

S2 Table. Quality Assessment

Quality assessment criteria	Mittal C	Hsu JL	Tsapepas DS	Garg S	Dorschner P	Neofytos D	Deshpande A	Wheeler M
-----------------------------	----------	--------	-------------	--------	-------------	------------	-------------	-----------

Selection

Representativeness of exposed cohort?	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Selection of the non-exposed cohort?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ascertainment of exposure?	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study?	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Comparability

Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Outcome

Assessment of outcome	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur	*		*				*	*
Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Score	6	5	6	5	5	5	6	6
--------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

Quality assessment criteria	Kittleson M	Lee JT	Shah SA	Boutros M	Ott E	Abid S	Mitu-Pretorian OM
-----------------------------	-------------	--------	---------	-----------	-------	--------	-------------------

Selection

Representativeness of exposed cohort?	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Selection of the non-exposed cohort?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ascertainment of exposure?	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study?	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Comparability

Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Outcome

Assessment of outcome	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur		*	*			*	*
Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Score	5	6	6	5	5	6	6
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Quality assessment criteria	Rosen JB	Rostambeigi N	Coltart IC	Gunderson CC	Theunissen C	Stelzmueller I	Munoz P
-----------------------------	----------	---------------	------------	--------------	--------------	----------------	---------

Selection

Representativeness of exposed cohort?	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Selection of the non-exposed cohort?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ascertainment of exposure?	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study?	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Comparability

Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Outcome

Assessment of outcome	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur				*	*		*
Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Score	5	5	5	6	6	5	6
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Quality assessment criteria	Hashimoto M	Albright JB	Michalak G	Ziring D	Keven K	Loinaz C	West M	George DL
-----------------------------	-------------	-------------	------------	----------	---------	----------	--------	-----------

Selection

Representativeness of exposed cohort?	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Selection of the non-exposed cohort?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ascertainment of exposure?	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study?	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Comparability

Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
---	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Outcome

Assessment of outcome	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur		*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Score	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---