## Document S2. Sensitivity analysis of asymmetrical confidence intervals

We conducted a three-part sensitivity analysis, in each part of which one of the three values would be replaced by a value imputed from the other two, assuming interval symmetry. With lnRR as the natural log of the point estimate, lnLO as the natural log of the lower limit and lnUP as the natural log of the upper limit:

a. imputed  $\ln RR = 0.5(\ln UP + \ln LO)$ b. imputed  $\ln LO = \ln PR$  ( $\ln UP - \ln PR$ ) = 2( $\ln PR$ )

b. imputed  $\ln LO = \ln RR - (\ln UP - \ln RR) = 2(\ln RR) - \ln UP$ 

c. imputed  $\ln UP = \ln RR + (\ln RR - \ln LO) = 2(\ln RR) - \ln LO$ 

We then re-ran the analysis with each calculated estimate and 95% CI to examine if and how the summarized random effects estimate and 95% CI change, for the appropriate time period.

## Mutevedzi 2011a – 3-month estimate

| Iteration   | Calculated<br>estimate<br>(95% CI) | Resulting summary<br>effect estimate<br>(95% CI) |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Asymmetrical interval:                                  | 1.59 (0.84, 1.97)                  | 1.10 (0.87, 1.40)                                |
| a. imputed $\ln RR = 0.5[\ln(1.97) + \ln(0.84)] = 0.25$ | 1.29 (0.84, 1.97)                  | 1.07 (0.87, 1.32)                                |
| b. imputed $\ln LO = 2\ln(1.59) - \ln(1.97) = 0.25$     | 1.59 (1.28, 1.97)                  | 1.13 (0.87, 1.47)                                |
| c. imputed $\ln UP = 2\ln(1.59) - \ln(0.84) = 1.10$     | 1.59 (0.84, 3.01)                  | 1.07 (0.85, 1.36)                                |

## **Boulle 2008b – 6-month estimate**

| Iteration  | Calculated<br>estimate<br>(95% CI) | Resulting summary<br>effect estimate<br>(95% CI) |
|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Asymmetrical interval:                                 | 0.8 (0.5, 1.1)                     | 1.15 (0.94, 1.41)                                |
| a. imputed $\ln RR = 0.5[\ln(1.1) + \ln(0.5)] = -0.30$ | 0.7 (0.5, 1.1)                     | 1.14 (0.92, 1.42)                                |
| b. imputed $\ln LO = 2\ln(0.8) - \ln(1.1) = -0.54$     | 0.8 (0.6, 1.1)                     | 1.14 (0.93, 1.40)                                |
| c. imputed $\ln UP = 2\ln(0.8) - \ln(0.5) = 0.25$      | 0.8 (0.5, 1.3)                     | 1.16 (0.94, 1.42)                                |

Though asymmetry is possibly due to rounding, not error.

## Nguyen 2011 – 60-month estimate

| Iteration  | Calculated<br>estimate<br>(95% CI) | Resulting summary<br>effect estimate<br>(95% CI) |
|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Asymmetrical interval:                                 | 2.9 (1.6, 10.5)                    | 1.33 (1.02, 1.75)                                |
| a. imputed $\ln RR = 0.5[\ln(10.5) + \ln(1.6)] = 1.41$ | 4.1 (1.6, 10.5)                    | 1.37 (1.05, 1.79)                                |
| b. imputed $\ln LO = 2\ln(2.9) - \ln(10.5) = -0.22$    | 2.9 (0.8, 10.5)                    | 1.33 (1.02, 1.72)                                |
| c. imputed $\ln UP = 2\ln(2.9) - \ln(1.6) = 1.66$      | 2.9 (1.6, 5.3)                     | 1.38 (1.06, 1.80)                                |