S2 Table. Host Activity Density Categories

Activity densities assigned to each host species in the community. Densities for the white-footed mouse, eastern

chipmunks, short-tailed shrew, and birds were measured directly.

Activity density class					
(no. per ha)*					
		Rare/		Very	
Common name	Species	Absent	Present	Common	References
Gray squirrel	Sciurus carolinensis	3	7	15	LoGiudice et al. 2003, 2008 and refs within
White-tailed deer	Odocoileus virginianus	0	0.1	0.21	LoGiudice et al. 2003, 2008
Virginia opossum	Didelphis virginiana	0	0.12	0.9	LoGiudice et al. 2003, 2008
Striped skunk	Mephitis mephitis	0	0.04	0.2	LoGiudice et al. 2003, 2008
Raccoon	Procyon lotor	0	0.2	0.57	LoGiudice et al. 2003, 2008
Gray fox	Urocyon cinereoargenteus	0	0.015	0.062	Trapp & Hallberg 1975, Saunders 1988, Judge & Haviernick 2002
Coyote	Canis latrans	0	0.0004	0.005	Hilton 1986, Fortin 1995, Parker 1995, Samson & Crete 1997, Stoddart et al. 2001, Arjo 2004
Red Fox	Vulpes vulpes	0	0.003	0.007	Ables 1969, Sargeant 1972, Storm et al. 1976, Pils et al. 1978, Tullar & Berchielli 1980, Voigt and MacDonald 1984

* Species were placed into three activity density classes based on live traps and/or camera traps. The highest quartile is designated

as "Very Common" and lower quartiles were considered "Present" or "Rare/Absent".

Literature Cited – S2 Table

- LoGiudice, K., R.S. Ostfeld, K.A. Schmidt and F. Keesing. 2003. The ecology of infectious disease: effects of host diversity and community composition on Lyme disease risk. *PNAS* 100: 567-571.
- LoGiudice, K., S.T.K. Duerr, M.J. Newhouse, K.A. Schmidt, M.E. Killilea and R.S. Ostfeld.
 2008. Impact of host community composition on Lyme disease risk. *Ecology* 89:2841-2849.
- Trapp, G.R., and Hallberg, D.L. 1975. Ecology of the gray fox (Urocyon cinereoargenteus): a review in Fox, M.W., ed. The wild canids: Their systematics, behavioral ecology and evolution. Behavioral Science Series. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company: 164-178.
- Saunders, D.A. 1988. Adirondack Mammals. State University of New York, College of Environmental Science and Forestry. 216pp.
- 5. Judge, K.A., Haviernick, M. 2002. Update COSEWIC status report on the grey fox Urocyon cinereoargenteus in Canada in COSEWIC assessment and update status report on the grey fox Urocyon cinereoargenteus interior in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. 1-32pp.
- 6. Hilton, H. 1986. Coyote assessment 1985. Pp. 521-562 *in* Planning for Maine's inland fisheries and wildlife. Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife, August, Maine *in* Grompper, M.E. 2002. The Ecology of Northeast Coyotes: Current Knowledge and Priorities for Future Research. WCS Working Paper No 17.
- Fortin, C. 1995. Ecologie comparee du coyote, du lynx du Canada, et du renard roux au Parc National Forillon. M.Sc. Thesis, Universite Laval, Quebec *in* Grompper, M.E. 2002. The

Ecology of Northeast Coyotes: Current Knowledge and Priorities for Future Research. WCS Working Paper No 17.

- Parker, G.R. 1995. Eastern coyote. Nimbus Publishing, Halifax *in* Grompper, M.E. 2002. The Ecology of Northeast Coyotes: Current Knowledge and Priorities for Future Research. WCS Working Paper No 17.
- Samson, C. and M. Crete. 1997. Summer food habits and population density of coyotes, *Canis latrans*, in boreal forests of southeastern Quebec. *Canadian Field Naturalist* 111:227-233.
- Stoddart, L.C., R.G. Giffiths, and F.F. Knowlton. 2001. Coyote responses to changing jackrabbit abundance affect sheep predation. *Journal of Range Management* 54:15-20.
- Arjo, W.M. and D.H. Peltsher. 2004. Coyote and wolf habitat use in Northwestern Montana. Northwest Science 78: 24-32.
- Ables, E.D. 1969. Home-range studies of red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*). *Journal of Mammalogy* 50:108-120.
- Sargeant, A.B. 1972. Red fox spatial characteristics in relation to waterfowl predation. Journal of Wildlife Management 36:225-236.
- Storm, G.L., R.D. Andrews, R.L. Phillips, R.A. Bishop, D.B. Siniff, and J.R. Tester. 1976.
 Morphology, reproduction, dispersal, and mortality of midwestern red fox populations.
 Wildlife Monographs 49:3-82.
- 15. Pils, C.M., M.A. Martin, and R.L. Hine. 1978. Population dynamics, predator-prey relationships and management of the red fox in Wisconsin. Technical bulletin (Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources) 105: 56pgs.

- 16. Tullar Jr., B.F. and L.T. Berchielli, Jr. 1980. Movement of the red fox in central New York. N.Y. Fish and Game Journal 27:179-204.
- 17. Voigt, D.R. and D.W. MacDonald. 1984. Variation in the spatial and social behaviour of the red fox, *Vulpes vulpes. Acta Zoologica Fennica* 171:261-265.