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## S1 Appendix. Optimal Bayesian and Quasi-Bayesian Inference

Given a sample of $T$ observations, the Bayesian forecaster determines the posterior distribution over ( $n, p$ ), where $p$ is the most recent probability of drawing a green ring and $n$ is the number of periods for which the current regime has lasted so far. The agent's prior is that the probability of drawing a green ring is drawn from the distribution $f(p)$ and that there is a probability $\delta$ of a new independent draw of this probability from one trial to the next.

A model of the data is specified by a probability $p$ and a partition $\pi=\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ of the sample into successive regimes, where $n_{i}$ is the length of regime $i$. Let $\tau_{i}$ denote the last observation of regime $i$. The likelihood of the most recent $n$ observations if the regime has been $p$ over that time is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(n, p)=p^{k_{n}}(1-p)^{n-k_{n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{n}$ is the number of successes in the $n$ most recent observations. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(n) \equiv \int L(n, p) f(p) d p \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $L_{\tau}(n)$ denote the average likelihood computed using the $n$ observations ending with observation $\tau$. The ex-ante joint probability of the model $(\pi, p)$ being correct and the data being a particular observed sequence is given by

$$
\mu(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^{N(\pi)-1} L_{\tau_{i}}\left(n_{i}\right) f(p) L(n, p)
$$

where $N(\pi)$ is the number of regimes under partition $\pi$ and $\mu_{\pi}$ is the ex-ante probability of partition $\pi$ occurring in a sample of length $T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\pi)=(1-\delta)^{T-N(\pi)}(\delta)^{N(\pi)-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing over the set $\Pi(n)$ of all possible partitions for which the final regime is of length $n$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(n) \equiv \sum_{\pi \in \Pi(n)} \mu(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^{N(\pi)-1} L_{\tau_{i}}\left(n_{i}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The posterior probability of $(n, p)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(n, p)=\frac{Q(n) f(p) L(n, p)}{\Sigma_{n \geq 1} Q(n) L(n)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expected value of $p$ sums over all $n$ and integrates over $p$ using the measure $P(n, p)$. The Bayesian estimate for the probability of drawing a 1 on the next observation takes into account the fact that the regime might change on the next draw, which occurs with probability $\delta$, and in which case, the estimate of the probability is 0.5 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=(1-\delta) \int \sum_{n \geq 1} p P(n, p) d p+\frac{\delta}{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute the quasi-Bayesian forecasts, which potentially incorrectly weight new information when updating posterior beliefs, we replace the likelihood $L(n, p)$ with $[L(n, p)]^{q}$, for some exponent $q$. The Bayesian optimum is nested under $q=1$.

We implement the model recursively, by keeping track of $k_{t}(n)$, the number of green rings realized in the $n$ observations ending with observation $t$, and $Q_{t}(n)$, the probability that the regime ending with observation $t$ is of length $n$. We initialize the ring count with

$$
k_{t}(1)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \text { if red ring } \\
1 \text { if green ring }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and, for $1<n \leq t$, update it recursively according to

$$
k_{t}(n)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{t-1}(n-1) \text { if red ring } \\
k_{t-1}(n-1)+1 \text { if green ring }
\end{array}\right.
$$

$Q_{t}(n)$ is initialized at $Q_{1}(1)=1$ and then updated recursively according to

$$
Q_{t}(n)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta \sum_{n=1}^{t-1} Q_{t-1}(n) L(n) \text { for } t>1, n=1 \text { [new regime] } \\
(1-\delta) Q_{t-1}(n) \text { for } t>1,1<n \leq t \text { [no regime change] }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using the values of $\left\{k_{t}(n)\right\}$ we compute $L(n, p)$ and $L(n)$, which, together with the values of $\left\{Q_{t}(n)\right\}$ yield the posterior $P(n, p)$.

