Prevalence and Associated Risk Factors of Dyslexic Children in a Middle-Sized City of China: A Cross-Sectional Study

Zhao Sun^{1,9}, Li Zou^{1,2,9}, Jiajia Zhang³, Shengnan Mo⁴, Shanshan Shao¹, Rong Zhong², Juntao Ke², Xuzai Lu², Xiaoping Miao², Ranran Song¹*

1 Department of Maternal and Child Health, School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, 2 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics and MOE Key Lab of Environment and Health, School of Public Health, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, 3 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, United States of America, 4 Office of Administration, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

Abstract

Background: There are many discussions about dyslexia based on studies conducted in western countries, and some risk factors to dyslexia, such as gender and home literacy environment, have been widely accepted based on these studies. However, to our knowledge, there are few studies focusing on the risk factors of dyslexia in China. Therefore, the aim of our study was to investigate the prevalence of dyslexia and its potential risk factors.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted in Qianjiang, a city in Hubei province, China. Two stages sampling strategy was applied to randomly selected 5 districts and 9 primary schools in Qianjiang. In total, 6,350 students participated in this study and there were 5,063 valid student questionnaires obtained for the final analyses. Additional questionnaires (such as Dyslexia Checklist for Chinese Children and Pupil Rating Scale) were used to identify dyslexic children. The chi-square test and multivariate logistic regression were employed to reveal the potential risk factors to dyslexia.

Results: Our study revealed that the prevalence of dyslexia was 3.9% in Qianjiang city, which is a middle-sized city in China. Among dyslexic children, the gender ratio (boys to girls) was nearly 3:1. According to the *P*-value in the multivariate logistic regression, the gender (P<0.01), mother's education level (P<0.01), and learning habits (P<0.01) (active learning, scheduled reading time) were associated with dyslexia.

Conclusion: The prevalence rate of dyslexic children in middle-sized cities is 3.9%. The potential risk factors of dyslexic children revealed in this study will have a great impact on detecting and treating dyslexic children in China as early as possible, although more studies are still needed to further investigate the risk factors of dyslexic children in China.

Citation: Sun Z, Zou L, Zhang J, Mo S, Shao S, et al. (2013) Prevalence and Associated Risk Factors of Dyslexic Children in a Middle-Sized City of China: A Cross-Sectional Study. PLoS ONE 8(2): e56688. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056688

Editor: Li-Min Huang, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taiwan

Received August 21, 2012; Accepted January 14, 2013; Published February 15, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Sun et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Project No. 81273092. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: ranransong@gmail.com

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

Introduction

Reading is one of the most important approaches to acquire information in modern society. Developmental dyslexia is defined as a specific and significant impairment in reading ability that cannot be explained by deficits in intelligence, learning opportunity, motivation or sensory acuity [1] and it can occur in areas of basic reading skills, written expression, listening and speaking. It has great wide effect on children involving aspects such as education, career, communication and even health. Some studies demonstrated that the children or adolescents with reading disabilities reported more depress mood, scored higher on the measures of anxiety symptoms and more somatic complaints than youth without reading problems [2,3,4]. Other studies showed that the adolescents with dyslexia had higher rates of externalizing behavior problem, aggressive and delinquent behaviors compared with their peers who were normal readers [3,5,6]. The importance of research in dyslexia has been well known by educational, medical and social researchers.

Dyslexia is a vital and controversial issue around the world. In western countries, dyslexia has been studied widely during the last several decades. It is agreed that dyslexia is estimated to have a prevalence of 5% to 17% among school-age children [7]. Recently, one population-based birth cohort showed cumulative incidence rates of dyslexia varied from 5.3% to 11.8% depending on the formula used [8]. Whether the boys are more likely to be affected than girls is still in debate [8,9,10]. A longitudinal study found no significant difference in the prevalence of dyslexia in

research-identified boys compared with research-identified girls. In contrast, they found more dyslexic boys among school-based samples [11]. Besides gender, other risk factors, such as parental education, home literacy, family history, have been investigated. Anne's study showed important differences between adolescents with and without dyslexia relating to psychosocial variables [12]. Moreover, Reanto's study demonstrated associations between dyslexia and gender, season of birth, and age at school entry in a large clinical sample of Italian children [13]. Research on dyslexia developed early and comprehensively in western countries.

However, the knowledge of Chinese dyslexia is limited compared with that in alphabetic language. It is worth pointing out that the results of dyslexia with alphabetic language cannot be generalized to the Chinese dyslexia, because the risk factors associated to dyslexia may differ across cultures and languages. Stevenson et al. found that dyslexia existed among Chinese, Japanese as well as American children [14]. It had been the time that people came to realize the issue existing in Chinese. There are some researches which focused on the cognitive profiles of Chinese dyslexic children in Hong Kong [15,16,17,18,19], and the similar work has been done in mainland China [20,21]. Researchers highlighted the importance of morphological awareness for learning to read Chinese and revealed that it might be a core theoretical construct. Although Chinese dyslexia have received more attentions than before, particularly in the brain function using brain imaging techniques [22,23,24] which advance in neurology, genetics and psychology, there are still gaps in epidemiological studies in China. Compared with the abundant studies on potential environmental factors of dyslexia and epidemiological survey in alphabetic language countries, research like present study in China is rare. To address the gap, we explored the prevalence rate of dyslexia and possible risk factors, including those related to school and family environment. The Dyslexia Checklist for Chinese Children (DCCC) based on the definition and diagnostic criteria of dyslexia in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders fourth Edition (DSM-IV) was used to diagnose Chinese dyslexic children. This study aims to investigate the prevalence rate of dyslexic children in a middlesized city and further our understanding of dyslexia which may be influenced by gender, nurture-ways from parents and home literacy environment.

Methods

Ethics statement

Written informed consents were obtained from all participants and the next of kin, caretakers, or guardians on the behalf of the participants involved in the study. Besides, the study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Medical Association of Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology.

Study design and participants

This study was conducted in Qianjiang, a city located in the southeast of Hubei province, China, which has a population of 1,030,000 with the 2,004 square kilometers [25]. In 2011, there are about 37,927 students in the primary schools. From China census data (2011) [26], there are 657 cities in total China, and among them there are 370 (56.32%) cities defined as the middle-sized (country-level) cities based on the populations and economic status. Qianjiang is one of the 370 middle-sized cities in China, and therefore, results of current study can be generalized to other middle-sized cities. Since there are 22 districts in Qianjiang city and many primary schools in each district, we applied the two-

stage sampling to randomly select 5 districts from 22 districts and 9 primary schools from these 5 districts.

All students of third- to sixth-grade in the selected schools were included. The child with dyslexia was recognized based on the following criteria: (a) The score of Dyslexia Checklist for Chinese Children (DCCC) was 2 standard deviations higher than the mean score. The DCCC is an ideal rating scale for Chinese dyslexia with well-established reliability and validity. It consists of 58 items which applied five degrees ranging in scale from 1 to 5 and requires parents to fill out each item according to his/her child's daily behavior. The higher score of DCCC corresponds to the worse reading ability. Referring to our norm of DCCC, the mean score and standard deviation were 105.7 and 33.0 respectively. (b) The score of Pupil Rating Scale Revised Screening for Learning Disabilities (PRS) was lower than 65 points. The PRS is a convenient tool widely used to undertake a diagnose of learning disability in China, containing a total of 24 items divided into five scopes such as listening comprehension, time and spatial judgments, social behavior, motion ability, memory and language ability. The head teacher was demanded to complete the scale depending on the students' performance at school. (c) Chinese language test was below the tenth percentile among all children in the same grade. (d) The intelligence quotient was above 80 assessed by Combined Raven's Test. (e) The child was not suffered from visual and auditory disorders or psychiatric diseases.

Data collection

Before data collection, we got the permission from all selected schools and informed consents from students and their parents or the next of kin, caretakers, or guardians on the behalf of participants who were invited to participant in the study.

The study was conducted between October 1 and October 31, 2011 by 2 researchers and 5 students with master's degree who are acquaint with the manual of each scale and experienced in epidemical survey. After explanation of our study aims by investigators, the PRS and DCCC scales were respectively completed by the head teachers and guardians depending on the written instruction of the scales. According to the criteria in our study, IQ \geq 80 was used as an exclusion rule for the subjects, which could be obtained from their medical history in schools. That is, all the subjects enrolled in this study had normal IQ (≥ 80) by Raven's test. The average score of two unified exams was applied to assess the Chinese language capacity of each student. Besides the scales, we required parents to complete a questionnaire containing three aspects: general information, children's reading habits and home literacy environment. Briefly, general information was collected including children's age, gender, height and weight, medical history, parental education, occupation and family economic status. With regard to children's reading habits, the items about scheduled time on watching TV and surfing internet, whether the children conducting activity reading, whether the children having scheduled reading time were established. Home reading environment was reflected by many items, such as the parents' attitude toward extracurricular reading, how much money spent on the extracurricular books, the frequency of buying books for children, whether the parents telling stories to their children from early childhood, whether the parents having a reading routine, whether the parents buying the books which their children favor.

Statistical analysis

A descriptive analysis was conducted using mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables and frequencies for qualitative variables, respectively. Differences of quantitative or qualitative variables between dyslexic and non-dyslexic children

were examined by t test or χ^2 test. The variables which were statistical significance in t test or χ^2 test were included in a multivariate logistic regression model. All P values were two-tailed with a significant level at 0.05 and all statistical analyses were carried out in SPSS 12.0.

Results

Participant characteristics

Totally, there are 6350 students from grade 3 to grade 6 in the selected schools, of which 5536 students returned their questionnaires. However, only 5063 questionnaires were completed, with a response rate of 91.5%. The descriptive statistics of the participants in our study were shown in Table 1. Of the 5063 participants, 2581 (51%) were boys and 2482 (49%) were girls. Their age ranged from 7 to 13 years, with a mean age of 9.4 years (SD = 1.2). With respect to the economic status, 946 (18.7%), 1638 (32.4%), 1909 (37.7%) and 570 (11.3%) families had income of >3000 RMB, 2000-3000 RMB, 1000-2000 RMB, <1000 RMB per month, respectively. Regarding parents' occupation, 25% fathers were professional technical staff and 26.9% mothers were classified as inconvenience, which meant that they had no jobs. According to fathers' education level, 10.1% of fathers had college diploma or above, 18.3% were junior college, 39.8% only completed senior high school or equal, and 31.9% finished junior high school or below. There were 41.1% of mothers with education of junior high school or below, which was the largest proportion among four levels. Mother with college diploma or above and junior college were 6.6% and 14.7% respectively (Table 1).

The prevalence rate of Chinese dyslexia in Qianjiang city

Among total 5063 participants, there were 195 individuals who suffered from dyslexia. The prevalence rate of dyslexia was 3.9% in primary schools in Qianjiang city. Of the 195 children with dyslexia, 146 (74.9%) were boys and 49 (25.1%) were girls, which suggested that the gender ratio of dyslexia was about 3:1 (boy:girl). The percentage of dyslexia in each grade was drawn in Figure 1. In 3^{rd} grade, the percentages of boys and girls were 71.4% and 28.6%. Similarly, the percentages were 80.4% and 19.6%, 71.9% and 28.1%, 75% and 25% in 4^{th} , 5^{th} and 6^{th} grades respectively.

The prevalence rate of dyslexia in different grades

As shown in Table 2, the prevalence rates of dyslexia from 3^{rd} to 6^{th} grades were 4.1%, 4.6%, 4.2% and 2.7% separately. There were significant differences on prevalence rates across four grades (*P*<0.05). According to the pair-wise comparisons, significant differences of prevalence rates only existed between 3^{rd} and 6^{th} , 4^{th} and 6^{th} , 5^{th} and 6^{th} grades (*P*<0.05).

Factors associated with Chinese dyslexia

Table 3 shows the potential risk factors of dyslexia based on the chi-square test. There was significant difference in gender between two groups (P<0.05). In dyslexic group, more boys (146, 74.9%) suffered from dyslexia than girls (49, 25.1%), while the number of boys and girls were similar in non-dyslexic group. The average age was 9.35 years for the dyslexia, and 9.39 years for non-dyslexia. The percentages of different economic levels in dyslexia group from high to low levels were 14.9%, 29.2%, 43.1%, 12.8% separately, which were similar to the percentages of non-dyslexia (18.8%, 32.5%, 37.5%, and 11.2%). There was no statistical significance in age and income of family between the two groups (P>0.05). Parents' educational level was significantly lower among the dyslexic children than that in non-dyslexia group (P<0.05). In

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the participants (N = 5063).

VariablesNon-dyslexiaSeeNon-dyslexia46689.10Dyslexia19.003.00Gender19.005.00Girid24.009.00Age(years), Mean ± SD9.017.00Grade three10202.01Grade four12002.01Grade four12002.01More than 3000 Yuan9.401.02@Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan12082.01@Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan12082.01@Drofesional technical staff12082.01@Professional technical staff2.012.01@Service staff3.012.01@Cusafication of inconvenience8.031.01@Professional technical staff3.013.01@Professional technical staff3.013.01@Professional technical staff3.013.01@Professional technical staff3.013.01@Professional technical staff3.013.01@Professional technical staff3.013.01@Professional technical staff3.013.01 <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>			
Dependent variable: dyslexia 4668 96.1 Non-dyslexia 195 3.9 Gender 50.0 51.0 Girl 2482 49.0 Age(years), Mean ± SD 94±1.2 20.1 Grade three 1020 20.1 Grade three 1020 20.1 Grade four 1205 23.8 Grade four 1205 23.8 Grade four 1355 26.8 Grade four 1355 26.8 Grade six 148.3 29.3 Variables of socioeconomic status, SES 18.7 Income of family per month (RMB) 18.7 @More than 3000 Yuan 1338 32.4 @Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan 1338 32.4 @Less than 1000 Yuan 570 11.3 Occupation of father 1268 25.0 @Professional technical staff 360 7.1 @Business staff 360 7.1 @Service staff 360 7.1 @Service staff<	Variables	Ν	%
Non-dyslexia466896.1Dyslexia1953.9Gender258151.0Gird248249.0Age(years), Mean ± SD241.124.12Grade three10202.11Grade four12052.38Grade four13552.68Grade six14832.93Variables of socioeconomic status, SES15.7©More than 3000 Yuan13683.243Between 2000 and 3000 Yuan13683.243Between 2000 and 3000 Yuan13683.243Between 2000 and 3000 Yuan13683.243Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan3.607.11@Less than 1000 Yuan13683.243Between 1000 and government3.607.11@Service staff3.607.11@Service staff3.607.11@Service staff3.611.12@Service staff3.611.12@Service staff3.611.12@Service staff3.613.61@Service staff3.613.61@Service staff3.613.61@Service staff3.61 </td <td>Dependent variable: dyslexia</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Dependent variable: dyslexia		
Dyslexia1953.9GenderBoy258151.0Girl248249.0Age(years), Mean ± SD9.4±1.2Grade10202.11Grade three10202.81Grade four12052.82Grade four12052.82Grade four12052.82Grade four12052.82Grade four12052.82Grade four12052.82Grade four12082.93Variables of socioeconomic status, SES18.7210More than 3000 Yuan9.6418.722Between 2000 and 3000 Yuan16.383.243Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan16.362.10Quergation of father12682.502Principal of institution and government36407.11@Business staff12682.502Service staff36007.11@Farming, forestry, fishery worker40708.01Quergation of inconvenience883017.41Quergation of mother15313.01@The office staff3103.12@Farming, forestry, fishery worker and other related3506.11Quergation of institution and government15323.01@The office staff3506.123.12@Casification of inconvenience8331.74Quergation of institution and government15323.01@The office staff3506.123.55@Cuergation fishery wor	Non-dyslexia	4868	96.1
SenderBoy258151.0Girl240240.0Age(years), Mean ± SD0.10.1Grade three100020.1Grade four120020.1Grade four120120.1Grade four120120.1Grade four120120.1Grade six148320.3Variables of socioeconomic status, SES148320.110000 family per month (RMB)150120.120000 family per month (RMB)150120.120100 father120820.120100 father120820.120100 father120820.120100 father20.120.120100 father <t< td=""><td>Dyslexia</td><td>195</td><td>3.9</td></t<>	Dyslexia	195	3.9
Boy258151.01Girl248249.00Age(years), Mean ± 5D9.4±1.2Grade three102020.10Grade four120523.80Grade four130526.80Grade six148328.81Variables of socioeconomic status, SES18.83Income of family per month (RMB)16.3832.41@More than 3000 Yuan9.041.33@Extence 1000 and 2000 Yuan10.9037.71@Less than 1000 Yuan57.0011.31Occupation of father12.6825.01@Professional technical staff12.6825.01@Professional technical staff12.6825.01@Struce staff36.007.11@Grade staff36.007.11@Business staff64.9012.80@Cupation of inconvenience88.3017.40@Cupation of inconvenience88.3017.40@Cupation of institution and government15.303.00@Cupation of inconvenience88.3017.40@Cupation of institution and government15.303.01@Cupation fing truct in government15.303.01@Grade staff6.71 <t< td=""><td>Gender</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Gender		
Girl 2482 49.0 Age(years), Mean ± SD 9.4±1.2 Grade 1020 20.1 Grade four 1205 23.8 Grade four 1355 26.8 Grade four 1355 26.8 Grade six 1355 26.8 Variables of socioeconomic status, SES Income of family per month (RMB) 18.7 ©More than 3000 Yuan 946 18.7 @Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan 1909 37.7 @Less than 1000 Yuan 570 11.3 Occupation of father Income of family per month (RMB) Income of family per month (BMB) Othore than 3000 Yuan 1268 25.0 @Principal of institution and government 384 7.6 @The office staff 360 7.1 @Business staff 649 12.8 @Service staff 649 12.8 @Service staff 649 12.8 @Classification of inconvenience 883 17.4 Occupation of mother 707 8.0 @Profuction worker, transport worker and other related 6.9 @Classification of inconvenience 883 17.4 Occupation of mother 707 15.1 @Profuction worker, trans	Воу	2581	51.0
Age(years), Mean ± SD 9.4±1.2 Grade U Grade three 1020 20.1 Grade four 1205 23.8 Grade five 1355 26.8 Grade six 1483 29.3 Variables of socioeconomic status, SES U Income of family per month (RMB) U Status @More than 3000 Yuan 946 18.7 @Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan 1638 32.4 @Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan 1638 32.4 @Derofessional technical staff 1268 25.0 @Principal of institution and government 384 7.6 @The office staff 3600 7.1 @Business staff 649 12.8 @Service staff 360 7.1 @Farming, forestry, fishery worker 407 8.0 @Production worker, transport worker and other related 752 14.9 @Cocupation of inconvenience 883 17.4 @Production worker, transport worker and other related 30.0 30.1	Girl	2482	49.0
Grade Virtual status Grade three 1200 20.1 Grade four 1205 23.8 Grade five 1355 26.8 Grade six 1483 29.3 Variables of socioeconomic status, SES Veriables of socioeconomic status, SES Income of family per month (RMB) 16.38 32.4 Øbore than 3000 Yuan 946 18.7 Øbore than 3000 Yuan 1638 32.4 Øbore than 1000 Yuan 1638 32.4 Øbore than 1000 Yuan 100 37.7 Øbore than 1000 Yuan 100 37.7 Øbore than 1000 Yuan 364 7.6 Øbore than 5000 Yuan 360 7.1 Øbore than 5000 Yuan 360 7.1 Øbore than 5000 Yuan 360	Age(years), Mean \pm SD	9.4±1.2	
Grade three 1020 20.1 Grade four 1205 23.8 Grade five 1355 26.8 Grade six 1483 29.3 Variables of socioeconomic status, SES 1483 29.3 Income of family per month (RMB) 1483 22.4 OMore than 3000 Yuan 946 18.7 QBetween 2000 and 3000 Yuan 1638 32.4 @Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan 570 11.3 Occupation of father 570 11.3 Occupation of father 570 11.3 Oprofessional technical staff 1268 25.0 QPrincipal of institution and government 384 7.6 @The office staff 360 7.1 @Business staff 649 12.8 Occupation of inconvenience 883 17.4 Occupation of mother 52 14.9 @Classification of inconvenience 883 17.4 Oprofessional technical staff 611 12.1 QPrincipal of institution and government 153 <td>Grade</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Grade		
Grade four 1205 23.8 Grade five 1355 26.8 Grade six 1483 29.3 Variables of socioeconomic status, SES 1 2 Income of family per month (RMB) 16.38 32.4 ® More than 3000 Yuan 16.38 32.4 ® Between 2000 and 3000 Yuan 16.38 32.4 ® Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan 1909 37.7 @ Less than 1000 Yuan 570 11.3 Occupation of father	Grade three	1020	20.1
Grade five 1355 26.8 Grade six 1483 29.3 Variables of socioeconomic status, SES Income of family per month (RMB) More than 3000 Yuan 946 18.7 Between 2000 and 3000 Yuan 1638 32.4 Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan 1638 32.4 Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan 1638 32.4 Between 1000 Yuan 570 11.3 Occupation of father Professional technical staff 1268 25.0 Principal of institution and government 384 7.6 Business staff 649 12.8 Service staff 360 7.1 Farming, forestry, fishery worker 407 8.0 7.74 Betsiness staff 8.0 7.74 Betsines staff 7.6 Production worker, transport worker and other related ots of coupartions	Grade four	1205	23.8
Grade six 1483 29.3 Variables of socioeconomic status, SES Income of family per month (RMB) •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Grade five	1355	26.8
Variables of socioeconomic status, SES Income of family per month (RMB) ①More than 3000 Yuan 946 18.7 ②Between 2000 and 3000 Yuan 1638 32.4 ③Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan 1909 37.7 ④Less than 1000 Yuan 570 11.3 Occupation of father 1268 25.0 ①Professional technical staff 1268 25.0 ②Principal of institution and government 360 7.1 ④Business staff 649 12.8 ①Service staff 360 7.1 ⑥Farming, forestry, fishery worker 407 8.0 ⑦Production worker, transport worker and other related 7.2 14.9 ①Lessification of inconvenience 883 17.4 ①Professional technical staff 51 52	Grade six	1483	29.3
Income of family per month (RMB) ФMore than 3000 Yuan 946 18.7 ®Between 2000 and 3000 Yuan 1638 32.4 ®Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan 1909 37.7 @Less than 1000 Yuan 570 11.3 Occupation of father 570 11.3 OProfessional technical staff 1268 25.0 OProfessional technical staff 360 7.1 @Business staff 360 7.1 @Farming, forestry, fishery worker 407 8.0 OProduction worker, transport worker and other related 7.2 14.9 occupation of mother 153 3.0 @Classification of inconvenience 883 17.4 OProfessional technical staff 511 153 @Principal of	Variables of socioeconomic status, SES		
	Income of family per month (RMB)		
2Between 2000 and 3000 Yuan 1638 32.4 ®Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan 1909 37.7 @Less than 1000 Yuan 570 11.3 Occupation of father 1268 25.0 @Professional technical staff 1268 25.0 @Principal of institution and government 384 7.6 @The office staff 360 7.1 @Business staff 649 12.8 @Service staff 360 7.1 @Farming, forestry, fishery worker 407 8.0 @Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations 752 14.9 occupation of mother 153 3.0 3.0 @The office staff 611 12.1 2.1 @Principal of institution and government 153 3.0 @The office staff 350 6.9 @Business staff 767 15.1 @Service staff 873 17.2 @Farming, forestry, fishery worker 404 8.0 @Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations	^① More than 3000 Yuan	946	18.7
3Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan 1909 37.7 @Less than 1000 Yuan 570 11.3 Occupation of father 1268 25.0 @Professional technical staff 1268 25.0 @Principal of institution and government 384 7.6 @The office staff 360 7.1 @Business staff 649 12.8 @Service staff 360 7.1 @Farming, forestry, fishery worker 407 8.0 @Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations 752 14.9 @Classification of inconvenience 883 17.4 Occupation of mother 530 6.9 @Profice staff 611 12.1 @Principal of institution and government 153 3.0 @The office staff 659 6.9 @Business staff 767 15.1 @Service staff 873 17.2 @Farming, forestry, fishery worker 404 8.0 @Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations 543 10.7 <	②Between 2000 and 3000 Yuan	1638	32.4
@Less than 1000 Yuan 570 11.3 Occupation of father 1268 25.0 @Professional technical staff 1268 25.0 @Principal of institution and government 384 7.6 @The office staff 360 7.1 @Business staff 649 12.8 ©Service staff 360 7.1 @Farming, forestry, fishery worker 407 8.0 @Production worker, transport worker and other related 752 14.9 occupations 201 14.9 @Classification of inconvenience 883 17.4 Occupation of mother 300 752 14.9 @Classification of inconvenience 883 17.4 Oprincipal of institution and government 153 3.0 @The office staff 611 12.1 @Principal of institution and government 153 3.0 @The office staff 659 6.9 @Business staff 767 15.1 @Service staff 873 17.2 @Farmi	③Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan	1909	37.7
Occupation of father 1268 25.0 ①Professional technical staff 1268 25.0 ②Principal of institution and government 384 7.6 ③The office staff 360 7.1 ④Business staff 649 12.8 ③Service staff 360 7.1 ⑥Farming, forestry, fishery worker 407 8.0 ⑦Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations 752 14.9 occupation of mother 752 14.9 Occupation of mother 752 14.9 @Professional technical staff 611 12.1 @Principal of institution and government 153 3.0 ③The office staff 619 6.9 @Business staff 767 15.1 ⑤Service staff 873 17.2 @Farming, forestry, fishery worker 404 8.0 @Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations 643 10.7 @Classification of inconvenience 1362 26.9 Fatmer's education level 10.1 1		570	11.3
①Professional technical staff 1268 25.0 ②Principal of institution and government 384 7.6 ③The office staff 360 7.1 ④Business staff 649 12.8 ⑤Service staff 360 7.1 ⑥Farming, forestry, fishery worker 407 8.0 ⑦Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations 752 14.9 @Classification of inconvenience 883 17.4 Occupation of mother	Occupation of father		
2Principal of institution and government 384 7.6 3The office staff 360 7.1 9Business staff 649 12.8 \$Service staff 360 7.1 @Farming, forestry, fishery worker 407 8.0 ?Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations 752 14.9 occupation of inconvenience 883 17.4 Occupation of mother 12.1 12.1 Professional technical staff 611 12.1 @Principal of institution and government 153 3.0 3The office staff 350 6.9 @Business staff 767 15.1 \$Service staff 873 17.2 @Farming, forestry, fishery worker 404 8.0 ?Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations 543 10.7 @Classification of inconvenience 1362 26.9 Father's education level 14.1 39.8 @Junior high school or below 1615 31.9 @Senior high school or below 2014	①Professional technical staff	1268	25.0
3The office staff 360 7.1 @Business staff 649 12.8 Service staff 360 7.1 @Farming, forestry, fishery worker 407 8.0 ?Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations 752 14.9 @Classification of inconvenience 883 17.4 Occupation of mother 12.1 ?Professional technical staff 611 12.1 ?Principal of institution and government 153 3.0 3The office staff 350 6.9 @Business staff 767 15.1 Service staff 873 17.2 @Farming, forestry, fishery worker 404 8.0 ?Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations 543 10.7 @Classification of inconvenience 1362 26.9 Father's education level 141 39.8 @Junior high school or below 1615 31.9 @Senior high school or below 2014 39.8 @Junior college 925 18.3 @College diploma or above 509 10.1 <td>⁽²⁾Principal of institution and government</td> <td>384</td> <td>7.6</td>	⁽²⁾ Principal of institution and government	384	7.6
®Business staff64912.8Service staff3607.1©Farming, forestry, fishery worker4078.0?Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations75214.9@Classification of inconvenience88317.4Occupation of mother88317.4Occupation of mother1533.0@The office staff61112.1@Principal of institution and government1533.0@The office staff76715.1@Service staff87317.2@Farming, forestry, fishery worker4048.0?Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations54310.7@Classification of inconvenience136226.9Father's education level15531.9?Senior high school or equivalency201439.8@Junior college92518.3@College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level207941.1?Senior high school or equivalency207941.1@Senior high school or equivalency190637.6@Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6	③The office staff	360	7.1
Service staff3607.1©Farming, forestry, fishery worker4078.0?Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations75214.9@Classification of inconvenience88317.4Occupation of mother1112.1?Professional technical staff61112.1?Principal of institution and government1533.0?The office staff3506.9@Business staff76715.1?Service staff87317.2@Farming, forestry, fishery worker4048.0?Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations54310.7occupations136226.9@Classification of inconvenience136226.9Father's education level15131.9?Senior high school or equivalency201439.8?Junior college92518.3@College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level207941.1?Senior high school or equivalency207941.1?Senior high school or equivalency190637.6?Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6		649	12.8
©Farming, forestry, fishery worker4078.0©Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations75214.9@Classification of inconvenience88317.4Occupation of mother1112.1@Professional technical staff61112.1@Principal of institution and government1533.0@The office staff3506.9@Business staff76715.1©Service staff87317.2@Farming, forestry, fishery worker4048.0@Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations54310.7@Classification of inconvenience136226.9Father's education level11531.9@Senior high school or equivalency201439.8@Junior college92518.3@College diploma or above207941.1@Senior high school or equivalency190637.6@Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6	Service staff	360	7.1
②Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations75214.9@Classification of inconvenience88317.4Occupation of mother1112.1③Professional technical staff61112.1③Principal of institution and government1533.0③The office staff3506.9④Business staff76715.1⑤Service staff87317.2⑥Farming, forestry, fishery worker4048.0⑦Production worker, transport worker and other related54310.7occupations136226.9Father's education level11531.9③Senior high school or below161531.9③Senior college92518.3④College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level207941.1③Senior high school or equivalency190637.6③Junior college74314.7④College diploma or above3356.6	©Farming, forestry, fishery worker	407	8.0
®Classification of inconvenience88317.4Occupation of mother1Professional technical staff61112.1@Professional technical staff61112.1@Principal of institution and government1533.0③The office staff3506.9@Business staff76715.1③Service staff87317.2@Farming, forestry, fishery worker4048.0@Production worker, transport worker and other related54310.7occupations136226.9@Classification of inconvenience136226.9Father's education level11531.9@Senior high school or equivalency201439.8③Junior college92518.3@College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level1125enior high school or equivalency207941.1@Senior high school or equivalency207941.137.6③Junior college74314.74College diploma or above3356.6	②Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations	752	14.9
Occupation of mother①Professional technical staff61112.1②Principal of institution and government1533.0③The office staff3506.9④Business staff76715.1⑤Service staff87317.2⑥Farming, forestry, fishery worker4048.0②Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations54310.7⑧Classification of inconvenience136226.9Father's education level11531.9③Senior high school or below161531.9③Senior high school or equivalency201439.8③Junior college92518.3@College diploma or above207941.1②Senior high school or equivalency207941.1③Senior high school or below207941.1④College diploma or above207941.1④Senior high school or equivalency190637.6③Junior college74314.7④College diploma or above3356.6		883	17.4
Image: Professional technical staff61112.1Image: Professional technical staff533.0Image: Professional technical staff533.0Image: Professional technical staff3506.9Image: Professional technical staff3506.9Image: Professional technical staff76715.1Image: Professional technical staff87317.2Image: Professional technical staff16226.9Image: Professional technical staff136226.9Image: Professional technical staff136221.1Image: Professional technical staff201439.8Image: Professional technical staff201911.1Image: Professional technical staff201941.1Image: Professional technical staff201937.6 <trr< td=""><td>Occupation of mother</td><td></td><td></td></trr<>	Occupation of mother		
2Principal of institution and government 153 3.0 3The office staff 350 6.9 Business staff 767 15.1 Service staff 873 17.2 Farming, forestry, fishery worker 404 8.0 2Production worker, transport worker and other related 543 10.7 occupations 1362 26.9 Father's education level 1615 31.9 2Senior high school or below 1615 31.9 3Junior college 925 18.3 GCollege diploma or above 509 10.1 Mother's education level 2014 39.8 3Junior college 925 18.3 GCollege diploma or above 509 10.1 Mother's education level 2079 41.1 Senior high school or equivalency 1906 37.6 JJunior college 743 14.7 GCollege diploma or above 335 6.6	①Professional technical staff	611	12.1
3The office staff3506.9@Business staff76715.1\$Service staff87317.2@Farming, forestry, fishery worker4048.0@Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations54310.7@Classification of inconvenience136226.9Father's education level151531.92Senior high school or equivalency201439.83Junior college92518.3@College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level11.12Senior high school or equivalency207941.1@Lollege diploma or above207941.1@Senior high school or equivalency190637.63Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6	②Principal of institution and government	153	3.0
@Business staff76715.1©Service staff87317.2@Farming, forestry, fishery worker4048.0@Production worker, transport worker and other related54310.7occupations136226.9@Classification of inconvenience136226.9Father's education level11531.9@Senior high school or equivalency201439.8③Junior college92518.3@College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level11237.6③Junior college207941.1@Senior high school or equivalency190637.6③Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6	3 The office staff	350	6.9
Service staff87317.2©Farming, forestry, fishery worker4048.0?Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations54310.7@Classification of inconvenience136226.9Father's education level161531.9?Senior high school or below161531.9?Senior high school or equivalency201439.8?Junior college92518.3@College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level207941.1?Senior high school or equivalency190637.6?Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6		767	15.1
©Farming, forestry, fishery worker4048.0©Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations54310.7©Classification of inconvenience136226.9Father's education level161531.9©Senior high school or below161531.9@Senior high school or equivalency201439.8@Junior college92518.3@College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level207941.1@Senior high school or equivalency207941.1@Solior high school or equivalency190637.6@Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6	©Service staff	873	17.2
②Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations54310.7③Classification of inconvenience136226.9Father's education level161531.9③Junior high school or below161531.9③Senior high school or equivalency201439.8③Junior college92518.3④College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level207941.1③Senior high school or equivalency190637.6③Junior college74314.7④College diploma or above3356.6	⑥Farming, forestry, fishery worker	404	8.0
®Classification of inconvenience136226.9Father's education level①Junior high school or below161531.9②Senior high school or equivalency201439.8③Junior college92518.3@College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level207941.1②Senior high school or equivalency190637.6③Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6	$\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}\xspace$ Production worker, transport worker and other related occupations	543	10.7
Father's education level①Junior high school or below161531.9②Senior high school or equivalency201439.8③Junior college92518.3@College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level207941.1②Senior high school or equivalency190637.6③Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6		1362	26.9
①Junior high school or below161531.9②Senior high school or equivalency201439.8③Junior college92518.3④College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level100100①Junior high school or below207941.1②Senior high school or equivalency190637.6③Junior college74314.7④College diploma or above3356.6	Father's education level		
2Senior high school or equivalency201439.83Junior college92518.3@College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level207941.12Senior high school or below207941.12Senior high school or equivalency190637.63Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6	①Junior high school or below	1615	31.9
③Junior college92518.3④College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level10010.1①Junior high school or below207941.1②Senior high school or equivalency190637.6③Junior college74314.7④College diploma or above3356.6	^② Senior high school or equivalency	2014	39.8
@College diploma or above50910.1Mother's education level"①Junior high school or below207941.1②Senior high school or equivalency190637.6③Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6	③Junior college	925	18.3
Mother's education level207941.1Dunior high school or below207941.1Senior high school or equivalency190637.6Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6	General College diploma or above A statement of the statement	509	10.1
①Junior high school or below207941.1②Senior high school or equivalency190637.6③Junior college74314.7④College diploma or above3356.6	Mother's education level		
2Senior high school or equivalency190637.63Junior college74314.7@College diploma or above3356.6	①Junior high school or below	2079	41.1
③Junior college74314.7④College diploma or above3356.6	©Senior high school or equivalency	1906	37.6
4 College diploma or above 335 6.6	3 Junior college	743	14.7
		335	6.6

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056688.t001



Figure 1. The distribution of dyslexia in grade and gender. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056688.g001

dyslexic group, fewer father received education of junior college (25, 12.8%) or above college (3, 1.5%), and fewer mother had attended the junior college (14, 7.2%) or above college (1, 0.5%) when compared with non-dyslexia group.

There was significant difference in parents' attitude regarding to surfing internet between two groups (P<0.05). More parents (54, 27.7%) chose to prohibit their children using internet in dyslexia group than those (862, 17.7%) in non-dyslexia group. Hours on watching TV were longer among the dyslexic children than among the non-dyslexia children (P<0.05). Among the dyslexic children than among the non-dyslexia children attended TV 2–3 hours every day and 8.7% watched TV more than 3 hours, while they were 8.1% and 4.1% for the non-dyslexia, respectively. The dyslexic children were lack of active learning habit (P<0.05). In dyslexia group, fewer children had habit of active learning (18, 9.2%) and more children never learn actively (77, 39.5%) than those in non-dyslexic group.

Home literacy environment

There was significant association between dyslexia and home literacy environment (P < 0.05). There were lower frequencies in parents' telling stories (5, 2.6%), encouraging the child to read extra-curricular books (108, 55.4%), buying the books which child was interested in in dyslexia group (51, 26.2%) compared with the non-dyslexia group. There were only 18.5% parents reading every day, which was lower than that in non-dyslexia group (1285, 26.4%). With regard to the children' scheduled reading time, there was a large percentage of children without fixed reading time in dyslexia group (156, 80%), whereas only 6.8% (2767) children had not fixed reading time in non-dyslexia group. Furthermore, the

expenses of books varied. There were more parents spending money less than150 RMB on books every year for the dyslexic children (114, 58.5%) than those in non-dyslexia group (2196, 45.1%), and fewer parents (2, 1%) paid more than 500 RMB for books per year in dyslexia group than those in non-dyslexia group (190, 3.9%).

Multivariate logistic regression

There were significant associations between dyslexia and gender, parental education level, attitude to using internet, scheduled time on TV and internet, active learning and home literacy environment (Table 3). To estimate the effect sizes of these possible risk factors, we conducted a multivariate logistic regression analysis. Table 4 shows ORs and 95% CIs for the potential risk factors. From table 4, we could see that there was a significant difference in gender between two groups and the OR in boys who suffered from dyslexia was 2.3 times as high as that in girls. Low educational level of mothers was a risk factor for dyslexia (junior high school or below: OR = 12.1, 95% CI: 1.7-87.3; senior high school or equivalency: OR = 9.3, 95% CI: 1.3– 67.4) compared with mothers with higher education level. Active learning had positive effect on dyslexia with OR being 0.1 and 0.3 among children who always or sometimes had active learning habit. For children with scheduled reading time, the OR to be dyslexic was 0.5 times as high as those children without scheduled reading time. Therefore, we concluded that dyslexia was significantly associated with child's gender, mother's education level, active learning, and scheduled reading time.

Risk factors associated with dyslexia for low and high grades students

We divided the subjects into low (3-4 grades) and high grades (5-6 grades). Based on Table 3, common risk factors for both grades include gender, parents' education level, scheduled time on watching TV and surfing internet, whether the children conducting active learning, whether the children having scheduled reading time, and expenses of buying books for children. There were several risk factors specific to low and high grades. For example, the factors including the frequency of parents telling stories to their children, parents buying extracurricular books for their children and parents buying new books, the parents' attitude toward extracurricular reading were significant associated with dyslexia in low grades. While parents' attitude toward surfing internet, and whether parents having a reading routine were found to be risk factors for high grades. We also conducted the multivariate logistic regression, and found that gender and whether the children conducting activity reading were related to dyslexia risk for both grades (Table 4).

Table 2. The prevalence rate of dyslexia in different grades^a.

Subjects	Grade	Dyslexia (n)	Non-dyslexia (n)	Prevalence rate (%)	<i>x</i> ²	Ρ
All grades					8.053	0.045
	3	42	978	4.1		
	4	56	1149	4.6		
	5	57	1298	4.2		
	6	40	1443	2.7		

^aThere was significant differences between 3rd and 6th grades (P = 0.049), 4th and 6th grades (P = 0.007), 5th and 6th grades (P = 0.027) in prevalence rates separately and no significant differences between 3rd and 4th grades (P = 0.544), 3rd and 5th grades (P = 0.914), 4th and 5th grades (P = 0.588).

Table 3. Analytical statistics for	the dyslexia	and non-dyslexia	groups.									
Variables	Low grade				High grade				All subjects			
	Dyslexia (n = 98)	Non-dyslexia (n = 2127)	%	م	Dyslexia (n = 97)	Non-dyslexia (n = 2741)	^مر	٩	Dyslexia (n = 195)	Non-dyslexia (n = 4868)	Ŷ	م
	n(%)	n(%)			n(%)	n(%)			n(%)	n(%)		
General information												
Gender			28.7	<0.05			18.6	<0.05			46.3	<0.05
Male	75 (77.0)	1039 (49.0)			71 (73.2)	1396 (50.9)			146 (74.9)	2435 (50.0)		
Female	23 (23.0)	1088 (51.0)			26 (26.8)	1345 (49.1)			49 (25.1)	2433 (50.0)		
Age ^a	8.4±0.7	8.3±0.7	- 1.8	>0.05	10.2±0.8	10.2±0.7	-0.4	>0.05	9.35±1.2	9.39±1.2	0.5	>0.05
BMI ^b	16.8±2.8	16.8±4.2	-0.1	>0.05	17.1±3.5	17.7±3.0	-1.8	>0.05	17.25±2.9	16.94±3.8	-1.1	>0.05
Income of family per month			1.0	>0.05			4.3	>0.05			4.2	>0.05
①More than 3000 Yuan	15 (15.3)	387 (18.2)			14 (14.4)	530 (19.3)			29 (14.9)	917 (18.8)		
2)Between 2000 and 3000 Yuan	31 (31.6)	696 (32.7)			26 (26.8)	885 (32.3)			57 (29.2)	1581 (32.5)		
③Between 1000 and 2000 Yuan	40 (40.8)	832 (39.1)			44 (45.4)	993 (36.2)			84 (43.1)	1825 (37.5)		
(a) Less than 1000 Yuan	12 (12.2)	212 (10.0)			13 (13.4)	333 (12.1)			25 (12.8)	545 (11.2)		
Father's education level			9.6	<0.05			16.5	<0.05			25.2	< 0.05
①Junior high school or below	39 (39.8)	634 (29.8)			43 (44.3)	899 (32.8)			82 (42.1)	1533 (31.5)		
©Senior high school or equal	43 (43.9)	883 (41.5)			42 (43.3)	1046 (38.2)			85 (43.6)	1929 (39.6)		
③Junior college	13 (13.3)	387 (18.2)			12 (12.4)	513 (18.7)			25 (12.8)	900 (18.5)		
${ m (label{transform})}$	3 (3.1)	223 (10.5)			0(0)	283 (10.3)			3 (1.5)	506 (10.4)		
Mother's education level			17.2	<0.05			13.3	<0.05			29.3	< 0.05
①Junior high school or below	52 (53.1)	823 (38.7)			56 (57.7)	1148 (41.9)			108 (55.4)	1971 (40.5)		
②Senior high school or equal	39 (39.8)	802 (37.7)			33 (34.0)	1032 (37.7)			72 (36.9)	1834 (37.7)		
③Junior college	7 (7.1)	334 (15.7)			7 (7.2)	395 (14.4)			14 (7.2)	729 (15.0)		
College diploma or above	0 (0)	168 (7.9)			1 (1.0)	166 (6.1)			1 (0.5)	334 (6.9)		
Learning habits												
Times of surfing internet per week			4.6	>0.05			0.5	>0.05			3.2	>0.05
1 time or less	82 (83.7)	1608 (75.6)			68 (70.1)	1871 (68.3)			150 (76.9)	3479 (71.5)		
2-4 times	14 (14.3)	413 (19.4)			24 (24.7)	700 (25.5)			38 (19.5)	1113 (22.9)		
5–6 times	2 (2)	54 (2.5)			2 (2.1)	90 (3.3)			4 (2.1)	144 (3)		
7 times or more	2 (2)	52 (2.4)			3 (3.1)	80 (2.9)			3 (1.5)	132 (2.7)		
Hours of surfing per time			1.4	>0.05			0.9	>0.05			1.4	>0.05
Less than an hour	(9.08) 79	1614 (75.9)			60 (61.9)	1722 (62.8)			139 (71.3)	3336 (68.5)		
1–2 hours	16 (16.3)	439 (20.6)			28 (28.9)	829 (30.2)			44 (22.6)	1268 (26.0)		
2–4 hours	3 (3.1)	6 8(3.2)			8 (8.2)	165 (6.0)			11 (5.6)	233 (4.8)		
More than 4 hours	0 (0.0)	6 (0.3)			1 (1.0)	2 5(0.9)			1 (0.5)	31 (0.6)		

Variables Low grade High Variables $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ Partial $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ Partial $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ Parents attrude to surfing internet $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ Parents attrude to surfing internet $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ Surf internet attra school $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ Surf internet attra school $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ Surf internet attra school $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ Surf internet attra school $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$ Surf internet attra school $\frac{100}{100}$ $\frac{100}{100}$							
Variables Low grade Hor Overlables $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ Partial $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ Partial $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ Partial attructe to surfing internet 23 (23.1) 24 (35.9) 163 (35.9) 21 (3.0) Surf internet access 23 (23.1) 24 (35.9) 103 (55.9) 21 (3.0) 21 (3.0) Up to the child 2 (2.0) 2 (2.0) 2 (2.0) 2 (2.0) 2 (3.0) 2 (3.0) Surf internet after school 2 (3.0) 43 (3.1) 2 (3.0) 2 (3.0) 2 (3.0) Up to the child can't execute 2 (2.0) 33 (5.0) 143 (3.0) 2 (3.0) 2 (3.0) Ves, the child can't execute 2 (3.4) 80 (3.9) 133 (5.9) 2 (3.0) 2 (3.0) Ves, the child can't execute 2 (4.1) 14 (4.1) 14 (4.1) 14 (4.1) 2 (3.0) 2 (3.0) 2 (3.0) 2 (3.0)		-					
Dyslexia Non-dyslexia Non-dyslexia <th></th> <th>High grade</th> <th></th> <th>All subjects</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		High grade		All subjects			
n(w) $n(w)$ $n(w)$ $n(w)$ $n(w)$ Parents attude to suffing internet 13 20 23	dyslexia :127) _x ² p	Dyslexia Non-dyslex (n = 97) (n = 2741)	cia x² P	Dyslexia (n = 195)	Non-dyslexia (n = 4868)	2×	٩
Parents attlude to surfing internet 15 >0.005 Ban internet access 23 (32.5) 403 (18.9) 31 (32.5) Surf internet access 23 (32.5) 597 (28.1) 30 (30.5) Surf internet after school 45 (45.9) 1083 (50.9) 24 (2.1) Up to the child 2 (2.0) 44 (2.1) 7.7 <0.05 Surf internet after school 35 (58.2) 1403 (66.0) 24 (3.2) 24 (3.1) Scheduled time on watching TV 15 (15.3) 385 (18.1) 27 (3.2) 29 (3.2) Ves, the child can't execute 26 (26.5) 33 (15.9) 24 (3.2) 29 (40.1) 27 (3.2) Ves, the child can't execute 26 (26.5) 33 (15.9) 24 (3.2) 26 (3.2) 26 (3.2) Ves, the child can't execute 26 (26.5) 33 (15.9) 7.7 20 (3.2) 27 (3.2) Ves, the child can't execute 26 (26.5) 33 (15.9) 27 (3.2) 26 (3.2) 26 (3.2) Ves, the child can't execute 2 (14.3) 104 (49.1) 27 (2.1) 27 (3.2) Ves, the child can't execute 2 (14.3) 12 (17.2) 27 (13.6) 26 (3.2) <t< th=""><th></th><th>(%)u (%)u</th><th></th><th>(%)u</th><th>(%)u</th><th></th><th></th></t<>		(%)u (%)u		(%)u	(%)u		
Ban internet access 23 (32.5) 403 (18.9) 31 (32.5) Surf internet only during vacation 28 (28.6) 597 (28.1) 34 (35.5) Surf internet after school 45 (45.9) 1083 (50.9) 34 (35.5) Up to the child 2 (2.0) 44 (2.1) 2 (2.1) 34 (35.5) Surf internet after school 5 (58.2) 1403 (66.0) 7 /7 2 (2.1) Scheduled time on watching TV and surfing 15 (15.3) 385 (18.1) 7 /7 20 (2.1) Nes, the child can execute 2 (5.5.2) 385 (18.1) 7 /7 20 (2.1) Nes, the child can execute 2 (5.5.2) 385 (18.1) 7 /7 20 (2.1) Nes, the child can execute 2 (5.5.2) 385 (18.1) 7 /7 20 (2.1) Nes, the na hour 1 (4.13) 104 (4.9.1) 13 (5.6) 20 (5.1) 1-1 -1 bours 1 (4.3) 1 (4.3) 1 (4.3) 2 (7.6) 2 (7.6) 1-1 -1 bours 1 (4.13) 1 (4.13) 1 (4.13) 1 (4.13) 2 (7.6) 2 (7.6) 1-1 -1 bours 1 (4.13)	1.5 >0.05		16.7 <0.	05		13.9	< 0.05
Surf internet only during vacation 28 (28.6) 597 (28.1) 30 (30.3) Surf internet after school 45 (45.9) 1083 (50.9) 34 (35.2) Up to the child 2 (2.0) 44 (2.1) 2 (2.0) Stread time on watching TV and surfing 14 (2.1) 2 (2.0) 34 (3.1) Scheduled time on watching TV and surfing 15 (15.3) 380 (18.1) 27 (2.0) No 15 (15.3) 380 (18.1) 27 (2.0) 27 (2.1) No 15 (15.3) 380 (18.1) 27 (2.0) 27 (2.1) No 15 (15.3) 380 (18.1) 29 (2.0) 27 (2.1) No 15 (15.3) 380 (18.1) 20 (2.0) 27 (2.1) No 16 (16.1) 104 (49.1) 135 (2.0) 29 (2.0) No 12 (12.2) 81 (3.1) 122 (2.6) 29 (2.0) More than 3 hours 18 (18.4) 166 (19.1) 20 (2.0) 20 (2.1) More than 3 hours 18 (18.4) 167 (19.0) 21 (2.1) 21 (2.1) More than 3 hours 18 (18.4) 166 (19.1)	18.9)	31 (32.0) 459 (16.7)		54 (27.7)	862 (17.7)		
Surf internet after school 45 (45.9) 1083 (50.9) 34 (35.12) 34 (35.12) 34 (35.12) 34 (35.12) 34 (35.12) 34 (35.12) 34 (35.12) 34 (32.12)	28.1)	30 (30.9) 861 (31.4)		58 (29.7)	1458 (30.0)		
Up to the child 2 (2.0) 44 (2.1) 2 (2.1) Scheduled time on watching TV and surfing internet. 7.7 < 0.05 $< 41 (42)$ Ves, the child can execute 57 (88.2) $1403 (66.0)$ $< 41 (42)$ $< 27 (27)$ No $15 (15.3)$ $385 (18.1)$ $< 27 (27)$ $< 27 (27)$ $< 27 (27)$ No $15 (15.3)$ $387 (18.1)$ $< 130 (15) (16)$ $< 27 (27)$ $< 29 (29) (29) (29) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20) (20$	(50.9)	34 (35.1) 1362 (49.7)		79 (40.5)	2445 (50.2)		
Scheduled time on watching TV and surfing tremet. 7.7 < 0.05 Ves, the child can execute 57 (58.2) 1403 (66.0) 7 27 (27.15) No 15 (15.3) 385 (18.1) 27 27 (27.2) Ves, the child can't execute 26 (26.5) 339 (15.9) 29 (29.2) Hours on watching TV 41 (41.8) 1044 (49.1) 33 (40.2) Less than an hour 41 (41.8) 1044 (49.1) 39 (40.2) Less than an hour 41 (41.8) 840 (39.5) 34 (43.5) Less than a hour 14 (44.3) 162 (7.6) 34 (43.5) More than 3 hours 9 (9.2) 81 (38.9) 34 (35.6) More than 3 hours 14 (14.3) 162 (7.6) 34 (35.6) More than 3 hours 14 (14.3) 162 (7.6) 27 (35.6) Ranky More than 3 hours 81 (18.4) 406 (19.1) 27 (35.6) Ranky More than 3 hours 81 (18.4) 127 (59.9) 27 (36.6) Ranky More than 3 hours 88 (93.4) 127 (59.9) 27 (37.6) Ranky More than 3 hou	([2 (2.1) 59 (2.2)		4 (2.1)	103 (2.1)		
Yes, the child can execute 57 (88.2) 1403 (66.0) 41 (42.2) No 15 (15.3) 385 (18.1) 27 (27.2) Yes, the child can't execute 26 (26.5) 339 (15.9) 29 (29.2) Hours on watching TV 41 (41.8) 1044 (49.1) 39 (40.2) Hours on watching TV 41 (41.8) 1044 (49.1) 39 (30.2) Less than an hour 41 (41.8) 1044 (49.1) 39 (30.2) Less than an hour 14 (14.3) 162 (7.6) 34 (35.2) More than 3 hours 9 (9.2) 81 (38.2) 34 (35.2) More than 3 hours 9 (9.2) 81 (38.2) 41 (41.3) Raely 18 (18.4) 166 (19.1) 49 (3.2) Raely 18 (18.4) 406 (19.1) 41 (41.6) Raely 18 (18.4) 406 (19.1) 49 (40.6) Often 12 (12.2) 44 (21.0) 90 (3.1) Active learning 12 (4.29.9) 90.3 57 (58.6) More than 3 hours 8 (8.2) 73 (34.6) 70 (51.0) Raely Often 12 (12.2) 44 (21.0) Active learning 74 (7.7 <0.05		16.3 <0.	35		20.6	< 0.05
No 15 (15.3) 385 (18.1) 27 (27.15) Yes, the child can't execute 26 (26.5) 339 (15.9) 29 (29.2) Hours on watching TV 1 1 1 29 (29.2) Hours on watching TV $34 (34.7)$ $840 (39.5)$ $34 (35.5)$ $34 (35.5)$ Less than an hour $14 (14.3)$ $160 (16.2)$ $34 (35.5)$ $34 (35.5)$ $34 (35.5)$ 1-2 hours $34 (34.7)$ $840 (39.5)$ $81 (38.2)$ $34 (35.5)$ $34 (35.5)$ 1-2 hours $34 (34.7)$ $840 (39.5)$ $81 (38.2)$ $81 (38.2)$ $81 (38.2)$ $34 (35.2)$ More than 3 hours $9 (9.2)$ $81 (38.2)$ $81 (38.2)$ $8(3.2)$ $8(3.2)$ Frequency of parents watching TV with child $122 (12.2)$ $447 (21.0)$ 44.9 $57 (38.2)$ Raely Often $12 (12.2)$ $447 (21.0)$ 90.3 $57 (38.2)$ Often $12 (12.2)$ $12 (12.2)$ $12 (12.2)$ $447 (21.0)$ $57 (38.2)$ Often $12 (12.2)$ $12 (12.2)$	(66.0)	41 (42.3) 1677 (61.2)		98 (50.3)	3080 (63.3)		
Yes, the child car't execute 26 (26.5) 339 (15.9) 29 (2.9) Hours on watching TV 41 (41.8) 1044 (49.1) 33 (40.1) Less than an hour 41 (41.8) 1044 (49.1) 34 (35.5) 39 (40.1) $1-2$ hours 34 (34.7) 840 (39.5) 34 (35.5) 34 (35.5) 34 (35.5) $1-2$ hours 14 (14.3) 162 (7.6) 840 (39.5) 34 (35.5) 34 (35.5) More than 3 hours 9 (92.2) 81 (38.9) 810 (39.5) 818.2) 88.2) Frequency of parents watching TV with child 18 (18.4) 406 (19.1) 4.9 >0.05 22 (22.2) Rarely 88 (82.2) 81 (12.2) 417 (21.0) 4.9 >0.05 212 (22.2) Green 12 (162 (92.9) 127 (92.9) 90.3 <0.05 21 (16.7) Ver 88 (82.2) 737 (34.6) 90.3 <0.05 20 (10.1) Ver 88 (82.2) 737 (34.6) 90.3 <0.05 20 (10.7) Ver 88 (82.2) <	18.1)	27 (27.8) 603 (22.0)		42 (21.5)	988 (20.3)		
Hours on watching TV 13.5 <0.05 Less than an hour 41 (41.8) 1044 (49.1) 39 (40.1) Less than an hour 34 (34.7) 840 (39.5) 34 (35.5) 1-2 hours 34 (34.7) 840 (39.5) 34 (35.5) 2-3 hours 14 (14.3) 162 (7.6) 16 (16.1) None than 3 hours 9 (9.2) 81 (3.8) 8 (8.2) Raely 18 (18.4) 406 (19.1) 22 (22.2) Raely 18 (18.4) 406 (19.1) 22 (22.2) Sometimes 68 (69.4) 1274 (59.9) 23 (23.6) Often 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 18 (18.4) Often 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 18 (18.6) Vess 68 (69.4) 1274 (59.9) 20 (31.6) Often 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 18 (18.6) Often 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 18 (18.6) Vess 68 (63.1) 127 (459.9) 20 (32.6) Vess 793 (46.0) 737 (44.6) 20 (32.6) Vess 760 (10.2) 1162 (54.6) 20 (32.6) Vess	15.9)	29 (29.9) 461 (16.8)		55 (28.2)	800 (16.4)		
Less than an hour $41 (41.8)$ $1044 (49.1)$ $39 (40.1)$ 1-2 hours $34 (34.7)$ $840 (39.5)$ $34 (35.5)$ 1-2 hours $34 (34.7)$ $840 (39.5)$ $34 (35.5)$ 2-3 hours $9 (9.2)$ $81 (3.8)$ $16 (16.1)$ More than 3 hours $9 (9.2)$ $81 (3.8)$ $8 (8.2)$ Frequency of parents watching TV with child 4.9 50.05 $8 (8.2)$ Rarely $18 (18.4)$ $406 (19.1)$ 4.9 50.05 Rarely $18 (18.4)$ $406 (19.1)$ $22 (22.2)$ $8 (8.2)$ Orten $12 (12.2)$ $447 (21.0)$ 90.3 50.05 Orten $12 (12.2)$ $447 (21.0)$ 90.3 $50 (51.0)$ Orten $12 (12.2)$ $447 (21.0)$ 90.3 $50 (51.0)$ Orten $12 (12.2)$ $447 (21.0)$ 90.3 $50 (51.0)$ Vers $8 (8.2)$ $737 (34.6)$ $50 (51.0)$ $10 (10.0)$ Vers $8 (8.2)$ $737 (34.6)$ $50 (51.0)$ $10 (10.0)$ Vers $8 (8.2)$ $737 (34.6)$ $50 ($	13.5 <0.05		11.6 <0.	35		24.1	< 0.05
1-2 hours $34,34,7$ $840(39,5)$ $34(35,6)$ $34(36,6)$ $34(16,6)$ $32(12,6)$ $34(16,6)$ $32(12,6)$ $34(16,6)$ $32(16,6)$ <	(49.1)	39 (40.2) 1285 (46.9)		80 (41.0)	2329 (47.8)		
2-3 hours 14 (14.3) 162 (7.6) 16 (16. More than 3 hours 9 (9.2) 81 (3.8) 8 (8.2) Frequency of parents watching TV with child 4.9 >0.05 8 (8.2) Rarely 18 (18.4) 406 (19.1) 22 (22. 22 Rarely 18 (18.4) 406 (19.1) 22 (22. 23 Rarely 18 (18.4) 406 (19.1) 22 (22. 23 Chen 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 18 (18. 23 23 Often 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 90.3 <0.05	39.5)	34 (35.1) 1104 (40.3)		68 (34.9)	1944 (39.9)		
More than 3 hours 9 (9.2) 81 (3.8) 8 (8.2) Fequency of parents watching TV with child 4.9 >0.05 8 (8.2) Rarely 18 (18.4) 406 (19.1) $22 (22.2)$ Rarely 58 (69.4) 1274 (59.9) $27 (3.6)$ $27 (3.6)$ Often 12 (12.2) $447 (21.0)$ 90.3 20.5 $18 (18.2)$ Active learning 12 (12.2) $447 (21.0)$ 90.3 <0.05 $18 (18.2)$ Ves 8 (8.2) 737 (34.6) 90.3 <0.05 $10 (10.2)$ Ves 8 (8.2) 737 (34.6) $737 (34.6)$ $37 (38.2)$ Ves 8 (8.2) 737 (34.6) 90.3 $50 (51.0)$ $1162 (54.6)$ $10 (10.2)$ Never 40 (40.8) 228 (10.7) 90.3 $37 (38.2)$ $10 (10.2)$ Never 40 (40.8) 228 (10.7) 90.3 90.3 $50 (51.0)$ Never 40 (40.8) 228 (10.7) 90.3 90.3 90.3 Never 40 (40.8)	7.6)	16 (16.5) 233 (8.5)		30 (15.4)	39 (8.1)		
Frequency of parents watching TV with child 4.9 >0.05 Rately 18 (18.4) 406 (19.1) 22 (22.2) Sometimes 68 (69.4) 1274 (59.9) 27 (38.6) Often 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 18 (18.6) Often 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 18 (18.6) Often 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 90.3 <0.05	.8)	8 (8.2) 119 (4.3)		17 (8.7)	200 (4.1)		
Rarely 18 (18,4) 406 (19,1) 22 (22) Sometimes 68 (69,4) 1274 (59.9) 57 (58) Often 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 57 (58) Often 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 18 (18) Active learning 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 18 (18) Active learning 20 (31,0) 116 (10,0) 37 (34,6) 10 (10,0) Ves 8 (8.2) 737 (34,6) 90.3 <0.05	4.9 >0.05		1.0 >0.1	35		4.1	>0.05
Sometimes 68 (69.4) 1274 (59.9) 57 (58. Often 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 18 (18. Active learning 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 18 (18. Active learning 8 (8.2) 737 (34.6) 10 (10. Yes 8 (8.2) 737 (34.6) 10 (10. Never 50 (51.0) 1162 (54.6) 10 (10. Never 40 (40.8) 228 (10.7) 37 (38. Never 40 (40.8) 228 (10.7) 37 (38. Frequency of parents telling stories 9.1 <0.05	19.1)	22 (22.7) 528 (19.3)		40 (20.5)	934 (19.2)		
Often 12 (12.2) 447 (21.0) 18 (18. Active learning $= 2$ (12.2) $= 447 (21.0)$ $= 90.3$ $= 50.65$ Yes $= 8$ (8.2) $= 737 (34.6)$ $= 90.3$ $= 50.65$ $= 10 (10.6)$ Yes $= 8 (8.2)$ $= 737 (34.6)$ $= 737 (34.6)$ $= 10 (10.6)$ $= 10 (10.6)$ Never $= 50 (51.0)$ $= 1162 (54.6)$ $= 10 (10.7)$ $= 37 (38.6)$ Never $= 40 (40.8)$ $= 20 (10.7)$ $= 10 (10.7)$ $= 37 (38.6)$ Never $= 40 (40.8)$ $= 228 (10.7)$ $= 9.1$ $= 50.65 (3.1)$ Inductor of parents telling stories $= 10 (10.7)$ $= 9.1$ $= -0.05$ Frequency of parents telling stories $= 10 (10.7)$ $= 9.1$ $= -0.05$ Cotasionally $= 56 (57.1)$ $= 99 (47.0)$ $= 9.1$ $= -0.05$ Sometimes $= 0.05$ $= 0.05$ $= -0.05$ $= -0.05$ $= -0.05$ Inductor of parents telling stories $= -0.05$ $= -0.05$ $= -0.05$ $= -0.05$ $= -0.05$ $= -0.05$	(59.9)	57 (58.8) 1617 (59.0)		125 (64.1)	2891 (59.4)		
Active learning 90.3 <0.05	21.0)	18 (18.6) 596 (21.7)		30 (15.4)	1043 (21.4)		
Yes 8 (8.2) 737 (34,6) 10 (10. Sometimes 50 (51.0) 1162 (54.6) 50 (51. Never 50 (51.0) 1162 (54.6) 50 (51. Never 40 (40.8) 228 (10.7) 37 (38. Home literacy environment 40 (40.8) 228 (10.7) 37 (38. Frequency of parents telling stories 9.1 <0.05	90.3 <0.05		101.4 <0.	35		194.2	< 0.05
Sometimes 50 (51.0) 1162 (54.6) 50 (51. Never 40 (40.8) 228 (10.7) 37 (38. Never 40 (40.8) 228 (10.7) 37 (38. Frequency of parents telling stories 9.1 <0.05	34.6)	10 (10.3) 1104 (40.3)		18 (9.2)	1841 (37.8)		
Never 40 (40.8) 228 (10.7) 37 (38. Home literacy environment 40 (40.8) 228 (10.7) 37 (38. Frequency of parents telling stories 9.1 <0.05 53 (54. Occasionally 56 (57.1) 999 (47.0) 53 (54. 53 (54. Sometimes 40 (40.8) 896 (42.1) 41 (42. 41 (42. Month parents encourage the child to 2 (2.0) 232 (10.9) 8.5 <0.05	(54.6)	50 (51.5) 1391 (50.7)		100 (51.3)	2553 (52.4)		
Home literacy environment 9.1 <0.05 Frequency of parents telling stories 9.1 <0.05	10.7)	37 (38.1) 246 (9.0)		77 (39.5)	474 (9.7)		
Frequency of parents telling stories 9.1 <0.05 Occasionally 56 (57.1) 999 (47.0) 53 (54.1) Sometimes 40 (40.8) 896 (42.1) 41 (42.1) Often 2 (2.0) 232 (10.9) 3 (3.1) How about parents encourage the child to 2 (2.0) 8.5 <0.05							
Occasionally 56 (57.1) 999 (47.0) 53 (54. Sometimes 40 (40.8) 896 (42.1) 41 (42. Often 2 (2.0) 232 (10.9) 41 (42. How about parents encourage the child to 2 (2.0) 8.5 <0.05	9.1 <0.05		3.2 >0.	35		10.1	< 0.05
Sometimes 40 (40.8) 896 (42.1) 41 (42.1) Often 2 (2.0) 232 (10.9) 3 (3.1) How about parents encourage the child to 8.5 <0.05	47.0)	53 (54.6) 1494 (54.5)		109 (55.9)	2493 (51.2)		
Often 2 (2.0) 232 (10.9) 3 (3.1) How about parents encourage the child to 8.5 <0.05	42.1)	41 (42.3) 1035 (37.8)		81 (41.5)	1931 (39.7)		
How about parents encourage the child to	10.9)	3 (3.1) 212 (7.7)		5 (2.6)	444 (9.1)		
	8.5 <0.05		4.2 >0.	35		12.6	<0.05
Occasionally 16 (16.3) 192 (9.0) 13 (13.	(0:6	13 (13.4) 246 (9.0)		29 (14.9)	438 (9.0)		
Sometimes 31 (31.6) 564 (26.5) 27 (27.	26.5)	27 (27.8) 630 (23.0)		58 (29.7)	1194 (24.5)		

Table 3. Cont.												
	Low grade				High grade				All subjects			
	Dyslexia (n=98)	Non-dyslexia (n = 2127)	Ŷ	٩	Dyslexia (n = 97)	Non-dyslexia (n = 2741)	~	٩	Dyslexia (n = 195)	Non-dyslexia (n = 4868)	~	٩
	n(%)	n(%)			(%)u	n(%)			n(%)	n(%)		
Often	51 (52.0)	1371 (64.5)			57 (58.8)	1865 (68.0)			108 (55.4)	3236 (66.5)		
Frequency of parents buying the books ch was interested in	ild		11.7	<0.05			7.8	>0.05			18.6	< 0.05
Occasionally	26 (26.5)	337 (15.8)			25 (25.8)	489 (17.8)			51 (26.2)	826 (17.0)		
Sometimes	46 (46.9)	920 (43.3)			47 (48.5)	1194 (43.6)			93 (47.7)	2114 (43.4)		
Often	26 (26.5)	870 (40.9)			25 (25.8)	1058 (38.6)			51 (26.2)	1928 (39.6)		
Frequency of parents buying new books			9.5	<0.05			7.2	>0.05			12.8	< 0.05
Per week	0 (0.0)	48 (2.3)			2 (2.1)	92 (3.4)			2 (1.0)	140 (2.9)		
Per month	4 (4.1)	258 (12.1)			7 (7.2)	339 (12.4)			11 (5.6)	597 (12.3)		
Per term	13 (13.3)	320 (15.0)			19 (19.6)	414 (15.1)			32 (16.4)	734 (15.1)		
Every year	1 (1.0)	16 (0.8)			3 (3.1)	29 (1.1)			4 (2.1)	45 (0.9)		
Irregularly scheduled, buy when needed	1 80 (81.6)	1485 (69.8)			66 (68.0)	1867 (68.1)			146 (74.9)	3352 (68.9)		
Frequency of parents' reading			2.9	>0.05			10.9	< 0.05			12	< 0.05
Every day	18 (18.4)	534 (25.1)			18 (18.6)	751 (27.4)			36 (18.5)	1285 (26.4)		
Every week	50 (51.0)	1059 (49.8)			41 (42.3)	1310 (47.8)			91 (46.7)	2369 (48.7)		
Every month	30 (30.6)	534 (25.1)			38 (39.2)	680 (24.8)			68 (34.9)	1214 (24.9)		
Scheduled reading time			21.6	<0.05			17.8	< 0.05			41.2	< 0.05
Yes	13 (13.3)	770 (36.2)			26 (26.8)	1331 (48.6)			39 (20.0)	2101 (43.2)		
No	85 (86.7)	1357 (63.8)			71 (73.2)	1410 (51.4)			156 (80.0)	2767 (56.8)		
Expenses of buying books for child every ye	ear		8.7	<0.05			10.5	< 0.05			16.6	< 0.05
Less than 150 Yuan	55 (56.1)	962 (45.2)			59 (60.8)	1234 (45.0)			114 (58.5)	2196 (45.1)		
150–300 Yuan	28 (28.6)	871 (40.9)			28 (28.9)	1032 (37.7)			56 (28.7)	1903 (39.1)		
300–500 Yuan	14(14.3)	225 (10.6)			9 (9.3)	354 (12.9)			23 (11.8)	579 (11.9)		
More than 500 Yuan	1 (1.0)	69 (3.2)			1 (1.0)	121 (4.4)			2 (1.0)	190 (3.9)		
"Age was represented as mean \pm standarr bBMI was represented as mean \pm standarr doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056688.t003	d deviation, <i>P</i> va d deviation, <i>P</i> va	lue was calculated by t lue was calculated by t	the <i>t</i> test. the <i>t</i> test.									

Table 4. Multivariate logistic regression analysis of associated factors for dyslexia.

Subjects	Variables	OR (95%CI)	Ρ
Low-grade			
	Gender		
	Female	1	
	Male	2.7 (1.7–4.4)	< 0.001
	Active learning		
	Never	1	
	Yes	0.1 (0.0–0.2)	< 0.001
	Sometimes	0.3 (0.2–0.5)	< 0.001
High-grade			
	Gender		
	Female	1	
	Male	2.0 (1.2–3.1)	0.004
	Active learning		
	Never	1	
	Yes	0.1 (0.0-0.1)	< 0.001
	Sometimes	0.3 (0.2–0.4)	< 0.001
All subjects			
	Gender		
	Female	1	
	Male	2.3 (1.6–3.2)	< 0.001
	Mother's education level		
	College diploma or above	1	
	Junior college	5.0 (0.7–38.5)	0.122
	Senior high school or equivalency	9.3 (1.3–67.4)	0.028
	Junior high school or below	12.1 (1.6–87.3)	0.014
	Active learning		
	Never	1	
	Yes	0.1 (0.1–0.2)	< 0.001
	Sometimes	0.3 (0.2–0.4)	< 0.001
	Schedule reading time		
	No	1	
	Yes	0.5 (0.4–0.8)	0.002
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056688.t004

Discussion

To our knowledge, the current study is one of the first studies focusing on the prevalence and risk factors for Chinese dyslexic children. Not only did we use the DCCC scale to evaluate the dyslexia among children, but also we considered their school and family environment. The main findings from this study were (1) The prevalence of dyslexia was 3.90% in Qianjiang city and the prevalence of dyslexia in boys was higher than that in girls. (2) Learning habits were significantly associated with dyslexia. (3) Home literacy environment could have impact on children's reading abilities.

Depending on the definition of dyslexia and culture differences, the prevalence rate varies. According to a previous study [14], children learning to read Chinese and Japanese suffered from the dyslexia, as well as their peers who learned English. Considering low reading ability together with average IQ, 7.5% children in Taipei met the criteria of dyslexia. If researchers applied the low achievement criteria that the child who fall behind average reading level two standard deviation was regarded as dyslexia [27], 2% of Taipei children [14] were thought to have reading disability. The difference between our study and the one in Taipei may come from the difference of two types of Chinese characters. The simplified Chinese characters are always adopted in mainland, while in Taiwan, the traditional Chinese is widely used [28]. The average number of strokes of 2000 commonly used characters is 11.2 for the traditional script used in Hong Kong and Taiwan and 9.0 for the simplified script used in Mainland China [17]. Therefore, it is harder for children to recognize the traditional characters than the simplified ones. We also noticed that the difference in the learning mechanism. Children learn to read Chinese characters via Pin-Yin (an alphabetic phonetic system) in Mainland China and Zhu-Yin-Fu-Hao (a sub-syllabic phonetic system) in Taiwan. Although both of them come from the same language origin, the difference in phonetic system may affect the prevalence of dyslexia in mainland China.

Later, a similar study was conducted in mainland China, which reported that 4.55% children were subjected to dyslexia. In our study, we also adopted the low achievement criteria. The prevalence of dyslexia in this survey was 3.9%, which was between those of above two studies. The difference may be caused by the diversity in the study subjects. In the above two studies, subjects were students in 5th grade of the primary schools, but the subjects of our study were selected from 3rd-6th. As early as 3rd grade, children have showed academic difficulties evidently. By the time whether the children suffered from dyslexia or not could be entrenched. Thus, the current results provide a better knowledge of Chinese dyslexia based on populations in the primary schools. So far, the association between prevalence rate and grade is unclear. Theoretically, the prevalence of dyslexia will be lower in lower grade, because many students may manifest the symptoms of dyslexia in higher grade. However, the prevalence of dyslexics in grade 6 was the lowest among 4 grades in this study. It may be explained by a previous study, which demonstrated that the prevalence of dyslexia in higher grade was likely to be lower than the one in lower grade, because the symptoms of some dyslexic children in higher grade would be improved through the systematic reading experience of one or two years [7]. Therefore, more studies are called to address this problem in the future.

The prevalence of Chinese dyslexia is lower than the reported prevalence rate in western countries, which were 5% to 17% [7]. The study by Siok et al. [24] provided an insight into the fundamental path physiology of dyslexia by suggesting that rather than having a universal origin, the biological abnormality of impaired reading depends on culture. Indeed, Chinese belongs to non-alphabetic languages which may result in specificity in dyslexia in contrast with alphabetic languages. The percentage of dyslexia in Chinese is lower than their peers who speak English, but the relative amount of dyslexic children is still high in China. It is necessary to employ an epidemiological investigation in China. Therefore, we need to take great effort to diagnose and treat dyslexic adolescents as early as possible.

In current study, a gender ratio was nearly 3:1 from boys to girls for dyslexic children. The logistic regression revealed that the risk of boys was 2.3 times as high as girls. A large body of studies has typically reported that there were significantly more boys than girls with dyslexia [29,30]. Our findings are coincident with previous studies. The possible reasons may be genetic difference, inherited features and development of cognition. Normand reported that a small advantage in language production for girls over boys until 36 months of age [31]. In China, boys are always expected to be proficient at science subjects, while girls are expected to be good at arts. For parents, to some extent, child's gender will influence their attitude and educational ways to child. Our study indicated that boys were more likely to be dyslexic. The gender difference in dyslexia suggested that teaching methods should be considered for boys and girls.

Our results suggested that learning habits and parents' education level were associated with dyslexia. The higher education levels the parents have, the less risk their children are suffered from. And father's higher education always relates to a better social economic status. Furthermore, education and income are the determinants of socioeconomic status which are associated with the development of children language reported by previous research [32]. Maternal characteristics such as verbal ability and personality have been shown to be related to the construction of the child's environment, therefore, influence the child's development [33]. In the previous studies, the conclusion that maternal education had been strongly associated with reading and literacy had been reported, which was confirmed by the results of current study. The traditional idea that man should work outside while woman should do housework at home has not been converted completely in China. Therefore, mother communicates with child more frequently and plays an important role in raising the child. Because of the different cognitive ability, mother with different educational level may create various reading environment. Learning habits including active learning and scheduled reading time were potential factors for dyslexia, which were seldom reported in the previous studies. Child who is active in learning behaves actively during the learning, including asking questions, discussing with teachers or classmates frequently and so on. Dyslexic children usually have difficulty in reading and the failure may decrease the motivation of learning. The interaction between active learning and dyslexia may circulate towards to worse situation. That is, those children will experience more depressed, unhappy, bored feelings to reading. In China, parents usually expect their child to achieve a higher academic goal no matter their ability, and the child endures enormous pressure. For the child with dyslexia, the pressure might be larger which cannot be assessed. The study also showed that scheduled reading time was associated with dyslexia. Motivation is important to learning because of its link to reading practice [34]. As a result, parents' guide and encouragement are crucial to children's reading. In summary, students who cannot read well and read less usually lost practice opportunities, which makes it difficult to acquire average level of reading fluency. Reading experience is the vital access to increase vocabularies, reading comprehension and related skills. Because of limited knowledge and notion, parents often ignore the importance of reading practice. Thus, it is necessary to establish reading time for children.

References

- Fisher SE, Francks C, Marlow AJ, MacPhie IL, Newbury DF, et al. (2002) Independent genome-wide scans identify a chromosome 18 quantitative-trait locus influencing dyslexia. Nat Genet 30: 86–91.
- Maughan B, Rowe R, Loeber R, Stouthamer-Loeber M (2003) Reading problems and depressed mood. J Abnorm Child Psychol 31: 219–229.
- Willcutt EG, Pennington BF (2000) Psychiatric comorbidity in children and adolescents with reading disability. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 41: 1039–1048.
- Casey R, Levy SE, Brown K, Brooks-Gunn J (1992) Impaired emotional health in children with mild reading disability. J Dev Behav Pediatr 13: 256–260.
- Beitchman JH, Wilson B, Brownlie EB, Walters H, Inglis A, et al. (1996) Longterm consistency in speech/language profiles: II. Behavioral, emotional, and social outcomes. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 35: 815–825.
- Heiervang E, Stevenson J, Lund A, Hugdahl K (2001) Behaviour problems in children with dyslexia. Nord J Psychiatry 55: 251–256.
- 7. Shaywitz SE (1998) Dyslexia. N Engl J Med 338: 307-312.

Home literacy environment was found to associate with dyslexia in our study. When parents tell stories to child and encourage child to read more frequently, their child has less risk of dyslexia. While the parents buy books that child is interested in, their child is less likely to be dyslexia. Fontina L. Rashid indicated that children's home literacy actives were not significantly related to any of their academic abilities, whereas parents' home literacy activities were significantly related to children's passage comprehension and spelling scores [35]. According to our results, parents' reading activities (spending more time to reading with child, more books available at home, encouraging child to read) can help to reduce the risk of dyslexia.

The difference of risk factors associated with dyslexia in low grade and high grade indicated the importance of parents. Children in high grade may face heavy pressure of entering high schools so that their parents pay more attention to their scores instead of offering a good literacy environment. Generally speaking, children in high grade process reading practice mainly in class. As a result, there were no associations between parents' relevant actives (frequency of parents tell stories, how about parents encourage the child to read book extra-curricular, frequency of parents buy the books child interested) and dyslexia. On the other hand, children in low grade will be relaxed and parents' relevant actives may be associated with dyslexia. Therefore, parents' attitude to construct what kind of literacy environment is crucial for their children.

The current study showed special culture, economy and educational system may be associated with dyslexia. Additional studies are still needed to explore issues in debate. However, there are still some shortcomings in present study. The current study was carried out in one city in China, and we could only generalize the prevalence rate to other middle-sized cities similar to Qianjiang city. More different types of cities should be sampled if we want to know the dyslexic prevalence in the whole China in the future studies. The subjects were selected from primary schools, which just reflected the prevalence rate of dyslexia among children from 9–12 years old. To a great extent, the etiology of Chinese dyslexia is still uncovered. The learning habits, home literacy environment and the other factors such as cognitive characters of children will continue to be studied in the future.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ZS LZ RS. Performed the experiments: ZS LZ JZ SM. Analyzed the data: SS RZ JK XL. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: JK XL. Wrote the paper: ZS LZ XM RS.

- Katusic SK, Colligan RC, Barbaresi WJ, Schaid DJ, Jacobsen SJ (2001) Incidence of reading disability in a population-based birth cohort, 1976–1982, Rochester, Minn. Mayo Clin Proc 76: 1081–1092.
- Rutter M, Caspi A, Fergusson D, Horwood LJ, Goodman R, et al. (2004) Sex differences in developmental reading disability: new findings from 4 epidemiological studies. JAMA 291: 2007–2012.
- Kempe C, Gustafson S, Samuelsson S (2011) A longitudinal study of early reading difficulties and subsequent problem behaviors. Scand J Psychol 52: 242– 250.
- Shaywitz SE, Shaywitz BA, Fletcher JM, Escobar MD (1990) Prevalence of reading disability in boys and girls. Results of the Connecticut Longitudinal Study. JAMA 264: 998–1002.
- Undheim AM, Sund AM (2008) Psychosocial factors and reading difficulties: students with reading difficulties drawn from a representative population sample. Scand J Psychol 49: 377–384.

- Donfrancesco R, Iozzino R, Caruso B, Ferrante L, Mugnaini D, et al. (2010) Is season of birth related to developmental dyslexia? Ann Dyslexia 60: 175–182.
- Stevenson HW, Stigler JW, Lucker GW, Lee S, Hsu C, et al. (1982) Reading disabilities: the case of Chinese, Japanese, and English. Child Dev 53: 1164– 1181.
- Ho CS, Law TP, Ng PM (2000) The phonological deficit hypothesis in Chinese developmental dyslexia. Reading and Writing 13: 57–79.
- Ho CS, Chan DW, Tsang SM, Lee SH (2002) The cognitive profile and multiple-deficit hypothesis in Chinese developmental dyslexia. Dev Psychol 38: 543–553.
- Ho CS, Chan DW, Lee SH, Tsang SM, Luan VH (2004) Cognitive profiling and preliminary subtyping in Chinese developmental dyslexia. Cognition 91: 43–75.
- Ho CS, Chan DW, Chung KK, Lee SH, Tsang SM (2007) In search of subtypes of Chinese developmental dyslexia. J Exp Child Psychol 97: 61–83.
- McBride-Chang C, Lam F, Lam C, Chan B, Fong CY, et al. (2011) Early predictors of dyslexia in Chinese children: familial history of dyslexia, language delay, and cognitive profiles. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 52: 204–211.
- Shu H, McBride-Chang C, Wu S, Liu H (2006) Understanding chinese developmental dyslexia: Morphological awareness as a core cognitive construct. Journal of Educational Psychology 98: 122–123.
- Shu H, Meng X, Lai A (2003) Lexical representation and processing in Chinesespeaking poor readers. In: McBride-Chang C, Chen HC, editors. Reading Development in Chinese Children. Westport: Greenwood Publishing Group. pp. 199–214.
- Meng X, Tian X, Jian J, Zhou X (2007) Orthographic and phonological processing in Chinese dyslexic children: an ERP study on sentence reading. Brain Res 1179: 119–130.
- Siok WT, Niu Z, Jin Z, Perfetti CA, Tan LH (2008) A structural-functional basis for dyslexia in the cortex of Chinese readers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 5561–5566.

- Siok WT, Perfetti CA, Jin Z, Tan LH (2004) Biological abnormality of impaired reading is constrained by culture. Nature 431: 71–76.
- Basic situation of Qianjiang city. Available: http://www.hbqj.gov.cn/data/ 2006/0825/article_15.htm. Accessed 5 November 2012.
- National Bureau of Statistics of China (2011) China city statistical yearbook. Beijing: China Statistics Press. 23p.
- Zhang C, Zhang J, Yin R, Zhou J, Chang S (1996) Experimental research on the reading disability of Chinese students. psychological Science 19: 222–226.
- Jin H, He X, Mo L (2009) On the Diagnostic Tests of Chinese Developmental Dyslexia. Journal of South China Normal University 5: 39–43.
- Badian NA (1999) Reading disability defined as a discrepancy between listening and reading comprehension: a longitudinal study of stability, gender differences, and prevalence. J Learn Disabil 32: 138–148.
- DeFries JC (1989) Gender ratios in children with reading disability and their affected relatives: a commentary. J Learn Disabil 22: 544–545.
- Normand MT, Parisse C, Cohen H (2008) Lexical diversity and productivity in French preschooolers developmental and biosocial aspects by developmental, gender and sociocultural factors. Clin Linguist Phon 22: 47–58.
- Nicolson RI, Fawcett AJ, Dean P (2001) Developmental dyslexia: the cerebellar deficit hypothesis. Trends Neurosci 24: 508–511.
- Lyytinen P, Eklund K, Lyytinen H (2003) The play and language behavior of mothers with and without dyslexia and its association to their toddlers' language development. J Learn Disabil 36: 74–86.
- Morgan PL, Fuchs D, Compton DL, Cordray DS, Fuchs LS (2008) Does early reading failure decrease children's reading motivation? J Learn Disabil 41: 387– 404.
- Rashid FL, Morris RD, Sevcik RA (2005) Relationship between home literacy environment and reading achievement in children with reading disabilities. J Learn Disabil 38: 2–11.