S1 STROBE Checklist

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Title, Abstract (Methods)
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and	Abstract (Methods)
		balanced summary of what was done and what was	
		found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for	Introduction section,
		the investigation being reported	paragraphs 1-4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified	Introduction section,
		hypotheses	paragraph 5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the	Methods section, 1st
		paper	subsection
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates,	Methods section,
Setting		including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-	subsections 1 to 3
		up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and	Not applicable – this is an
		the sources and methods of selection of participants.	impact evaluation study
		Describe methods of follow-up	based on an interrupted
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and	time-series design
		the sources and methods of case ascertainment and	time series design
		control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of	
		cases and controls	
		Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria,	
		and the sources and methods of selection of	
		participants	
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give	
		matching criteria and number of exposed and	
		-	
		unexposed	
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give	
X7	7	matching criteria and the number of controls per case	M.41 . 1
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give	Methods section, subsections 2 and 3
		•	subsections 2 and 3
Data assumed	0*	diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Mathada aasti aa
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data	Methods section,
measurement		and details of methods of assessment (measurement).	subsections 2 and 3
		Describe comparability of assessment methods if	
D'	0	there is more than one group	M.41 . 1
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of	Methods section,
G. 1 .	10	bias	subsection 4; S2 Text
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Not applicable
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in	Methods section,
		the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings	subsection 4
		were chosen and why	

Statistical methods

(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those Methods section, 12 used to control for confounding subsection 4; S2 Text (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups Not applicable and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed Not applicable Not applicable (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy (\underline{e}) Describe any sensitivity analyses S2 Text

Continued on next page

Results			
Participants 13		(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg	Not applicable
		numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,	
		confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up,	
		and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Not applicable
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Not applicable
Descriptive 14*		(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,	Results section,
data		clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	subsection 1
		confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Not applicable
		(c) <i>Cohort study</i> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Not applicable
Outcome data 1	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary	Not applicable
		measures over time	
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure	Not applicable
		category, or summary measures of exposure	NT
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or	Not applicable
N. 6. 1.	1.6	summary measures	D. I. C.
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-	Results section,
		adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	subsection 1
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and	
		why they were included	27
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were	Not applicable
		categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into	Not applicable
		absolute risk for a meaningful time period	
Other analyses 1		Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and	S2 Text
		interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Discussion section, paragraphs 1-2
Limitations 1	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of	Discussion section,
		potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and	paragraph 3
		magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation 20	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering	Discussion section
		objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from	paragraph 1, 2 and 4
		similar studies, and other relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study	Discussion section,
Ž		results	paragraph 4
Other informati	on		-
Funding 22		Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the	Funding statement
		present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which	
		the present article is based	

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.