

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Renaux Torres M-C, Pellot C, Somwang P, Khositharattanakool P, Vongphayloth K, Randrianambinintsoa FJ, et al. (2023) Phlebotomine sand flies (Diptera, Psychodidae) from Pha Tong cave, Northern Thailand with a description of two new species and taxonomical thoughts about *Phlebotomus stantoni*. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 17(9): e0011565. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0011565

Editor: Chukwunonso Nzelu, University of Calgary, CANADA

Received: March 23, 2023

Accepted: July 31, 2023

Published: September 20, 2023

Copyright: © 2023 Renaux Torres et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The sequences are available on Genbank (accession numbers 0Q784691 to 0Q784740) as now indicated in the "results". Type-specimens are deposited in curated collection of national history museums.

Funding: The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Phlebotomine sand flies (Diptera, Psychodidae) from Pha Tong cave, Northern Thailand with a description of two new species and taxonomical thoughts about *Phlebotomus stantoni*

Marie-Charlotte Renaux Torres^{1,2®}, Coline Pellot^{1®}, Puckavadee Somwang^{3,4}, Pathamet Khositharattanakool^{3,4}, Khamsing Vongphayloth^{5,1}, Fano José Randrianambinintsoa¹, Bruno Mathieu⁶, Padet Siriyasatien⁷, Frédérick Gay⁸, Jérôme Depaquit^{1,9}*

Faculté de Pharmacie, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, SFR Cap Santé, EA7510 ESCAPE-USC ANSES PETARD, Reims, France, 2 Pharmacie centrale, Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire, Reims, France, 3 School of Medicine, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai, Thailand, 4 Biomedical Technology Research Group for Vulnerable Populations, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai, Thailand, 5 Pasteur Institute of Laos, Vientiane, Lao PDR, 6 Institut de Parasitologie et de Pathologie Tropicale de Strasbourg, Université de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France, 7 Center of Excellence in Vector Biology and Vector-Borne Disease, Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand, 8 Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique, Hôpital Pitié Salpêtrière, APHP, F75013, Paris, France, 9 Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire, pôle de Biologie territorial, Laboratoire de Parasitologie-Mycologie, Reims, France

These authors contributed equally to this work.

* jerome.depaquit@univ-reims.fr

Abstract

Background

In South-East Asia, Thailand is the country with the highest number of human autochthonous cases of leishmaniases mostly due to *Leishmania martiniquensis*. Their transmission remains unresolved to date even though sand flies are known vectors of leishmaniases. As such, we focused a study on the sand fly fauna of a cave in Thailand to explore the biodiversity of potential *Leishmania* vectors.

Main results

We carried out an inventory in Pha Tong cave. We caught and identified 570 Phlebotomine sand flies (452 females and 118 males) and identified 14 species belonging to the genera *Phlebotomus, Idiophlebotomus, Chinius, Sergentomyia* and *Grassomyia*. Among these 14 species, two could not be related to known sand fly species. Herein, we propose the description of two new sand fly species, previously unknown to science.

The first new species, *Phlebotomus shadenae* n. sp. is a sand fly of the subgenus *Ana-phlebotomus*. It is morphologically close to *Ph. stantoni*, a species widely distributed throughout Southeast Asia. However, it differs by the length of the genital filaments in males

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

or by the length of the ducts of the spermathecae in females as well as the high divergence of cytochrome b sequences. Additionally, we revised the systematics of the subgenus *Ana-phlebotomus* and reinstated, by examination of its holotype, the validity of *Ph. maynei*, an Indian wrongly considered as a synonym of *Ph. stantoni* in the past.

The second new species, *Sergentomyia maiae* n. sp., differs from a species in the same group, *Se. barraudi*, by an original cibarial double row of vertical teeth as well as by molecular data.

Conclusions

We propose the description of two new sand fly species for Science with morphological and molecular evidence. *Ph. shadenae* n. sp. was also found to be distributed in the south of Thailand and in Laos. Future studies need to determine whether these two species can play a role as vectors of *Leishmania* parasites, Trypanosomatids or Phlebovirus. Most of the species caught in the present study are strictly cavernicolous except *Grassomyia* sp. and a few *Sergentomyia*.

Author summary

Phlebotomine sand flies are tiny blood sucking insects. These are vectors of *Leishmania*, flagellate unicellular parasites, mostly found in Mediterranean and tropical areas. In Thailand, *Leishmania martiniquensis* and *L. orientalis* are responsible for local diseases but the parasite transmission cycle remains unknown. For a long time, South-Eastern Asia was considered to be free of leishmaniasis and as such, Phlebotomine sand flies have been understudied in this area.

We carried out an inventory in a cave located in the Chiang Rai district, northern Thailand. We caught two new species we consider to be new for Science based on morphological (head and genital apparatus) and molecular characters (cytochrome b mitochondrial DNA sequences).

The new species were named *Phlebotomus shadenae* n. sp. and *Sergentomyia maiae* n. sp. We also recorded the first species in Laos whereas the second has just been recorded in the studied cave.

1 Introduction

Phlebotomine sand flies are small, hairy, blood-sucking insects belonging to the order Diptera and to the family Psychodidae. Sand flies are known as important medical vectors of leishmaniasis around the world. Leishmaniasis is a spectrum of neglected diseases, mostly distributed in Mediterranean and tropical areas, caused by the flagellate protozoa belonging to the genus *Leishmania*.

In Thailand, *Leishmania martiniquensis* and *L. orientalis* have been identified as the causative agents of autochthonous human visceral and cutaneous leishmaniasis. The disease is endemic mostly in southern Thailand but some cases have also been recorded in the northern part of the country. Though the first indigenous case of leishmaniasis was reported in Thailand 25 years ago [1], data on the sand fly fauna remains limited and their role in the transmission of *Leishmania* has not been proven yet. In Thailand, reports have identified four genera of sand flies to date: *Phlebotomus*, *Sergentomyia*, *Idiophlebotomus*, and *Chinius*.

We carried out an inventory in the Pha Tong cave, located in the Chiang Rai district, Northern Thailand. Several studies carried out in caves revealed an interesting sand fly biodiversity and new species as well as a high density of sand flies [2–7]. We identified several species belonging to the four genera previously listed and also to the genus *Grassomyia*. However, several specimens from this cave could not be identified according to the existing described species. We performed a morphological and molecular study, and based on their new characters, we herein propose the description of two new sand fly species for Science.

2 Methods

2.1. Sampling

During an entomological survey of cavernicolous sand flies in Asia, we carried out a sampling of Phlebotomine sand flies in the Pha Thong cave (20°5′28.89"N, 99°54′13.09"E), Chiang Rai province, Northern Thailand on October 10th and 11th, 2019. These caves are listed as sights of interest and are visited by Thai and international tourists. Sand flies were collected over two consecutive nights using CDC miniature light traps (John W. Hock Company, Gainesville, FL, USA). Four traps were installed from 5 p.m. to 8 a.m. within the cave, at a distance less than 100 m from the cave entrance, in the dark area (completely removed from sunlight) with a constant average temperature of 25°C and an average relative humidity of 80%. Sand flies were caught alive, frozen and stored in 96% ethanol in the hours following their capture.

2.2. Morphological analysis

The head, thorax and genitalia of the sand flies were cut off and placed in a drop of ethanol. Soft tissues were lysed in a bath of 10% potassium hydroxide (KOH), then bleached in a Marc-André solution. After dehydration in successive alcoholic baths, these parts of the insects were then mounted between microscope slide and cover slide in Euparal for species identification. The first abdominal tergites of each specimen were dried before any processing and stored in a vial at -20°C for later molecular analysis. The specimens were observed with an Olympus BX50 microscope coupled with a DP 26 Olympus camera allowing photographs to be taken. Measurements and counting of several characters used in systematics [8] have been realized using Stream Essentials software (Olympus, Japan). The sketches were made using the camera lucida installed on the microscope. The drawings were then finalized using Indian ink.

2.3. Molecular analyses

Genomic DNA was extracted from the first abdominal tergites of individual sand flies using the QIAmp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. The first step consisted in crushing the sand flies with a piston pellet (Treff, Switzerland). In the end, the elution volume was 100 μ L. All polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications were performed in a 50 μ L volume of 5 μ L extracted DNA and 50 pmol of each of the primers. The final concentrations of the PCR mix contained 10 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.3), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 50 mM KCl, 0.01% Triton X 100, 200 μ M dNTP each base, and 1.25 units of 5 prime *Taq* polymerase (Eppendorf, Germany). The amplification of a fragment of the cytochrome b gene (cyt b) was undertaken using the primers C3B-PDR (5'-CAYATTCAACCWGAATGATA-3') and N1N-PDR (5'-GGTAYWTTGCCTCGAWTTCGWTATGA-3') according to the conditions previously published [9]. Amplicons were sequenced in both directions using the same primers.

2.4. Phylogenetic analyses

Molecular analyses were based on aligned sequences. The maximum likelihood (ML) tree was constructed by MEGA XI [10] using the HKY85 substitution model [11].

3. Results

3.1. Inventory

A total of 570 Phlebotomine sand flies were caught during sampling of this study. According to morphological identification, the collected sand flies belong to five genera (*Chinius, Idiophlebotomus, Phlebotomus, Sergentomyia* and *Grassomyia*) and 14 species (Table 1).

Two of these 14 species could not be identified to existing sand fly species and we consider them to be new Phlebotomine species for Science.

Given that *Sergentomyia* males are closely related, in order to avoid any misidentification between species, all the *Sergentomyia* males were inventoried as *Sergentomyia* spp.

3.2. Molecular analysis

The 520 bp database includes 236 variable sites and 196 informative for parsimony. A maximum likelihood tree based on this database is shown in Fig 1. The estimation of evolutionary divergence over sequence pairs between and within species is provided in Table 2. Sequences obtained in the present study are available in Genbank (accession numbers OQ784691 to OQ784740).

3.3. Description of new taxa

The consensual terminology was used in this description [12].

3.3.1. Description of the female of *Ph. shadenae* **Depaquit, Pellot and Siriyasatien** *n. sp.* (Fig 2). Genus *Phlebotomus* Rondani & Berté, in Rondani 1840.

Subgenus Anaphlebotomus, Theodor 1948.

Genus	Species	Females	Males	Total
Phlebotomus	barguesae	4	1	5
	mascomai	4		4
	stantoni	102	41	143
	shadenae n. sp.	1	3	4
Sergentomyia	anodontis	178		178
	hivernus	2		2
	<i>cf iyengari</i> Raynal-like	1		1
	khawi	29		29
	maiae n. sp.	12		12
	siamensis	105		105
	sylvatica	1		1
	spp.	3	70	73
Grassomyia	sp.	4	3	7
Chinius	barbazani	3		3
Idiophlebotomus	longiforceps	3		3
Total		452	118	570

Table 1. Morphological identification of the specimens caught in the present study.





Table 2. Numbe dataset.	er of base diffe	erences per s	iite from aver	aging over al	l sequence	pairs between s	pecies (thi	in style) and	within speci	ies (bold st	yle) calcula	ted using a p-dis	stance model	on the cyt b
	Grassomyia	Se. maiae n. sp.	Se. anodontis	Se. siamensis	Ph. stantoni	Ph. shadenae n. sp.	Se. khawi	Ph. mascomai	Se. hivernus	Se. raynali	Se. gemmea	Se. phadangensis	Se. barraudi	Id. longiforceps
Grassomyia sp	0.003													
Se. maiae n. sp.	0.159	0												
Se. anodontis	0.124	0.122	0											
Se. siamensis	0.141	0.120	0.095	0.002										
Ph. stantoni	0.196	0.190	0.174	0.195	0.012									
Ph. shadenae n.	0.155	0.166	0.145	0.174	0.123	n/a								
sp.														
Se. khawi	0.126	0.128	0.099	0.126	0.191	0.167	0.002							
Ph. mascomai	0.173	0.188	0.157	0.182	0.161	0.132	0.175	0						
Se. hivernus	0.134	0.148	0.117	0.145	0.209	0.184	0.086	0.189	n/a					
Se. raynali	0.125	0.142	0.121	0.140	0.218	0.191	0.122	0.189	0.125	n/a				
Se. gemmea	0.148	0.162	0.137	0.156	0.236	0.210	0.123	0.225	0.148	0.107	n/a			
Se.	0.143	0.157	0.130	0.130	0.188	0.165	0.128	0.164	0.137	0.152	0.182	n/a		
phadangensis														
Se. barraudi	0.147	0.139	0.121	0.088	0.214	0.188	0.133	0.180	0.122	0.119	0.145	0.126	0.03	
Id. longiforceps	0.223	0.249	0.209	0.227	0.231	0.209	0.222	0.208	0.247	0.222	0.243	0.225	0.235	n/a

PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0011565 September 20, 2023

PLOS NEGLECTED TROPICAL DISEASES



Fig 2. *Phlebotomus shadenae* **n. sp. Holotype female.** A: head; B: flagellomeres 1, 2 and 3 (= AIII, AIV and AV); C: pharynx and cibarium; D: palp; E: third segment of the palp (P3); F: mouth parts (labrum, hypopharynx, mandible and maxilla, from left to right); G: wing; H: spermathecae.

Description of female holotype (specimen TH19-255). Comparative measurements of *Ph. shadenae* n. sp. with those of *Ph. stantoni* and *Ph. hoepplii* are indicated in Table 3.

Etymology: the epithet shadenae refers to our colleague Shaden Kamhawi.

Type locality: Pha Thong cave (20° 5′28.89"N, 99° 54′13.09"E), Chiang Rai province, northern Thailand.

Type specimens: female holotype (voucher TH19-255) and one male paratype (voucher TH19-677) deposited at the Laboratory of Entomology of the Muséum National d'Histoire

Naturelle de Paris, France under the identification numbers MNHN-ED-ED11207 and MNHN-ED-ED11208.

One male paratype (voucher TH19-160) deposited at the Natural History Museum of the National Science Museum, Thailand, under the identification number THNHM-I-00028085.

Note: The authors of the new taxa are different from the authors of this paper: Article 50.1

and Recommendation 50A of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature [13].

3.3.1.1. Head

Occiput with two narrow lines of well individualized setae. Clypeus: 135 µm long and 64 µm wide exhibiting nine setae.

Eyes: 158 µm long, 78 µm wide, with about 70 facets.

Incomplete interocular sutures.

Flagellomeres f1 (= AIII) = 256.28 μ m, f2 (= AIV) = 105.03 μ m, f3 (= AV) = 111.34 μ m, f12 (= AXIV) = 74.36 μ m, f13 (= AXV) = 61.80 μ m, f14 (= AXVI) = 52.76 μ m.

Flagellomere 1 longer than f2+f3. Presence of two ascoids from f1 to f13.

Ascoidal formula: 2/f1- f13 with long ascoids, reaching the next article. One distal papilla on f1, f2 and f3. Absence of papilla from f4 to f11.

One papilla on f11, five on f12, six on f13 and five on f14. No simple setae from f1 to f11.

			Ph. s	tantoni (n = 1	12)	Ph. shadenae n. sp.		Ph. hoepplii (n = 5)		
		mean	minimum	maximum	standard deviation	holotype	mean	minimum	maximum	standard deviation
Head	length	370.42	337.28	396.05	18.15	375.24				
	width	308.89	289.52	332.64	13.37	278.56				
Clypeus	length	120.83	90.08	148.56	17.56	137.47				
Flagellomeres	f1	257.96	236.6	283.37	12.71	256.28	251.8	236	271	15.63
	f2	92.11	83.01	100.44	6.46	105.03	105.4	98	114	5.68
	f3	96.26	84.6	105.74	7.37	111.34	105	96	114	6.36
Labrum	length	212.4	192.05	237.28	12.7	187.68				
Palpi	p1	33.25	25.11	41.82	4.95	27.75	31	27	40	5.87
	p2	87.19	75.17	97.96	6.84	81.06	90	72	99	10.56
	p3	130.66	117.73	149.1	9.3	125.55	130.2	117	144	10.08
	p4	55.13	40.84	64.15	7.43	58.39	56.2	50	63	4.82
	p5	159.04	113.71	182.92	23.79	154.01	109.6	72	188	46.08
Cibarium	teeth	3.75	2	5	0.97	4				
Wing	length	1684.02	1543.01	1821.25	88.35	1677.57	1862	1750	2066	131.68
	width	577.49	517.67	620.73	30.79	543.66	551.2	481	612	49.86
Spermathecae	common duct	218.75	178	261	28.32	508				
	individual ducts	51.75	43	63	7.81	69	20*			

Table 3. Asian Anaphlebotomus female measurements (in µm). Those of *Ph. stantoni* and *Ph. shadenae* n. sp. were done during the present study. Those of *Ph. hoepplii* were calculated from the original description of Tang & Maa (1945). *: estimated after the drawing of plate II by Tang & Maa (1945).

Three simple setae on f12, four on f13. A total of 18 simple setae observed on f14. Palps: $p1 = 27.75 \mu m$, $p2 = 81.06 \mu m$, $p3 = 131.03 \mu m$, $p4 = 58.39 \mu m$, $p5 = 154.01 \mu m$. Palpal formula: 1, 4, 2, 3, 5

Presence of a group of less than 20 club-like Newstead's sensilla in the middle third palpal segment of the p3.

No Newstead's sensilla on other palpal segments.

Presence of one distal spiniform seta on p3, three setae on p4 and eight setae on p5. Labrum: $187 \mu m \log$, f1/E = 1.29.

Hypopharynx with about 15 lateral teeth on either side of the salivary canal. Maxillary lacinia exhibiting eight external and about fifteen internal teeth.

Labial furca closed.

Cibarium armed with four big teeth pointed backwards.

Two groups of about twenty denticles each are observed anteriorly and laterally. Absence of sclerotized area.

Well-developed pharyngeal armature, characteristic of those found on Asian sand fly species of the subgenera *Anaphlebotomus* or *Euphlebotomus*. The armature includes two kinds of teeth: (i) mid-anterior long, dark, and pointing toward the front; (ii) latero-posterior short, in a semi-circular disposition (like an onion bulb).

A discrete pigmentation is observed throughout the pharynx in the center but not laterally nor posteriorly.

3.3.1.2. Cervix

Two cervical sensilla.

Ventro-cervical sensilla not observable on the holotype.

3.3.1.3. Thorax

541 µm long.

Light sclerites.

Pleurae: post-alar setae non-observed; presence of two or three proepimeral setae; absence of the upper and lower anepisternal, anepimeral, metaepisternal and metaepimeral setae; a few setae on the anterior region of the katepisternum, and absence of the suture between metaepisternum and katepimeron.

Metafurca with separated vertical arms, mounted in lateral view on all specimens.

Wings: length = 1677 μ m, width = 543 μ m, r5 = 1063 μ m, α (r2) = 402 μ m, β (r2 + 3) = 248 μ m, δ = 95 μ m, γ (r2+3+4) = 189 μ m, ϵ (r3) = 541 μ m, θ (r4) = 854 μ m, π = 129 μ m. Width/ γ = 2.87.

Legs:

Anterior leg: $coxa = 300 \mu m$; femur, tibia and tarsomeres not observed.

Median leg: $coxa = 310 \mu m$; femur, tibia and tarsomeres not observed. Posterior leg: $coxa = 343 \mu m$; femur, tibia and tarsomeres not observed.

Abdomen

Tergites ii-vi: presence of setae randomly distributed. Tergite VIII with 25 to 26 setae on each side.

Tergite IX without any protuberance.

Cerci: 152 µm long.

Setae not observed on the X sternite.

3.3.1.4. Genitalia

Thick wall common duct 508 μ m long slightly sclerotized at the base continuing with short narrow thin wall individual ducts 69 μ m long.

Spermathecae: 63 μ m long and about 15 μ m width. They are ringed with more than 15 rings and present a sessile head carried by a broad process.

Genital fork with thick stem over its entire length.

3.3.2. Description of the male of *Ph. shadenae n. sp.* (Fig 3). The counts and measurements provided below are those of the paratype (allotype) (voucher TH19-160). Comparative measurements of *Ph. shadenae* n. sp. with those of *Ph. stantoni*, *Ph. hoepplii* and *Ph. colabaensis* are indicated in Table 4.

3.3.2.1. Head

Occiput with two lines of well individualized setae.

Clypeus: 113 µm long and 59 µm wide with nine big setae randomly distributed.

Eyes: 153 µm high, 83 µm wide, with about 80 facets.

Interocular sutures incomplete. Interantennal sutures do not reach the interocular ones. Flagellomeres: f1 (= AIII) = 269.55 μ m, f2 (= AIV) = 116.90 μ m, f3 (= AV) = 117.83 μ m, f12

 $(= AXIV) = 43.96 \ \mu m$, f13 $(= AXV) = 47.11 \ \mu m$, f14 (= AXVI) not observed.

Ascoidal formula: 2/f1-f13. Presence of two long ascoids, reaching the next articulation on f1 and 2 long but shorter ascoids on f2 and f3.

One distal papilla on f1, f2 and f3. Two papillae on f11, seven on f12, and six on f13 and f14. No simple setae from f1 to f8. One simple seta on f8 and f9. Two simple setae on f10 and

f11. Three simple setae on f12, two on f13 and about 10 on f14.

Palpi: p1 = 26.72 μ m, p2 = 85.05 μ m, p3 = 101.24 μ m, p4 and p5 not observed on the allotype TH19- 160.

Palpal formula: 1, 4, 2, 3, 5 calculated on allotype TH19-677.

Presence of a group of about 10 club-like Newstead's sensilla in the middle of the third palpal segment.

Lack of Newstead's sensilla on other segments.

Presence of one distal spiniform seta on p3, four on p4 and less than 10 on p5 (on allotype TH19- 677)

Labrum: 158 μ m long, f1/ labrum = 1.71.

Labial furca closed.

Cibarium: presence of a few small lateral teeth (denticles), mostly grouped in packs of three to four.

Absence of a sclerotized area.

Pharyngeal armature takes up the entire fourth or fifth posterior part of the pharynx with small teeth arranged concentrically. The central and anterior teeth are concentric translucent lines whereas the posterior and lateral teeth are dot-like and grouped in concentric rows.

3.3.2.2. Cervix

Two cervical sensilla on each side. One ventro-cervical sensillum.

3.3.2.3. Thorax

466 µm long.

Poorly pigmented sclerites. Mesonotum: absence of a post-alar seta.

Pleurae: three proepimeral setae on each side: two basal and one upper; post-alar setae nonobserved; absence of the upper and lower anepisternal, anepimeral, metaepisternal and metaepimeral setae; absence of setae on the anterior region of the katepisternum, and absence of the suture between metaepisternum and katepimeron.

Metafurca with separated vertical arms.

Wings: Length = 1280 μm, width = 485 μm, r5 = 1020 μm, α (r2) = 347 μm, β (r2 + 3) = 241 μm, δ = 40 μm, γ (r2+3+4) = 184 μm, ε (r3) = 501 μm, θ (r4) = 797 μm, π = 136 μm. Width/ γ = 2.64.

Legs:

Anterior leg: $coxa = 305 \mu m$; femur, tibia and tarsomeres not observed. Median leg: $coxa = 310 \mu m$; femur, tibia and tarsomeres not observed.



Fig 3. *Phlebotomus shadenae* n.sp. male. A: genitalia (paratype TH19-160); B: detail of the parameral sheath, paramere and intermediate stick (paratype TH19-677); C: detail of the parameral sheath and the distal top of the genital ducts (paratype TH19-160); D: flagellomeres 1, 2 and 3 (= AIII, AIV and AV) (paratype TH19-160); E: head (paratype TH19-160); F: palp (paratype TH19-677); E: third segment of the palp (P3) (paratype TH19-677); H: pharynx and cibarium (paratype TH19-160); I: wing (paratype TH19-677).

			Ph. stant	oni(n = 11)			Ph. shadena	e n. sp. (n =	3)	Ph.		Ph. hoe	<i>pplii</i> (n = 5)		Рh.
		mean	minimum	maximum	standard deviation	mean	minimum	maximum	standard deviation	<i>mayne</i> i holotype	mean	minimum	maximum	standard deviation	<i>colabaensis</i> holotype
Head	length	326.11	283.48	351.44	18.39	315.28	299.7	338.24	20.3						
	width	284.55	259.37	308.38	15.77	264.35	256.2	273.9	8.93						
Clypeus	length	97.32	63.43	115.65	16.79	103.03	93.5	113.48	10.02						110
Flagellomeres	fì	277.44	257.96	302.52	14.96	259.12	242.5	269.55	14.55	274	272.8	262	280	7.53	220
	f2	105.73	96.74	115.87	6.03	111.67	108.3	116.9	4.59		108.6	105	114	4.93	100
	f3	108.26	95.85	121.82	8.41	112.94	106.1	117.83	6.1		108.6	105	114	4.93	100
Labrum	length	168.89	147.72	200.3	20.88	166.88	158.04	181.2	12.51	164					
Palpi	pl	23.21	20.7	26.72	3.13	23.21	20.7	26.72	3.13	28.5	25.6	22	27	2.19	30
	p2	77.92	72.6	85.05	6.42	77.92	72.6	85.05	6.42	68.8	77.4	76	81	2.07	80
	p3	105.65	101.24	109.5	4.16	105.65	101.24	109.5	4.16	125	108.6	97	117	7.50	120
	p4	56	53.9	58.1	2.97	56	53.9	58.1	2.97	58.2	48.4	45	54	3.78	60
	p5	145.14	127.12	163.25	25.46	103.9	88.4	119.4	21.92	145.3	134.6	124	146	10.09	120
Wing	length	1530.60	1408.55	1634.68	68.07	1442.37	1280.62	1533.9	140.49	1543	1677.8	1575	1750	70.97	1470
	width	463.61	399.45	509.92	36.04	483.53	470.2	494.9	12.47	488	495	464	525	25.87	410
Genitalia	gonocoxite	190.03	175.81	206.69	10.20	207.02	205.6	208.4	1.4	217	192.8	171	207	14.74	230
	gonostyle	77.11	71.62	84.04	4.49	84.30	82.5	86.99	2.38	92	84.2	79	90	4.15	150
	parameral sheath	87.98	62.78	110.86	14.94	117.46	101.3	149.49	27.74	100	102.8	06	108	7.95	150
	paramere	139.01	123.95	155.35	11.66	139.76	122.89	171.3	27.33	162	141	117	177	26.5	210
	sperm pump	142.91	126.64	159.29	9.04	139.41	138.26	140.55	1.62	154					
	ejaculatory apodeme	106.93	81.97	124.1	13.53	107.41	105.8	109.02	2.28	121					
	epandrial lobes	180.09	169.71	196.56	7.61	204.14	199.4	207.04	4.14	220					270
	aedeagal ducts	304.20	280.36	335.65	18.54	655.47	639.9	671.04	22.02	448					
	sperm pump + aedeagal ducts	419.46	146.34	478.44	93.45	703.17	688	718.34	21.45	602	411.2	376	420	19.68	
	internal gonocoxal tuft setae	33.09	23	43	7.18	48.33	44	56	6.66	49					
https://doi.org/1	0.1371/initrnal	nntd 00115	65 t004		_		-								

PLOS NEGLECTED TROPICAL DISEASES

Posterior leg: $coxa = 320 \ \mu m$; femur, tibia and tarsomeres not observed. **3.3.2.4**. Abdomen

Tergite ii: presence of randomly distributed setae.

The others tergites are not observable since they were used for the molecular analyses.

3.3.2.5. Genitalia

Absence of abdominal rods.

Gonocoxite with a median tuft of 56 internal setae. Absence of basal gonocoxal lobe. Gonostyle with four thick spines: one terminal, one subterminal and two basal ones.

Absence of accessory setae.

Complex paramere, with a long upper lobe with about 20 strong upward-facing setae, an intermediate shorter and narrow hairless lobe, and a very small lower lobe exhibiting two setae.

Presence of a long, narrow and translucent accessory spine between the paramere and the parameral sheath.

Parameral sheath finger-like.

Aedeagal ducts straight, slender throughout, tapering evenly throughout with a round end. Very long smooth aedeagal filaments, isodiametric.

Epandrial lobes about as long as the gonocoxites, without permanent setae. Cerci: 127 μm long.

3.3.3. Description of the female of *Sergentomyia maiae* Depaquit, Renaux Torres and Siriyasatien n. sp. (Fig 4). Genus: *Sergentomyia*

Subgenus: ungrouped, awaiting a taxonomic revision of the genus Sergentomyia.

Measurements indicated in the main text are those of the holotype (voucher TH19-756). Measurements carried out on other specimens are indicated in Table 5.

Etymology: The epithet *maiae* refers to our colleague Carla Maia who was with us in Thailand when we collected these sand flies.

Type locality: Pha Thong cave (20° 5′28.89"N, 99°54′13.09"E), Chiang Rai province, northern Thailand.

Type specimens. Holotype female (voucher TH19-756) and two female paratypes (vouchers TH19-139 and TH19-148) deposited at the Laboratory of Entomology of the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris, France under identification numbers MNHN-ED-ED11204, MNHN-ED-ED11205, and MNHN-ED-ED11206.

Two female paratypes (vouchers TH19-572 and TH19-621) deposited at the Natural History Museum of the National Science Museum, Thailand, under identification numbers THNHM-I-00028013 and THNHM-I-00028014.

Note: The authors of the new taxa are different from the authors of this paper: Article 50.1 and Recommendation 50A of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature [13].

3.3.3.1. Head

Occiput with two narrow lines of well individualized setae.

Clypeus: 163 μ m long and 61 μ m wide with 39 setae randomly distributed. Eyes: 201 μ m long, 120 μ m wide, with about 90 facets.

Interocular sutures incomplete.

Interantennal sutures do not reach the interocular sutures.

Flagellomere: 1 longer than f2+f3.

Presence of two ascoids from f1 to f11 and one or two on f12 and f13 and one on f14.

Ascoidal formula: 2/f3- f13 with long ascoids, not reaching the next article.

One papilla on f1 and f2. Absence of papilla from f3 to f7.

One median papilla on f7 and f8, two on f9 (one anterior and one median), six on f10 and f11, seven on f12, six on f13 and four on f14.



Fig 4. *Sergentomyia maiae* n.sp. Female. A: head (holotype); B: palp (holotype); C: third segment of the palp (P3) (paratype TH19-572); D: pharynx and cibarium (paratype TH19-148); E: flagellomeres 1, 2 and 3 (= AIII, AIV and AV) (paratype TH19-572); F: mouth parts (labrum, hypopharynx, mandible, maxilla, and labial furca from left to right) (paratype TH19-148); G: wing (holotype); H: furca and spermathecae (paratype TH19-572).

		mean	minimum	maximum	standard deviation
Head	length	376.05	318.7	407.44	27.26
	width	337.36	252.28	378.71	39.34
Clypeus	length	150.30	100.45	166.73	19
	width	76.87	60.79	104.66	15.7
Eyes	length	198.54	183.36	217.89	12.6
	width	105.27	81.25	120.27	12.91
Flagellomeres	f1	372.08	330.08	418.38	27.8
	f2	142.95	129.11	161.1	9.61
	f3	148.91	132.57	163.35	9.74
Labrum	length	213.115	184.37	244.52	18.21
Palpi	p1	36.43	30.98	43.68	4.63
	p2	125.51	107.14	139.25	10.43
	p3	178.88	150.49	202.47	15.76
	p4	209.26	177.45	252.58	21.9
	p5	362.57	306.0	413.37	36.14
Cibarium	teeth	62.5	57.0	69.0	5.5
	vertical teeth	9.4	8.0	13.0	2.07
Wing	length	1910.30	1549.62	2203.24	204.41
	width	581.86	349.69	725.87	107.3
	r5	1346.3	814.19	1547.09	205.44
	r2	618.48	217.45	745.7	170.91
	delta	445.48	88.37	559.54	152.52
	r2+3	248.31	213.02	270.21	17.93
	r3	740.42	338.48	886.07	164.26
	r2+3+4	289.05	163.05	347.95	49.64
	r4	1051.56	634.68	1214.51	173.51
	pi	39.3	30.04	49.41	7.33
Spermathecae	length	46.06	39.34	56.44	7.61
	width	19.18	13.69	31.5	7.28

Table 5.	Sergentom	<i>via maiae</i> n.	sp.	female measurements	(in	μm). n = 1	2
		,			<u> </u>			

No simple seta on f1, one on f2 and f3, two on f4 and f5, three on f6 to f8, two on f7, three on f8, five on f9, four on f10, four on f11, four on f12 (one median and three distal), three on f13 and less than ten on f14.

Palpal formula: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

Presence of a group of about 30 club-like Newstead's sensilla implanted proximally on the third palpal segment.

Presence of one distal spiniform seta on p3 and six on p4. Labrum: 202 µm long.

Hypopharynx with about 10 faint teeth on either side of the salivary canal. Maxillary lacinia exhibiting eight external teeth and about thirty internal teeth.

Labial furca opened.

Cibarium armed with 59 parallel and palisade-like light teeth organized along a straight and horizontal line.

One row of nine big denticles on a line just below the teeth. One row of less than 10 teeth implanted more basally, in the very wide and pigmented sclerotized area. Russula mushroom-like.

Pharynx strongly armed on its posterior quarter with monomorphic pigmented teeth each being *Se. antennata*-like. A discrete constriction is observed at the level of the anterior pharyngeal teeth.

3.3.3.2. Cervix

Two cervical sensilla on each side.

Two ventro-cervical sensilla were observed on paratype TH19-139.

3.3.3.3. Thorax

Light brown sclerites.

Mesonotum: absence of post-alar seta.

Pleurae: Proepimeral setae non-observed; absence of the upper and lower anepisternal, anepimeral, metaepisternal and metaepimeral setae; absence of seta on the anterior region of the katepisternum, and absence of the suture between metaepisternum and katepimeron.

Metafurca with separated vertical arms.

Wings:

Length = 1952 μ m; Width = 597 μ m.

r5 = 1419 μm, α (r2) = 647 μm, β (r2 + 3) = 260 μm, δ = 476 μm, γ (r2+3+4) = 314 μm, ε (r3) = 786 μm, θ (r4) = 1087 μm, π = 30 μm. Width/γ = 1,90.

Legs (on TH19-148):

Anterior leg: $coxa = 358 \mu m$; femur, tibia and tarsomeres not observed.

Median leg: $coxa = 339 \mu m$; femur, tibia and tarsomeres not observed.

Posterior leg: $coxa = 388 \ \mu m$; femur, tibia and tarsomeres not observed.

3.3.3.4. Abdomen

Setae randomly distributed on the first tergite.

3.3.3.5. Genitalia

Smooth elongated capsule-like spermathecae. Thick walls. Capsule 31 μ m wide and 60 μ m long. Short terminal knob embedded in the capsule.

Smooth ducts.

Genital furca with two well developed lateral processes.

Discussion

The species *Phlebotomus shadenae* n. sp. belongs to the subgenus *Anaphlebotomus* because the male exhibits four spines on the gonostyle and the gonocoxite lacks a basal lobe. The female exhibits a pharyngeal armature including two kinds of teeth and cibarial teeth which are typical of this subgenus (also shared by some species of the subgenera *Euphlebotomus* and *Madaphlebotomus*).

Ph. shadenae is very close to *Ph. stantoni* Newstead, 1914, of which it differs for both gender due to the length of the aedeagal ducts and by the number of gonocoxal setae for the male, and by the length of the spermathecal ducts for the female.

We only have molecular data for a single female but it clearly supports its individualization compared to the many *Ph. stantoni* that were sequenced in our study (Fig 1 and Table 2).

The attachment of male to female is based on the resemblance in both gender to *Ph. stantoni* and by the sharing of long aedeagal ducts which are compatible with the long length of the spermathecal ducts.

Phlebotomus stantoni is by original designation, the type-species of the subgenus *Anaphlebotomus*. The genus *Phlebotomus* has long been described as possessing a group of setae on the lower anepisternum. In light of the standard for the description of new species [12], an in-depth examination of this thoracic region shows the absence of anepisternal setae and the presence of two to three proepimeral setae, unlike Abonnenc who described them in *Ph. stantoni* [16].

Before the present study, this subgenus included five species according to Seccombe et al. [17]: Ph. stantoni, Ph. colabaensis Mc Combie, Young & Chalam, 1927, Ph. rodhaini Parrot, 1930, Ph. rousettus Davidson, 1981 and Ph. fortunatarum Morillas-Marquez, Castillo-Remiro & Ubeda-Ontiveros, 1984. Over time, the subgenus Abonnencius Morillas-Marquez, Castillo-Remiro & Ubeda-Ontiveros, 1984, previously wrongly synonymized with Anaphlebotomus by Lane & Alexander [18], was reinstated [19, 20]. Consequently, Ph. fortunatarum was excluded from the subgenus Anaphlebotomus. In Madagascar, several Phlebotomus species include males which have gonostyles exhibiting four spines and a gonocoxite without basal process. Based on these characteristics, these sand flies species were provisionally classified in the subgenus Anaphlebotomus [21-25] pending the description of the subgenus Madaphlebotomus Depaquit, Léger & Randrianambinintsoa, 2013, including all the Malagasy Phlebotomus described in the genus Anaphlebotomus up to 2013 [26]. In this paper, we do not include three Indian species in the subgenus Anaphlebotomus, respectively: Ph. sanctijohani Ipe & Singh 1994, Ph. palamauensis Singh, Phillips-Singh & Ipe 2007, and Ph. chiyankiensis Singh, Phillips-Singh & Ipe 2009 [27–29]. Without access to the type-specimens, by looking at the descriptions and drawings provided by the authors, the single paramere of the males, the terminal and subterminal position of the spines as well as the presence of an accessory seta on the gonostyle, suggest that these species could all, in fact, belong to the genus Sergentomyia. As we wait for future taxonomic studies which will confirm or invalidate the systematic position of these three species, we prefer not to consider them in the present paper. In the future, if their affiliation to the subgenus Anaphlebotomus is proved, their individualization would be very easy thanks to the characters mentioned above. In Asia, the distribution of *Ph. colabaensis* is limited to India and Pakistan [15, 30] whereas the distribution of *Ph. stantoni* is wider. *Ph. stantoni* is found from India to China and as far as South-East Asia [17] when taking into account the synonymizing of both the Indian species Ph. maynei Sinton, 1930, and the Chinese species Ph. hoepplii Tang & Maa, 1945.

Phlebotomus stantoni seems easy to identify because it has very specific characteristics. Paradoxically, this great ease of identification can mask discrete morphological differences which could be very specific. Taking this idea into consideration, in light of the description of a new species close to *Ph. stantoni*, it would seem judicious to carry out a careful examination of the literature related to the Asian subgenus *Anaphlebotomus* and to examine the available type-specimens of the species in this group, including those whose synonymy has been proposed in the past.

The validity of *Ph. shadenae* n. sp. is based on morphological and molecular arguments. From a morphological point of view, *Ph. shadenae* n. sp. differs from *Anaphlebotomus* by the length of the genital filaments and by the length of the spermathecal ducts as well as by the number of setae borne on the gonocoxite. Furthermore, the molecular data individualize *Ph. stantoni* and *Ph. shadenae* n. sp. robustly.

The morphological analysis of a collection of sand flies caught in Laos during the present study revealed that other specimens of *Ph. shadenae* n. sp. were recorded in Laos. Moreover, according to nucleotide sequences, *Ph. shadenae* n. sp. seems also to have been recorded in Southern Thailand a few years ago, though they were not identified correctly: a nucleotide blast indicated that the sequence of the *Ph. shadenae* n. sp. specimen processed in the present study is identical to that of the specimen labelled *Ph. stantoni* deposited by Hirotomo, Tiwananthagorn and Siripattanapipong under the Genbank accession number MG770905. This specimen seems to have been excluded from the study published by Siripattanapipong et al. [31] including only Genbank records ranging from MG770906 to MG770935. Consequently, the distribution area of *Ph. shadenae* includes the north and the south of Thailand as well as Laos. A careful identification of the species of the subgenus *Anaphlebotomus* is required in the future throughout South-East Asia.

The validity of *Ph. colabaensis* Young & Chalam, 1927 is accepted by all the authors and is based on the morphology of the spermathecae (Sinton, 1932) or on several parts of the male genitalia (gonostyle, parameral sheath, paramere, epandrial lobes) as indicated in Table 4.

The synonymy of *Ph. hoepplii* Tang & Maa, 1945 is difficult to validate without accessing the type-specimens. It has been considered as a junior synonym of *Ph. stantoni* by Lewis [32] based on the examination of "drawings made by Raynal and by Raynal and Gaschen" without examining the type-specimen, whereas Leng considered it as a valid species [33]. It is unclear whether the specimens drawn were really *Ph. hoepplii* or not. However, following a careful examination of the description of *Ph. hoepplii* [14], we consider this synonymy as erroneous and we consider *Ph. hoepplii* as a valid species. In our opinion, the very short individual spermathecal ducts of this species have never been observed in *Ph. stantoni* and this character supports in and by itself the validity of this species.

Another closely related species from Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh, North of India, was described: *Ph. maynei* Sinton, 1930 [34]. This species has been considered as a junior synonym of *Ph. stantoni* by Raynal & Gaschen [35]. In this previous study, which described for the first time the male of *Ph. stantoni*, the authors noticed the important similarities of the genitalia of *Ph. stantoni* and *Ph. maynei*. Indeed, at the time, a few species of sand flies were described and more subtle variations such as the length of the genital filaments did not appear to be ultimately different. In addition to the allopatric distribution of these two species, it seems obvious to us that the length of the genital filaments of *Ph. maynei*, which is of intermediate length between that of *Ph. stantoni* (short) and that of *Ph. shadenae* n. sp. (long), is a relevant specific character. It supports our idea that *Ph. maynei* needs to be considered as a valid species henceforth (Fig 5). In fact, we consider without any overlap in the distribution of a character like the length of aedeagal and spermathecal ducts as specific because they have to be more of less similar for an efficient mating in natural conditions.

A careful attention must be paid to the potential vector role of *Ph. stantoni* and possibly its closely related species. Recently, DNA of an unknown *Trypanosoma* sp. was detected from a *Ph. stantoni* in Thailand [36]. In addition, Preativatanyou et al. *Trypanosoma* sp. DNA as well as *Leishmania donovani* complex DNA in *Ph. stantoni* from Southern Thailand [37].

Regarding the other *Phlebotomus* found in the present study, we have caught a few specimens of *Ph. barguesae* and *Ph. mascomai*, both cavernicolous species described from Thai caves [38, 39]. The first species has been recorded from another cave in Thailand [2] whereas the latter has been found recently in some caves in Thailand [3, 40] as well as in Vietnam [41] showing these species seems widely distributed in South-Eastern Asian caves.

Idiophlebotomus longiforceps has been described from caves in the South of China under the genus *Sergentomyia* [42]. It has also been recorded in caves in Thailand and Vietnam [3, 43]. To our knowledge, no record of this species has been done outside caves, as well as for the genus *Chinius*. In the present study, we have found *Ch. barbazani*, a species only recorded in Thai caves [2, 44, 45]

Sergentomyia maiae n. sp. is described from the female. The male remains unknown though we processed several *Sergentomyia* spp. males for cytochrome b sequencing, but their sequences did not match with those of female *Se. maiae*. Regarding the forked sclerotized area and the cibarial and pharyngeal armatures, this species is close to the *Se. barraudi* group. The taxonomy of this group is unresolved as of yet. As indicated, the *Se. barraudi* group should be further studied because of considerable heterogeneity in the morphological characters, such as the number and distribution of teeth on the cibarial teeth. Regarding the molecular data, there is also an important variability within *Se. barraudi* (Fig 1). *Sergentomyia maiae* n. sp. exhibits 57 to 69 cibarial teeth. It is different from other members of *Se. barraudi* because there is a completely





original double row of vertical teeth, and particularly because of the very low position of one of these rows of teeth. The row closest to the cibarial teeth include eight to 13 vertical teeth whereas the other row includes six to nine vertical teeth.

The other members of the *Se. barraudi* group processed in the present study (Fig 6) exhibit 44 to 54 cibarial teeth (mean 47.3, standard-deviation 3.37) meaning these are quite different from *Se. barraudi* s. st. In view of the different biogeographical subzones in the Indo-Malaysian area, it is logical to assume that the populations from Thailand could be individualized from those from India, and possibly belong to different species. In our opinion, the specimens we processed belong to the species *Se. siamensis* Causey precisely described from Thailand and exhibiting 44 cibarial teeth according to the original description [46].

Regarding the *Se. iyengari* group, most of the specimens we processed from Pha Tong cave do not belong to *Se. iyengari* s. st. described from the South of India, without vertical teeth under the cibarial teeth. We think our specimens could belong to *Se. khawi*, as they exhibit many vertical teeth arranged along 2–3 rows, though they have less teeth (13–17) than in the original description by Raynal [47] from Chinese specimens. Moreover, as shown in Table 1,



Fig 6. Cibariums (left column) and spermathecae (right column) of *Sergentomyia spp. Sergentomyia siamensis* (a, b), *Sergentomyia khawi* (c, d), and *Sergentomyia cf iyengari* Raynal-like specimen TH19-507 (e, f). White arrows show the cibarial teeth (on the left) and the spermathecae (on the right).

we also found a female which is morphologically identical to the one described by Raynal & Gaschen as *Se. iyengari* [48]. However, we think that this species is not related to *Se. iyengari* but to another probable new species which has yet to be described. In this study, we named this female sand fly *Se. cf iyengari* Raynal-like, pending further studies (Fig 6, Table 1). There is a great need to revise the *Se. iyengari* group by comparing several populations from India, China and South-Eastern Asia using morphological and molecular approaches.

Sergentomyia hivernus is one of the species historically wrongly considered junior synonyms of *Se. iyengari*. It is now considered as a valid species which can be identified thanks to its wide spermatheca and to the low number of vertical teeth of the cibarium [36].

Sergentomyia sylvatica was first described from Vietnam outside [49] and the specimens collected in the present study are morphologically similar to those originally described: a few teeth in the center of the cibarium and spermathecae not completely segmented.

As indicated by Vu et al. working on sand flies from Vietnam [41], *Se. anodontis* could include several populations taking into account the exhibition of any lateral teeth or not. These populations are everytime caught in caves [3, 4, 6, 40, 45, 50–52]

The identification of the *Grassomyia* of the present study seems not possible at a specific level. A complete revision of this genus has to be carried out. Known to live in open biotopes i.e. savannah [53], it is surprising to catch them in a cave.

Acknowledgments

We thank Zoe Adams of the NHM who provided us access to the *Ph. maynei* holotype studied in this study.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Padet Siriyasatien, Frédérick Gay, Jérôme Depaquit.

Methodology: Marie-Charlotte Renaux Torres, Coline Pellot.

- **Resources:** Puckavadee Somwang, Pathamet Khositharattanakool, Khamsing Vongphayloth, Bruno Mathieu, Padet Siriyasatien, Frédérick Gay, Jérôme Depaquit.
- Supervision: Khamsing Vongphayloth, Fano José Randrianambinintsoa, Padet Siriyasatien, Frédérick Gay, Jérôme Depaquit.
- Validation: Marie-Charlotte Renaux Torres, Coline Pellot, Fano José Randrianambinintsoa, Padet Siriyasatien.

Visualization: Jérôme Depaquit.

- Writing original draft: Marie-Charlotte Renaux Torres, Coline Pellot, Jérôme Depaquit.
- Writing review & editing: Marie-Charlotte Renaux Torres, Coline Pellot, Puckavadee Somwang, Pathamet Khositharattanakool, Khamsing Vongphayloth, Fano José Randrianambinintsoa, Bruno Mathieu, Padet Siriyasatien, Frédérick Gay, Jérôme Depaquit.

References

- Thisyakorn U, Jongwutiwes S, Vanichsetakul P, Lertsapcharoen P. Visceral leishmaniasis: the first indigenous case report in Thailand. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 1999; 93:23–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0035-9203(99)90166-9 PMID: 10492782
- Apiwathnasorn C, Samung Y., Prummongkol S., Phayakaphon A., Panasopolkul C. Cavernicolous species of phlebotomine sand flies from Kanchanaburi province, with an updated species list for Thailand. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health. 2011; 42:1405–9. PMID: 22299409

- Jaturas N, Vitta A, Samung Y, Apiwathnasorn C, Polseela R. Species composition and nocturnal activity of phlebotomine sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae) inhabiting a limestone cave in Thailand. J Vector Ecol. 2018; 43(1):52–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvec.12282 PMID: 29757516.
- Polseela R, Apiwathnasorn C, Samung Y. Seasonal variation of cave-dwelling phlebotomine sandflies (Diptera:Psychodidae) in Phra Phothisat Cave, Saraburi Province, Thailand. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health. 2007; 38(6):1011–5. Epub 2008/07/11. PMID: 18613542.
- Polseela R, Depaquit J, Apiwathnasorn C. Description of *Sergentomyia phadangensis* n. sp. (Diptera, Psychodidae) of Thailand. Parasites & vectors. 2016; 9(1):21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1300-4 PMID: 26772172.
- Polseela R, Vitta A, Nateeworanart S, Apiwathnasorn C. Distribution of cave-dwelling phlebotomine sand flies and their nocturnal and diurnal activity in Phitsanulok Province, Thailand. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health. 2011; 42(6):1395–404. PMID: 22299408.
- Thammapalo S, Pawestri AR, Kolaeh K, Boondej P, Benarlee R, Apiwathnasorn C, et al. Distribution of Phlebotomine Sandflies in the Cave Area of Satun Province, Thailand. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2020; 5(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed5040174 PMID: 33233808
- Blavier A, Laroche L, Randrianambinintsoa FJ, Lucas V, Gantier JC, Leger N, et al. Phlebotomine sandflies (Diptera, Psychodidae) from the Ankarana tsingy of northern Madagascar: inventory and description of new taxa. Parasite. 2019; 26:38. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2019039 PMID: 31259684.
- Esseghir S, Ready PD, Killick-Kendrick R, Ben-Ismail R. Mitochondrial haplotypes and geographical vicariance of *Phlebotomus* vectors of *Leishmania major*. Insect Molecular Biology. 1997; 6(3):211–25.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 11. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2021; 38(7):3022–7. Epub 2021/04/24. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msab120 PMID: 33892491.
- 11. Hasegawa M, Kishino H, Yano T. Dating of the human-ape spliting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. Journal of Molecular Evolution. 1985; 22:160–74.
- Galati EAB, Galvis-Ovallos F, Lawyer P, Léger N, Depaquit J. An illustrated guide for characters and terminology used in descriptions of Phlebotominae (Diptera, Psychodidae). Parasite. 2017; 24:26. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2017027 PMID: 28730992.
- ICZN. International Code of Zoological Nomenclature London: The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, 1999 [cited 2019 3 May]. http://www.iczn.org/code/.
- 14. Tang CC, Maa T. On a new species of *Phlebotomus* found in Fukien, China. Research Bulletin of the Institute of Zoology and Botany of the Fukien Academy. 1945; 1:25–37.
- Mc Combie Young TC, Chalam BS. Two new sandflies from Bombay. Indian journal of Medical Research. 1927; 15:849–62.
- Abonnenc E. Les phlébotomes de la région éthiopienne (Diptera, Psychodidae). mémoires ORSTOM. 1972; 55:239 p.
- Seccombe AK, Ready PD, Huddleston LM. A catalogue of Old World phlebotomine sandflies (Diptera, Phlebotominae). Occasional Papers on Systematic Entomology. 1993; 8:1–57.
- Lane R, Alexander B. Sandflies (Diptera: Psychodidae) of the Canary Islands. J Nat Hist. 1988; 22:313–9.
- Morillas Marquez F, Guevara Pozo D. On the validity of the subgenus *Phlebotomus (Abonnencius)* (Diptera, Psychodidae, Phlebotominae). Research and Reviews in Parasitology. 1994; 54:55–6.
- Rahola N, Depaquit J, Makanga BK, Paupy C. *Phlebotomus (Legeromyia) multihamatus* subg. nov., sp. nov. from Gabon (Diptera: Psychodidae). Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz. 2013; 108(7):845–9. https://doi.org/10.1590/0074-0276130172 PMID: 24141965.
- Depaquit J, Léger N, Ferté H, Robert V. Les phlébotomes de Madagascar (Diptera: Psychodidae). II. Description de la femelle de *Phlebotomus (Anaphlebotomus) fertei* Depaquit, Léger & Robert, 2002; description du mâle et redescription de la femelle de *Phlebotomus (Anaphlebotomus) berentiensis* (Léger & Rodhain, 1978) comb. nov. Parasite. 2004; 11(2):201–9. Epub 2004/07/01. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1051/parasite/2004112201</u> PMID: 15224582.
- 22. Depaquit J, Léger N, Robert V. Première mention de *Phlebotomus* à Madagascar (Diptera: Psychodidae). Description de *Phlebotomus* (*Anaphlebotomus*) fertei n. sp. et de *Phlebotomus* (*Anaphlebotomus*) huberti n. sp. Parasite. 2002; 9(4):325–31. Epub 2003/01/08.
- Depaquit J, Léger N, Robert V. Les Phlébotomes de Madagascar (Diptera: Psychodidae). III—Description de *Phlebotomus (Anaphlebotomus) fontenillei* n. sp. Parasite. 2004; 11(3):261–5. Epub 2004/10/20. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2004113261 PMID: 15490749.
- 24. Randrianambinintsoa FJ, Depaquit J. Phlebotomine sand flies from Madagascar (Diptera: Psychodidae). VIII—*Phlebotomus (Anaphlebotomus) vincenti* n. sp. Bull Soc Pathol Exot. 2013; 106(3):206–11. Epub 2013/08/13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13149-013-0305-x PMID: 23934316.

- Randrianambinintsoa FJ, Leger N, Robert V, Depaquit J. Phlebotomine sand flies from Madagascar (Diptera: Psychodidae). VII. An identification key for *Phlebotomus* with the description of *Phlebotomus* (*Anaphlebotomus*) vaomalalae n. sp. Parasite. 2013; 20:6. Epub 2013/02/20. [pii]. https://doi.org/10. 1051/parasite/2013005 PMID: 23419267.
- Depaquit J, Léger N, Randrianambinintsoa FJ. Paraphyly of the subgenus Anaphlebotomus and creation of Madaphlebotomus subg. nov. (Phlebotominae: Phlebotomus). Medical and Veterinary Entomology. 2015; 29(2):159–70. https://doi.org/10.1111/mve.12098 PMID: 25613531.
- Ipe MI, Singh NS. *Phlebotomus (Anaphlebotomus) sanctijohani*. A new species of sandflies (Phlebotomidae: Diptera) from Agra (India). Hexapoda. 1994; 6(2):73–8.
- Singh NS, Phillips-Singh D, Ipe MI. An inventory of a new species, *Phlebotomus (Anaphlebotomus)* palamauensis, of Phlebotominae sand flies from Bihar, India. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2007; 69 (4):363–8.
- Singh NS, Phillips-Singh D, Ipe MI. Taxonomic description of a new species of Phlebotominae (Phlebotomidae: Diptera) from Bihar, India. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2009; 71(3):219–23.
- Sinton JA. Notes on somes Indians Species of the Genus *Phlebotomus*. Part XXX. Diagnostic table for the females of the species recorded from India. Indian Journal of Medical Research. 1932; 20(1):55–75.
- Siripattanapipong S, Leelayoova S, Ninsaeng U, Mungthin M. Detection of DNA of *Leishmania siamensis* in *Sergentomyia* (*Neophlebotomus*) *iyengari* (Diptera: Psychodidae) and Molecular Identification of Blood Meals of Sand Flies in an Affected Area, Southern Thailand. J Med Entomol. 2018; 55(5):1277–83. https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjy069 PMID: 29688539.
- Lewis DJ. Phlebotomine sandflies (Diptera: Psychodidae) from the Oriental Region. Syst Entomol. 1987; 12:163–80.
- Leng Y. A review of Phlebotomine sandflies and their transmission of leishmaniasis in China. Jpn J Sanit Zool. 1988; 39:323–37.
- Sinton JA. Notes on some Indian species of the genus *Phlebotomus*. Part XXV. *Phlebotomus maynei* n. sp. Indian Journal of Medical Research. 1930; 18(1):195–8.
- **35.** Raynal J, Gaschen H. Sur les phlébotomes d'Indochine. III. *Phlebotomus stantoni*, Newstead 1914, au Tonkin. Sur la synonymie de *Phlebotomus maynei* Sinton 1930 et de *Phlebotomus stantoni*. Bull Soc Path exot. 1934; 27:670–9.
- 36. Phumee A, Tawatsin A, Thavara U, Pengsakul T, Thammapalo S, Depaquit J, et al. Detection of an unknown *Trypanosoma* DNA in a *Phlebotomus stantoni* (Diptera: Psychodidae) collected from Southern Thailand and records of new Sand Flies with reinstatement of *Sergentomyia hivernus* Raynal & Gaschen, 1935 (Diptera: Psychodidae). J Med Entomol. 2017; 54(2):429–34. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjw161</u> PMID: 27744363.
- 37. Preativatanyou K, Chinwirunsirisup K, Phumee A, Khositharattanakool P, Sunantaraporn S, Depaquit J, et al. Species diversity of phlebotomine sand flies and sympatric occurrence of *Leishmania (Mundinia) martiniquensis, Leishmania (Leishmania) donovani* complex, and *Trypanosoma* spp. in the visceral leishmaniasis focus of southern Thailand. Acta Trop. 2023; 244:106949. Epub 2023/05/22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2023.106949</u> PMID: 37211153.
- Depaquit J, Muller F, Léger N. *Phlebotomus (Euphlebotomus) barguesae* n. sp. from Thailand (Diptera —Psychodidae). Parasite and Vectors. 2009; 2(1):5. Epub 2009/01/09. 1756-3305-2-5 [pii] https://doi. org/10.1186/1756-3305-2-5 PMID: 19128518.
- Muller F, Depaquit J, Léger N. *Phlebotomus (Euphlebotomus) mascomai* n. sp. (Diptera-Psychodidae). Parasitol Res. 2007; 101(6):1597–602. Epub 2007/08/22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-007-0682-9 PMID: 17710436.
- Srisuton P, Phumee A, Sunantaraporn S, Boonserm R, Sor-Suwan S, Brownell N, et al. Detection of Leishmania and Trypanosoma DNA in Field-Caught Sand Flies from Endemic and Non-Endemic Areas of Leishmaniasis in Southern Thailand. Insects. 2019; 10(8). <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10080238</u> PMID: 31382501.
- Vu SN, Tran HS, Tran VP, Tran CT, Tran ND, Dang DA, et al. Taxonomical insights and ecology of sandfly (Diptera, Psychodidae) species in six provinces of Northern Vietnam. Parasite. 2021; 28:85. Epub 2021/12/21. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2021080 PMID: 34928207.
- 42. Wang C, Ku Y, Yuan T. New records of sandflies of Kweichow Province with description of a new species *Sergentomyia longiforceps* sp. nov. Acta Entomologica Sinica. 1974; 17:334–8.
- 43. Vu NS, Tran SH, Tran PV, Tran TC, Tran DN, Dang AD, et al. Diversity and Ecology of Sand Flies (Diptera: Psychodidae), Potential Vectors of Leishmania in the Quang Ninh Province, Vietnam. Journal of Medical Entomology. 2020; 57(1):259–65. Epub 2019/07/28. https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjz129 PMID: 31346619.
- 44. Depaquit J, Léger N, Beales P. *Chinius barbazani* n. sp. de Thaïlande (Diptera: Psychodidae). Parasite. 2006; 13(2):151–8. Epub 2006/06/28. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2006132151 PMID: 16800124.

- Polseela R, Apiwathnasorn C, Samung Y. Seasonal distribution of phlebotomine sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae) in Tham Phra Phothisat temple, Saraburi province, Thailand. Tropical Biomedicine. 2011; 28(2):366–75. PMID: 22041758.
- **46.** Causey OR. *Phlebotomus* of Siam with a description of a new variety. The American journal of Hygiene. 1938; 28(3):487–9.
- Raynal J. Sur une nouvelle espèce de Phlébotome du nord de la Chine: *Phlebotomus khawi* n. sp. Annales de Parasitologie Humaine et Comparée. 1936; 14(6):529–40.
- Raynal J, Gaschen H. Sur les phlébotomes d'Indochine. VII. Présence de *Phlebotomus iyengari*, Sinton 1933, en Indochine-Nord et description des deux sexes. Bull Soc Path exot. 1935; 28:507–17.
- **49.** Raynal J, Gaschen H. Sur les phlébotomes d'Indochine. IX. *Phlebotomus sylvaticus* n. sp. Bull Soc Path Ex. 1935; 28:592–601.
- Apiwathnasorn C, Sucharit S, Rongsriyam Y, Leemingsawat S, Kerdpibule V, Deesin T, et al. A brief survey of phlebotomine sandflies in Thailand. Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health. 1989; 20:429–32. PMID: 2633351
- 51. Quate LW. The Psychodidae of Batu caves, Malaya. Pacific Insects. 1962; 1(4):219–34.
- 52. Quate LW, Fairchild GB. *Phlebotomus* sand flies of Malaya and Borneo. Pacific Insects. 1961; 2 (3):203–22.
- Abonnenc E. Sur *Phlebotomus squamipleuris* Newstead, 1912 et espèces voisines (Diptera: Psychodidae). Cahiers de l'ORSTOM, série Entomologie Médicale et Parasitologie. 1969; 7(4):307–23.