarette smoking induction of VEGF-A and VEGF-C expressions was demonstrated [12,23]. Recent molecular biological studies demonstrated that the risk of UCC bladder cancer due to cigarette smoking is precisely linked to genetic markers that were detected using a microarray analysis or the SNP method [24,25]. Different from the non-smoking cohort, we observed no significant associations between VEGF-C rs1485766 polymorphisms and UCC risk in the smoking cohort in our study. In smokers, a significant association was found between two polymorphisms (rs7664413 and rs2046463) of the VEGF-C gene and increased UCC risks. In addition to predictions of UCC risks, we also found that individuals from the smoker cohort who carried the VEGF-C rs7664413 CT/TT or rs2046463 AG/GG (data not shown) SNP had a higher risk of an advanced T status. Indeed, the expression level of VEGF-C was reported to be correlated with the tumor size in UCC [10], and downregulation of VEGF-C was **Table 4.** Distribution frequencies of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-C genotypes in 210 controls and 67 patients with urothelial cell carcinoma (UCC) who consumed tobacco.

|            |                       |                        | OB (05% CI)          |                       |
|------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variable   |                       | Patients (N=67) // (%) | OR (95% CI)          | AOR (95% CI)          |
|            | Among tobacco consume | rs ( <i>n</i> =277)    |                      |                       |
| rs3775194  |                       |                        |                      |                       |
| GG         | 140 (66.7%)           | 49 (73.1%)             | 1.00                 | 1.00                  |
| GC         | 68 (32.4%)            | 18 (26.9%)             | 0.756 (0.410~1.396)  | 0.998 (0.391~2.549)   |
| сс         | 2 (0.9%)              | 0 (0%)                 | -                    | -                     |
| GC+CC      | 70 (33.3%)            | 18 (26.9%)             | 0.735 (0.399~1.354)  | 0.966 (0.379~2.462)   |
| rs11947611 |                       |                        |                      |                       |
| AA         | 93 (44.3%)            | 25 (37.3%)             | 1.00                 | 1.00                  |
| AG         | 97 (46.2%)            | 32 (47.8%)             | 1.227 (0.677~2.226)  | 1.216 (0.497~2.973)   |
| GG         | 20 (9.5%)             | 10 (14.9%)             | 1.860 (0.773~4.476)  | 3.819 (0.694~21.027)  |
| AG+GG      | 117 (55.7%)           | 42 (62.7%)             | 1.335 (0.759~2.350)  | 1.412 (0.595~3.353)   |
| rs1485766  |                       |                        |                      |                       |
| сс         | 66 (31.4%)            | 21 (31.3%)             | 1.00                 | 1.00                  |
| CA         | 105 (50.0%)           | 28 (41.8%)             | 0.838 (0.440~1.596)  | 2.168 (0.771~6.093)   |
| AA         | 39 (18.6%)            | 18 (26.9%)             | 1.451 (0.690~3.051)  | 3.219 (0.912~15.587)  |
| CA+AA      | 144 (68.6%)           | 46 (68.7%)             | 1.004 (0.555~1.816)  | 2.671 (0.930~6.929)   |
| rs7664413  |                       |                        |                      |                       |
| сс         | 132 (62.9%)           | 32 (47.8%)             | 1.00                 | 1.00                  |
| СТ         | 68 (32.4%)            | 31 (46.3%)             | 1.818 (1.059~3.339)* | 3.730 (1.384~10.056)* |
| Π          | 10 (4.7%)             | 4 (5.9%)               | 1.650 (0.486~5.601)  | 2.377 (0.227~24.862)  |
| CT+TT      | 78 (37.1%)            | 35 (52.2%)             | 1.851 (1.062~3.225)* | 3.595 (1.359~9.512)*  |
| rs2046463  |                       |                        |                      |                       |
| AA         | 132 (62.9%)           | 32 (47.8%)             | 1.00                 | 1.00                  |
| AG         | 68 (32.4%)            | 31 (46.3%)             | 1.818 (1.059~3.339)* | 3.730 (1.384~10.056)* |
| GG         | 10 (4.7%)             | 4 (5.9%)               | 1.650 (0.486~5.601)  | 2.377 (0.227~24.862)  |
| AG+GG      | 78 (37.1%)            | 35 (52.2%)             | 1.851 (1.062~.225)*  | 3.595 (1.359~9.512)*  |

Odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated by logistic regression models. Adjusted ORs (AORs) and their 95% CIs were estimated by multiple logistic regression models after controlling for age and gender.

\*p<0.05, statistically significant.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0091147.t004

demonstrated to decelerate tumor growth in vivo [26]. These results suggest the existence of a gene-smoking interaction in modulating the risk and tumor growth of UCC, and the effects of the *VEGF-C* rs7664413 and rs2046463 polymorphisms were highly dependent on smoking exposure. However, the mechanisms underlying the observed gene-smoking interaction remain to be elucidated.

Among different VEGFs, VEGF-A has the most complicated alternative RNA splicing (AS). As a result of AS, at least four transcripts encoding mature monomeric VEGF containing 121, 165, 189, and 206 amino acid residues (VEGF121, VEGF165, VEGF189, and VEGF206) have been detected. Each of the resulting isoforms has unique properties with pro- or antiangio-genic functions [27]. Relative to VEGF-A, other VEGFs, such as VEGF-B and -D have very few splicing isoforms, and the existence of VEGF-C splicing isoforms were only recently defined [28]. The *VEGF-C* rs7664413 SNP is located on the intron 5 flanking region (-33 nt upstream) of the *VEGF-C* gene (Figure 1). Many AS cisregulated elements are located in this region [29]. We further found that the rs7664413 SNP was located in a sequence of a putative exonic splicing silencer (PESS; TAAGGTATA) (Figure 1). PESSs are cis-regulatory elements that inhibit the use of adjacent

splice sites by acting through interactions with members of the heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein (hnRNP) family and often contribute to AS. PESSs regulate AS by recruiting factors that directly interfere with the splicing machinery [30]. For example, hnRNP I/PTB binds many exonic splicing silencers and appears to block access to the splicing machinery through protein multimerization [31]. Other evidence supports this observation two splicing variants (ENST00000280193 about and ENST00000507638) reported in the Ensemble database (vers. GRCh37). One encodes the functional VEGF-C protein (NM\_005429, 420 amino acids), while the other only processes transcripts. Those data suggest that the rs7664413 SNP might affect VEGF-C mRNA splicing. However, further specifically designed studies are needed to verify the effects and underlying mechanism of cigarette smoking and polymorphic rs7664413 on pre-mRNA splicing. The rs2046463 SNP is located downstream of the VEGF-C gene but close to rs7664413 (Figure 1). We have already determined one LD haploblock constituted of rs7664413 and rs2046463 [16], which likely represent dependent genetic signals that affect the risk and tumor growth for UCC patients with a smoking habit, while other SNPs are outside the haploblock.

| Table 5. Distribution free   with or without tobacco | quencies of the clin<br>consumption. | nical status and vase              | cular endothelial growth     | factor (VEGF)-0 | C rs7664413 gen | otype frequencies in                 | ı urothelial cell carcinoma | (UCC) patients |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
|  |                                      |                                    |                              |                 |                 |                                      |                             |                |
|  | Among non-tobacc                     | o consumers ( <i>n</i> = 166       | (                            |                 | Among tobacco   | consumers $(n=67)$                   |                             |                |
| Variable   | CC ( <i>N</i> =100) <i>n</i> (%)     | CT+TT ( <i>N</i> =66) <i>n</i> (%) | OR (95% CI)                  | <i>p</i> value  | CC (N=32) n (%  | ) CT+TT ( <i>N</i> =35) <i>n</i> (%) | OR (95% CI)                 | <i>p</i> value |
| Stage  |                                      |                                    |                              |                 |                 |                                      |                             |                |
| Superficial tumor (pTa~pT1)                          | 54 (54.0%)                           | 45 (68.2%)                         | 1.00                         |                 | 21 (65.6%)      | 22 (62.9%)                           | 1.00                        |                |
| Invasive tumor (pT2 $\sim$ pT4)                      | 46 (46.0%)                           | 21 (31.8%)                         | $0.548~(0.286\!\sim\!1.050)$ | 0.068           | 11 (34.4%)      | 13 (37.1%)                           | $1.128~(0.415{\sim}3.070)$  | 0.813          |
| Tumor T status                                       |                                      |                                    |                              |                 |                 |                                      |                             |                |
| TO   | 21 (21.0%)                           | 20 (30.3%)                         | 1.00                         |                 | 16 (50.0%)      | 8 (22.9%)                            | 1.00                        |                |
| T1∼T4  | 79 (79.0%)                           | 46 (69.7%)                         | 0.611 (0.300~1.246)          | 0.174           | 16 (50.0%)      | 27 (77.1%)                           | 3.375 (1.181~9.645)         | 0.021*         |
| Lymph node status                                    |                                      |                                    |                              |                 |                 |                                      |                             |                |
| NO   | 89 (89.0%)                           | 62 (93.9%)                         | 1.00                         |                 | 28 (87.5%)      | 33 (94.3%)                           | 1.00                        |                |
| N1+N2  | 11 (11.0%)                           | 4 (6.1%)                           | 0.522 (0.159~1.715)          | 0.277           | 4 (12.5%)       | 2 (5.7%)                             | 0.424 (0.072~2.492)         | 0.331          |
| Metastasis   |                                      |                                    |                              |                 |                 |                                      |                             |                |
| MO   | 100 (100%)                           | 65 (98.5%)                         | 1.00                         |                 | 31 (96.9%)      | 33 (94.3%)                           | 1.00                        |                |
| M1   | (%0) 0                               | 1 (1.5%)                           | 1                            | 0.217           | 1 (3.1%)        | 2 (5.7%)                             | 1.879 (0.162~21.772)        | 0.609          |
| Histopathologic grading                              |                                      |                                    |                              |                 |                 |                                      |                             |                |
| Low grade  | 10 (10.0%)                           | 13 (19.7%)                         | 1.00                         |                 | 7 (21.9%)       | 6 (17.1%)                            | 1.00                        |                |
| High grade   | 90 (90.0%)                           | 53 (80.3%)                         | 0.453 (0.186~1.105)          | 0.077           | 25 (78.1%)      | 29 (82.9%)                           | 1.353 (0.402 $\sim$ 4.559)  | 0.625          |
| doi:10 1371/journal none 009114                      | 7 1005                               |                                    |                              |                 |                 |                                      |                             |                |

c001.147.t000 10.1371/journal.pon

PLOS ONE | www.plosone.org

March 2014 | Volume 9 | Issue 3 | e91147

Our study is not without limitations. The principal limitation of this study is the relatively small sample size for gene-environment interaction assessment. Although the study power was sufficient to determine the association of the entire group of UCC patients; however, the subgroups that were analyzed were small. Therefore, conclusions drawn from these subanalyses must be interpreted with caution. Further limitation of the study might be the fact that we adjusted ORs just for age and gender without taking in consideration other potential confounding factors. In our future study, increasing the specimen number and taking more UCC risk factors into account in the analysis might precisely validate these findings. Finally, because this study is restricted to the Taiwanese population (Asian or Chinese ethnicity), it is uncertain whether these results can be generalized to other populations, and additional studies of these polymorphisms in different ethnic populations are necessary to confirm our results.

In summary, this is the first study, to our knowledge, of associations among *VEGF-C* gene polymorphisms, cigarette smoking, and UCC risk. Our findings suggest that the *VEGF-C* polymorphic rs1485766 CA/AA genotype might increase the risk for UCC, even in patients without a smoking habit. However, in association with smoking, both the rs7664413 CT/TT and

#### References

- 1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Murray T, Xu J, et al. (2007) Cancer statistics, 2007. CA Cancer J Clin 57: 43–66.
- Komiya Y, Tsukino H, Nakao H, Kuroda Y, Imai H, et al. (2005) Human glutathion S-transferase A1 polymorphism and susceptibility to urothelial cancer in the Japanese population. Cancer Lett 221: 55–59.
- 3. Health Do (2010) Cancer Resgistry Annal Report, Taiwan.
- Bid HK, Manchanda PK, Mittal RD (2006) Association of interleukin-1Ra gene polymorphism in patients with bladder cancer: case control study from North India. Urology 67: 1099–1104.
- Baris D, Karagas MR, Verrill C, Johnson A, Andrew AS, et al. (2009) A casecontrol study of smoking and bladder cancer risk: emergent patterns over time. J Natl Cancer Inst 101: 1553–1561.
- Kellen E, Zeegers M, Paulussen A, Vlietinck R, Vlem EV, et al. (2007) Does occupational exposure to PAHs, diesel and aromatic amines interact with smoking and metabolic genetic polymorphisms to increase the risk on bladder cancer?; The Belgian case control study on bladder cancer risk. Cancer Lett 245: 51–60.
- Folkman J (2002) Role of angiogenesis in tumor growth and metastasis. Semin Oncol 29: 15–18.
- He Y, Karpanen T, Alitalo K (2004) Role of lymphangiogenic factors in tumor metastasis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1654: 3–12.
- Ueda M, Terai Y, Yamashita Y, Kumagai K, Ueki K, et al. (2002) Correlation between vascular endothelial growth factor-C expression and invasion phenotype in cervical carcinomas. Int J Cancer 98: 335–343.
- Zu X, Tang Z, Li Y, Gao N, Ding J, et al. (2006) Vascular endothelial growth factor-C expression in bladder transitional cell cancer and its relationship to lymph node metastasis. BJU Int 98: 1090–1093.
- Bando H, Weich HA, Horiguchi S, Funata N, Ogawa T, et al. (2006) The association between vascular endothelial growth factor-C, its corresponding receptor, VEGFR-3, and prognosis in primary breast cancer: a study with 193 cases. Oncol Rep 15: 653–659.
- Inamine M, Nagai Y, Mitsuhashi A, Nagase S, Yaegashi N, et al. (2012) Cigarette smoke stimulates VEGF-C expression in cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) 1 and 2 lesions. Int J Clin Oncol 17: 498–504.
- Chien MH, Yang JS, Chu YH, Lin CH, Wei LH, et al. (2012) Impacts of CA9 gene polymorphisms and environmental factors on oral-cancer susceptibility and clinicopathologic characteristics in Taiwan. PLoS One 7: e51051.
- Kim EJ, Jeong P, Quan C, Kim J, Bae SC, et al. (2005) Genotypes of TNFalpha, VEGF, hOGG1, GSTM1, and GSTT1: useful determinants for clinical outcome of bladder cancer. Urology 65: 70–75.
- Garcia-Closas M, Malats N, Real FX, Yeager M, Welch R, et al. (2007) Largescale evaluation of candidate genes identifies associations between VEGF polymorphisms and bladder cancer risk. PLoS Genet 3: e29.
- Chien MH, Liu YF, Hsin CH, Lin CH, Shih CH, et al. (2013) Impact of VEGF-C gene polymorphisms and environmental factors on oral cancer susceptibility in Taiwan. PLoS One 8: e60283.

rs2046463 AG/GG genotypes significantly increased individual susceptibility to UCC. After adjusting for other confounding factors, UCC patients with the *VEGF-C* polymorphic rs7664413 CT/TT genotype or rs2046463 AG/GG genotype had a higher risk of developing larger tumor sizes compared to those with the C/C or A/A homozygote.

# **Supporting Information**

Table S1 Distribution frequencies of the clinical status and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-C rs2046463 genotype frequencies in urothelial cell carcinoma (UCC) patients with or without tobacco consumption.

(DOCX)

### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: MCT MJH MHC. Performed the experiments: SFY SSW. Analyzed the data: SSC SWW. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: SSW LML WJL. Wrote the paper: MCT MHC.

- Hsieh MC, Hsu HT, Hsiao PC, Yang SF, Yeh CB, et al. (2014) Role of VEGF-C gene polymorphisms in susceptibility to hepatocellular carcinoma and its pathological development. J Clin Lab Anal (in press).
- Goode EL, Maurer MJ, Sellers TA, Phelan CM, Kalli KR, et al. (2010) Inherited determinants of ovarian cancer survival. Clin Cancer Res 16: 995– 1007.
- Hong JM, Kim TH, Kim HJ, Park EK, Yang EK, et al. (2010) Genetic association of angiogenesis- and hypoxia-related gene polymorphisms with osteonecrosis of the femoral head. Exp Mol Med 42: 376–385.
- Serre D, Gurd S, Ge B, Sladek R, Sinnett D, et al. (2008) Differential allelic expression in the human genome: a robust approach to identify genetic and epigenetic cis-acting mechanisms regulating gene expression. PLoS Genet 4: e1000006.
- Tsukada S, Tanaka Y, Maegawa H, Kashiwagi A, Kawamori R, et al. (2006) Intronic polymorphisms within TFAP2B regulate transcriptional activity and affect adipocytokine gene expression in differentiated adipocytes. Mol Endocrinol 20: 1104–1111.
- Wang D, Guo Y, Wrighton SA, Cooke GE, Sadee W (2011) Intronic polymorphism in CYP3A4 affects hepatic expression and response to statin drugs. Pharmacogenomics J 11: 274–286.
- Costa F, Soares R (2009) Nicotine: a pro-angiogenic factor. Life Sci 84: 785– 790.
- Chen M, Kamat AM, Huang M, Grossman HB, Dinney CP, et al. (2007) Highorder interactions among genetic polymorphisms in nucleotide excision repair pathway genes and smoking in modulating bladder cancer risk. Carcinogenesis 28: 2160–2165.
- Marcus PM, Hayes RB, Vineis P, Garcia-Closas M, Caporaso NE, et al. (2000) Cigarette smoking, N-acetyltransferase 2 acetylation status, and bladder cancer risk: a case-series meta-analysis of a gene-environment interaction. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 9: 461–467.
- Khromova N, Kopnin P, Rybko V, Kopnin BP (2012) Downregulation of VEGF-C expression in lung and colon cancer cells decelerates tumor growth and inhibits metastasis via multiple mechanisms. Oncogene 31: 1389–1397.
- Ladomery MR, Harper SJ, Bates DO (2007) Alternative splicing in angiogenesis: the vascular endothelial growth factor paradigm. Cancer Lett 249: 133–142.
- Wang ZG, Puri TS, Quigg RJ (2010) Characterization of novel VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor)-C splicing isoforms from mouse. Biochem J 428: 347– 354.
- Fairbrother WG, Chasin LA (2000) Human genomic sequences that inhibit splicing. Mol Cell Biol 20: 6816–6825.
- Wang Z, Rolish ME, Yeo G, Tung V, Mawson M, et al. (2004) Systematic identification and analysis of exonic splicing silencers. Cell 119: 831–845.
- Wagner EJ, Garcia-Blanco MA (2001) Polypyrimidine tract binding protein antagonizes exon definition. Mol Cell Biol 21: 3281–3288.