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Review question
What is the prevalence of psychiatric morbidity in individuals who self-harm (fatal and non-fatal) in low and middle-income countries?

Searches
We will search the following electronic bibliographic databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, and PsycINFO for reports of the prevalence of psychiatric morbidity in individuals who self-harmed (both fatal and non-fatal) regardless of suicidal intent. We will search the reference lists of eligible papers and conduct citation searches of key papers to identify additional reports.

Papers in English or with English language abstracts will be eligible to be included. Human studies published between January 1990 and the date the searches are run will be sought.

Types of study to be included
Case series, case-control studies, cohort studies and randomised control trials (results extracted for control arm).

Condition or domain being studied
Self harm (fatal and non-fatal) regardless of suicidal intent

Participants/population
INCLUSION: The populations included in this review will be restricted to studies in low and middle income countries (LMIC) (http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-classifications) in humans. Only individuals who have either presented with or self-identify as having engaged in self-harming behaviour (fatal or non-fatal) will be included. No age or gender restrictions will apply. Priority will be given to general population studies, but we may include demographic and other subgroups based on representativeness.

EXCLUSIONS: Those who report only suicide ideation will not be included in this review. Subjective impressions of psychiatric morbidity (i.e. without a clinical diagnosis or assessed via a validated rating scale) will be excluded. Studies which only report on lifetime non-fatal self-harm and/or lifetime psychiatric morbidity will be excluded.

Intervention(s), exposure(s)
Not applicable. The review is interested in obtaining an estimate of prevalence of psychiatric morbidity in individuals who self-harm (fatal and non-fatal)

Comparator(s)/control
Not applicable. The review is interested in obtaining an estimate of prevalence of psychiatric morbidity in individuals who self-harm (fatal and non-fatal)

Context
Studies in low and middle income countries (LMIC) only (http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-classifications)

Main outcome(s)
Assessment of the prevalence of psychiatric morbidity (conditions that fall under the ICD-10 F01-99 codes)
in individuals who self-harm. Psychiatric morbidity is defined as a clinical diagnosis or reach a threshold for
caseness on a validated interview or scale.

Additional outcome(s)
None

Data extraction (selection and coding)
Titles and/or abstracts of studies retrieved using the search strategy and those from additional sources will
be screened to identify studies that potentially meet the inclusion criteria outlined above. The full text of
these potentially eligible studies will be retrieved and independently assessed for eligibility by two review
team members. Any disagreement between them over the eligibility of particular studies will be resolved
through discussion with a third reviewer.

A structured, pre-piloted form will be used to extract data from the included studies for assessment of study
quality and evidence synthesis. Extracted information will include: study design/setting; study population, and
participant demographics/characteristics (e.g age, sex); type of self-harm; type of outcome measure and
definition (i.e. cut-off); reported prevalence (n %); and information for assessment of the risk of bias (see
below). Two review authors will extract data independently, discrepancies will be identified and resolved
through discussion (with a third author where necessary).

Risk of bias (quality) assessment
The overall quality of each study will be evaluated and rated according to the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS)
and a modified NOS version for cross-sectional studies.

Strategy for data synthesis
If the studies identified in this review are suitable for inclusion (i.e. are not too heterogeneous) in a meta-
analysis, a pooled estimate of the prevalence of any psychiatric disorder (and by type) in individuals who self-
harm will be presented. If data are not homogeneous for a quantitative synthesis a narrative (descriptive)
synthesis will be presented.

Analysis of subgroups or subsets
If a meta-analysis is conducted we will explore any heterogeneity between studies by pre-specified
groupings, which will include: study design, region, sex, outcome (fatal vs. non-fatal), type of psychiatric
condition, outcome measure, and NOS risk of bias.
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