

Figure S2: The Impact of Different PrEP Interventions in each of the three alternative types of couples (Less Condom Use, More External Partners and More Infected Men) relative to the characteristics of the Partners in Prevention cohort. (A, C, E) The proportion of infections averted (relative to a baseline scenario with no PrEP intervention) for each of the four PrEP interventions (see Table 2). (B,D,F) The expected cost per infected averted for each of the four PrEP interventions: the pink and blue boxes reflect the lower and higher of the PrEP cost estimates and the higher and lower of the ART cost estimates, respectively. In each panel, the boxes shows a feasible range of results, which corresponds to a functional efficacy of PrEP ranging between 50 and 80%.

