

CONSORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised trial*

Section/Topic	ltem No	Checklist item	Reported
Title and abstract			on page
	ia	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	>
	1	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	2 + Proched Proc
Introduction			-
Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	So
objectives	26	Specific objectives or hypotheses	2/10/4 000 0000
Methods			Cada bria Libra
Trial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	4 - Plack of to took
	3b	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons	mala la
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	THE CHARGE
	46	Settings and locations where the data were collected	A + Dioched Yours
Interventions	G	The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were	1 Olos bad com
		actually administered	I + ray our bear
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they	
		were assessed	4-5
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons	and changes
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	Plant Dead to have
	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	NA RECEIVED
Randomisation:			3
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	Olas Ded Proper
generation	86	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	TO THE TOTAL THE
Allocation	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers)	Or had believe
concealment		describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	has near barber
mechanism		g	
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to	
		interventions	No red Reper
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those	

diclared on furms	*We strongly recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation of Europe Strongly recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation of Europe Strongly Recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation of Europe Strongly Recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation of Europe Strongly Recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation of Europe Strongly Recommend Recommen	reading	*We strongly recommend
provided	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs) role of funders	25	Funding
8	Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available	24	Protocol
9.	Registration number and name of trial registry	23	Registration
			Other information
ingula 15	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence	22	Interpretation
The second secon		2	Generalisability
118:001	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	20	Limitations
			Discussion
N. A.	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)	19	Harms
20	pre-specified from exploratory		
	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing	18	Ancillary analyses
Li Some	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended	17b	
NO OF SOM	precision (such as 95% confidence interval)		estimation
100000	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its	17a	Outcomes and
ON SHED	by original assigned groups		
1 2 KG 1	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was	16	Numbers analysed
1000 A TO SO K	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	15	Baseline data
3	Why the trial ended or was stopped	14b	
The west to per	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	14a	Recruitment
1. 182	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	13b	recommended)
	were analysed for the primary outcome		diagram is strongly
	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and	13a	Participant flow (a
1			Results
5)-	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	12b	
	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	12a	Statistical methods
CA	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions	11b	
LOS DEINGLES	assessing outcomes) and how		

recommend reading CONSORT extensions for cluster randomised trials, non-inferiority and equivalence trials, non-pharmacological treatments, herbal interventions, and pragmatic trials. *We strongly recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration for important clarifications on all the items. If relevant, we also Additional extensions are forthcoming: for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist, see