

Table S6A. Selection pressures acting on Hp0519 : ML parameter estimates

Model Code	$\kappa$	Tree Length	ln L <sup>a</sup>	dN / dS <sup>b</sup>	Estimates of Parameters	LRT [d.f.] <sup>c</sup>	$\chi^2$	P	Positively Selected Sites ( $\omega > 1$ ); Reference Sequence 26695
<b>Site-Specific Models</b>									
M0 (one-ratio)	4.325	2.203	-4359.719	0.406	$\omega = 0.406$				None
M1 (neutral)	4.258	2.275	-4232.698	0.435	$p0 = 0.564, \omega_0 = 0;$ $p1 = 0.435, \omega_1 = 1$				Not Allowed
M2 (selection)	4.881	2.442	-4204.934	0.652	$p0 = 0.553, p1 = 0.385, (p2 = 0.061),$ $\omega_2 = 4.408$	M0 vs M2 [2] M1 vs M2 [2]	309.57 55.528	<0.000 <0.000	44*, 81R, 82L, 87S, 114F, 117E, 132L, 136R, 171A, 189S, 216*, 220S, 221T, 230W, 264T, 269D, 279A, 290L
M3 (discrete)	4.613	2.458	-4199.613	0.541	$p0 = 0.589, \omega_0 = 0.023; p1 = 0.336,$ $\omega_1 = 0.796;$ $p2 = 0.073,$ $\omega_2 = 3.515$	M0 vs M3 [4] M1 vs M3 [4] M2 vs M3 [2]	320.21 66.17 10.642	<0.000 <0.000 <0.004	44*, 81R, 82L, 87S, 114F, 117E, 132L, 136R, 171A, 189S, 216*, 220S, 221T, 230W, 264T, 269D, 279A, 290L
M7 ( $\beta$ )	3.967	2.321	-4225.387	0.351	$p = 0.093,$ $q = 0.174$				Not Allowed
M8 ( $\beta$ & $\omega$ )	4.619	2.461	-4199.561	0.542	$p0 = 0.932, p = 0.116, q = 0.251,$ $p2 = 0.067,$ $\omega_2 = 3.645$	M7 vs M8 [2]	51.652	<0.000	44*, 81R, 82L, 87S, 114F, 117E, 132L, 136R, 171A, 189S, 216*, 220S, 221T, 230W, 264T, 269D, 279A, 290L

a, lnL, Log-likelihood score; b, dN/dS, rate ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous changes averaged over all lineages and all sites; c, likelihood ratio test [degrees of freedom];

Table S6B. Episodic adaptive evolution in the Japanese HP0519 lineage

Model Code	$\kappa$	Tree Length	ln L <sup>a</sup>	Estimates of Parameters	LRT [d.f.] <sup>b</sup>	$\chi^2$	P	Positively Selected Sites Foreground lineage (Reference Sequence 26695)	Positively Selected Sites Background lineage (Reference Sequence 26695)
<b>A. Lineage-Specific Models [LSMs]</b>									
FR (Free-Ratio)	4.344	2.191	-4313.6	one $\omega$ for each of 51 lineages	vs M0 [50]	92.2	<0.000	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
M2J (Two-ratio)	4.336	2.191	-4348.9	$\omega_0$ (Background lineage) = 0.354; $\omega_1$ (Foreground lineage, Japan) = 1.581	vs M0 [1]	21.7	<0.000	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
<b>B. Lineage-Site Specific Models [LSSMs]</b>									
M2JM2	4.563	2.516	-4188.1	$p0 = 0.551, \omega_0 = 0;$ $p1 = 0.326, \omega_1 = 1;$ $(p2 + p3) = 0.121,$ $\omega_2 = 21.581$	vs M1 [2]	89.2	<0.000	<b>39D, 40D, 44*, 56H, 82L, 153K, 162F, 166S, 171A, 181E, 182F, 184S, 185M, 186L, 209G, 210I, 213K, 219K, 221T, 222Q, 223I, 224K, 225D, 227T</b>	Not Allowed
M2JM3	4.834	2.571	-4177.6	$p0 = 0.636, \omega_0 = 0.043; p1 = 0.242,$ $\omega_1 = 1.497;$ $(p2 + p3) = 0.121,$ $\omega_2 = 25.184$	vs M3 [2]	44.1	<0.000	<b>39D, 40D, 44*, 56H, 82L, 153K, 162F, 166S, 171A, 181E, 182F, 184S, 185M, 186L, 209G, 210I, 212V, 213K, 219K, 221T, 222Q, 223I, 224K, 225D, 227T, 231E</b>	12F, 14K, 15P, 16L, 21V, 25P, 33E, 38S, 54R, 79D, 81R, 87S, 102G, 107V, 114F, 122G, 131A, 132L, 136R, 140E, 144M, 157H, 161M, 173N, 176L, 177P, 178N, 180A, 183T, 190R, 199A, 206K, 220S, 226K, 229L, 230W, 241T, 267K, 269D, 270R, 279A

\*, Sites listed in bold, >95% probability, sites in Italics, > 75% < 95%, sites in plain letters > 50% < 75% probability; sites listed in blue and red are those that are restricted to Region I and Region II of Hp0519 as shown in Figure 3b.

a, lnL, log likelihood score; b, likelihood ratio test [degrees of freedom].

Table 6C: Selection pressures acting on Hp0519: ML parameter estimates assuming a 'Star' phylogeny

Model Code	ln L <sup>a</sup>	dN / dS <sup>b</sup>	Estimates of Parameters	LRT [d.f.] <sup>c</sup>	$\chi^2$	P	Positively Selected Sites ( $\omega > 1$ ); Reference Sequence 26695
<b>Site-Specific Models</b>							
M0 (one-ratio)	-6320.0002	0.4816	$\omega = 0.481$				None
M1 (nearly neutral)	-5769.2927	0.313	$p0 = 0.703, \omega_0 = 0.02;$ $p1 = 0.293, \omega_1 = 1$				Not Allowed
M2 (selection)	-5610.7626	0.718	$p0 = 0.661, \omega_0 = 0.02$ $p1 = 0.261, \omega_1 = 1$ $p2 = 0.061, \omega_2 = 5.74$	M0 vs M2 [2] M1 vs M2 [2]	1101.415 317.0602	<0.000 <0.000	10L, 44*, 82L, 87S, 114F, 117E, 132L, 136R, 171A, 176L, 177P, 182F, 220S, 221T, 222Q, 223I, 267K, 269D, 288E, 290L
M3 (discrete)	-5609.5942	0.766	$p0 = 0.672, \omega_0 = 0.023;$ $p1 = 0.256, \omega_1 = 1.1;$ $p2 = 0.073, \omega_2 = 6.34$	M0 vs M3 [4] M1 vs M3 [4]	1420.81 319.39	<0.000 <0.000	10L, 44*, 82L, 87S, 114F, 117E, 132L, 136R, 171A, 176L, 177P, 182F, 220S, 221T, 222Q, 223I, 267K, 269D, 288E, 290L + 23 more sites (because of two ws under positive selection)
M7 ( $\beta$ )	-5757.4825	0.2955	$p = 0.073, q = 0.174$				Not Allowed
M8 ( $\beta$ & $\omega$ )	-5608.7399	0.64	$p0 = 0.922,$ $p = 0.068, q = 0.201,$ $p1 = 0.077, \omega_2 = 5.262$	M7 vs M8 [2]	297.48	<0.000	10L, 44*, 82L, 87S, 114F, 117E, 132L, 136R, 171A, 176L, 177P, 182F, 220S, 221T, 222Q, 223I, 267K, 269D, 288E, 290L

See footnotes to Table 6a for explanation.