A. Primer and Eprobe design without Edesign function (values shown were re-calculated by Edesign.)

| OLIGO | start | len | tm | gco | any | $3^{\prime}$ | hairpin | seq |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| LEFT Primer | 76 | 18 | 59.20 | 61.11 | 5.40 | 3.40 | 34.23 | GGCCATGAAAGCTCAGCG |
| RIGHT Primer | 316 | 22 | 58.99 | 50.00 | 2.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | CGTCTTCTCCTTTTCCCATTCC |
| Eprobe (Forward) | 190 | 16 | 58.92 | 50.00 | 3.00 | 1.80 | 4.97 | TCACATZCACACAGGG |
| product size: 241, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primer pair compl any: 3.60, $3 ': 1.20$, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEFT Primer-Probe compl any: $3.80,3^{\prime}: 1.80$, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RIGHT Primer-Probe compl any: 3.60, $3^{\prime}: 2.40$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Location of designed primers and Eprobe



$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} \\
\text { GTCAAAAGAATGGGGAAGGGA? }
\end{array}
$$

361 AGATCTCTTGGGGCAAGTCAAAAGAATGGGGAAGGGATTGC

XXXXXX excluded region
xxxxxx excluded region for internal probe
>>>>>> left primer
<<<<<< right primer
^^^^^^ internal probe

## B. Primer and Eprobe design by Edesign

| OLIGO | start | len | tm | gc\% | any | $3^{\prime}$ | hairpin | seq |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| LEFT Primer | 190 | 20 | 59.24 | 50.00 | 2.80 | 0.80 | 0.02 | TCACATTCACACAGGGCTCA |
| RIGHT Primer | 316 | 22 | 58.99 | 50.00 | 2.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | CGTCTTCTCCTTTTCCCATTCC |
| Eprobe (Reverse) | 288 | 16 | 58.66 | 37.50 | 2.40 | 0.40 | 0.00 | TGTTTTTGCZGTGTTC |
| product size: 127, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primer pair compl any: 3.60, $3 ': 0.00$, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEFT Primer-Probe compl any: 6.00, $3^{\prime}:$ | 1.40, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RIGHT Primer-Probe compl any: $2.20,3^{\prime}: 0.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Location of designed primers and Eprobe



S8 Fig. Designed Eprobes and primers for evaluation in low copy detection.
Design-A was conducted without any upgrade implemented in Edesign. Design-B was conducted by Edesign.
Design-A has higher pair complementarity especially PRIMER-Eprobe complementarity than Design-B.

Target sequence: influenza $B$ virus genome segment 7 (matrix protein)
AAGAAAGGCCTGATTCTGGCTGAGAGAAAAATGAGAAGATGTGTGAGCTTTCATGAAGCATTTGAAATAGCAGAAG GCCATGAAAGCTCAGCGCTACTATACTGTCTCATGGTCATGTACCTGAATCCTGGAAATTATTCAATGCAAGTAAA ACTAGGAACGCTCTGTGCTTTATGCGAGAAACAAGCATCACATTCACACAGGGCTCATAGCAGAGCAGCGAGATC TTCAGTGCCTGGAGTGAGACGAGAAATGCAGATGGTCTCAGCTATGAACACAGCAAAAACAATGAATGGAATGGG AAAAGGAGAAGACGTCCAAAAGCTGGCAGAAGAGCTGCAAAGCAACATTGGAGTGCTGAGATCTCTTGGGGCAA GTCAAAAGAATGGGGAAGGGATTGC

Edesign setting:
Positions below were set as "excluded positions" for primers and internal Eprobe in Edesign because of their high mutation rates in the virus:
$6,9,18,21,27,38,51,66,75,94,99,102,108,162,174,177,180,221,222,228,234,237,246,249,258,318,324$, $330,336,337,339,357,358,378,396$

Internal Probe Size Min: 14 Opt: 16 Max: 18
Internal Probe $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M}}$ Min: 54.0, Opt: 59.0, Max: 64.0
PRIMER_PRODUCT_SIZE_RANGE: 150-250 100-300 301-400 401-500 501-600 601-700 701-850 851-1000
Weight of OLD: Pair 3' Complementarity: 1.0
Other parameter values were the same as the default settings of Edesign version 2.0.
Experimental Protocol:
PCR reactions were setup using $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $5 \times$ Light-Cycler 480 Genotyping Master (with $5 \%$ Formamide), $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ template DNA, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Eprobe, $0.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$ primer in opposite strand of Eprobe and $0.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ primer in the same strand of Eprobe, in a total volume of $25 \mu$. Real-time PCR experiments were run on a LightCycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) after activation of the hot-start enzyme for 10 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 50 cycles of 15 s at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~s}$ at $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 30 s at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Amplification signals were detected during the annealing step of each cycle at $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using a SYBR Green I (483 nm533 nm ) filter for thiazole orange (D514). For melting curve analysis, the PCR was followed by heating the reaction mixture to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 s , cooling to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, holding at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 min , and then slowly heating again to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a ramp rate $2.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ s and continuous fluorescence acquisition at the indicated wave length. All PCR reactions and melting curve experiments were always performed in triplicate, and each experiment included a negative control where $1 \times T E$ Buffer (Promega Japan, Tokyo, Japan) was added instead of a template DNA.

The template concentration per reaction varied from 150 to $150,000,000$ copies of plasmid DNA.

