A Rapid Genetic Assay for the Identification of the Most Common *Pocillopora damicornis* Genetic Lineages on the Great Barrier Reef

Gergely Torda^{1,2,3,4}, Sebastian Schmidt-Roach^{2,5}, Lesa M. Peplow², Petra Lundgren^{2,6}, Madeleine J. H. van Oppen^{2,3,4}*

1 School of Marine and Tropical Biology, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia, 2 Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, Queensland, Australia, 3 Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia, 4 AIMS@JCU, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia, 5 Institute for Antarctic and Southern Ocean Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia, 6 Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Townsville, Queensland, Australia

Abstract

Pocillopora damicornis (Linnaeus, 1758; Scleractinia, Pocilloporidae) has recently been found to comprise at least five distinct genetic lineages in Eastern Australia, some of which likely represent cryptic species. Due to similar and plastic gross morphology of these lineages, field identification is often difficult. Here we present a quick, cost effective genetic assay as well as three novel microsatellite markers that distinguish the two most common lineages found on the Great Barrier Reef. The assay is based on PCR amplification of two regions within the mitochondrial putative control region, which show consistent and easily identifiable fragment size differences for the two genetic lineages after *Alu1* restriction enzyme digestion of the amplicons.

Citation: Torda G, Schmidt-Roach S, Peplow LM, Lundgren P, van Oppen MJH (2013) A Rapid Genetic Assay for the Identification of the Most Common *Pocillopora damicornis* Genetic Lineages on the Great Barrier Reef. PLoS ONE 8(3): e58447. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058447

Editor: Tilmann Harder, University of New South Wales, Australia

Received November 17, 2012; Accepted February 4, 2013; Published March 7, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Torda et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work has been funded by the Australian Government's Marine and Tropical Sciences Research Fund (MTSRF), and AIMS@JCU, a collaborative scheme between the Australian Institute for Marine Science and James Cook University. G.T. is supported by the James Cook University Postgraduate Research Scholarship, and both G.T. and S.S-R. are supported by an Endeavour International Postgraduate Research Scholarship. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: m.vanoppen@aims.gov.au

Introduction

Many scleractinian coral species show high morphological variability that has been related to differing environmental conditions. Reciprocal transplant experiments have demonstrated that the same genet may exhibit different growth forms in response to light and water movement intensities [1,2]. However, some putative eco-morphs within single species represent distinct genetic lineages [3–9].

Pocillopora damicornis (Linnaeus, 1758) is a key model scleractinian coral species displaying a wide spectrum of morphotypes throughout its range [10], some of which occur in sympatry. The biology of this species, especially its reproductive modes, seasonality and lunar periodicity have often been found to be unexplainably variable, sometimes contradicting, among and even within studies, e.g. [11–15]. Recently, four independent studies have discovered sympatric, but seemingly reproductively isolated genetic lineages within the morphospecies *P. damicornis*: Flot et al. [16] report five distinct mitochondrial lineages from Hawaii, Pinzón and LaJeunesse [17] found three genetic lineages in the Tropical East Pacific, Souter [9] identified two from the coasts of Tanzania and Kenya, and Schmidt-Roach et al. [18] describe five lineages from the coast of Eastern Australia. The latter study showed that the genetic lineages of P. damicornis sensu [10] differ in their mode of reproduction, as well as in seasonal and lunar reproductive peaks. In light of these new results, the complex

biology of the morphospecies *P. damicomis* should be re-visited, using the newly identified genetic lineages as the units of observation. Although the genetic lineages seem to be associated with phenotypic characteristics, phenotypic plasticity and cryptic appearance complicate confident identification, especially for the untrained eye. Currently, the only practical technique to differentiate among these genetic lineages is sequencing mitochondrial regions characteristic for each lineage [18]. However, the relatively high costs and time-consuming nature of this procedure make their routine application to large sample sets unrealistic.

This paper presents a quick and relatively low-cost genetic assay to reliably identify the two most common, and best resolved genetic lineages of *P. damicornis* from the Great Barrier Reef (GBR), Types α and β [18]. Our assay targets the mtDNA putative control region, as described in [19], by RFLP analysis of PCR amplicons. We tested the performance of the assay by comparing its results against two datasets: (i) 145 coral samples with known lineage identity based on a multi-locus (both nuclear and mtDNA) sequence analysis [18] (Table 1), and (ii) 329 samples of *P. damicornis sensu* [10], collected around Lizard Island, central GBR, and characterised by nine polymorphic microsatellite loci, three of which were developed as part of this study. Table 1. Location and phylogenetic identities of Pocillopora damicornis samples from Schmidt-Roach et al. [18].

Location	Outgroup	Type α	Туре β	Τуре γ	Pocillopora verrucosa	Туре δ	Type ε	Total
Great Detached Reef			3	1	1		1	6
Great Keppel Island		19						19
Long Reef			3	1	2			6
Lord Howe Island		1+4*						5
Myrmidon Reef	1		1					2
Night Reef		3	3					6
Orpheus/Pelorus Island		3	19	4	10			36
One Tree Island		6						6
Rib Reef		2	3	1				6
Solitary Islands						3		3
Tydeman Reef			3		3			6
Wallace Islet Reef		21	3					24
Wilkie Reef		2	16	2				20
Total	1	61	54	9	16	3	1	145

All samples were correctly identified by the RFLP PCR assay as Type α , Type β or "other *Pocillopora*". "Type α LHI" specimens (indicated with an *) were identified as "other *Pocillopora*" by the assay.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058447.t001

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

All necessary permits were obtained for the described field studies. Specimens for this study were collected under permit numbers G08/28215.1 and G09/30237.1, issued by the Australian Government's Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority. The locations of sample collection are not privately-owned, and no endangered or protected species were collected.

Assay Development

We searched the genome of pocilloporid species for conserved lineage-specific indels. Following unsuccessful trials on nuclear genomes, we aligned the putative control region (i.e., the region between the *atp8* and *cox1* genes [19] of the mitochondrial genome) of pocilloporid species, including the newly identified genetic lineages that are present on the GBR. The alignment of GenBank sequences NC_009797.1, NC_009798.1, NC_010244.2,

NC_010245.2, NC_011162.1, NC_011160.1, JX624790-[X625114 in BioEdit v7.0.1 [20] using ClustalW Multiple Alignment [21] showed that an eight-bp deletion is characteristic for *P. damicornis* Type α and a six-bp deletion is unique for Type β , sensu Schmidt-Roach et al. [18]. The design of primers that directly bind to these lineage-specific indels was unsuccessful, therefore, using the web-based program Primer3 [22] we developed primers that target the region containing both indels, with a total length of 705 (Type α), 707 (Type β), and 713 bp (all other Pocillopora spp. and genetic lineages, hereafter "other Pocillopora"). Primer sequences are Pdam-F 5'-AAG AAG ATT CGG GCT CGT TT-3' and Pdam-R 5'-CGC CTC CTC TAC CAA GAC AG-3'. These primer sequences do not occur in the mtDNA genome of the pocilloporid genera Seriatopora and Stylophora. The detection of such small amplicon size differences is challenging. Therefore, to facilitate rapid and reliable identification, i.e. to enable the use of simple agarose gels with reasonable electrophoresis time without compromising identification accura-



Figure 1. Schematic overview of the diagnostic mitochondrial putative control region. The primer pair Pdam -F and -R amplifies a region that contains two type-specific deletions: a 6-bp deletion in the mtDNA of Type β specimens, and an 8-bp deletion in Type α specimens. The amplicon, when subjected to *Alu1* restriction digestion, gives unique and readily recognizable fragment sizes for Type α , Type β and all other *Pocillopora* species and lineage types. Scissors indicate *Alu1* recognition sites, numbers show the size of the corresponding fragments in bp. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058447.g001

Table 2. Characteristics of newly developed microsatellite primers.

Locus F sequence	R sequence	Motif	Amplicon size range (bp)	Tm
Pd4 ACGCACACAAACCAACAAAC	TAATTCCATCAACTCAAAGGGG	(AAAC)5	130-190	60°C
Pd11 TCGTTTGAAGGGAAATGCTC	GGCATGCTATGTATGCGAGA	(CA)7 T (AC)13	120-180	60°C
Pd13 TGTTCCTCTCTTTCTCTCTCCA	CATTTATGTTCCTTTCACGGC	(TCTT)5	130-194	60°C

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058447.t002

cy, we included a digestion step with *Alu1*. This restriction enzyme digest generates a unique banding pattern for Type α (84, 116 and 389 bp fragments), Type β (92, 110, 116 and 389 bp fragments) and "other *Pocillopora*" (92, 116 and 389 bp fragments; Figure 1), that is recognisable on a high density agarose gel.

Testing the Assay

The assay was first tested on the 145 DNA samples that were used in the phylogenetic study of Schmidt-Roach et al. [18] (Table 1). PCR reactions were run in 10 µl volumes using a Qiagen Multiplex PCR Kit (Qiagen[®]), following the manufacturer's protocol, with 2 pmol of both primers, and approximately 40 ng of the template DNA. Thermal cycling protocol was 15 min at 95°C, $30 \times (30 \text{ s at } 95^{\circ}\text{C}; 90 \text{ s at } 60^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $60 \text{ s at } 72^{\circ}\text{C}$) and 10 min at 60°C . PCR products were subjected to Alu1 restriction enzyme digestion without purification for 1 hour at 37°C , using 5 µl of PCR product with 0.25 µl (2.5 U) of Alu1 enzyme and 1 µl of $10 \times \text{NE}$ Buffer 4 (BioLabs Inc.) in a 10 µl reaction. Five µl of the digested products were run on 4% TBE agarose gels (15×20.5 cm in size) for 4 hrs at 150 Volts. Banding patterns were scored manually. The resulting lineage identities of samples were compared to the published phylogenetic memberships [18].

We also compared the assay's performance to an independent 329 *P. damicornis sensu* [10] multilocus microsatellite genotypes. These samples were collected at Lizard Island, northern GBR, and stored in absolute ethanol, until extracting DNA by a modified protocol of the salt precipitation method described in Wilson et al. [23]. Microsatellite primers developed by Magalon et al. [24] and Starger et al. [25] were tested for amplification and polymorphism on a random subset of 50 samples. One marker from Magalon et al. [24] and five from Starger et al. [25] were found to be polymorphic in our samples. To increase the discriminative power of genotyping, we developed additional microsatellite markers, as

Table 3. Multiplex groups for microsatellite genotyping. A
universal TET-labeled M13-F was added to groups M1 and M2

Group	Locus	5' MOD	Mean size	running Tm	Source
M1	Pd3_004	TET (M13)	180	53°C	Starger et al. 2008
	PV7	HEX	220		Magalon et al. 2004
M2	Pd4	TET (M13)	148	60°C	new primer
	Pd11	FAM	157		new primer
	Pd3_002	HEX	200		Starger et al. 2008
М3	Pd13	HEX	153	60°C	new primer
	Pd3_008	TET	180		Starger et al. 2008
	Pd2_007	FAM	250		Starger et al. 2008
S	Pd3_009	FAM	350	52°C	Starger et al. 2008

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058447.t003



Figure 2. Image of 4% TBE agarose gel of the PCR RFLP assay products. A 100 bp ladder is run in the left-hand side lane. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058447.g002

follows. Twelve P. damicornis colonies were collected at Orpheus Island, central GBR, and were transferred into aquaria at the Australian Institute of Marine Science. After an acclimatization time of four days, colonies were bleached with 10 μ g l⁻¹ of the herbicide diuron [26] over two weeks to remove most Symbiodinium cells from the coral tissue. Bleached fragments of each colony were preserved in absolute ethanol. DNA was extracted using the Oiagen DNEasy Tissue Kit and checked for Symbiodinium contamination by amplification of the ITS region, according to Coleman et al. [27]. None of the twelve samples showed amplification for Symbiodinium and were used for microsatellite isolation following Glenn and Schable [28]. After the creation of an enriched microsatellite library, primers for 15 microsatellites were designed using Primer3, and these loci were tested for polymorphism. Three marker sets were identified to amplify polymorphic microsatellite regions (Table 2), and were pooled with the selected markers from Magalon et al. [24] and Starger et al. [25] in three multiplex and one simplex PCR reactions (Table 3).

All 329 *P. damicornis* DNA samples were genotyped at the nine microsatellite loci, following the Qiagen Multiplex PCR Kit (Qiagen[®]) protocol, using 0.5 pmol of the M13-tailed F primers, and 2 pmols of all other primers (Table 3). Thermal cycling was similar to the assay's protocol, adjusting the annealing tempera-



Figure 3. STRUCTURE plot (K = 3) of 329 *Pocillopora damicornis sensu* [10] specimens from Lizard Island. Genetic lineage was determined by the PCR RFLP assay. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058447.q003

tures as per Table 3. PCR products were separated on a MegaBACE 1000 DNA Analysis System. Electropherograms were analyzed using MegaBACE Fragment Profiler v1.2 (Amersham Biosciences). All automatic scoring was checked manually.

The Bayesian clustering method implemented in STRUC-TURE v2.3.3 [29] was run on the multilocus genotype dataset for K = 3, using the admixture model without locprior, and independent allele frequencies without initial population information, with a burn-in of 100,000 and 100,000 MCMC replications after the burn-in. Additionally, a Factorial Correspondence Analysis (FCA) was carried out in Genetix 4.05.2 [30] on the multilocus genotypes. The first two coordinates were plotted for visual analysis. The PCR RFLP assay was run on the same 329 DNA samples.

Results and Discussion

Consistent with our expectations, three easily distinguishable banding patterns were seen on the agarose gels after running the assay on any *Pocillopora* DNA sample (Figure 2). The assay correctly identified all GBR samples from the study of Schmidt-Roach et al. [18] as either Type α , β or "other *Pocillopora*", and classified the Type α LHI samples as "other *Pocillopora*" (Table 1). The taxonomic status of Type α LHI specimens is uncertain, but some evidence shows that they represent a distinct genetic lineage [18], therefore the assay correctly identified these as "other *Pocillopora*". Since the GBR samples originate from 10 different reefs, spanning more than 12° of latitude, these results provide high confidence of the appropriateness of the assay as an identification tool for the whole of the GBR. Testing the assay on populations outside the East coast of Australia was beyond the scope of this study, therefore we recommend sequencing a representative number of specimens in any non-GBR population to determine whether the type-specific deletions are present, prior to adopting the presented assay as an identification tool.

The PCR RFLP assay identified 205 Type α , 93 Type β , and 31 "other *Pocillopora*" specimens among the 329 samples from Lizard Island. The Bayesian model clustered specimens according to their mtDNA lineage identity (Figure 3). Similarly, the FCA of the multilocus microsatellite genotypes showed three well distinguished clusters that correspond to the genetic lineage identity, assigned by the assay (Figure 4). The congruence between the nuclear microsatellite and mtDNA data strengthens the hypothesis



Figure 4. Results of the Factorial Correspondence Analysis on 329 *Pocillopora damicornis sensu* [10] microsatellite genotypes. The first two coordinates explain 100% of the variability. Genetic lineage was determined by the PCR RFLP assay. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058447.g004

that these lineages are genetically and hence reproductively isolated.

The genetic assay presented here provides a simple means to ensure that future studies avoid sample misidentification in *P. damicornis*. Furthermore, while the recruits of pocilloporid species are readily distinguished from the recruits of other scleractinian families by their characteristic skeletal structures [31], species identification of recruits merely by morphology is very unreliable. The primers of this assay were designed to only amplify *Pocillopora* spp. DNA, and not the DNA from other pocilloporid genera. The assay is therefore also suitable to reliably identify Type α and Type β *P. damicornis* from among pocilloporid recruits, which will open doors to genetic lineage-specific recruitment studies.

References

- Willis BL (1985) Phenotypic plasticity versus phenotypic stability in the reef corals *Turbinaria mesenterina* and *Pavona cactus*. Proc 5th Int Coral Reef Symp. Vol. 4. 107–112.
- Bruno JF, Edmunds PJ (1997) Clonal variation for phenotypic plasticity in the coral Madracis mirabilis. Ecology 78: 2177–2190.
- Stobart B, Benzie JAH (1994) Allozyme electrophoresis demonstrates that the scleractinian coral *Montipora digitata* is two species. Mar Biol 118: 183–190.
- Medina M, Weil E, Szmant AM (1999) Examination of the Montastraea annularis species complex (Cnidaria: Scleractinia) using ITS and COI sequences. Mar Biotechnol 1: 89–97.
- Combosch DJ, Guzman HM, Schuhmacher H, Vollmer SV (2008) Interspecific hybridization and restricted trans-Pacific gene flow in the Tropical Eastern Pacific *Pocillopora*. Mol Ecol 17: 1304.
- Flot JF, Licuanan WY, Nakano Y, Payri C, Cruaud C, et al. (2008) Mitochondrial sequences of *Seriatopora* corals show little agreement with morphology and reveal the duplication of a tRNA gene near the control region. Coral Reefs 27: 789–794.
- Nunes F, Fukami H, Vollmer SV, Norris RD, Knowlton N (2008) Re-evaluation of the systematics of the endemic corals of Brazil by molecular data. Coral Reefs 27: 423–432.
- Forsman ZH, Barshis DJ, Hunter CL, Toonen RJ (2009) Shape-shifting corals: Molecular markers show morphology is evolutionarily plastic in Porites. BMC Evol Biol 9: 45.
- Souter P (2010) Hidden genetic diversity in a key model species of coral. Mar Biol 157: 875–885.
- Veron JEN, Pichon M (1976) Scleractinia of Eastern Australia, Part I. Families *Thamnasteriidae, Astrocoeniidae*, and *Pocilloporidae*. Australian Institute of Marine Science, Townsville, Australia. 86 p.
- Harriott VJ (1983) Reproductive seasonality, settlement, and post-settlement mortality of *Pocillopora damicornis* (Linnaeus), at Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef. Coral Reefs 2: 151–157.
- Richmond RH, Jokiel PL (1984) Lunar periodicity in larva release in the reef coral *Pocillopora damicornis* at Enewetak and Hawaii. Bull Mar Sci 34: 280–287.
- Stoddart JÅ, Black R (1985) Cycles of gametogenesis and planulation in the coral Pocillopora damicornis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 23: 153–164.
- Ward S (1992) Evidence for broadcast spawning as well as broading in the scleractinian coral *Pocillopora damicornis*. Mar Biol 112: 641–646.
- Tanner JE (1996) Seasonality and lunar periodicity in the reproduction of pocilloporid corals. Coral Reefs 15: 59–66.
- Flot JF, Magalon H, Cruaud C, Couloux A, Tillier S (2008) Patterns of genetic structure among Hawaiian corals of the genus Pocillopora yield clusters of individuals that are compatible with morphology. Comptes Rendus Biologies 331: 239–247.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Andrew Muirhead for his valuable assistance with labwork, and to numerous volunteers who participated in the collection of coral samples.

Author Contributions

Critically reviewed the manuscript: SSR LMP PL MJHVO. Conceived and designed the experiments: GT SSR LMP PL MJHVO. Performed the experiments: GT. Analyzed the data: GT. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: GT SSR PL MJHVO LMP. Wrote the paper: GT.

- Pinzón JH, LaJeunesse TC (2011) Species delimitation of common reef corals in the genus *Pocillopora* using nucleotide sequence phylogenies, population genetics and symbiosis ecology. Mol Ecol 20: 311–325.
- Schmidt-Roach S, Lundgren P, Miller KJ, Gerlach G, Noreen AME, et al. (2012) Assessing hidden species diversity in the coral *Pocillopora damicornis* from Eastern Australia. Coral Reefs DOI: 10.1007/s00338–012–0959-z.
- Flot JF, Tillier S (2007) The mitochondrial genome of *Pocillopora* (Cnidaria: Scleractinia) contains two variable regions: The putative D-loop and a novel ORF of unknown function. Gene 401: 80–87.
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp Ser. Vol. 41. 95–98.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res 22: 4673.
- Rozen S, Skaletsky H (2000) Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. Methods Mol Biol 132: 365–386.
- Wilson K, Li Y, Whan V, Lehnert S, Byrne K, et al. (2002) Genetic mapping of the black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* with amplified fragment length polymorphism. Aquaculture 204: 297–309.
- Magalon H, Samadi S, Richard M, Adjeroud M, Veuille M (2004) Development of coral and zooxanthella-specific microsatellites in three species of *Pocillopora* (Cnidaria, Scleractinia) from French Polynesia. Mol Ecol Notes 4: 206–208.
- Starger CJ, Yeoh SSR, Dai C-F, Baker AC, Desalle R (2008) Ten polymorphic STR loci in the cosmopolitan reef coral, *Pocillopora damicornis*. Mol Ecol Res 8: 619–621.
- Negri A, Vollhardt C, Humphrey C, Heyward A, Jones R, et al. (2005) Effects of the herbicide diuron on the early life history stages of coral. Mar Pollut Bull 51: 370–383.
- Coleman AW, Suarez A, Goff LJ (1994) Molecular delineation of species and syngens in volvocacean green algae (Chlorophyta). J Phycol 30: 80–90.
- Glenn TC, Schable NA (2005) Isolating microsatellite DNA loci. Methods Enzymol 395: 202–222.
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 155: 945–959.
- Belkhir K, Borsa P, Chikhi L, Raufaste N, Bonhomme F (1996) GENETIX 4.05, logiciel sous Windows TM pour la génétique des populations. Laboratoire génome, populations, interactions, CNRS UMR 5000: 1996–2004.
- Babcock RC, Baird AH, Piromvaragorn S, Thomson DP, Willis BL (2003) Identification of scleractinian coral recruits from Indo-Pacific reefs. Zool Stud 42: 211–226.