Supplementary Information: Multiplexing asymmetric signals

Nimrod Sherf^{1,2*}, Maoz Shamir^{1,2,3}

1 Physics Department, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel2 Zlotowski Center for Neuroscience, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel

3 Department of Physiology and Cell Biology Faculty of Health Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel

* sherfnim@post.bgu.ac.il

Multiplexing asymmetric signals

To investigate the robustness of our results with respect to asymmetric signals we study the effect of different strengths for the intensity parameters of the different features D_1 and D_2 . For simplicity, we further assume that the intensity parameters, D_1 and D_2 , do not fluctuate but remain constant in time, and that $\gamma_{\eta} = A_{\eta}/D_{\eta} \equiv \gamma$ is independent of η .

In this case, the cross-correlation between the jth neuron in population 1 and the downstream neuron can be written as

$$\Gamma_{(1,j),\text{ post}}(\Delta t) = \frac{D_1}{N} \delta(\Delta t - d) w_{1,j} + D_1^2 \bar{w}_1 + \frac{\gamma^2 D_1^2}{2} \tilde{w}_1 \cos[\nu_1(\Delta t - d) + \phi_{1,j} - \psi_1] + D_1 D_2 \bar{w}_2,$$
(1)

The STDP dynamics in the continuum limit is given by

$$\frac{\dot{w}_1(\phi, t)}{\lambda} = F_{1,d}(\phi, t) + \bar{w}_1(t)F_{1,0}(\phi, t) + \\ \tilde{w}_1(t)F_{1,1}(\phi, t) + \bar{w}_2(t)F_{1,0}(\phi, t)\frac{D_2}{D_1},$$
(2)

where,

$$F_{1,d}(\phi,t) = w_1(\phi,t) \frac{D_1}{N} \left(f_+(w_1(\phi,t))K_+(d) - f_-(w_1(\phi,t))K_-(d) \right),$$
(3a)

$$F_{1,0}(\phi,t) = D_1^2 \bigg(\bar{K}_+ f_+(w_1(\phi,t)) - \bar{K}_- f_-(w_1(\phi,t)) \bigg), \tag{3b}$$

$$F_{1,1}(\phi,t) = \frac{A_1^2}{2} \bigg(\tilde{K}_+ f_+(w_1(\phi,t)) \cos[\phi - \Omega_+^1 - \nu_1 d - \psi_1] - \tilde{K}_- f_-(w_1(\phi,t)) \cos[\phi - \Omega_-^1 - \nu_1 d - \psi_1] \bigg).$$
(3c)

The homogeneous fixed point obeys

$$\frac{f_{-}(w^{*})}{f_{+}(w^{*})} = \frac{1+Z_{+}}{1+Z_{-}} \equiv \alpha_{c}, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$Z_{\pm} \equiv \frac{1}{(D_1 + D_2)N} K_{\pm}(d).$$
(5)

Performing standard stability analysis yields

$$\delta \dot{w}_{1,j} = -\hat{g}_{0,1} \delta w_{1,j} - \Delta f(w^*) (\delta \bar{w}_1 + \frac{D_2}{D_1} \delta \bar{w}_2) + \frac{A_1^2}{2D_1^2} (f_+(w^*) \tilde{K}_+(\nu_1) \cos[\phi_{1,j} - \Omega_+^1 - \nu_1 d - \psi_1] - f_-(w^*) \tilde{K}_-(\nu_1) \cos[\phi_{1,j} - \Omega_-^1 - \nu_1 d - \psi_1]) \delta \tilde{w}_1.$$
(6)

with

$$\hat{g}_{0,1} = \left(\frac{D_1 + D_2}{D_1}\right) \left(\alpha \mu (1 + Z_-) \frac{w^{*\mu}}{1 - w^*}\right) + \frac{K_+(d)}{D_1 N} f_+(w^*) - \frac{K_-(d)}{D_1 N} f_-(w^*)$$

$$= \left(\frac{D_1 + D_2}{D_1}\right) \left(g_{0,1} - \Delta f(w^*)\right),$$
(7)

where

$$g_0 \equiv \alpha \mu (1 + Z_-) \frac{w^{*\mu}}{1 - w^*}.$$
 (8)

As in the case of multiplexing symmetric signals, the stability matrix has four prominent eigenvalues: two are the rhythmic modes and two are in the subspace of uniform fluctuations. As in the symmetric case, the uniform modes of fluctuations, $\delta \bar{\boldsymbol{w}}^{\top} = (\delta \bar{w}_1, \ \delta \bar{w}_2)$, span an invariant subspace of the stability matrix, and we can study the restricted stability matrix, $\bar{\boldsymbol{M}}$.

For $D_1 \neq D_2$ the restricted stability matrix, \overline{M} , is not symmetric, and its eigenvalues are given by

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 = -\frac{1}{2D_2} \left(\frac{(D_1 + D_2)^2}{D_1} g_0 - \frac{D_1^2 + D_2^2}{D_1} \Delta f(w^*) \right) - \frac{1}{2D_2} \sqrt{\Delta}$$
(9a)

$$\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{2D_2} \left(\frac{(D_1 + D_2)^2}{D_1} g_0 - \frac{D_1^2 + D_2^2}{D_1} \Delta f(w^*) \right) + \frac{1}{2D_2} \sqrt{\Delta}.$$
 (9b)

where

$$\Delta = \hat{g}_{0,1}(D_2 - D_1)^2 + 4\Delta f(w^*)^2 D_2^2.$$
(10)

The corresponding eigenvetors are

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{1}^{\top} = \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta f(w^{*})D_{1}}(\hat{g}_{0}(D_{2}-D_{1})+\sqrt{\Delta}),1\right)$$
 (11a)

$$\boldsymbol{v}_{2}^{\top} = \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta f(w^{*})D_{1}}(\hat{g}_{0}(D_{2}-D_{1})-\sqrt{\Delta}),1\right)$$
 (11b)

The first eigenvalue, $\bar{\lambda}_1$, is always negative and exhibits similar behaviour as the uniform eigenvalue, $\bar{\lambda}_u$. The second eigenvalue, λ_2 , can change its sign and become unstable. Moreover, in the limit of $(D_1 - D_2) \rightarrow 0$: $\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_u$, $\boldsymbol{v}_1^{\top} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_u^{\top} = (1, 1)$, and $\bar{\lambda}_2 \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{\text{WTA}}$, $\boldsymbol{v}_2^{\top} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{v}_{\text{WTA}}^{\top} = (1, -1)$. Thus, λ_2 represents the competitive eigenvalue. Instability of λ_2 can generate a winner-take-all competition that will prevent multiplexing. Nevertheless, λ_2 is continuous in $(D_1 - D_2)$; thus, one expects a range of parameters in which λ_2 will be stable.

The rhythmic eigenvalues of the stability matrix are given by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\nu_{\eta}} = -\hat{g}_{0,1}(\delta_{1\eta} + \frac{D_1}{D_2}\delta_{2\eta}) + \frac{\gamma^2}{2}f_+(w^*)\tilde{Q}$$
(12)

$$\tilde{Q} = \tilde{K}_{+}(\nu_{\eta})\cos[\Omega_{+}^{\eta} + \nu_{\eta}d] - \alpha_{c}\tilde{K}_{-}(\nu_{\eta})\cos[\Omega_{-}^{\eta} + \nu_{\eta}d], \ (\eta = 1, 2).$$
(13)

Thus, the rhythmic eigenvalue behaves in a qualitatively similar manner to the symmetric case. Fig S2 presents simulation results in the case where $D_1 = 3D_2$. As can be seen, despite the asymmetry between the two signals, both are transmitted downstream.