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S1 Text: BMI Time-Scales of Decoding and Adaptation

In a CLDA-based BMI architecture there are two important time-scales. First, there is the time-scale of spike processing, decoding, and control, i.e., how often are spike observations used to update the decoded trajectory and hence to generate a new decoded position. In a typical Wiener filter or a typical KF BMI, the inputs to the decoder are spike counts calculated in 50-100 ms bins. Hence the time-scale of spike processing and control is 50-100 ms. In contrast, PPF processes every spike event (whether 0 or 1) and hence controls the BMI at a 5 ms time-scale. Second, there is the time-scale of adaptation. Batch-based adaptation (e.g., [1, 2]) updates the decoder parameters on the time-scale of minutes. In contrast, spike-event-based adaptation developed here updates the decoder parameters at the 5 ms time-scale. We find that spike-event-based adaptation results in faster performance convergence compared with batch-based methods typically used as shown in Fig. 5 in main text.

Note also that the condition of optimality for the Wiener filter or KF is that the spike counts within a bin are approximately Gaussian-distributed. This would require the bin-width (used to count the spikes) to be chosen large enough so that the number of spikes within a bin is large enough to make the count approximately Gaussian-distributed according to the central limit theorem. Hence the bin-width in these decoders could be dependent on whether single-units or multi-units are being recorded in an experiment. For example, for multi-units with high firing rates, the bin-width can be chosen smaller than single-units. Therefore the Wiener filter or the KF constrain the time-scale of processing, control, and adaptation because of their model assumptions. In recent KF studies with multi-units, for example, 50-100 ms bins have been used as described above.
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