

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Luo X, Xu L, Han B, Wang J (2017) Funneled potential and flux landscapes dictate the stabilities of both the states and the flow: Fission yeast cell cycle. PLoS Comput Biol 13(9): e1005710. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005710) [pcbi.1005710](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005710)

Editor: Alexandre V Morozov, Rutgers University, UNITED STATES

Received: May 24, 2017

Accepted: August 1, 2017

Published: September 11, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Luo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: J.Wang would like to thank the support from National Science Foundation PHY-76066. The funder had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. L. Xu acknowledges support from National Natural Science Funds for Young Scholar (no.11304115) and support from China Postdoctoral Science Foundation

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Funneled potential and flux landscapes dictate the stabilities of both the states and the flow: Fission yeast cell cycle

Xiaosheng Luo1☯**, Liufang Xu2**☯**, Bo Han3**☯**, Jin Wang2,3,4***

1 Applied Science Department at Little Rock, University of Arkansas, Little Rock, Arkansas, United States of America, **2** Biophysics & Complex System Center and Theoretical Physics Center, Department of Physics, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China, **3** Department of Chemistry and Department of Physics and Department of Applied Mathematics, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, New York, United States of America, **4** State Key Laboratory of Electroanalytical Chemistry, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, Jilin, China

☯ These authors contributed equally to this work.

* jin.wang.1@stonybrook.edu

Abstract

Using fission yeast cell cycle as an example, we uncovered that the non-equilibrium network dynamics and global properties are determined by two essential features: the potential landscape and the flux landscape. These two landscapes can be quantified through the decomposition of the dynamics into the detailed balance preserving part and detailed balance breaking non-equilibrium part. While the funneled potential landscape is often crucial for the stability of the single attractor networks, we have uncovered that the funneled flux landscape is crucial for the emergence and maintenance of the stable limit cycle oscillation flow. This provides a new interpretation of the origin for the limit cycle oscillations: There are many cycles and loops existed flowing through the state space and forming the flux landscapes, each cycle with a probability flux going through the loop. The limit cycle emerges when a loop stands out and carries significantly more probability flux than other loops. We explore how robustness ratio (RR) as the gap or steepness versus averaged variations or roughness of the landscape, quantifying the degrees of the funneling of the underlying potential and flux landscapes. We state that these two landscapes complement each other with one crucial for stabilities of states on the cycle and the other crucial for the stability of the flow along the cycle. The flux is directly related to the speed of the cell cycle. This allows us to identify the key factors and structure elements of the networks in determining the stability, speed and robustness of the fission yeast cell cycle oscillations. We see that the non-equilibriumness characterized by the degree of detailed balance breaking from the energy pump quantified by the flux is the cause of the energy dissipation for initiating and sustaining the replications essential for the origin and evolution of life. Regulating the cell cycle speed is crucial for designing the prevention and curing strategy of cancer.

(no.2013M541282). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Author summary

We have uncovered that the non-equilibrium network dynamics and global properties are determined by two essential features: the potential landscape and the flux landscape. We have found that the funneled potential landscape is crucial for the stability of the states on the cell cycle, however, the stabilities of the oscillation states cannot guarantee the stable directional flows. We have uncovered that the funneled flux landscape is important for the emergence and maintenance of the stable limit cycle oscillation flow. This work will allow us to identify the key factors and structure elements of the networks in determining the stability, speed and robustness of the fission yeast cell cycle oscillations. We see that the non-equilibriumness characterized by the degree of detailed balance breaking from the energy pump quantified by the flux is the cause of the energy dissipation for initiating and sustaining the replications essential for the origin and evolution of life. Regulating the cell cycle speed is crucial for designing the prevention and curing strategy of cancer.

Introduction

The global stability and robustness are crucial for maintaining the function. They are also important for uncovering underlying mechanisms of the networks. [[1–7\]](#page-28-0) However, it is difficult to quantify them for dynamic systems and networks. This presents a challenge for the dynamical systems and the field of systems biology. [\[8–](#page-28-0)[23](#page-29-0)]

In equilibrium systems, the global nature of the system is characterized by the underlying equilibrium potential landscape *U* which is directly linked to the equilibrium probability through the Boltzmann distribution law $P \sim exp(-\beta U)$. The local dynamics is determined by the gradient of the equilibrium potential landscape. However, most dynamical systems do not typically have a gradient potential as in the equilibrium case. They are open systems usually not in isolations. Global natures of such systems are hard to address. In addition, for mesoscopic systems, the intrinsic fluctuations can also be significant. Under stochastic fluctuations, instead of following the dynamical trajectories which are stochastic and unpredictable, the evolution of the probabilistic distributions should be followed, which is inherently global as well as predictable due to its intrinsic linearity. The probabilistic evolution is governed by the master equations for discrete state space (more general) and Fokker-Planck equations for continuous state space.

It turns out the steady state distribution of the probability evolution in long time limit can give a global quantification of the dynamical systems [\[5](#page-28-0)[–23\]](#page-29-0). This defines a probability or weight landscape for characterizing the system states. On the other hand, the dynamics of the systems can be decomposed to gradient of the potential landscape related to the steady state probability distribution and a curl probability flux. The existence of a non-zero curl flux directly reflects the degree of the breakdown of the detailed balance. This quantifies the degree of the non-equilibrium. While this decomposition is shown explicitly in continuous space through Fokker-Planck equation description of the stochastic dynamics [\[10–](#page-28-0)[23](#page-29-0)], the corresponding decomposition and associated statistics of stochastic dynamics in discrete space from the master equation still needs further explorations [\[22–31](#page-29-0)].

In this work, we study the more general stochastic dynamics in discrete space of the nonequilibrium networks (Markov chains) governed by the probabilistic master equation. We found the network dynamics and global properties are determined by two features: the potential landscape and the probability flux landscape. While potential landscape quantifies the probabilities of different states forming hills and valleys, the probability flux landscape is

composed of many flux loops flowing in state space. Therefore, statistics of the flux loops becomes important. These two landscapes can be quantitatively constructed through the decomposition of the dynamics into the detailed balance part and non-detailed balance part.

We found that while funneled landscape is crucial for the stability of the single attractor networks and stability of oscillation states. The funneled flux landscape is crucial for maintaining the stable limit cycle oscillation flow. The stability and the robustness of the networks can be quantified through a dimensionless ratio of the gap or steepness versus the averaged variations or roughness of the landscape (which measures the degree of funnel, we termed as robustness ratio RR), and explored under the changes of the network topologies and stochastic fluctuations.

This flux landscape picture provides a new interpretation of the origin of the limit cycle oscillations. The global oscillation only emerges when one specific loop stands out and carries much more probability flux, and therefore becomes more probable than the rest of the others.

We specifically studied the fission yeast cell cycle as an example to illustrate the idea. We found the flux landscape of the fission yeast cell cycle oscillations is funneled, which guarantees its stability and the robustness of the oscillation flows. The global stability is quantified by the robustness ratio RR of the funneled flux landscape and the robustness is quantified by RR against the changes in topology of the network (wirings) and stochastic fluctuations. The flux is directly related to the speed of the cell cycle. The landscape analysis here allows us to identify the key factors and structure elements of the networks in determining the global stability, speed, and robustness of the fission yeast cell cycle oscillations. We see that the non-equilibriumness characterized by the degree of detailed balance breaking from the energy pump quantified by the flux is the cause of the energy dissipation for initiating and sustaining the replications essential for the origin and evolution of life. The cell cycle speed is a hallmark of cancer. Regulating the cell cycle speed thus provides a possible strategy for preventing and curing strategy against cancer.

Model and methodology

The stochastic boolean model of fission yeast cell cycle

We follow a boolean network model mainly built for fission yeast in [\[32](#page-29-0)]. As illustrated in the [Table](#page-3-0) 1, the cell cycle of fission yeast is divided into several phases: START phase \rightarrow G1 phase \rightarrow S phase \rightarrow G2 phase \rightarrow M phase \rightarrow G1 phase. The network wiring diagram shown in [Fig](#page-4-0) 1 consists of one check point and 9 gene nodes, that is **CS (Cell Size), SK, Cdc2/Cdc13, Ste9, Rum1, Slp1, Cdc2/Cdc13, Wee1/Mik1, Cdc25**, and **PP**. The check point of this cell cycle network is named as Cell Size (CS) as it mainly works as an indicator of mass of the cell [\[32](#page-29-0), [33](#page-29-0)]. In the global state space, there are 2^{10} states. Each state is the combination of the "on" ($s_i = 1$) and "off" $(s_i = 0)$ states, which can be represented by a state vector $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_{10}\}\$. With this representation, it can form a state space of a complex gene regulation interaction networks [\[34](#page-29-0)]. In general, one can use the boolean dynamics model to explore the coarse grained dynamics with the information of the wiring topology of the networks [\[33\]](#page-29-0), and one can find that there are robust biological pathway and a global robust G1 state inside the state space.

However, from the view of cell cycle, the stationary state G1 which converges the biological pathway is a temporal fixed point. To perform the function of cell cycle, one needs a positive feedback to push the G1 state to pass through the checkpoint of cell size to activate the node **SK**, and then go through the dynamic oscillatory trajectory, and finally get back to the G1 state. Therefore we add a kinetic excitation once the global G1 state is reached. For biological meaning, if there is no nutrition supply constantly pumping into the system, the cell cycle will stay in the stationary G1 state (so-called G0 state). While given enough nutrition supply, the

[Table](#page-2-0) 1. Fission yeast cell cycle temporal evolution steps.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005710.t001>

cell staying at G1 gains a large transition probability to reach the cell size checkpoint and then activate the node **SK** to drive the cycle. Such a pumping or driving force has been explicitly discussed in a model system for limit cycle oscillation as the chemical energy supply [\[35\]](#page-29-0). There, the chemical energy supply, which may be generated from ATP or GTP, acted as a chemical pump or battery for initiating and maintaining the cycle. In dynamics, the chemical energy supply is in terms of flux driving the cycle flow.

This cycling conception can be emerged well in a stochastic Boolean network model. We have developed an approach to probabilistically describe the network dynamics as follow:

Firstly, we calculate transition probabilities $T(s_i(t')|S(t))$ of gene node *i* jumping from state $s_i(t)$ to state $s_i(t')$, where *t* and t' are two close neighborhood time moments. Based on the Markovian process theory, all the cases of transition probability $T(s_i(t')|S(t))$ are shown in the black box in [Table](#page-5-0) 2. [\[24,](#page-29-0) [28,](#page-29-0) [36–40\]](#page-29-0).

In <u>[Table](#page-5-0) 2,</u> $I = \sum_{j=1}^{10} a_{ij} s_j(t)$ is defined as the total input of the *ith* gene node at time *t*, which is the summation of each of the interaction strength *aij* from *jth* gene node to *ith* gene node.

Just considering the simplifications of the interactions between two nodes (activated (+1) or repressed (-1)), one can obtain that: when the total input *I >* 0, gene node *i* has high probability to stay at state $s_i(t') = 1$; when the total input $I < 0$, gene node *i* tends to be repressed at state $s_i(t') = 0$. It is similar with the behavior of switching function, that is why we define the transition probability as $T(s_i(t')|S(t)) = 1/2 \pm 1/2 \tanh(\mu I)$. In this way, we can clearly see that the transition probability is mainly determined by the input. While in the case of *I* = 0, the *ith* gene node mainly stays at present state, just with a little probability of *c* to change the state due to the background production and self-degradation. There is only one exception for the case $I = 0$, that is when the cell cycle stays at the G1/G0 state, where we add a cycling activation strength of *γ* to activate the check point of **CS**, which corresponds to the condition with enough chemical supply as mentioned above.

The biological meaning of the three parameters *μ*, *c* and *γ* in [Table](#page-5-0) 2 can be illustrated as follows: *μ* can be considered as a mean transition strength from the input to output of a gene or protein node, which is also related to the inverse of the fluctuation or noise strength.

c is a parameter to quantify the effect of perturbation from the background production or degradation with not input $(I = 0)$. For example, gene node *i* without any input interactions has a probability of *c* to change the present state, with $0 \rightarrow 1$ due to the background production, while $1 \rightarrow 0$ due to the background self-degradation (direct degradation and growth dilution).

[Fig](#page-2-0) 1. The cell cycle regulatory network of fission yeast with 10 gene nodes. The solid arrow (!) represents positive regulations between gene nodes. The inhibition sign (...)represents negative regulations between gene nodes.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005710.g001>

[Table](#page-3-0) 2. Transition probability T(si(t 0 **)|S(t)) of gene node i.**

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005710.t002>

γ represents the probability of the positive feedback or stimulation from the stationary G1 state to the check point of cell size, that is from G1 phase to START phase. The cell cycle stays at G1/G0 at present implies the fact that there is no input interaction $(I = 0)$ for all the node (so-called global stable steady state). When the nutrition supply is enough, the chemical energy pump will push the stationary G1/G0 state to activate the check point node $CS(i = 1)$ and reach the state of START phase, with a probability of γ (with a probability of $(1 - \gamma)$ to stay at G0).

Secondly, we figure out that the transition probability between two neighbor states in the Markov time series chain can be written as the product of each node transition [\[23,](#page-29-0) [27\]](#page-29-0)

$$
T(S(t') = \{s_1(t'), s_2(t'), ..., s_{10}(t')\} | S(t)) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} T(s_i(t') | S(t)),
$$
\n(1)

Finally, we obtain the evolution equation to guide the probabilistic dynamics, which is so called master equation [\[23,](#page-29-0) [41,](#page-29-0) [42\]](#page-29-0):

$$
\frac{dP_i}{dt} = -\sum_j T_{ij}P_i + \sum_j T_{ji}P_j,\tag{2}
$$

where P_i represents the probability of state *i*, and the transition probability T_{ii} represents the transition probability from state *i* to state *j*. Here, we use T_{ij} as a discrete transition probability to fit the master equation, whose meaning is equal to the continuous transition probability expression of $T(S(t')|S(t))$. The physical meaning of the master equation is the conservation law of probability: the local change of the probability of a particular state *i* in time is equal to the probability flow (flux) from the other states to this state *i* given by $\Sigma_i T_{ii} P_j$ subtracting the probability flow (flux) from the state *i* to other states $\Sigma_i T_{ii} P_i$. By solving the $2^{10} = 1024$ master equations numerically, we obtain both the time-dependent evolution and the steady-state probability of each state in the global state space.

Decompositions of boolean dynamics and probability flux loops

For steady state, we set the left term of the master <u>eq</u> (2) to zero, that is $\frac{dP_i}{dt} = 0$, then we obtain the numerical steady state solution P_i^{ss} , which is the long time limit. Given the steady solution, we can define the steady state flux between state *i* and *j* as: $F_{ij}^{s s} = -T_{ij}P_i^{s s} + T_{ji}P_j^{s s}$, If for any *i*, *j* pair, F_{ij}^{ss} = 0, this Markov chain is detail-balance preserved, and the steady state of the system becomes the equilibrium state (without net local flux), since $dP_i/dt = \sum_j F_{ij}^{ss} = 0$. However, in general the steady state probability can be obtained, but it does not have to satisfy the detailed balance condition($F_{ij}^{ss} \neq 0$). In other words, the net flux does not have to be zero. The system is then in non-equilibrium steady state. Although the steady-state distribution is fixed and does not change in time, there can be an internal probability flow among states.

In order to study the non-equilibrium steady states and characterize the global properties, one can separate the dynamical process into two parts, a detailed balance part and a pure irreversible non-detailed balance flux part by decomposing the transition probability matrix $\mathcal M$ [\[29\]](#page-29-0). The master equation can be rewritten as $dP/dt = \mathcal{M}^T P$, where *P* is the vector of probability of all the discrete states, M is the transition probability matrix (or rate matrix) with *M*_{ij} = *T*_{ij}, *i* ≠ *j* and *M*_{ii} = (−1)∑_{*j*} *T*_{ij}. We define a matrix *C* such that the *i*th row and *j*th column of it is given as $C_{ij} = max\{T_{ij}P_i^{ss} - T_{ji}P_j^{ss}, 0\}/P_i^{ss}, i \neq j$ and $C_{ii} = (-1)\Sigma_j C_{ij}$, and matrix D whose *i*th row and *j*th column is given as $D_{ij} = min\{T_{ij}P_i^{ss}, T_{ji}P_j^{ss}\}/P_i^{ss}, i \neq j$ and $D_{ii} = (-1)\Sigma_j D_{ij}$. It follows that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^T P^{ss} = 0$. Since $\mathcal{M}^T P^{ss} = (\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{D})^T P^{ss} = 0$, $\mathcal{C}^T P^{ss} = 0$. By separating the transition probability matrix this way, two Markov processes are obtained [\[29\]](#page-29-0). The probability transition matrix (or rate matrix) M for characterizing the dynamics can be decomposed into two terms: C and D . Both C and D have the same steady-state(stationary) probability distribution, and one of the processes D satisfies detailed balance $(D_{ij} P_i^{ss} = D_{ji} P_j^{ss})$, while the other C is non-detailed balanced and irreversible (if $C_{ij} P_i^{ss} > 0$, $C_{ji} P_j^{ss} = 0$). In this way, the dynamics is decomposed to detailed balance preserving part and detailed balanced breaking part.

The non-equilibrium irreversible part can be termed as the circulation or flux part, since it can be further decomposed into flux circles or loops with a flux value on each cycle [[29](#page-29-0)]. The prove of the circulation also provides a way to obtain all the circles and their corresponding flux values for the dynamic part. By definition of flux, we have $F_{ij} = -C_{ij}P_i^{ss} + C_{ji}P_j^{ss} = -F_{ji}$. Now define $J_{ij} = C_{ij}P_i^{ss}$, $i \neq j$; $J_{ii} = 0$, we have $\Sigma_i J_{ij} = \Sigma_i J_{ji}$. Since $J_{ii} = 0$, suppose $J_{k_0k_1} > 0$, from the summation equation just mentioned, we can find a $k_2 \neq k_0$, k_1 such that $J_{k_1k_2} > 0$. We can keep on doing this, until a repeat is found: $k_n \in \{k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{n-2}\}$. Suppose $k_n = k_{n_0}$, let $i_1 = k_{n_0}, i_2 = k_{n_0+1}, \ldots, i_{n_1} = k_{n-1}$ and $i_{n_1+1} = i_1$, we now construct a cycle or closed loop with i_1, \ldots, i_{n_1} . Let $r_1 = min_{k=1,2,...,n_1} \{J_{i_k i_{k+1}}\}$, define r_1 as the flux value of this cycle. Then subtract their flux value from the matrix *J*, thus

$$
J_{ij}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} J_{ij} - r_1, i \in \{i_1, ..., i_{n_1}\}, \\ J_{ij}, \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

If $J^{(1)}\neq 0,$ repeat what we did above to find another cycle as well as its flux value, then subtract those fluxes from $J^{(1)}$ to get $J^{(2)}$. Since the number of non-zero elements in $J^{(i)}$ is at least one less than that in $J^{(i-1)}$, there exits an integer *N* such that $J^{(N+1)} = 0$ (all the elements of the matrix *J* are zero). Therefore for the non-detailed balanced part of the dynamics, the flux can be decomposed to finite number of circles or closed loops, each with a flux value, $J = \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} J^{(i)}$ [\[29\]](#page-29-0). Therefore, the decomposition and associated flux statistics can be directly carried out at the master equation level.

On the other hand, one can also find another way for decomposition and associated statistics of the fluxes from the stochastic boolean trajectories. One can follow the trajectory from one state $S(t)$ at moment t to another state $S(t')$ at the next moment t' . If one finds the same state at different moment, that is $S(t'') = S(t)$, then all the states between these two same states can be defined as one loop. Then one should remove this loop from the trajectory and repeat the steps above to obtain all the loops. By making statistical analysis on all the flux loops, one can calculate the flux landscape using the formula below, $U_{flux} = -\ln P_{flux}$, where $P_{\text{flux}} = Flux_{\text{loop}} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{N_{\text{f}(i)}}{N_{\text{f}}}.$ [[26–29,](#page-29-0) [43\]](#page-30-0)

Therefore we have two quantitative features to characterize the system, one is the steady state probability and the other is the non-zero steady state probability flux which can be further decomposed into loops. The steady state probability obeys the evolution equation of the transition probability matrix (or rate matrix) at long time limit characterizing only the detailed balance part. The detailed balance condition allows one to identify the path independent probability measures [[30](#page-29-0)]. This naturally leads to the potential. We can see how both potential and flux landscape influence the dynamics and stability of the system through an example on fission yeast cell cycle.

Entropy production rate and dissipation in the fission yeast boolean network

As known, when an open system is under long time evolution, it can reach non-equilibrium steady state (NESS) [[6](#page-28-0), [7,](#page-28-0) <u>[19](#page-29-0)–[23](#page-29-0), [31](#page-29-0), [44](#page-30-0)</u>]. The local steady state flux $F_{ij}^{s s} = -T_{ij}P_i^{s s} + T_{ji}P_j^{s s}$ is not necessarily equal to zero (no detailed balance). In this condition we can define a generalized force referring to the generalized chemical potential (from *j* to *i*) $A_{ji} = ln(\frac{T_j_i P_j}{T_{ii}P_j})$ $\frac{T_{ji}P_j}{T_{ij}P_i}$) [[8](#page-28-0), [10](#page-28-0), [19–23,](#page-29-0) [31,](#page-29-0) [44](#page-30-0)]. There is a mapping between the cellular networks and electric circuits. The flux *Fij* corresponds to current **I** and chemical potential *Aij* corresponds to voltage **V**. The non-equilibrium cell network dissipates energy just as the electric circuits.

In the steady state, the heat loss rate is related to the entropy production rate. The entropy production or dissipation characterizes "time irreversibility" and provides a lower bound for the actual heat loss in Boolean network $[8, 10, 19-23, 44]$ $[8, 10, 19-23, 44]$ $[8, 10, 19-23, 44]$ $[8, 10, 19-23, 44]$ $[8, 10, 19-23, 44]$. The total entropy change is equal to the part from the system or source plus the part from the bath or sink (dissipation). Since in steady state the entropy change of the system is equal to zero, thus the total entropy change (source) is equal to the entropy change of the sink (dissipation). The total entropy change (source) = $\sum F_{ii} A_{ii}$ is the entropy production and the sink term is dissipation. Therefore in steady state, knowing the entropy production, we know the dissipation quantitatively. The entropy *S* from the system part is defined as $S = -\sum_i P_i ln P_i$ and entropy production rate $\frac{dS_{tot}}{dt}$ is $rac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}$

given by: $\frac{dS_{tot}}{dt} = \sum F_{ji} A_{ji} = \sum_{ij} T_{ji} P_j ln \left(\frac{T_{ji} P_j}{T_{ij} P_i} \right)$.

Entropy production is correlated with flux. When the steady state flux is zero, the entropy production or dissipation at steady state is zero. When the flux increases, the entropy production typically increases. Therefore, the entropy production or dissipation can also serve as a quantitative measure of how far away the system is from the equilibrium, or in other words, the degree of the detailed balance breaking.

Results and discussions

Potential landscape of fission yeast cell cycle

Quantifying the potential landscape. To explore the global quantification of dynamical systems of this fission yeast networks, we define the underlying potential landscape *U* from the steady state probability $U = -ln(P^{ss})$. To visualize the potential landscape *U* over the 2¹⁰ states space, we firstly draw the landscape spectrum, which is shown in [Fig](#page-8-0) 2. Then we define the Robustness Ratio (RR) as the ratio of potential energy gap between the lowest potential energy value and the average potential energy, with the average variations measured by the standard deviation of potentials. That is $RR = \delta U/\Delta U$ where $\delta U = |U_m - \langle U \rangle|$ and $\Delta U = \sqrt{\langle U^2 \rangle - \langle U \rangle^2}$. The gap represents the separation between the lowest potential state with the rest of the decoys. This definition of RR holds for a single attractor. When we deal with cycle oscillations as fission yeast, we are interested in the stability of not only one

state but the whole oscillating cycle states. Therefore we extend our definition to include all the states (10 states in our example of fission yeast cell cycle) on the oscillation path as the "native" states. So, for the cell cycle, the gap quantifies the bias or slope of the underlying landscape towards the native (potentials of all the states on the oscillation paths basin of attraction) while the average variations measure the fluctuations or roughness of the underlying landscape. In this way, we can quantify the topography of underlying potential landscape.

Fig 2 was shown with the parameters $\mu = 5$, $c = 0.001$ and $\gamma = 60\%$ for the fission yeast cell cycle model([Table](#page-5-0) 2). In the potential landscape spectrum there are 10 states representing the biological cell cycle phases (G1, S, G2, M) which are marked as green lines. We notice that they all settle at the bottom. This means the 10 states are sitting on the most stable cell cycle pathway. We then calculate *RR* = 2.29813. *RR* is significantly larger than 1, which indicates the 10 states along the biological cell cycle is separated from the others. So we state that this can be the reason that the cell cycle states are stable since the states of the biological path are all at low potentials and high probabilities sufficiently separated from others.

Furthermore, we draw all those states (2^{10} = 1024) on a 2-dimensional surface by minimizing the distances between two states which have the strongest connections, and using the underlying potential energy to be the vertical axis. The color represents the potential level of each state on both surface and the bottom contour map. We obtain [Fig](#page-9-0) 3, in which we notice that the potential landscape shows a distinct topology with a Mexican hat like shape. This gives us a visualized 3D picture for the potential landscape. The valleys of this landscape correspond to exactly the biological cell cycle with low potentials more easily seen at the bottom contour map.

In our earlier study of budding yeast system without explicitly putting in the excitations from G1 ground state to the start signal [[22](#page-29-0), [23](#page-29-0)], we see quite different dynamics and landscape. There the potential landscape has a funneled shape. The system has one dominant basin of attraction pointing towards G1. The model can explain the dynamical process of yeast cell

[Fig](#page-8-0) 3. Three dimensional potential landscape and two dimensional contour in projected 2 dimensional state space. The vertical axis and color represent the potential level of each state in the three dimension and the contour map laying on the bottom respectively. The low potential valley of the potential is a cycle or closed ring, which is exactly the biological cycle path with low potential level, and this can also be seen more clearly on the contour map.

cycle once the start signal kicks off. Since the end state is always the G1 state (bottom of the funnel or basin of attraction), it does not contain the cycle part. The excitation from G1 state here giving the cycle is triggered by the nutrition supply or energy pump [[17](#page-29-0)–[19](#page-29-0), [35](#page-29-0)].

With the excitation explicitly implemented in the fission yeast cell cycle, we can see in Fig 3 the potential landscape changes the shape from single attractor funnel to Mexican hat shape which can guarantee the stabilities of the oscillation states. If we see Mexican hat landscape a

global quantification of a closed loop line attractor, an effective "funneled" potential landscape towards the oscillation path emerges. The degree of the funneless is quantified by RR. The "funneled" potential landscape towards the oscillation guarantees the global stabilities of the states on oscillation paths. However, this potential landscape can not guarantee the stable directional flows for the oscillations. The state transitions or switching along the biological path from the energy pump provided by the nutrition supply directs the oscillation cycle of the fission yeast cycle (G1 \rightarrow S \rightarrow G2 \rightarrow M \rightarrow G1). This is globally manifested by the underlying flux landscape, as we will show later in this study.

Robustness of potential landscape against changes in sharpness of response, self degradation, and stimulations. To further explore the robustness of the networks with internal and external perturbations, we calculate the Robustness Ratio (RR), probability of the cell cycle path, entropy production against the variations of different parameters.

In Fig $4(a)$, we have shown the stationary probabilities for both G1 state and cell cycle path by fixing *c* = 0.001, *γ* = 60% and changing *μ*. As we mentioned above, the parameter *μ* refers to the mean transition rate of gene or protein switching and can be considered as the inverse of

Fig 4. Influence on the system robustness from the variation of the sharpness of the response or the inverse noise level μ , by fixing $c = 0.001$ **and** *γ* **= 60%.** (a) Steady-state probability of stationary G1 (P_{G1}) and "native" cycle (P_{Circle}) versus *μ*. (b) Robustness Ratio (RR) versus *μ*. (c) Entropy production rate (dS/dt) versus μ. (d) Entropy production rate (dS/dt) versus Robustness Ratio.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005710.g004>

the noise strength or environment temperature [\[23,](#page-29-0) [27\]](#page-29-0). So as the transition rate μ increases or the fluctuation decreases, the whole biological cell cycle, as well as the G1 state, becomes more stable monotonously. When comparing the biological cell cycle with the G1 phase by changing μ , the biological cell cycle is more statable than the G1 phase with higher probabilities.

The changing of RR while switching the parameter μ is shown in Fig [4\(b\).](#page-10-0) As we see at first the Robustness Ratio increases when *μ* increases or the fluctuation decreases. This means a large transition from input to output or smaller fluctuations makes a more robust network. Notice that the RR goes down to reach a certain value when *μ* is large enough. This result is similar to the behavior shown in the budding yeast cell cycle without the excitation from G1 ground state [\[23\]](#page-29-0). We have stated that there are many traps beyond the G1 state and circle path in the state space, which have low potentials and high weights at "low temperatures" (small fluctuations or high transition rate μ). The presence of these traps leads the potential landscape spectrum less separated from the lowest potential state, and therefore less RR. One needs to increase the "temperature" with more fluctuations or decrease transition (or switching) μ (at high μ) in order to "kick" the system out of the traps and therefore increase RR. That is why there is a peak of RR against the variation of the parameter μ .

In Fig $4(c)$ and $4(d)$, we plotted the entropy production rate or the dissipation cost of the network, $\frac{dS}{dt}$, against μ and RR. We can see that the sharper the switching is, and therefore the more stable the oscillation is, the more dissipation cost is. The stable oscillation requires more energy consumptions to maintain it. The entropy production rate is the accumulated effects from the combination of both landscape and flux. Therefore the entropy production rate is in general a nonlinear function of these accumulated effects of landscape and flux. In addition, we see that traps consumes more energy and this leads to less stability of oscillation states through RR.

Fig $5(a)$ shows the steady state probability of the biological cell cycle versus the variation of the perturbation parameter *c* (fixing $\mu = 5$, $\gamma = 60\%$). We notice that large (small) *c* indicates large (small) perturbation, and then the probability of biological cell cycle is decreasing with respect to *c*. It indicates that less perturbation gives more stable biological cell cycle, and therefore a more robust network which is shown in Fig $5(b)$. Fig $5(c)$ and $5(d)$ show the energy dissipation decreases as the perturbation effect increases and as the RR decreases, which shows that the robust system needs more energy consumption against the larger perturbation effect.

γ can be considered as the jumping probability which represents that the state of the fission yeast receives a start signal to begin from the G1 phase. We see in Fig $6(a)$ that the weights or occupational probabilities of the states on the oscillation path do not change significantly with respect to cycling activation strength *γ*. Although *γ* does not change the stabilities of these oscillating states much, it does lead to the directed flow and therefore the stable oscillations as seen in the later flux landscape discussions. Consequently, stimulations through the cycling activation strength *γ* does not change significantly the shape of the potential landscape as shown in Fig $6(b)$. Fig $6(c)$ and $6(d)$ show that the recycling probability of the cell cycle costs more energy and maintaining a more stable oscillating system requires more energy consumption.

Fig $7(a)$ and $7(b)$ show the steady state probability of G1 state and the steady state probability of the biological cell cycle path under various stimulation levels (*γ*) at different switching or fluctuations *μ*. It has been studied above that *μ* should characterize the inverse noise strength and increase of which enhances the stability of both the G1 phase and the biological cell cycle path. When considering the changes of jumping probability *γ*, one can see in Fig 7(a) and [7\(b\)](#page-14-0) that the larger the stimulation probability from G1 phase is,the less stable G1 state becomes. The weights or the occupation probabilities of the states on the oscillation paths are also

[Fig](#page-11-0) 5. Influence on the system robustness from the variation of the perturbation parameter c, by fixing μ = 5, γ = 60%. (a) Steady-state probability of "native" cycle (P_{Circle}) versus c. (b) Robustness Ratio (RR) versus c. (c) Entropy production rate (dS/dt) versus c. (d) Entropy production rate (dS/dt) versus Robustness Ratio.

decreased slightly with respect to the cycling activation strength *γ*, but not significantly compared to that of G1. This means that although the individual states or phases of the cell cycle such as G1 becomes less stable, the stimulation does not change significantly the overall occupations of the states on the oscillation paths and therefore the associated stability.

Power spectrum under different stimulation and sharpness of responses. To illustrate the oscillation cycle, one can introduce the correlation functions of the dynamical observables. For the fission yeast cell cycle, the observables are the expressions of individual genes. This gives the measure on the dynamical response and fluctuations of the systems. The Fourier transform of the autocorrelation function in time of gene expression variables gives the power spectrum. The power spectrum analysis is widely used in the periodical signal transduction mixed with noise interference [\[45\]](#page-30-0). The gene expression time series of fission yeast cell cycle can be viewed as the noisy signals. We try to calculate the power spectrum of the fission yeast cell cycle to find how oscillation is influenced by different parameters, and then study their relationship with the flux landscape in the global state space.

The results from Fig $8(a)$ to $8(f)$ show that a main peak of the power spectrum emerges and becomes more prominent with the cycling activation strength γ being larger (0 < γ < 0.4) when fixing $c = 0.001$, $\mu = 5$. This peak corresponds to exactly the speed or frequency of the cell cycle oscillation path. This shows that the intrinsic frequency of the oscillations from the loop flux (the loop flux is proportional to the speed and inversely related to the period of oscillations) coincides with the external response frequency at power spectrum peak. In other words, this results the resonance from the system's external response by power spectrum to reflect the intrinsic frequency of the cell cycle oscillations. When *γ* becomes larger than 0.4, we also find that the power spectrum will have even more significate peaks. As one peak can map

into one periodical oscillation, we can see that with γ becoming higher, there are more and more smaller peaks representing smaller loops emerging, and the biological cell cycle path tends towards the most prominent loop, which can be considered as the dominant loop compared with others.

While by setting $c = 0.001$, $\gamma = 60\%$ with changing μ , Fig $9(a)$ to $9(h)$ give us a clear view that the increasing μ leads to one prominent peak and several other smaller peaks, which again shows that the fission yeast cell cycle contains one main dominant periodical oscillation, and many other much smaller cycles. At even larger stimulations chaos might emerge.

Funneled flux landscape leads to robust limit cycle oscillations

Quantifying the flux landscape. To give a whole picture of the probabilistic flux, we further calculate the flux landscape of this fission yeast cell cycle, and analyze how the flux landscape is influenced by different parameter variations, such as μ , *c* and γ . By comparing the characteristics of landscape of flux with that of the landscape of potential, we expect to gain unique insights on the non-equilibrium biological cell cycle.

After the decomposition of the driving force into the probability landscape and probability flux, we further decompose the probability flux into the cycle loops. This forms the flux landscape with different loops. The results are shown in [Fig](#page-17-0) 10 (we did not show all the loops for the purpose of clear view on the figure). The thickness of the arrows represents the magnitude of the probability fluxes and the node size represents the steady state probability of that state. We can see that the blue loop can be considered as a dominant loop of the biological cell cycle path with dominant flux flowing along the 10 states of the cell cycle. There are also other secondary loops which can map into those secondary peaks in Figs $8(f)$ or $9(c)$. We notice that there is a small number of states clustered together but separated from the major state cluster. These are possible traps of states.

To further quantifying the flux landscape, we show that the flux landscape spectrum in [Fig](#page-18-0) [11.](#page-18-0) We can see that there is a clear separation seen from the large gap between the flux from "native" cycle and the rest of the others under the chosen parameter set. This means the cell cycle loop stands out from the sea of many loops and becomes dominant. As a result, the flux

[Fig](#page-13-0) 8. Power spectrum of the fission yeast cell cycle by changing the jumping probability $y = \{1\%, 11\%, 31\%, 51\%, 71\%, 99\%\}$ for (a)-(f), while **fixing** $c = 0.001, \mu = 5$.

landscape is funneled towards the dominant loop state. This provides a physical picture for the origin of the limit cycle.

Robustness of flux landscapes against changes in sharpness of the response, self degradations and stimulations. We plot the dominant flux flowing along the biological cell cycle,

[Fig](#page-14-0) 9. Power spectrum of the fission yeast cell cycle by changing μ = {0.1, 0.5, 0.9, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 5.0, 9.0} for (a)-(h), with **c = 0.001,** *γ* **= 60%.**

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005710.g009>

[Fig](#page-14-0) 10. The probability flux flowing in the 2¹⁰ states space of the fission yeast cell cycle. The thickness of the arrows is proportional to the magnitude of the probability fluxes and the node size is related to the steady state probability of the state. The colored flux loops represent several typical flux loops among all, in which the largest blue loop with 10 nodes stands out and becomes the "native" biological cycle. The simulations were performed with $c = 0.001$, $\mu = 5$, $\gamma = 60\%$.

by varying response *μ* and fixing parameters $c = 0.001$ and $\gamma = 60\%$ in Fig [12\(a\)](#page-19-0). We can see that the increasing μ can directly lead to the increase of the flux. Reminding the discussions above on the robustness of potential landscape (Fig $4(a)$), we can state that high probability of switching between states or less environment fluctuations will lead to higher flux of the cell cycle.

We explore the robustness RR of the flux landscape in Fig $12(b)$ and $12(c)$ to show how it relates to μ and entropy production rate dS/dt . As we have mentioned, increasing μ can lead to higher probability of the G1 ground state, as well as the cycle flux. Here we see the robustness RR also increases to certain level. The excessively large *μ* can lead to higher probabilities of other states as traps near G1. This results to the decrease of RR of both potential landscape and flux landscape. Larger fluctuations (smaller $μ$) from the large $μ$ side will lead to the "escape" from the traps and therefore larger RR as shown in Fig $12(b)$. Fig $12(c)$ indicates that more robust oscillating cell cycle often costs more energy to maintain, while traps can consume even more energies. Comparing with the potential landscape shown in Fig $4(b)$ and $4(d)$, we obtain Fig [12\(d\)](#page-19-0), which shows the consistency of the robustness measure of the potential landscape using states on cell cycle as "native" states and the robustness measure of the flux landscape.

We also calculate how flux landscape is influenced by the perturbation parameter *c* (by fixing $\mu = 5$, $\gamma = 60\%$). From Fig [13\(a\)–13\(c\),](#page-20-0) when the perturbation parameter *c* decreases, the cycle flux increases and the funneled flux landscape becomes more robust, which costs more

[Fig](#page-14-0) 11. Probability flux spectrum of all the loops, where μ = 5, c = 0.001 and γ = 60%. The flux of the loop which is formed by the 10 states of the biological pathway and thus represents the "native" cycle is drawn in green line. It is the lowest one in negative logarithm compared to other cycle loops.

energy to maintain. Fig [13\(d\)](#page-20-0) also shows that the robustness of the flux landscape is monotonic related to the robustness of the potential landscape upon varying of *c*.

We study the relationship between the stimulation or activation strength from the G1 state to START state and the flux landscape. The flux originates from the the nutrition supply which provides the energy pump (for example, thorough the release of the ATP production). We show in Fig [14\(a\)–14\(d\)](#page-21-0) how the cycling activation strength γ influences the shape or topography of the underlying flux landscape. Before the pumping, the biological cell cycle is an oneway stable pathway to G1 [\[23,](#page-29-0) [33\]](#page-29-0). At this stage, even the occupations of the states of the oscillation path including G1 are higher with high RR for potential landscape, the robust directional flow along the cycle has not been formed yet. As a result, no oscillations are emerged. The increase of activation strength can be considered as a switch to form the flux landscape with cycle loops from a deep stable one basin potential landscape. When the pumping increases, many cycle loops start to form and flux landscape starts to emerge. When activation strength *γ* is large enough the flux and RR reaches saturation. Further pumping will not be effective since robust cycle and flux landscape has already been formed. The average cycle flux increases and the robustness of the flux landscape increases. This indicates that a single flux loop dominates and stands out from the rest. It leads to robust cell cycle. The robust cycle costs more energy to maintain.

Fig [14\(d\)](#page-21-0) shows that although the increase of the stimulation or pumping from the G1 to the start of the cell cycle leads to a slight decrease of the occupations of the states on the oscillating path (due to the excitations), the separation between the dominant flux loop and the rest of the decoys increases. As a result, the dominant flux loop stands out and forms the directional flow along the yeast cell cycle.

Energy pump, curl flux, dissipation, speed of the cell cycle, and origin of life. To further explore the intrinsic mechanism of flux landscape formation, we study the relationship between the oscillation speed, entropy production and flux. From [Fig](#page-15-0) 8, we can find that when

[Fig](#page-17-0) 12. Influence on the probability flux from the variation of the sharpness of the response or the inverse noise level μ , by fixing **c = 0.001 and** *γ* **= 60%.** (a) Steady-state probability flux versus *μ*. (b) Robustness Ratio (RR) of flux spectrum versus *μ*. (c) Entropy production rate (dS/df) versus RR of flux. (d) Robustness Ratio (RR) of flux spectrum versus RR of potential landscape spectrum.

the activation strength *γ* increase from 1% to 30%, the frequency of most prominent oscillation also increases. We can associate the most prominent frequency and flux. We focus on these relationships in Fig [14\(a\),](#page-21-0) 14(b) and 14(d), in which we can see clearly that larger flux leads to the faster cell cycle oscillation. Therefore, the energy pump through *γ* is the origin of the flux and the flux drives the limit cycle and determines the associated speed or period.

Furthermore, we also explore the relationship between entropy production and flux under activation strength *γ* changes in Fig [15\(c\),](#page-22-0) 15(e) and 15(f). The entropy production rate here represents the energy dissipation in steady state. These figures show that larger flux gives larger energy dissipation and the total entropy production becomes larger. This demonstrates that the degree of detailed balance breaking from the energy pump measured by the flux is the cause of the energy dissipation for sustaining the oscillation.

A fundamental signature of living is the biological replications which can be described by the limit cycle oscillations. From this quantitative study, we can see that energy pump is

probability flux versus c. (b) Robustness Ratio (RR) of flux spectrum versus c. (c) Entropy production rate (dS/df) versus RR of flux. (d) Robustness Ratio (RR) of flux spectrum versus RR of potential landscape spectrum.

COMPUTATIONAL

BIOLOGY

required for the replications to emerge and survive. Therefore energy supply is necessary for life. This also has evolution implications for the origin of life being initiated and sustained by energy supply.

As a summary, we can state that the limit cycle oscillation is maintained to be stable due to two driving forces: the funneled potential landscape which tends to attract the system down to the close ring valley, leading to high occupations of the states along the oscillation path. The directional flow along the oscillation path is driven by the probability flux originated from the nutrition supply manifested as the stimulation or excitation from G1 to the start of cell cycle. The funneled flux landscape guarantees the clear separation between dominant flux loop and the rest of the other flux loops. Consequently, the dominant flux loop stands out and forms the yeast cell (limit) cycle. Both forces from potential landscape and flux landscape are essential for the stability of the fission yeast cell cycle.

[Fig](#page-18-0) 14. Influence on the probability flux by changing the cycling activation strength y which represents the jumping probability from the G1/G0 state to the activated G1 state (START phase), while fixing μ = 0.8, c = 0.001. (a) Steady-state probability flux versus y. (b) Robustness Ratio (RR) of flux spectrum versus *γ*. (c) Entropy production rate (dS/dt) versus RR of flux. (d) Robustness Ratio (RR) of flux spectrum versus steady-state probability of "native" cycle (P_{Circle}) .

COMPUTATIONAL

BIOLOGY

Backbone subnetwork of fission yeast from the evaluation of global stability and robustness of both potential landscape and flux landscape

We have uncovered that both the potential landscape and the flux landscape are crucial for the stability and the robustness of the limit cycle oscillation of the fission yeast cell cycle. As a practical application, we will perform global sensitivity analysis based on the two landscapes, to explore a backbone subnetwork to carry out the biological functions. We firstly perform perturbations through adding, deleting or repressing arrows between nodes in the wiring diagram in [Fig](#page-4-0) 1, or replacing an active arrow with an inactive arrow, or deleting an individual node. And then we try to analyze the variation of the important characteristic of the two landscapes, such as RR, *PG*1, *PCircle*, *RR of flux* and so on. Finally, we try to work out which key links or nodes are responsible for the stability, speed (function), and robustness of the cell cycle.

Global stability and robustness of potential landscape under perturbations of mutations and regulation strengths. Fig [16\(a\)](#page-23-0) shows the RR versus the probability of the

[Fig](#page-19-0) 15. Relationship between the most prominent frequency, entropy production and flux by changing $y = (1\%30\%)$, while fixing $\mu = 5$, $c = 0.001$. (a)-(c) Variation of most prominent frequency, flux and entropy production rate when changing the activation strength *γ*. (d)-(f) Positive correlation between the most prominent frequency, entropy production and flux when changing *γ*.

COMPUTATIONAL

BIOLOGY

biological cell cycle (fixing $μ = 5, γ = 60%)$ against various perturbations. The perturbations are through adding, deleting or repressing the arrows between the nodes in the wiring diagram in [Fig](#page-4-0) 1, or replacing an activating arrow with an inactivating arrow, or deleting an individual node. We see the larger the RR is, the higher the occupation is of the states on oscillation cell cycle upon perturbations of links and nodes. This indicates the more stabilities of the states on the cell cycle. This provides the rational of using RR as a robustness measure for the cell cycle network. Fig [16\(b\)](#page-23-0) shows again that more stable oscillations requires more energy consumption. The points of low dissipations with large RR values are ignored, as the corresponding probabilities of the biological cycle path are low.

Fig $16(c)$ shows the relationship between the steady state probability of the biological cell cycle path and the steady state probability of the G1 state upon perturbations of links and nodes. The stable G1 often correlates with higher occupations of the states on oscillating cycle paths, as G1 is the starting point in the biological cycle path. However the higher occupations of the biological cycle do not always imply stable G1 state, as quite a few perturbations will disable the stability of state G1 and enhance the stabilities of some other states along the cell cycle path.

[Fig](#page-21-0) 16. Perturbations through mutations (adding, deleting or repressing the arrows) on the fission yeast cell cycle network, while μ = 5, **c = 0.001 and** *γ* **= 60%.** (a) Steady-state probability of "native" cycle (PCircle) versus Robustness Ratio under different perturbations. (b) Entropy production rate versus Robustness Ratio under different perturbations. (c) Steady-state probability of "native" cycle (P_{Circle}) versus steady-state probability of G1 (P_{G1}) under different perturbations.

Global stabilities and robustness of flux landscape under perturbations of mutations and regulation strengths. [Fig](#page-24-0) 17 shows the probabilities of oscillation cell cycle path and robustness ratios of the flux landscapes, upon those mentioned perturbations.

We see that upon perturbations on links and nodes of the fission yeast cell cycle network, higher probabilities of states on oscillation path often accompany with higher robustness ratio of the flux landscape separating the cell cycle loop from the rest as shown in [Fig](#page-24-0) 17. There are some exceptions where very high and very low probabilities of the states on oscillation cell cycle path have varying robustness ratios of the flux landscapes. We can ignore those due to the insignificant cell cycle or insignificant decoys.

Backbone subnetwork contained in the fission yeast networks

Through the global stability analysis for the key wirings of the networks upon perturbations of links and nodes, one can identify the key network structure elements or motifs responsible for

[Fig](#page-23-0) 17. Steady-state probability of "native" cycle (P_{Circle} **) versus RR of flux spectrum. It shows the** perturbations through mutations (adding, deleting or repressing the arrows) on the fission yeast cell cycle network, while *μ* = 5, c = 0.001 and *γ* = 60%.

the stability and biological function. To further identify the stable and functional backbone subnetwork, we choose to delete the link one by one and find out which will make the network more unstable. Based on the discussion in the text above, we select three essential elements to measure the importance of each network edge, that is RR, *PG*1, and *RRflux* (RR of flux landscape), which can represent not only the global stability of G1 state but also the robustness of the biological path.

To measure the robustness of each edge, we performed the orders as follow: First of all, for each edge, we respectively calculate the difference of the three essential elements between mutated network and original network. Then we rank the difference of the 27 edges and give them score from 1 to 27. The larger is the difference, the larger the score is. Then we rank the summation of all the three scores as a total evaluation score(TES), and obtain the rank of robustness of all the 27 edges of the fission yeast network. (One can see the result in [Table](#page-25-0) 3)

Based on the edge robustness, we attempt to reconstruct a minimal but most robust or stable backbone network of the fission yeast. However, nature does not necessarily use the robust edges to build the network, as the aim of a network is to perform certain biological function. Therefore, we need to reconstruct a biological meaningful network based on the major functional biological path for cell cycle. There are several strategies to do so.

In an approach [\[46\]](#page-30-0), the backbone network is obtained directly from the criterion of emergence of major biological path for cell cycle. However, in our approach, we chose the backbone subnetwork based on the global sensitivity and robustness from potential and flux landscape for quantitatively guarantee a stable biological path. We have labeled each edge of this subnetwork both in the [Table](#page-25-0) 3 with italic type and the original network wiring in [Fig](#page-26-0) 18 with red color.

Notice that our backbone subnetwork has large overlaps with the one obtained by Zeng et al. [\[46\]](#page-30-0) through biological path requirement. This shows that the backbone network chosen from biological path is also likely the stable one as we have quantitatively shown here for this case of fission yeast cell cycle.

Rum1 Cdc2/Cdc13* 1 5 3 9 **27**

[Table](#page-24-0) 3. Robustness ranking of 27 links of the fission yeast networks.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005710.t003>

This result seems not so intuitive. As the backbone subnetwork directs to the minimal network to perform the biological function, while the robust network means that it has strong capability to persist in the mutation or fluctuation environments. Therefore, the minimal network should not always lead to the most robustness one.

In our study, we explore parameters listed in the Table 3 of both potential and flux landscapes. The three elements which contribute the TES are the key features representing the potential and flux landscape topography. Therefore, the rank of the TES is calculated in a quasi-quantitative way to gain insights on both potential stability and period persistence for each edge. It suggests that due to the consideration of flux landscape in the periodical dynamics, the minimal backbone subnetwork with highest rank of TES tends to be a global robust one to perform the cell cycle function. Therefore, we state that this study gives a physical principle and basis in terms of the potential and flux landscape for the backbone finding.

Furthermore, based on the global sensitivity analysis, we can identify key links that change significantly the occupation probabilities of the states on the cell cycle path and robustness ratio separating the dominant cycle loop from the rest compared to the wild type. These links and nodes are responsible for biological function of the cell cycle.

As we have stated, for this case of oscillation network, the flux landscape have a large impact on the dynamical behavior of the network. In Table 3, if we delete the index of flux landscape,

[Fig](#page-24-0) 18. The minimal robustness backbone subnetworks of the fission yeast networks. All the links marked by red color contribute a backbone subnetwork, which is generated from the evaluation of robustness in [Table](#page-25-0) 3 (marked by italic type). The remaining links form a residual auxiliary subnetwork. All the signs of the links are the same as in [Fig](#page-4-0) 1.

i.e. *RRflux*, there are several edges in the original network acutely changing their orders of importance. The edges such as **SK** to **Rum1**, and **Cdc25** to **Cdc2**/**Cdc13** will lose their high ranks due to the missing of flux index, while the remaining edges such as **Cdc2**/**Cdc13** to **Rum1** and to **Ste9** and others tend to squeeze into the subnetwork. This leads to the conclusion that the former edges tend to perform the biological cycle function while the remaining edges tend to keep the robustness of G1 state. It is in this perspective these results provide a strong support for the potential and flux landscape theory in the study of cell cycle.

Cell cycle is a hallmark of cancer. Cancer cells has a much faster speed of cell cycle than normal cells. Therefore, regulating the cell cycle speed is crucial for preventing and curing the cancer. From above global sensitivity analysis, we can identify the key nodes and links in the fission yeast cell cycle network for regulating the cell cycle speed. These key links and nodes form the backbone network of the cell cycle. Therefore, we can based on this to do network design and network medicine discovery targeting the cancer.

Conclusions

We explore the global natures of the networks. We found the network dynamics and global properties are determined by two essential features: the potential landscape and the flux landscape. While potential landscape quantifies the probabilities of different states forming hills and valleys, the flux landscape quantifies the probability fluxes of different loops flowing through states. These two landscapes can be quantified through the decomposition of the dynamics into the detailed balance preserving part and detailed balance breaking part. While funneled landscape is crucial for the stability of the single attractor networks, the argument can be extended to the stabilities of the states on the oscillation paths by including them in the same (line) basin of attraction. Importantly, we have uncovered that the funneled flux landscape is crucial for the stable and robust oscillation flow.

This provides a new interpretation of the origin of the limit cycle oscillations: There are always many cycles and loops forming the flux landscapes, each with a probability flux going through the loop. The oscillation only emerges when one specific loop stands out and carries much more probability flux than the rest of the others.

We studied the fission yeast cell cycle as an example to illustrate the idea. We found both the potential landscape and the flux landscape of the fission yeast cell cycle oscillations are funneled, which guarantees the global stability. While the funneled potential landscape guarantees the stabilities of the states on the oscillating path, the funneled flux landscape guarantees the directional flow of the oscillations which breaks the detailed balance and time reversal symmetry, leading to the stand out of the dominant flux loop against others. The stability and robustness of the oscillations are quantified through a dimensionless ratio of the steepness or gap versus the averaged variations or roughness of the landscape (measuring funnelness as we termed as robustness ratio RR).

We explore how RR changes with respect to the stimulations, self degradations, state switching rate or fluctuations, and changes in topology of the network (wirings). This allows us to identify the key factors and structure elements of the networks in determining the stability, speed and robustness of the fission yeast cell cycle oscillations.

Based on the global sensitivity analysis, we obtain that our most robust subnetwork is nearly the same as the minimal biological functional network, and by setting the cell cycle period as the evolution goal, we suggest the fission yeast should follow this evolution goal to form a 27-link network with faster period but not using minimal backbone network. We see that the non-equilibriumness characterized by the degree of detailed balance breaking from the energy pump quantified by the flux is the cause of the energy dissipation for initiating and sustaining

the replications essential for the origin and evolution of life. Finally we are looking forward to the good future by controlling the speed of the cell cycle as an important in designing targeting drugs for preventing and curing the cancer.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Jin Wang.

Data curation: Xiaosheng Luo, Bo Han.

Formal analysis: Xiaosheng Luo, Liufang Xu, Bo Han, Jin Wang.

Software: Bo Han.

Writing – original draft: Xiaosheng Luo, Liufang Xu, Bo Han, Jin Wang.

Writing – review & editing: Liufang Xu, Jin Wang.

References

- **[1](#page-1-0).** Jackson EA (1989) Perspectives of Nonlinear Dynamics. Vol. 1 & Vol. 2 Cambridge (Cambridge Unversity Press).
- **2.** Frauenfelder H, Sligar SG, Wolynes PG (1991) The energy landscapes and motions of proteins. Science 254: 1598–1603. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1749933> PMID: [1749933](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1749933)
- **3.** Wolynes PG, Onuchic JN, Thirumalai D (1995) Navigating the folding routes. Science 267, 1619–1622. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7886447> PMID: [7886447](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7886447)
- **4.** Wang J, Verkhivker G (2003)Energy Landscape Theory, Funnels, Specificity and Optimal Criterion of Biomolecular Binding. Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 188101:1–4. [https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.188101) [188101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.188101)
- **[5](#page-1-0).** Sasai M, Wolynes PG (2003) Stochastic gene expression as a many-body problem. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 2374–2379. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2627987100> PMID: [12606710](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12606710)
- **[6](#page-7-0).** Haken H (1987) Advanced Synergetics (Berlin: Springer).
- **[7](#page-1-0).** Graham R (1989) Noise in Nonlinear Dynamical Systems Vol. 1 ed. Moss F. et al (Cambridege Unviersity Press) 225–278. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511897818.009>
- **[8](#page-1-0).** Qian H (2006) Open-system nonequilibrium steady-state: Statistical thermodynamics, fluctuations and chemical oscillations. J. Phys. Chem. B 110: 15063–15074. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp061858z> PMID: [16884217](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16884217)
- **9.** Qian H (2009) Entropy demystified: The "thermo"-dynamics of stochastically fluctuating systems. Methods in Enzymology, 467: 111–134. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879\(09\)67005-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(09)67005-1) PMID: [19897091](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19897091)
- **[10](#page-1-0).** Kim KY, Wang J (2007) Potential Energy Landscape and Robustness of a Gene Regulatory Network: Toggle Switch. PLoS Comput Biol. 3(3):e60. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.0030060> PMID: [17397255](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17397255)
- **11.** Li CH, Wang J (2014) Quantifying the underlying landscape and paths of cancer. J. R. Soc. Interface. 11: 20140774. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2014.0774> PMID: [25232051](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25232051)
- **12.** Wang J, Xu L, Wang EK (2008)Potential Landscape and Flux Framework of Non-Equilibrium Networks: Robustness, Dissipation and Coherence of Biochemical Oscillations Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 105: 12271–12276. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0800579105> PMID: [18719111](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18719111)
- **13.** Wang J, Zhang K, Wang EK. (2010) Kinetic paths, time scale, and underlying landscapes: A path integral framework to study global natures of nonequilibrium systems and networks. J. Chem. Phys. 133: 125103. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3478547> PMID: [20886967](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20886967)
- **14.** Feng HD, Wang J. (2011) Potential and flux decomposition for dynamical systems and non-equilibrium thermodynamics: Curvature, field, and generalized fluctuation-dissipation theorem. J. Chem. Phys. 135: 234511. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3669448> PMID: [22191890](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22191890)
- **15.** Wang J, Zhang K, Xu L, Wang EK. (2011) Quantifying the Waddington landscape and biological paths for development and differentiation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 108(20):8257–8262. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1017017108) [1073/pnas.1017017108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1017017108) PMID: [21536909](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21536909)
- **16.** Zhang F, Xu L, Zhang K, Wang EK, Wang J, (2012) The potential and flux landscape theory of evolution. J. Chem. Phys. 137: 065102. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4734305> PMID: [22897313](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22897313)
- **[17](#page-9-0).** Wang J, Li CH, and Wang EK. (2010) Potential and flux landscapes quantify the stability and robustness of budding yeast cell cycle network. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107: 8195–8200. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0910331107) [1073/pnas.0910331107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0910331107) PMID: [20393126](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20393126)
- **18.** Li CH, Wang J, (2014) Landscape and flux reveal a new global view and physical quantification of mammalian cell cycle. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 111(39): 14130–14135 [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1408628111) [1408628111](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1408628111) PMID: [25228772](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25228772)
- **[19](#page-7-0).** Lapidus S, Han B, Wang J (2008) Intrinsic noise, dissipation cost, and robustness of cellular networks: The underlying energy landscape of MAPK signal transduction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 105: 6039–6044. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0708708105> PMID: [18420822](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18420822)
- **20.** Xu L, Chu XK, Yan ZQ, Zheng XL, Zhang K, Zhang F, et al. (2016)Uncovering the underlying physical mechanisms of biological systems via quantification of landscape and flux. Chin. Phys. B. 25: 016401. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1056/25/1/016401>
- **21.** Wang J (2015) Landscape and flux theory of non-equilibrium dynamical systems with application to biology. Advances in Physics, 64(1): 1–137. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00018732.2015.1037068>
- **[22](#page-1-0).** Han B, Wang J (2008) Least dissipation cost as a design principle for robustness and function of cellular networks. Phys. Rev. E 77: 031922. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.77.031922>
- **[23](#page-1-0).** Han B, Wang J (2007)Quantifying robustness of cell cycle network: Funneled energy landscape perspectives. Journal Cover Article, Biophys. J. 92: 3755. <https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.106.094821>
- **[24](#page-3-0).** Wang S, Zhang Y, and Ouyang Q. (2006) Stochastic model of coliphage lambda regulatory network. Physical Review E, 73(4):041922. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.73.041922>
- **25.** Zhang ZD, Wang J (2015) Landscape, kinetics, paths and statistics of curl flux, coherence, entanglement and energy transfer in non-equilibrium quantum systems. New J. Phys. 17: 043053. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/4/043053) [org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/4/043053](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/4/043053)
- **[26](#page-6-0).** Zhang ZD, Wang J (2014) Curl flux, coherence, and population landscape of molecular systems: Nonequilibrium quantum steady state, energy (charge) transport, and thermodynamics. J. Chem. Phys. 140: 245101. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4884125> PMID: [24985680](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24985680)
- **[27](#page-5-0).** Zhang Y, Qian M, Ouyang Q, Deng M, Li F, and Tang C. (2006) Stochastic model of yeast cell-cycle network. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 219(1):35–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2006.05.009>
- **[28](#page-3-0).** Ge H, Qian H, Qian M. (2008) Synchronized dynamics and nonequilibrium steady states in a stochastic yeast cell-cycle network. Mathematical Biosciences, 211, 132–152. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2007.10.003) [2007.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2007.10.003) PMID: [18048065](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18048065)
- **[29](#page-6-0).** Qian MP Qian M (1979) The decomposition into a detailed balance part and a circulation part of an irreversible stationary Markov chain. Scientia Sinica Special Issue II, 69–79.
- **[30](#page-7-0).** Zia RKP and Schmittmann B (2007) Probability currents as principal characteristics in the statistical mechanics of nonequilibrium steady states. J. Stat. Mech.: Theory and Experiment P07012.
- **[31](#page-1-0).** Schnakenberg J. (1976) Network theory of microscopic and macroscopic behavior of master equation systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 48:571–585. <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.48.571>
- **[32](#page-2-0).** Davidich MI, Bornholdt S. (2008) Boolean network model predicts cell cycle sequence of fission yeast. PLoS One, 3(2):e1672. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0001672> PMID: [18301750](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18301750)
- **[33](#page-2-0).** Li F, Lu Y, Long T, Ouyang Q, Tang C (2004) The yeast cell-cycle network is robustly designed. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 101: 4781–4786. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0305937101> PMID: [15037758](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15037758)
- **[34](#page-2-0).** Kauffman S (1969) Homeostasis and differentiation in random genetic control networks. Nature 224: 177–178. <https://doi.org/10.1038/224177a0> PMID: [5343519](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5343519)
- **[35](#page-3-0).** Xu LF, Shi HL, Feng HD, Wang J. (2012) The Energy pump and the Origin of the Non-equilibrium Flux of the Dynamical Systems and the Networks. J Chem. Phys. 136(16): 391–436. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3703514) [1063/1.3703514](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3703514)
- **[36](#page-3-0).** Freedman D (1983) Markov Chains. (Springer-Verlag Publishers, Heidelberg, Germany).
- **37.** Davis MHA. (1993) Markov models and optimization, volume 49. (Chapman & Hall/CRC)
- **38.** Han B, Wang J. (2008) Least dissipation cost as a design principle for robustness and function of cellular networks. Phys. Rev. E, 77: 031922. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.77.031922>
- **39.** Han B, Wang J. (2007) Quantifying robustness and dissipation cost of yeast cell cycle network: the funneled energy landscape perspectives. Journal Cover Article, Biophys. J., 92: 3755. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.106.094821) [1529/biophysj.106.094821](https://doi.org/10.1529/biophysj.106.094821)
- **[40](#page-3-0).** Hopfield JJ (1982) Neural Networks and Physical Systems with Emergent Collective Computational Abilities. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 79: 2554–2558. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.79.8.2554> PMID: [6953413](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6953413)
- **[41](#page-5-0).** Van Kampen NG (2007) Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry. Amsterdam (Elsevier).
- **[42](#page-5-0).** Gardiner CW (2004) Handbook of Stochastic Methods. Berlin (Springer)
- **[43](#page-6-0).** Zhang ZD, Wang J. (2015) Shape, orientation and magnitude of the curl quantum flux, the coherence and the statistical correlations in energy transport at nonequilibrium steady state. New J. Phys. 17: 093021. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/9/093021>
- **[44](#page-7-0).** Qian H. (2007) Phosphorylation energy hypothesis: open chemical systems and their biological functions. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 58: 113–142. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physchem.58.032806.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physchem.58.032806.104550) [104550](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physchem.58.032806.104550) PMID: [17059360](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17059360)
- **[45](#page-12-0).** Norton MP and Karczub DG. (2003) Fundamentals of Noise and Vibration Analysis for Engineers. (Cambridge University Press)
- **[46](#page-24-0).** Wang GY, Du CH, Chen H, Simha R, Rong YW, Xiao Y, and Zeng C. (2010) Process-based network decomposition reveals backbone motif structure. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 107(23): 10478–10483. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0914180107) doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0914180107 PMID: [20498084](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20498084)