Chinese version of the EQ-5D preference weights: Applicability in a Chinese general population

|  |
| --- |
| S1 Table. Percentages of respondents reporting problems on each EQ-5D dimension |
| Sample Source | N | EQ-5DVAS (0-100) | EQ-5D dimensions (% with any problems by dimension) |
| VAS score | Mobility | Self-care | Usual activities | Pain/ discomfort | Anxiety/ depression | Any dimension |
| UK (age≥18) | 3395 | 82.5  | 18.4  | 4.2  | 16.3  | 33.0  | 20.9  | 43.1  |
| US\* (age≥18) | 427 | 82.2  | 14.0  | 3.0  | 14.0  | 40.0  | 24.0  |  |
| Canada (age≥18) | 1518 | 78.7  | 22.2  | 4.0  | 19.1  | 43.6  | 28.6  | 53.0  |
| Japan (age≥18) | 621 | 77.8  | 7.2  | 1.8  | 5.2  | 20.0  | 8.5  | 25.0  |
| Spain (age≥18) | 12245 | 71.1  | 11.2  | 2.0  | 6.9  | 26.3  | 12.5  | 33.0  |
| Beijing (age≥12) | 2991 | 77.0  | 4.9  | 2.0  | 3.3  | 18.0  | 6.1  | 22.4  |
|  |  |  | (3.4) | (1.4) | (2.2) | (13.0) | (5.3) | (17.2) |
| NHSS 2008(age≥15) | 120703 | 80.1 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 8.9 | 5.8 |  |
| Shenzhen (age≥15) | 2984 | 84.3 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 2.1 | 7.0 |
|  | (3387) | (82.4) | (3.2) | (1.9) | (2.7) | (9.0) | (2.4) | (11.2) |

Weighted estimations are in the parentheses.

UK: Kind P et al. 1998. US: Johnson JA et al. 1998. Canada: Johnson JA et al. 2000. Spain: Badia X et al. 1998. Beijing: Wang H et al. 2005. NHSS: Sun S et al. 2011.

\*Since the authors did not provide the original data, the numbers are roughly estimated from figures in their paper.